Appendix 1

CRISP Round 1 Delphi

Thank you for agreeing to be a panel member for the CRISP Delphi study. We value the contribution you are making to the development of CRISP - Consensus Reporting Items for Studies in Primary Care.

This is Round 1 of the Delphi survey and it will take approximately 30 minutes to complete. You will be shown each reporting item and asked to answer questions about whether it should be included in the CRISP guidance. You will also be given an opportunity to a rationale for your answer and suggest any potential rewording of statements.

Please do not share your link, this link and survey are your own unique to you.

**Could you please complete Round 1 by 25th June 2021?** If you are having trouble meeting that deadline, please email us to discuss.

After you have completed the survey, you will be emailed a copy of your responses. In about 4 weeks, you will be sent Round 2 of the Delphi survey.

Q1 Include “primary care” in the title, abstract and/or keywords, or a discipline specific term (e.g. general practice, family medicine).

In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

- [ ] Yes (1)
- [ ] No (2)
- [ ] Unable to answer (3)

Display This Question:
If Include “primary care” in the title, abstract and/or keywords, or a discipline specific term (e.g... = Yes
Q2 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

- Required  (1)
- Recommended  (2)
- Unsure  (3)

Q3 Please give a reason for your answer.


Q4
Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?
Include “primary care” in the title, abstract and/or keywords, or a discipline specific term (e.g. general practice, family medicine).


Q7 Use person-first language (e.g., person with diabetes, not diabetic)
In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

- Yes  (1)
- No  (2)
- Unable to answer  (3)

Display This Question:
If Use person-first language (e.g., person with diabetes, not diabetic) In your opinion, do you th... = Yes
Q8 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

- Required (1)
- Recommended (2)
- Unsure (3)

Q9 Please give a reason for your answer.

______________________________________________________________________________

Q10 Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?

Use person-first language (e.g., person with diabetes, not diabetic)

______________________________________________________________________________

Q11 Describe the origin of the research question and how it relates to patient care and primary care practice.

In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)
- Unable to answer (3)

Display This Question:
If Describe the origin of the research question and how it relates to patient care and primary care... = Yes
Q12 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

- Required (1)
- Recommended (2)
- Unsure (3)

Q13 Please give a reason for your answer.

________________________________________________________________

Q14 Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement? 

*Describe the origin of the research question and how it relates to patient care and primary care practice.*

________________________________________________________________

Q15 Describe the importance of the issue under study (e.g., problem, disease, diagnosis or illness) within the PC setting.

In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)
- Unable to answer (3)

*Display This Question:*

If *Describe the importance of the issue under study (e.g., problem, disease, diagnosis or illness) within the PC setting.* = Yes
Q16 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

- Required (1)
- Recommended (2)
- Unsure (3)

Q17 Please give a reason for your answer.

________________________________________________________________

Q18 Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?

**Describe the importance of the issue under study (e.g., problem, disease, diagnosis or illness) within the PC setting.**

________________________________________________________________

Q19 Describe the professional backgrounds of members of the research team and their experience in PC.

In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)
- Unable to answer (3)
Q20 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

- Required (1)
- Recommended (2)
- Unsure (3)

Q21 Please give a reason for your answer.
________________________________________________________________

Q22 Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?

Describe the professional backgrounds of members of the research team and their experience in PC.
________________________________________________________________

Q23 Describe how PC practicing clinicians were involved throughout the research process.

In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)
- Unable to answer (3)

Display This Question:
If Describe how PC practicing clinicians were involved throughout the research process. In your op... = Yes
Q24 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

- Required (1)
- Recommended (2)
- Unsure (3)

Q25 Please give a reason for your answer.

---

Q26 Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement? Describe how PC practicing clinicians were involved throughout the research process.

---

Q27 Describe how PC patients and/or community members were involved throughout the research process.

In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)
- Unable to answer (3)
Q28 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

○ Required (1)
○ Recommended (2)
○ Unsure (3)

Q29 Please give a reason for your answer.
________________________________________________________________

Q30 Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement? Describe how PC patients and/or community members were involved throughout the research process.
________________________________________________________________

Q31 Describe the relationships between researchers and treating clinicians/team members and how relationships might influence the process and outcomes of the research. In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

○ Yes (1)
○ No (2)
○ Unable to answer (3)

Display This Question:
If Describe the relationships between researchers and treating clinicians/team members and how relat... = Yes
Q32 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

- Required (1)
- Recommended (2)
- Unsure (3)

Q33 Please give a reason for your answer.

________________________________________________________________

Q34 Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement? Describe the relationships between researchers and treating clinicians/team members and how relationships might influence the process and outcomes of the research.

________________________________________________________________

Q35 Describe the research processes and how they might influence validity, transferability and scalability across PC settings (e.g. recruitment, incentives, support for the intervention in a research setting)

In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)
- Unable to answer (3)
Q36 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

- Required (1)
- Recommended (2)
- Unsure (3)

Q37 Please give a reason for your answer.

________________________________________________________________

Q38 Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?
Describe the research processes and how they might influence validity, transferability and scalability across PC settings (e.g. recruitment, incentives, support for the intervention in a research setting)

________________________________________________________________

Q39 Practice team - Describe the composition and organization of teams delivering patient care.

