Supplementary Data 1. Full search strategy for multi-database literature search.

Search Strategy:

All three searches used the same search terms and truncations. However, the three databases used a searched through a different method.

PubMed – Title/abstract EMBASE – All fields Cochrane Reviews – Title/abstract/keyword

Search terms used in all three databases

"subdural haematoma" OR "subdural hematoma" OR "subdural haemorrhage", "subdural hematoma"

AND

Outcome* OR Compar* OR Morbid* OR Mortality* OR Complication* OR reoccur* recur*

AND

Surg* OR operati* OR "non surgical" OR "non-surgical" OR "nonsurgical" OR "burrhole" OR "crani*"

AND

Old* OR frail* OR geri*OR elder*

Supplementary Data 2. Subgroup analysis of studies published before and after 2000.

Studies published before 2000 were hypothesised to report poorer outcomes than studies published after 2000 given advances in healthcare *a priori*. Steps taken to test this are detailed below using mortality at discharge as the outcome measure.

Study No.	Study, Year	Deaths at Discharge	Total
1	Wilberger 1991 ¹	23	28
2	Cagetti 1992 ²	23	26
3	Kotwica 1992 ³	23	27
4	Petridis 2009 ⁴	64	119
5	Taussky 2012 ⁵	13	37
6	Benedetto 2017 ⁶	37	67
7	Won 2017 ⁷	13	56
8	Monsivais 2018 8	47	112
9	Bus 2018 ⁹	44	84
10	Akbik 2019 ¹⁰	24	62
11	Trevisi 2020 11	51	147
12	Younsi 2020 12	9	27

Identifying influential/ outlying studies

R code output demonstrating studies in descending order with respect to their residual estimates. Studies with z-value > 1.5 were considered as potential outliers.

resid se z
2 0.4342 0.2127 2.0413
3 0.3859 0.2199 1.7548
1 0.3444 0.2254 1.5277
7 -0.3219 0.2179 -1.4774
11 -0.1899 0.2284 -0.8318
12 -0.1975 0.2440 -0.8096
5 -0.1800 0.2402 -0.7496
10 -0.1429 0.2372 -0.6026
8 -0.1084 0.2357 -0.4597
6 0.0357 0.2407 0.1484
4 0.0204 0.2380 0.0857
9 0.0050 0.2397 0.0210

Leave-one-out tests to ascertain whether outliers are influential

estimate zval pval ci.lb ci.ub Q Qp tau2 I2 H2
1 0.490632 12.417732 0.000000 0.367038 0.614786 73.368265 0.000000 0.038132 91.171885 11.327446
2 0.483338 13.222985 0.000000 0.368397 0.599144 67.141710 0.000000 0.032437 89.808784 9.812371
3 0.487247 12.750372 0.000000 0.367424 0.607788 70.593036 0.000000 0.035606 90.617619 10.658275
4 0.517500 11.346678 0.000000 0.377123 0.656538 86.566590 0.000000 0.049536 92.163460 12.760734
5 0.533819 11.975985 0.000000 0.397708 0.667514 86.933142 0.000000 0.046334 92.524143 13.376393
6 0.516182 11.374204 0.000000 0.376397 0.654740 87.156905 0.000000 0.049256 92.633846 13.575606
7 0.545648 13.087135 0.000000 0.419060 0.669424 74.186796 0.000000 0.039037 91.016112 11.131038
8 0.528963 11.623067 0.000000 0.389688 0.666066 87.768239 0.000000 0.048445 92.068686 12.608251
9 0.518889 11.377863 0.000000 0.378617 0.657719 88.032457 0.000000 0.049508 92.499065 13.331671
10 0.531523 11.784654 0.000000 0.393656 0.667077 87.254073 0.000000 0.047480 92.431292 13.212294
11 0.536202 12.018474 0.000000 0.400142 0.669679 77.809426 0.000000 0.045792 91.306256 11.502524
12 0.534470 12.069039 0.000000 0.399278 0.667234 87.048828 0.000000 0.045823 92.553793 13.429656

