

Supplemental Table 10: Summary of continuation criteria achievement*

Criteria	Measures used	Criteria to met	Assessment
Feasible to recruit and retain practices.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Number of practices responding to a call for Expression of Interest Practice retention rates 	<p>If > 50 general practices within 2 months respond to call⁹, then likely to proceed to full trial (<i>green</i>). If <40 practices respond to call, then progression is unlikely to be feasible (<i>red</i>). If 40 - 50 practices respond within 2 months, then TSC will consider the feasibility of proceeding to the full-scale trial (<i>amber</i>) based on taking steps to improve response rate.</p> <p>If 8 practices are retained throughout 6- month intervention period, then proceed (<i>green</i>). If < 5 practices are retained, then a full-scale trial is unlikely to be feasible (<i>red</i>). If 5-7 practices are retained throughout the intervention period, then the TSC will consider feasibility of proceeding (<i>amber</i>) based on taking steps to improve retention.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 50 general practices responded to call for EOI supporting continuance to a full trial within 2 months (<i>green</i>).^α 8 practices were retained throughout the intervention period (<i>green</i>). Note: there was one dropout in the first two weeks of the trial and this practice was replaced.
Intervention is implemented as planned; that is, audit and feedback, addition of electronic	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Exploration of implementation fidelity during practice staff and patient interviews 	<p>If all core intervention components (audit, prompts, reminders) have been delivered by >75% of practices, then likely to proceed to full trial (<i>green</i>) subject to review of qualitative data. If <50% have delivered components, then unlikely to proceed (<i>red</i>). If 50-</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 50-75% practices delivered core components (audit, prompts, verbal & written reminders) (<i>amber</i>)

<p>prompts and delivery of a reminder in any format, and receipt of intervention by eligible population of people with diabetes in participating practices</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Practice self-report during research support phone calls Percentage of eligible patients receiving intervention based on practice audit data 	<p>75% have delivered core components, then the TSC will consider the feasibility of proceeding (<i>amber</i>). The TSC will consider both the quantitative and qualitative data to judge whether the intervention is has been implemented.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Two practices did not implement prompts, therefore the TSC will consider the feasibility of proceeding (<i>amber</i>). 100% suitable patients received at least one reminder (phone call, letter or in person) (<i>green</i>)
<p>Intervention is acceptable to patients and practice staff</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Percentages of staff reporting acceptability of intervention on self-report questionnaire. Issues regarding acceptability of the intervention explored in qualitative interviews with staff and patients 	<p>If the intervention is acceptable to >75% practice staff, then likely to proceed to full trial subject to review of qualitative data (<i>green</i>). If intervention is acceptable to <50% staff, then unlikely to proceed (<i>red</i>). If the intervention is acceptable to 50-75% staff, then TSC will consider feasibility of proceeding (<i>amber</i>). The TSC will consider the quantitative and qualitative data and make an overall judgement on whether the intervention is acceptable.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> >75% practice staff who were involved in delivery (n=25) agreed the intervention was acceptable (average scale score=4.6) (<i>green</i>). Staff found it a worthwhile investment and useful as it equipped them to engage with patients (<i>green</i>). Patients considered the information leaflet to be clear. Staff felt most patients were grateful to receive the reminder phone call with a few patients who were '<i>negative</i>' or not interested. Patients interviewed were happy to get the call (<i>green</i>).

<p>Intervention has potential effect on screening uptake and demonstrates potential cost savings which are likely to outweigh the direct cost of implementing the intervention; specifically, the intervention 1) increases the absolute number of patients registered for diabetic retinopathy screening, 2) increases the absolute number of patients attending or intending to attend screening, measured descriptively</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number registered for diabetic retinopathy screening based on practice audit data • Number attending or intending to attend based on practice audit data • Potential savings calculated based on cost of delivering intervention compared to control, and absolute increases in number attending or intending to attend 	<p>The TSC will judge whether the intervention has some positive impact and demonstrates cost savings.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Most patients who were not registered at baseline in intervention (n=47/52, 89%) and control practices (n=22/25, 88%) were registered during the 6-month intervention period (<i>green</i>). • 40% of non-attenders at baseline (marked suitable by practices to receive reminders) had either attended (31%, n=22), contacted RetinaScreen (10%, n=5) or were intending to attend (14%, n=7). In control practices 17% (n=15) non-attenders at baseline had attended by the end of the 6-month period (<i>green</i>). • The total cost of the intervention as delivered across the four intervention practices (patients=363) for the period was €2,509, averaging €627 per practice and €6.91 per audited patient (<i>green</i>). • Although we cannot demonstrate impact and cost savings, there is some indication the intervention has an effect which may lead to benefits downstream as complications and the requirement for
---	---	--	--

treatment is advertised in patients who attend screening.

*Continuation criteria are in place to facilitate the Trial Steering Committee (TSC) to assess whether it would be viable to progress to a full trial, and whether this could be done following modifications to the trial protocol⁵. Continuation to the full trial will not occur unless problems can be overcome. Continuation criteria may be adapted, and supplementary data may be used to facilitate decision-making about whether to proceed to a full trial even when criteria have not been met.

[¶]An upper limit of 50 practices was specified based on sample size calculations (see Supplemental File 2).

[¶]A total of 60 practices expressed an interest in the 2-month period after the study was first advertised. We followed up with all practices to seek consent to hold their details and potentially contact them in future in relation to a full scale trial.