In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)
- Unable to answer (3)
Display This Question:

If Practice team - Describe the composition and organization of teams delivering patient care. In... = Yes

Q40 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

- Required (1)
- Recommended (2)
- Unsure (3)

Q41 Please give a reason for your answer.

________________________________________________________________

Q42
Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?
Practice team - Describe the composition and organization of teams delivering patient care.

________________________________________________________________

Q43 Practice context - Describe PC clinicians by profession, specialty, training and certification.

In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)
- Unable to answer (3)
Display This Question:
If Practice context - Describe PC clinicians by profession, specialty, training and certification. ... = Yes

Q44 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

○ Required (1)
○ Recommended (2)
○ Unsure (3)

Q45 Please give a reason for your answer.
________________________________________________________________

Q46 Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?
Practice context - Describe PC clinicians by profession, specialty, training and certification.
________________________________________________________________

Q47 If clinicians are an object of study, report separate groups separately or give rationale for aggregating different types of clinicians.

In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

○ Yes (1)
○ No (2)
○ Unable to answer (3)
If clinicians are an object of study, report separate groups separately or give rationale for aggregating different types of clinicians. = Yes

Q48 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

- Required (1)
- Recommended (2)
- Unsure (3)

Q49 Please give a reason for your answer.

Q50 Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?
If clinicians are an object of study, report separate groups separately or give rationale for aggregating different types of clinicians.

Q51 Describe the clustering of patients, clinicians, teams and clinics and how it is addressed in the analysis.

In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)
- Unable to answer (3)
Q52 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

- Required (1)
- Recommended (2)
- Unsure (3)

Q53 Please give a reason for your answer.

Q54 Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?

Describe the clustering of patients, clinicians, teams and clinics and how it is addressed in the analysis.

Q55 Describe the patients and populations in sufficient detail to allow comparison to other PC patient populations, including multimorbidities; inequity, vulnerability.

In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)
- Unable to answer (3)
Q56 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

- Required (1)
- Recommended (2)
- Unsure (3)

Q57 Please give a reason for your answer.

________________________________________________________________

Q58 Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?

Describe the patients and populations in sufficient detail to allow comparison to other PC patient populations, including multimorbidities; inequity, vulnerability.

________________________________________________________________

Q59 Report if the clinical problem studied is a new, continuing, recurrent or chronic/persistent problem.

In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)
- Unable to answer (3)
Q60 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

- Required (1)
- Recommended (2)
- Unsure (3)

Q61 Please give a reason for your answer.

________________________________________________________________

Q62 Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?

Report if the clinical problem studied is a new, continuing, recurrent or chronic/persistent problem.

________________________________________________________________

Q63 Specify if the focus is on single clinical encounters or on a longitudinal course of care for a clinical problem (e.g., episode of illness, episode of care)

In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)
- Unable to answer (3)
Q64 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

- Required (1)
- Recommended (2)
- Unsure (3)

Q65 Please give a reason for your answer.

________________________________________________________________

Q66 Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?  
**Specify if the focus is on single clinical encounters or on a longitudinal course of care for a clinical problem (e.g., episode of illness, episode of care)**

________________________________________________________________

Q67 Specify the focus of study as: a problem (e.g., headache)  
disease/diagnosis (e.g., migraine)  
illness (e.g., person living with migraines)  
In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)
- Unable to answer (3)
Q68 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

- Required (1)
- Recommended (2)
- Unsure (3)

Q69 Please give a reason for your answer.

Q70 Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?

Specify the focus of study as: a problem (e.g., headache) disease/diagnosis (e.g., migraine) illness (e.g., person living with migraines)

Q71 Describe the relationships between study patients and clinicians/teams, including, the definition used and method for classifying patients.
- New or established patient.
- Continuity patient. (Does the patient receive most of their care over time from the clinician?)
- Referred or primary patient. (Was the patient referred to the clinician for a consultation?)

In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?
Q72 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)
- Unable to answer (3)

Q73 Please give a reason for your answer.

________________________________________________________________

Q74 Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?

Describe the relationships between study patients and clinicians/teams, including, the definition used and method for classifying patients.

- New or established patient.
- Continuity patient. (Does the patient receive most of their care over time from the clinician?)
- Referred or primary patient. (Was the patient referred to the clinician for a consultation?)

________________________________________________________________
Q75
If a single disease is being studied, address the limitations and how multi-morbidity might affect interpretation of study findings.
In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)
- Unable to answer (3)

Display This Question:
If if a single disease is being studied, address the limitations and how multi-morbidity might affect interpretation of study findings. = Yes

Q76 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

- Required (1)
- Recommended (2)
- Unsure (3)

Q77 Please give a reason for your answer.

Q78
Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?
If a single disease is being studied, address the limitations and how multi-morbidity might affect interpretation of study findings.
Q79
Describe the national and local healthcare system to allow comparison to other systems, such as:
- Access to care
- Organization of primary care
- Payment system
- Universal care or coverage
- Self referral to consultants
- Drug coverage
- Any characteristics likely different than the setting for most readers.

In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)
- Unable to answer (3)

Display This Question:
If Describe the national and local healthcare system to allow comparison to other systems, such as... = Yes

Q80 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

- Required (1)
- Recommended (2)
- Unsure (3)

Q81 Please give a reason for your answer.
________________________________________________________________

Q82
Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?
Describe the national and local healthcare system to allow comparison to other systems, such as:
- Access to care
- Organization of primary care
- Payment system
- Universal care or coverage
coverage- Self referral to consultants- Drug coverage- Any characteristics likely different than the setting for most readers.