rstudent dffits cook.d cov.r tau2.del QE.del hat weight dfbs inf

- $1 \quad 1.5277 \quad 0.4540 \ 0.1835 \ 0.9554 \quad 0.0381 \ 73.3683 \ 0.0776 \ 7.7642 \ \ 0.4562$
- $2\quad 2.0413\ 0.6096\ 0.2917\ 0.8271\ \ 0.0324\ 67.1417\ 0.0767\ 7.6678\ \ 0.6174$
- 3 1.7548 0.5229 0.2306 0.8984 0.0356 70.5930 0.0772 7.7175 0.5271
- 4 0.0857 0.0161 0.0003 1.2231 0.0495 86.5666 0.0889 8.8917 0.0161
- 5 -0.7496 -0.2277 0.0543 1.1421 0.0463 86.9331 0.0809 8.0874 -0.2275
- 6 0.1484 0.0353 0.0014 1.2133 0.0493 87.1569 0.0859 8.5907 0.0353
- 7 -1.4774 -0.4374 0.1720 0.9838 0.0390 74.1868 0.0846 8.4625 -0.4371
- 8 -0.4597 -0.1513 0.0252 1.1985 0.0484 87.7682 0.0887 8.8666 -0.1517
- 9 0.0210 -0.0040 0.0000 1.2205 0.0495 88.0325 0.0873 8.7273 -0.0040
- 10 -0.6026 -0.1909 0.0392 1.1731 0.0475 87.2541 0.0854 8.5376 -0.1910
- 11 -0.8318 -0.2643 0.0727 1.1406 0.0458 77.8094 0.0897 8.9692 -0.2646
- 12 -0.8096 -0.2388 0.0591 1.1264 0.0458 87.0488 0.0772 7.7175 -0.2385

Moderator analysis (using pre/post 2000 as moderator and logit transformation for each group)

```
Fixed-Effects with Moderators Model (k = 2)
```

I^2 (residual heterogeneity / unaccounted variability): 0.00% H^2 (unaccounted variability / sampling variability): 1.00

Test for Residual Heterogeneity: QE(df = 0) = 0.000, p-val = 1.000

Test of Moderators (coefficient 2): QM(df = 1) = 48.197, p-val < .001

Model Results:

estimate se zval pval ci.lb ci.ub intrept 0.701 0.037 19.132 <.001 0.629 0.773 *** studyyearpre2000 0.459 0.066 6.942 <.001 0.329 0.589 ***

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' '1

Supplementary Data 3. Indications for conservative management in included studies, and subsequent mortality/ outcomes.

Author,	No. of	At Discharge (N, %)			At Long Term Follow Up (N, %)				Indications	
Year	Patients	Deaths	GOS 1 – 3	GOS 4 – 5	Deaths	GOS 1 – 3	GOS 4 – 5	Duratio n		
Taussky et al., 2012 ⁵	5	-	-	-	-	5	0	6	Any patients not fulfilling criteria for surgery (see Supplementary Table 2), or with bilaterally fixed pupils.	
Won et al., 2017 ⁷	7	-	1	-	5	7	0	3	Not specified. Seven out of 68 patients were managed conservatively due to inoperable comorbidities.	
Sufaro et al., 2019 ¹³	26	2	-	-	9	-	-	12	No specific indications for conservative management given. No significant differences between surgical and conservative groups except for ASDH thickness and focal neurological deficit.	
Trevisi et al., 2020 ¹¹	66	14	21	45	21	27	39	6	No specific indications for conservative management. Significantly larger proportion of conservatively managed patients in GCS 13 - 15 category (77%) compared with surgical (36%) group.	

Supplementary Data 4. Indications for surgical intervention in included studies.

