## **Supplemental material**

Supplementary table 1: Backgrounds of participants

Regional health authority	N	Background of participant
Central Norway	4	Senior researcher/ Nurse/ Professor  Physiotherapist/ Project manager  Advisor  Senior Advisor/ Phd-fellow/ Nurse
West	1	Research coordinator/ Nurse specialist (oncology)
South-East	2	Specialist in internal medicine and geriatrics/ Chief physician/ Professor Leader of community services development/ Nurse
Total	7	

Supplementary figure 1: Interview guide

# "Horizon scanning of healthcare delivery models within services targeted to the frail elderly"

## Focus group agenda

## Overall aim of this study

To evaluate if horizon scanning can be used to help decision makers to fill in the knowledge gaps, address issues such as system fragmentation, as well as contribute to innovation of the healthcare delivery services targeted at the frail elderly.

## **Program**

No.	Topic	Focus	Time
1.	Introduction	- Brief description of the study's purpose	1530-1540
		- Participants' presentation of themselves	
2.	Horizon scanning process	- Description of horizon scanning steps	1540-1550
		- Presentation of results from the scan	
3.	System-based models	- Introduction of each model	1550-1630
		- Discussion	
		- Evaluation	
4.	Community-based	- Introduction of each intervention	1630-1650
	interventions	- Discussion	
		- Evaluation	
5.	Horizon scanning methodology	- Discussion and evaluation	1650-1700

## Interview guide

Do you have any potential conflicts of interest, such as ongoing research or other intellectual / financial interests with organizations related to the models/interventions discussed in this interview?

Yes No

If yes, please describe:

#### List of innovations

To avoid miscommunication we define new innovations as a possible new way of organizing services, a new mechanism in the service process, changes in the system that increase access to more comprehensive services for frail elderly as well as a new application of existing intervention (s), or other current innovations.

The list is structured after system-based and community-based with an aim to create a better overview for discussion and evaluation. The division is not unambiguous as the integrated care models and community-based interventions do contain overlapping elements.

The innovations placed under "system-based" contain core traits of integrated care models specific for frail elderly on a system/population large scale level.

The innovations placed under "community-based" contain traits that allow for the frail to live independently in the community. These have a "door in" approach and are on a more local/community small scale level. This does not mean the community-based are not involved in system level decision making and vice versa.

#### Discussion & Evaluation on list of innovations

Please reply if you are aware of the mentioned innovations, and, if applicable, leave a comment on the various innovations.

System-based Innovation	Do you know this?	Additional comments
PRISMA	Yes/No	
SIPA	Yes/No	
WICM	Yes/No	
PACE	Yes/No	
GRACE	Yes/No	

Community-	Do you know this?	Additional comments
based Innovation		
EuFrailSafe	Yes/No	
INA	Yes/No	
MOOCs	Yes/No	
Hospital at Home	Yes/No	

Based on the description and your experience, please rate them on a scale of *low, moderate, and high* accordingly to

- i) Level of innovation: degree of novelty, filtration of services from that of common practice.
- Probability that the innovation will be further implemented in the next 2-10 years: to see which innovations most likely to be in the horizon of integrated healthcare services for frail elderly. Things to consider here are resource implications, expected utilisation and availability of the innovation across different geographical areas, actions required before implementation can take place, time, and investment in training of personnel, cooperation of stakeholders and ethical and accessibility issues.
- Likely impact on frail elderly: importance/quality of the innovation. Things to consider here are the innovation's ability to solve current service issues such as disease-focused treatments, long waiting times, poor exchange of knowledge/collaboration among health workers as a result of not having a shared electronic health record, insufficient staff numbers, lack of guidelines and accountability for care management, absence of professional expertise regarding the patient's health condition, lack of clarity with regards to health personnel's duties and responsibilities as well as a failure in offering updates to patients and their families, along with preparing them for future care transfers.

System- based Innovation	Level of innovation	Comments	Probability of implementation in the next 2-10 years	Comments	Likely impact on frail elderly	Comments
PRISMA						
SIPA						
WICM						
PACE						
GRACE						
Community- based Innovation	Level of innovation	Comments	Probability of implementation in the next 2-10 years	Comments	Likely impact on frail elderly	Comments
based		Comments	implementation in the next 2-10	Comments	impact on frail	Comments
based Innovation		Comments	implementation in the next 2-10	Comments	impact on frail	Comments
based Innovation  EuFrailSafe		Comments	implementation in the next 2-10	Comments	impact on frail	Comments

D.		0	T 1		1 .		.1 1 1	
1)19	CHISSION	Xτ	Evaluation	$\alpha$ n	horizon	scanning	methodolo	M
$\nu_{\rm L}$	ocussion.	œ	Lvaraanon	OH	HOHLOH	Scamming	memodeli	$J \subseteq Y$

What are the current methods you use for making decisions in healthcare service delivery?

What do you think of horizon scanning as a tool for decision making in healthcare service delivery?

What would be the possible strengths and weaknesses of using such a tool?

Any further comments?

# Supplementary table 2: Illustrative quotes from Qualitative assessment

Shifting away	EuFrailSafe	Hospital at Home	MOOCs	WICM
Shifting away	"It is a trend and a	"If it is well organised	"This seems to be	"I think it would be
from specialist	need to focus on	within the	innovative as it is	beneficial if the frail
acute reactive	prevention with the	municipality and we	prevention focused	elderly patients were
care	use of technology"	are familiar with the	and more customised	screened early at the
	Informant 5	patient's background	for frail people and	doctor's office to
		and medical issues,	their caregivers, plus	avoid hospital
		then it is best and	the information is	admissions"
		definitely possible to	easily accessible"	Informant 6
		treat them at home" Informant 4	Informant 3	
Silos	PRISMA & SIPA	INA		
31105	"I like that there is a	"A social worker who		
	defined team	acts as a coordinator		
	responsible for the	and does		
	patient's cate and	assessments at the		
	the focus is on	frail person's home		
	coordination"	while involving		
	Informant 3	neighbours and volunteers is new		
		and innovative. I		
		have never heard		
		about it" Informant 7		
Service gaps and	EuFrailSafe	PRISMA & SIPA	GRACE & PACE	
duplications	"The virtual platform	"The connection	"These models seem	
	and use if monitoring	between the	to have good	
	devices allow for	specialist service and	collaboration	
	better clinical follow- up and care"	the primary health	routines between the	
	Informant 6	service is poor, we do not have any	specialist and the primary health	
	informant o	communication while	services as well as	
		in these models,	interdisciplinary	
		there is a team and a	teams within the	
		platform they use to	primary health	
		meet and plan and	service which I feel is	
		the care for the	important" Informant	
		patient. I think it is a	3	
		great idea especially for the frail with		
		complex health		
		problems" Informant		
		7		
Competence	MOOCs	WICM		
requirements	"I think it would be	"The idea of a nurse		
	useful as you get	practitioner and		
	knowledge about the health condition you	family physician teaming up to do		
	are struggling with	geriatric assessments		
	and support from	for frailty and early		
	others" Informant 5	deterioration among		
		elderly is innovative"		
		Informant 1		
Greater patient	WICM	GRACE & PACE	INA	
and network	"There is active	"I believe that in the	"It would be useful	
involvement	caregiver support and involvement,	future with the lack of healthcare	and something we would need in the	
	that is innovative"	personnel and a	future as there is a	
	Informant 1	growing number of	focus on	
		elderlies, initiatives	strengthening social	
		that involve the	networks in a local	
	1			

	network surrounding the frail patient will become essential"	environment, where a neighbourhood takes responsibility	
	Informant 5	for the care of the	
		frail" Informant 2	