Q83
Describe clinical interventions in detail sufficient to allow readers to judge applicability to a variety of PC settings, including resources required, implementation, sustainability, challenges faced, solutions adopted.
In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

○ Yes (1)
○ No (2)
○ Unable to answer (3)

Display This Question:
If Describe clinical interventions in detail sufficient to allow readers to judge applicability to a variety of PC settings, including resources required, implementation, sustainability, challenges faced, solutions adopted. = Yes

Q84 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

○ Required (1)
○ Recommended (2)
○ Unsure (3)

Q85 Please give a reason for your answer.
Q86
Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?
Describe clinical interventions in detail sufficient to allow readers to judge applicability to a variety of PC settings, including resources required, implementation, sustainability, challenges faced, solutions adopted.

Q87
Describe study interventions in detail sufficient to allow reader to replicate the research.
In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

☐ Yes (1)
☐ No (2)
☐ Unable to answer (3)

Display This Question:
If Describe study interventions in detail sufficient to allow reader to replicate the research. In... = Yes

Q88 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

☐ Required (1)
☐ Recommended (2)
☐ Unsure (3)

Q89 Please give a reason for your answer.

________________________________________________________________________
Q90
Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?
Describe study interventions in detail sufficient to allow reader to replicate the research.

Q91
Describe implementation strategies used to encourage adoption of the intervention into routine PC clinical care.
In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

☐ Yes (1)
☐ No (2)
☐ Unable to answer (3)

Display This Question:
If Describe implementation strategies used to encourage adoption of the intervention into routine PC... = Yes

Q92 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

☐ Required (1)
☐ Recommended (2)
☐ Unsure (3)

Q93 Please give a reason for your answer.

__________________________________________________________________________
Q94
Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?
Describe implementation strategies used to encourage adoption of the intervention into routine PC clinical care.

Q95
Describe if and how measures have been validated in PC settings.
In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)
- Unable to answer (3)

Q96 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

- Required (1)
- Recommended (2)
- Unsure (3)

Q97 Please give a reason for your answer.
Q98
Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?
Describe if and how measures have been validated in PC settings.

Q99
Describe how measures are relevant to PC patients and PC patient care.
In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

○ Yes  (1)
○ No  (2)
○ Unable to answer  (3)

Display This Question:
If Describe how measures are relevant to PC patients and PC patient care. In your opinion, do you t... = Yes

Q100 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

○ Required  (1)
○ Recommended  (2)
○ Unsure  (3)

Q101 Please give a reason for your answer.
Q102
Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?
Describe how measures are relevant to PC patients and PC patient care.

Q103
Report or translate measures into forms useful in PC patient care.
In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)
- Unable to answer (3)

Display This Question:
If Report or translate measures into forms useful in PC patient care. In your opinion, do you think... = Yes

Q104 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

- Required (1)
- Recommended (2)
- Unsure (3)

Q105 Please give a reason for your answer.
Q106
Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?
Report or translate measures into forms useful in PC patient care.

Q107 Report strength of recommendations.

In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)
- Unable to answer (3)

Display This Question:
If Report strength of recommendations. In your opinion, do you think this statement should be incl... = Yes

Q108 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

- Required (1)
- Recommended (2)
- Unsure (3)

Q109 Please give a reason for your answer.

__________________________________________________________________________
Q110
Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?
**Report strength of recommendations.**

Q111 **Discuss implications of study findings for research, patient care, education and policy with specific focus on primary care.**

In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)
- Unable to answer (3)

**Display This Question:**
If Discuss implications of study findings for research, patient care, education and policy with spec... = Yes

Q112 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

- Required (1)
- Recommended (2)
- Unsure (3)

Q113 Please give a reason for your answer.
Q114
Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?

Discuss implications of study findings for research, patient care, education and policy with specific focus on primary care.

________________________________________________________________

Q115

Discuss the impact of any recommendations on competing demands in PC practice.

In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

☐ Yes  (1)
☐ No  (2)
☐ Unable to answer  (3)

Display This Question:
If Discuss the impact of any recommendations on competing demands in PC practice. In your opinion,... = Yes

Q116 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

☐ Required  (1)
☐ Recommended  (2)
☐ Unsure  (3)

Q117 Please give a reason for your answer.

______________________________________________________________________________
Q118
Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?
Discuss the impact of any recommendations on competing demands in PC practice.

Q6 That completes the review of the potential statements.
Are there any other comments you would like to make?
Appendix 2

CRISP Round 2 Delphi

Thank you for your continuing involvement as a panel member for the CRISP Delphi study. We value the contribution you are making to the development of CRISP - Consensus Reporting Items for Studies in Primary Care.

Thank you for the high quality and useful feedback, suggestions, and comments provided in Round 1. We are grateful for the time and consideration you have given to this project.

This is Round 2 of the Delphi survey and it will take approximately 30 minutes to complete. You have been provided with a PDF copy of your responses in Round 1 - this was emailed to you last week.

Please note the following changes to the survey based on the feedback from Round 1:
1. All items are to be considered by researchers if applicable. For brevity, we do not state 'if relevant' or 'if applicable' in each statement, but this is implied.
2. We have made several revisions to wording of reporting items.
3. We have added two new items. These are specified.
4. We have combined certain items into a single statement. These are specified.
5. We have removed any statements with <50% agreement for inclusion (unless the item was re-worded).