Author, Year	Indication/ Prerequisites							
Wilberger et al.,	Surgical indication not specified.							
1991 ¹	All included patients had ASDH thickness >= 3mm and MLS >5 mm.							
	Following patients were excluded from analyses:							
	Timing of surgery could not be determined							
	Open head injuries, prolonged hypotension/ hypoxia, severe life-threatening extra-cranial injuries							
Massaro et al.,	Surgical indications- MLS > 5 mm							
1996 ¹⁴	Following patients were excluded- open head injury, prolonged hypotension, hypoxia or severe life threatening injuries							
Koc et al., 1997 ¹⁵	Surgical indications- ASDH thickness > 10mm, MLS > 5 mm							
Taussky et al.,	Following patients considered suitable for surgical intervention:							
2012^5	 Pre-morbid functional status- KPS of at least 80, usually independent 							
	• Co-morbidities- no evidence of dementia, no comorbidities limiting survival to less than 12 months							
	• Surgical indications- MLS =>5mm; GCS =<13							
Merzo et al., 2016 ¹⁶	Not specified for ASDH patients, though general indications for surgical intervention in TBI patients given							
Raj et al., 2016 ¹⁷	Brain trauma foundation guidelines							
Benedetto et al.,	Following patients considered suitable for surgical intervention:							
2017^6	 ASDH thickness > 10 mm or MLS > 5 mm with patient of any GCS 							
	GCS drop of 2 points or more, with less severe ASDH thickness/ MLS							
	Following patients were excluded from analysis:							
	Bilateral fixed pupils							
	Concomitant EDH or significant cerebral contusions							
	Major thoracic or abdominal trauma							
Monsivais et al.,	Following patients considered unsuitable for surgical intervention:							
20188	 Neurologically poor- GCS 3 - 5 with or without pupillary involvement with evidence of impending herniation on CT imaging 							
	Haemodynamic instability, unsuitable for ventilation, or severe cardiac/ pulmonary compromise							

	Advanced malignancy with metastatic disease
Sufaro et al.,	Following patients considered suitable for inclusion:
2019^{13}	• GCS 13 - 15 and at least one of the following- ASDH thickness > 10mm, MLS > 5 mm, GCS drop of 2 points or
	more from time of injury
	Surgical intervention performed predominantly in patients with evolving hemiparesis
	Patients with significant major injuries were excluded.
Trevisi et al.,	Following patients considered suitable for surgical intervention:
2020^{11}	• ASDH thickness > 10mm, MLS > 5 mm (unless other clinical features/ demographics/ baseline functional status
	were not in favour of surgical intervention- objective parameters not specified)
Cagetti et al., 1992 ²	Not specified
Kotwica &	Not specified
Jakubowski,	
1992 ³	
Akbik et al.,	Not specified
2009 ¹⁰	
Petridis et al.,	Not specified
2009^4	
Hamed et al., 2016 ¹⁸	Not specified
Won et al., 2017 ⁷	Not specified
Bus et al., 20199	Not specified
McGinity et al., 2017 ¹⁹	Not specified
Younsi et al., 2020 ¹²	Not specified

Supplementary Data 5. Association between pre-operative neurological status and mortality/ poor outcome following surgical evacuation of ASDH in patients aged 60 years and above.

Study	GCS	Outcome	Statistical Test
Jamjoom, 1992 ²⁰	Dichotomised: >=5 vs <5	Dichotomised GOS	Chi-squared test; NS
Petridis et al., 2009 ⁴	Categorised: 13-15, 9-12, 3-8	Mortality	Chi-squared test; p < 0.001
Raj et al., 2016 ¹⁷	Categorised: 13-15, 9-12, 3-8	Mortality	Chi-squared test; p < 0.001
Benedetto et al.,	GCS	GOS (30 days)	Univariate linear regression; RC 0.18, p<0.0001
20176			Multivariate linear regression; RC 0.17, p<0.0001
		GOS (6 months)	Univariate linear regression; RC 0.20, p<0.0001
			Multivariate linear regression; RC 0.20, p<0.0001
Won et al.,	Dichotomised: >6 vs <=6	Mortality	Univariate logistic regression; OR 4.0, p = 0.04
20177		Dichotomised GOS	Univariate logistic regression; OR 4.2, NS
		(discharge)	
		Dichotomised GOS (LTFU)	Univariate logistic regression; OR 3.7, NS
Monsivais et al.,	Dichotomised: >=9 vs <9	Mortality	Chi-squared test; $p = 0.01$
20188			Multivariate logistic regression; OR 3.0 , $p = 0.02$
Akbik et al.,	GCS (mean)	Mortality	Kruskal Wallis test; p = 0.014
2019 ¹⁰		Categorised GOS	Kruskal Wallis test; p = 0.016