You will again be shown each revised reporting item and asked to answer questions about whether it should be included in the CRISP guidance. You will also be given an opportunity to a rationale for your answer and suggest any potential rewording of statements if necessary.

Please do not share your link, this link and survey are your own unique to you.

Could you please complete Round 2 by Monday 23 August 2021? If you are having trouble meeting that deadline, please email us to discuss.

After you have completed the survey, you will be emailed a copy of your responses. A third round of the Delphi may or may not be needed. We will be guided by the responses in Round 2 and the CRISP Working Group agreement.

Q1
Original statement: Include “primary care” in the title, abstract and/or keywords, or a discipline specific term (e.g., general practice, family medicine).
97% of participants voted “Yes” for this to be included in CRISP guidance.

Comments:
• Makes it easier to search, find and judge the relevance of reports for readers and systematic
reviewers. Primary care is not yet a searchable MeSH term.
• Recognizes that PC practice and research are different than many other areas.
• Promotes the contributions of PC to research, which is often hidden to readers.
  May take up space in titles, restricted by journal style.

Suggested re-wording: Include “primary care” and/or discipline-specific terms in the title, abstract, and keywords. (Discipline specific terms include general practice, family medicine, nursing, general internal medicine, general paediatrics, and other primary care team members.)

In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)
- Unable to answer (3)

Q2 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

- Required (1)
- Recommended (2)
- Unsure (3)

Q3 Please give a reason for your answer.

Q4 Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?
Include “primary care” and/or discipline-specific terms in the title, abstract, and keywords. (Discipline specific terms include general practice, family medicine, nursing, general internal medicine, general paediatrics, and other PC team members.)

Q7

**Original statement:** Use person-first language (e.g., person with diabetes, not diabetic) 82% of participants voted “Yes” for this to be included in CRISP guidance.

**Comments:**

- Encourages a respectful move away from disease-oriented to patient-centered language.
- Person-centered is a fundamental principle of primary care practice and research.
  - Some groups have other preferences for what they want to be called.

**Suggested re-wording:** Use person-focused language to refer to populations and participants in the research. (Examples include person with diabetes, not diabetics; person living with HIV; other language preferred by the affected group.)

In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)
- Unable to answer (3)

**Display This Question:**

If Original statement: Use person-first language (e.g., person with diabetes, not diabetic) 82% of p... = Yes

Q8 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

- Required (1)
- Recommended (2)
- Unsure (3)
Q9 Please give a reason for your answer.

________________________________________________________________

Q10
Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?

**Use person-focused language to refer to populations and participants in the research.**
(Examples include person with diabetes, not diabetics; person living with HIV; other language preferred by the affected group.)

________________________________________________________________

Q11

**Original statement:** Describe the origin of the research question and how it relates to patient care and primary care practice.

70% of participants voted “Yes” for this to be included in CRISP guidance.

**Comments:**
Many did not understand or had reservations about calling for “origin” of the research questions.

- Helps ensure that research is grounded in primary care.
- Helps assess relevance to primary care and usefulness in patient care.
- It is already in most introduction sections.

**Suggested re-wording:** Explain the rationale for the research question and how it relates to primary care.

In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)
- Unable to answer (3)
Q12 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

- Required (1)
- Recommended (2)
- Unsure (3)

Q13 Please give a reason for your answer.

__________________________________________________________________

Q14 Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?

**Explain the rationale for the research question and how it relates to primary care.**

__________________________________________________________________

Q15
**Original statement:** Describe the importance of the issue under study (e.g., problem, disease, diagnosis, or illness) within the PC setting.

82% of participants voted “Yes” for this to be included in CRISP guidance.

**Comments:**

Many had difficulty separating the origin-rationale-importance of research questions from the clinical importance of the topic-problem under study.

- A topic that may be important in some context may not be so important in primary care.
- Helps the reader assess the context, importance, and scope of the problem being researched.
- “Importance” can be quite subjective.

**Suggested re-wording:**

Describe the magnitude or importance of the topic under study in the primary care setting.

*Examples: disease prevalence, burden of suffering, disability*
In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)
- Unable to answer (3)

**Display This Question:**

If Original statement: Describe the importance of the issue under study (e.g., problem, disease, diagnosis) = Yes

Q16 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

- Required (1)
- Recommended (2)
- Unsure (3)

Q17 Please give a reason for your answer.

________________________________________________________________

Q18

Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?

**Describe the magnitude or importance of the topic under study in the primary care setting.**

(Examples: disease prevalence, burden of suffering, disability)

________________________________________________________________

Q19

**Original statement:** Describe the professional backgrounds of members of the research team and their experience in PC.

56% of participants voted “Yes” for this to be included in CRISP guidance.
Comments:
Many questioned the inclusion of “experience in PC,” worried that it would exclude early career people, non-clinicians, and researchers outside of PC. There did not seem to be a pattern in responses from different groups of participants.
- Transparency and reflexivity are important in all research, not just qualitative work.
- PC background is important for relevance and validity of the research.
  - This risks elitism and hierarchy and might discriminate against early career people, non-clinicians, and researchers outside of PC.
  - Excellent research can come from investigators with varied backgrounds, not necessarily clinical PC experience.

Suggested re-wording:
Describe the backgrounds of members of the research team and the team’s familiarity with primary care.

In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)
- Unable to answer (3)

Display This Question:

If Original statement: Describe the professional backgrounds of members of the research team and the... = Yes

Q20 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

- Required (1)
- Recommended (2)
- Unsure (3)

Q21 Please give a reason for your answer.

__________________________________________________________________________
Q22
Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?
Describe the backgrounds of members of the research team and the team's familiarity with primary care.

Q23 Please note: Questions 23, 27 and 31 from Round 1 have been combined.

Original statement 23: Describe how PC practicing clinicians were involved throughout the research process. - 62% voted "Yes"
Comments: • Helps assure relevance of research to real world settings. • Practice in PC is important for PC research and often service, training and research go hand in hand. o Practicing clinicians are not the only people who can be valuable research partners.

Original statement 27: Describe how PC patients and/or community members were involved throughout the research process. - 78% voted "Yes"
Comments: • It’s inconceivable that patients/consumers should not be involved in PC research if we aim to be patient-centered and community-oriented. • Expecting this to be reported for all studies will encourage more authentic involvement of patients as important partners. o Despite a valuable trend in this direction, it will require further culture change and not all investigators are ready. o Not all types of research need or are appropriate for patient involvement.

Original statement 31: Describe the relationships between researchers and treating clinicians/team members and how relationships might influence the process and outcomes of the research. - 50% voted "Yes"
Comments: Many felt this concept is unclear or difficult to operationalize. May not apply to all study types, mostly intervention studies. “Relationships” is ambiguous. Not specific to PC research. • It is essential to know how such relationships might influence study outcomes. • Important for quality appraisal and assessment of risk of bias. o Should already be covered under ethics approval and disclosure of COI. o Relationships and influences are hard to define.

Suggested rewording of the combined statement is:
Describe how primary care patients, practicing clinicians, community members, and other stakeholders were involved in the research process.
In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)
- Unable to answer (3)

Q24 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

- Required (1)
- Recommended (2)
- Unsure (3)

Q25 Please give a reason for your answer.

_____________________________________________________________

Q26 Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement? **Describe how primary care patients, practicing clinicians, community members, and other stakeholders were involved in the research process.**

_____________________________________________________________

Q35 **Original statement:** Describe the research processes and how they might influence validity, transferability, and scalability across PC settings (e.g., recruitment, incentives, support for the intervention in a research setting)

83% of participants voted “Yes” for this to be included in CRISP guidance.

Comments:
• This item prioritises trials over other types of primary care research
• This is not applicable for qualitative research
• Essential for rigor and external validity

Suggested rewording: Describe the research processes and how these might influence the validity, generalizability, and applicability of the study findings for primary care practice. (Examples: recruitment, incentives, implementation, study supports not routinely available in practice.)

In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)
- Unable to answer (3)

Q36 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

- Required (1)
- Recommended (2)
- Unsure (3)

Q37 Please give a reason for your answer.

Q38 Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?

Describe the research processes and how these might influence the validity, generalizability,
and applicability of the study findings for primary care practice. (Examples: recruitment, incentives, implementation, study supports not routinely available in practice.)

39

**Original statement:** Describe the composition and organisation of teams delivering patient care.

59% of participants voted “Yes” for this to be included in CRISP guidance.

**Comments:**
- Teams are important for PC delivery but not necessarily for research
- Will help to understand the research in context
- Will assist with transferability
- Researchers wouldn’t know this information and would just “guess”

**Suggested rewording:** Describe the membership, roles and collaboration of the team delivering primary care to the patient.

In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)
- Unable to answer (3)

---

**Display This Question:**

**If Original statement: Describe the composition and organisation of teams delivering patient care. ... = Yes**

Q40 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

- Required (1)
- Recommended (2)
- Unsure (3)
Q41 Please give a reason for your answer.

Q42
Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?
Describe the membership, roles and collaboration of the team delivering primary care to the patient.

Q43
Original statement: Describe PC clinicians by profession, specialty, training, and certification. 57% of participants voted “Yes” for this to be included in CRISP guidance.

Comments:
Very mixed responses- many thought too much emphasis on credentials, and too medical focus. This question is not about practice context it is about clinician characteristics. Both are probably important / relevant but this seems conflated.
• Helps the reader to contextualise the research as what primary care clinicians do varies by country
• This is important but may read as “20 bios for doctors in the clinic”
  o This overemphasises qualifications rather than experience
  o This is too much detail for a research report

Suggested rewording:
For each category of clinician, report profession, specialty, and qualifications. (Examples: physicians, certified family physicians; nurses, nurse practitioners; Registered Dieticians; masters degree social workers.)

In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)
- Unable to answer (3)
Display This Question:
If Original statement: Describe PC clinicians by profession, specialty, training, and certification,... = Yes

Q44 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

- Required (1)
- Recommended (2)
- Unsure (3)

Q45 Please give a reason for your answer.

Q46 Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?
For each category of clinician, report profession, specialty, and qualifications. (Examples: physicians, certified family physicians; nurses, nurse practitioners; Registered Dieticians; masters degree social workers.)

Q47
Original statement: If clinicians are an object of study, report separate groups separately or give rationale for aggregating different types of clinicians. 64% of participants voted “Yes” for this to be included in CRISP guidance.

Comments:
Most participants commented that this was a reasonable and important expectation, and a few said they did not understand the concept.
- This is useful, it makes the researcher be thoughtful about how they aggregate
- This would be helpful for future meta-analyses
  - This is only useful if the purpose of the study is to compare clinician groups; may not be relevant in qualitative research

Suggested rewording: Report categories of clinicians separately or provide a rationale for aggregating different groups.
In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)
- Unable to answer (3)

Display This Question:

If Original statement: If clinicians are an object of study, report separate groups separately or gi... = Yes

Q48 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

- Required (1)
- Recommended (2)
- Unsure (3)

Q49 Please give a reason for your answer.

______________________________________________________________

Q50
Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?

Report categories of clinicians separately or provide a rationale for aggregating different groups.

______________________________________________________________

Q51
Original statement: Describe the clustering of patients, clinicians, teams, and clinics and how it
is addressed in the analysis.
71% of participants voted “Yes” for this to be included in CRISP guidance.

Comments:
Most participants felt that the term “clustering” is only relevant for quantitative analysis and only in clinical trials. Some people said that they did not understand the statement; and others felt this was always happening in research. A few people noted that they saw this as a common flaw in PC research reporting.

• This is a common oversight in PC research reports and should be included in guidance
  o Clustering is the wrong word and too prescriptive, and only used in quantitative data
  o This is only important for clinical trials

Suggested rewording: Describe any grouping of patients, clinicians, teams, or clinical settings, and how it is addressed in the analysis.

In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

☐ Yes (1)
☐ No (2)
☐ Unable to answer (3)

Display This Question:
If Original statement: Describe the clustering of patients, clinicians, teams, and clinics and how it is addressed in the analysis = Yes

Q52 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

☐ Required (1)
☐ Recommended (2)
☐ Unsure (3)
Q53 Please give a reason for your answer.

________________________________________________________________

Q54 Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?

Describe any grouping of patients, clinicians, teams, or clinical settings, and how it is addressed in the analysis.

________________________________________________________________

Q55

Original statement: Describe the patients and populations in sufficient detail to allow comparison to other PC patient populations, including multimorbidities; inequity, vulnerability. 89% of participants voted “Yes” for this to be included in CRISP guidance.

Comments:
Many thought this was important but hard to report and it was frequently assumed to be standard practice
• Add educational level and ethnicity as these are important indicators for health and often forgotten in research
• Provide specific examples: income; education; ethnicity/racialisation; sexual orientation; gender; migration status; etc
• Remove ‘vulnerability’ as covered by other terms

Suggested rewording: Describe the patients and populations in sufficient detail to allow comparison to other primary care patient populations. (Examples: demographics, multimorbidities, social determinants of health, inequities.)

In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

☐ Yes (1)
☐ No (2)
☐ Unable to answer (3)
Q56 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

- Required (1)
- Recommended (2)
- Unsure (3)

Q57 Please give a reason for your answer.

________________________________________________________________________

Q58 Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?

Describe the patients and populations in sufficient detail to allow comparison to other primary care patient populations. (Examples: demographics, multimorbidities, social determinants of health, inequities.)

________________________________________________________________________

Q59 Original statement: Report if the clinical problem studied is a new, continuing, recurrent or chronic/persistent problem.

49% of participants voted “Yes” for this to be included in CRISP guidance.

Comments:
- Too prescriptive and not relevant to all work
- What is the difference between continuing and chronic? Having clear definitions will be important here

Suggested rewording: In studies of patients with a clinical condition, specify if it is acute or chronic, new or recurrent.
In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)
- Unable to answer (3)

Display This Question:
If Original statement: Report if the clinical problem studied is a new, continuing, recurrent or chronic... = Yes

Q60 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

- Required (1)
- Recommended (2)
- Unsure (3)

Q61 Please give a reason for your answer.

Q62
Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?
In studies on patients with a clinical condition, specify if it is acute or chronic, new or recurrent.

Q63
Original statement: Specify if the focus is on single clinical encounters or on a longitudinal course of care for a clinical problem (e.g., episode of illness, episode of care)
66% of participants voted “Yes” for this to be included in CRISP guidance.

Comments:

• Consider use of term ‘problem’, it can be derogatory.
There were very few suggestions for re-wording.

Suggested rewording:
Specify if the study focus is single clinical encounters or longitudinal courses of care for a clinical condition (e.g., episode of care vs. episode of illness)

In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

☐ Yes (1)
☐ No (2)
☐ Unable to answer (3)

Display This Question:
If Original statement: Specify if the focus is on single clinical encounters or on a longitudinal co... = Yes

Q64 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

☐ Required (1)
☐ Recommended (2)
☐ Unsure (3)

Q65 Please give a reason for your answer.

________________________________________________________________________
Q66
Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?
*Specify if the study focus is single clinical encounters or longitudinal courses of care for a clinical condition (e.g., episode of care vs. episode of illness)*

Q71
**Original statement:** Describe the relationships between study patients and clinicians/teams, including, the definition used and method for classifying patients. - New or established patient. - Continuity patient. (Does the patient receive most of their care over time from the clinician?) - Referred or primary patient. (Was the patient referred to the clinician for a consultation?)

57% of participants voted “Yes” for this to be included in CRISP guidance.

**Comments:**
Many comments along the lines of ‘no rewording suggestions but not happy with stem and recommend revision’

- Could be helpful but largely not relevant, too complex, too long, not sure how this helps
- Drop the words 'or primary patient'

**Suggested rewording:** Specify if study patients have existing relationships with the clinicians/teams or are new patients.

In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)
- Unable to answer (3)
Q72 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

- Required (1)
- Recommended (2)
- Unsure (3)

Q73 Please give a reason for your answer.

________________________________________________________________

Q74 Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?

Specify if study patients have existing relationships with the clinicians/teams or are new patients.

________________________________________________________________

Q75

**Original statement:** If a single disease is being studied, address the limitations and how multi-morbidity might affect interpretation of study findings. 85% of participants voted “Yes” for this to be included in CRISP guidance.

**Comments:**
- Useful question but only relevant to clinical research

**Suggested rewording:**
If clinical research focuses on a single disease, report if multi-morbidity is present and how it might affect interpretation of the study findings

In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?
Q76 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

☐ Required (1)

☐ Recommended (2)

☐ Unsure (3)

Q77 Please give a reason for your answer.

________________________________________________________________

Q78 Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?

*If clinical research focuses on a single disease, report if multi-morbidity is present and how it might affect interpretation of the study findings*

________________________________________________________________

Q79

**Original statement:** Describe the national and local healthcare system to allow comparison to other systems, such as: - Access to care - Organization of primary care - Payment system - Universal care or coverage - Self referral to consultants - Drug coverage - Any characteristics likely different than the setting for most readers.
81% of participants voted “Yes” for this to be included in CRISP guidance.

Comments:
All "No" responses, except for one, were from North American participants, but not all North American participants voted "No".
- Journal level summaries would be better – for example for the national primary care journals
- Necessary for understanding context and how to apply in own setting
- Good for international audiences
- Only some are required: payment system/universal coverage and maybe self-referrals
- It is ALWAYS required for Latin American and African studies. It must be equal to all countries.

Suggested rewording:
Describe the healthcare system in sufficient detail to allow comparisons to other systems.
(Examples: access to care, organisation of primary care, payment system, universal care or coverage, patient self-referral to consultants, payment of medication, technology including electronic health records, others)
In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

☐ Yes (1)
☐ No (2)
☐ Unable to answer (3)

Display This Question:
If Original statement: Describe the national and local healthcare system to allow comparison to other systems = Yes

Q80 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

☐ Required (1)
☐ Recommended (2)
☐ Unsure (3)
Q81 Please give a reason for your answer.

Q82
Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?
Describe the healthcare system in sufficient detail to allow comparisons to other systems. (Examples: access to care, organisation of primary care, payment system, universal care or coverage, patient self-referral to consultants, payment of medication, technology including electronic health records, others)

Q83
Please note: Items 83, 87 and 91 from Round 1 have been combined.

Original statement 83: Describe clinical interventions in detail sufficient to allow readers to judge applicability to a variety of PC settings, including resources required, implementation, sustainability, challenges faced, solutions adopted. 88% of participants voted “Yes” for this to be included in CRISP guidance.
Comments: • TIDIER and ULTRA already do this. • Only required for complex interventions. • Word limits make this difficult.

Original statement 87: Describe study interventions in detail sufficient to allow reader to replicate the research. 86 % of participants voted “Yes” for this to be included in CRISP guidance.
Comments: • Word limits are problematic, could use an appendix • Too similar to the previous statement – and previous one is preferred. • This is already covered by CONSORT and TIDIER

Original statement 91: Describe implementation strategies used to encourage adoption of the intervention into routine PC clinical care. 70% of participants voted “Yes” for this to be included in CRISP guidance.
Comments: • CRISP is too focused on intervention research. • Overlap with TIDIER • Important and relevant for knowledge translation • Would be beneficial for “implementation research” but not all PC research is focused on this

The suggested rewording of the combined statement is:
Describe interventions and their implementation in sufficient detail to allow readers to judge applicability to routine practice in a variety of primary care settings. (Examples: resources required, sustainability, challenges faced, solutions adopted.)
In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)
- Unable to answer (3)

Display This Question:
If Please note: Items 83, 87 and 91 from Round 1 have been combined. Original statement 83: Descr... = Yes

Q84 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

- Required (1)
- Recommended (2)
- Unsure (3)

Q85 Please give a reason for your answer.

________________________________________________________________

Q86 Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?
Describe interventions and their implementation in sufficient detail to allow readers to judge applicability to routine practice in a variety of primary care settings. (Examples: resources required, sustainability, challenges faced, solutions adopted.)

________________________________________________________________
Q95

**Original statement:** Describe if and how measures have been validated in PC settings. 85% of participants voted “Yes” for this to be included in CRISP guidance.

**Comments:**
- This information is fundamental to assess and improve the validity of study findings for application in PC.
- Will stimulate research to validate and develop new tools useful for PC research.
- Many accepted measures have not been validated and PC and are all we have available for use.

**Suggested rewording:**
Describe if and how study measures have been validated in primary care populations or settings.

In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)
- Unable to answer (3)

_Q96 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?_

- Required (1)
- Recommended (2)
- Unsure (3)

_Q97 Please give a reason for your answer._
Q98
Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?
Describe if and how study measures have been validated in primary care populations or settings.

Q99
Original statement: Describe how measures are relevant to PC patients and PC patient care. 73% of participants voted “Yes” for this to be included in CRISP guidance.

Comments:
• Relevance of measures and outcomes to patients is crucial to judging the validity of findings and their implications for PC.
• Validity of measures determines the validity of research.
  o Would not apply to research that is not about patient care outcomes.

Suggested rewording:
Describe how study outcome measures are meaningful to primary care patients and their care.

In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

  o Yes (1)
  o No (2)
  o Unable to answer (3)

Display This Question:
If Original statement: Describe how measures are relevant to PC patients and PC patient care. 73% of... = Yes
Q100 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

- Required (1)
- Recommended (2)
- Unsure (3)

Q101 Please give a reason for your answer.

________________________________________________________________________

Q102 Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?

Describe how study outcome measures are meaningful to primary care patients and their care.

________________________________________________________________________

Q103

Original statement: Report or translate measures into forms useful in PC patient care. 25% of participants voted “Yes” for this to be included in CRISP guidance.

Comments:
28% of respondents were unable to answer, suggesting difficulties with clarity of language or understanding item intent. Although only 25% of participants voted 'Yes', the higher number of non-responders led to this item being reworded with examples, rather than automatically excluded.

- Concept of “translate” was a stumbling block
- Would need examples.

Suggested rewording:
Report findings in forms useful to primary care clinicians and patients. (Examples: NNT – number needed to treat; absolute risks instead of just relative risks etc)
In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)
- Unable to answer (3)

Display This Question:

If Original statement: Report or translate measures into forms useful in PC patient care. 25% of par... = Yes

Q104 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

- Required (1)
- Recommended (2)
- Unsure (3)

Q105 Please give a reason for your answer.

________________________________________________________________

Q106 Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?

Report findings in forms useful to primary care clinicians and patients. (Examples: NNT – number needed to treat; absolute risks instead of just relative risks etc)

________________________________________________________________

Q111

Original statement: Discuss implications of study findings for research, patient care, education,
and policy with specific focus on primary care.
97% of participants voted “Yes” for this to be included in CRISP guidance.

Comments:
• This is an essential part of the research reporting.
• All parts may not be relevant to every research report.
• It should be in the discussion or conclusion.

Suggested rewording:
Discuss implications of study findings for research, patient care, education and policy with specific focus on primary care.

In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)
- Unable to answer (3)

Display This Question:
If Original statement: Discuss implications of study findings for research, patient care, education,... = Yes

Q112 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

- Required (1)
- Recommended (2)
- Unsure (3)

Q113 Please give a reason for your answer.
Q114
Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?
Discuss implications of study findings for research, patient care, education and policy with specific focus on primary care.

Q115
Original statement: Discuss the impact of any recommendations on competing demands in PC practice.
63% of participants voted “Yes” for this to be included in CRISP guidance.

Comments:
• It may be difficult to achieve within the research study; difficult to discuss within word limits.
• Too subjective (opinion not a finding) and would depend on the lens of the person who is answering the question (e.g. admin, clinician) and what the demands of PC are locally.
• This is uniquely a primary care concept and will help authors contextualise findings and recommendations.
• There was some confusion about the term "competing demands".

Suggested rewording:
Discuss the impacts of any recommendations on other demands and priorities in primary care practice.

In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)
- Unable to answer (3)
Q116 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

- Required (1)
- Recommended (2)
- Unsure (3)

Q117 Please give a reason for your answer.

________________________________________________________________

Q118 Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?

Discuss the impacts of any recommendations on other demands and priorities in primary care practice.

________________________________________________________________

Q121 Please note: this is a new item. It was suggested by participants in Round 1.

Identify the theory, model, or framework used and explain why it is appropriate to the research question in primary care.

In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?
Q122 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

- Required (1)
- Recommended (2)
- Unsure (3)

Q123 Please give a reason for your answer.

________________________________________________________________

Q124 Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?

*Identify the theory, model, or framework used and explain why it is appropriate to the research question in primary care*

________________________________________________________________

Q125

*Please note: this is a new item. It was suggested by participants in Round 1.*

When collecting and reporting personal characteristics of study participants, define, classify, and identify the characteristics. Describe the source of data and the rationale for inclusion.
In your opinion, do you think this statement should be included in formal guidance for the reporting of primary care research?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)
- Unable to answer (3)

Display This Question:
If Please note: this is a new item. It was suggested by participants in Round 1. When collecting on... = Yes

Q126 Do you think the item should be included as a REQUIRED item, or RECOMMENDED?

- Required (1)
- Recommended (2)
- Unsure (3)

Q127 Please give a reason for your answer.

Q128 Do you have any suggestions for improving the wording of this statement?
When collecting and reporting personal characteristics of study participants, define, classify, and identify the characteristics. Describe the source of data and the rationale for inclusion.
Q6 That completes Round 2 of the Delphi and review of the potential statements. Are there any other comments you would like to make?

________________________________________________________________

Q129
We would like to acknowledge your contributions, along with our other CRISP Delphi panel participants, but we need permission to list names in the published report of this study. Names will be listed alphabetically in the acknowledgment section of the academic publication of the Delphi survey.

Please indicate your permission to be acknowledged in the publication and how you would like your name to appear.

- Yes; I give permission to list my name as a Delphi panel participant in the acknowledgement section of academic publications. (1)

- No (2)

________________________________________________________________

Q131 Please list my name as: (name only please, no degrees, titles, positions)

________________________________________________________________

Q133 Please confirm your email. Your responses will be sent to this email for your records.

________________________________________________________________