Supplemental material

Bus et al., 2019 ⁹	Categorised: 3-8, 9-15	Dichotomised GOS	Chi-squared test; NS
Trevisi et al.,	GCS	Dichotomised GOS	Univariate logistic regression; p < 0.001
202011			Multivariate logistic regression; OR 0.87, p = 0.04
	Dichotomised: >8 vs <=8	Dichotomised GOS	Chi-squared test; p < 0.001

BMJ Open

Supplementary Data 6. Association between pre-operative pupil reactivity to light and mortality/ poor outcome following surgical evacuation of ASDH in patients aged 60 years and above.

Study	Pupils	Outcome	Statistical Test
Jamjoom, 1992 ²⁰	Non-reactive pupil(s)	Dichotomised GOS	Chi-squared test; $p = 0.025$
Petridis et al., 2009 ⁴	Bilaterally reactive, unilaterally	Mortality	Chi-squared test; p<0.001
	reactive, bilaterally unreactive	GOS (1 vs others)	
Raj et al., 2016 ¹⁷	Normal, abnormal	Mortality	Chi-squared test; NS
Akbik et al., 2019 ¹⁰	Fixed and dilated, normal	Mortality	Fisher's exact test; $p = 0.021$
		Categorised GOS	Fisher's exact test; $p = 0.009$
Bus et al., 20199	Bilaterally dilated and unreactive	Dichotomised GOS	Fisher's exact test; $p = 0.03$
Trevisi et al., 2020 ¹¹	Fixed pupils	Dichotomised GOS	Chi-squared test; NS
Younsi et al., 2020 ¹²	Anisocoria	Dichotomised GOS	Fisher's exact test; NS

Supplementary Data 7. Summary of risk of bias assessment using ROBINS-I tool for all included studies.

	Study	Cofounding	Selection	Classification	Deviation	Missing	Measurement	Reporting	Overall
1	Wilberger 1991	Moderate	Low	Low	Low	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
2	Cagetti 1992	Serious	NI	Moderate	Low	Low	Serious	Moderate	Serious
3	Jamjoom 1992	NI	Low	Low	Low	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
4	Kotwica 1992	Moderate	Low	Low	Low	Low	Moderate	Low	Moderate
5	Massaro 1996	Moderate	Low	Low	Low	Low	Moderate	Low	Moderate
6	Koc 1997	Moderate	Low	Low	Low	Low	Moderate	Low	Moderate
7	Hanif 2009	Moderate	Low	Low	Low	Low	Moderate	Low	Moderate
8	Petridis 2009	Moderate	Low	Low	Low	Low	Moderate	Low	Moderate
9	Taussky 2012	Moderate	Low	Low	Low	Low	Moderate	Low	Moderate
10	Hamed 2016	Serious	Low	Low	Moderate	Low	Moderate	Low	Serious
11	Merzo 2021	Moderate	Moderate	Low	Low	Low	Moderate	Low	Moderate
12	Raj 2016	Moderate	Moderate	Low	Low	Low	Moderate	Low	Moderate
13	Benedetto 2017	Moderate	Low	Low	Low	Low	Moderate	Low	Moderate
14	McGinity 2017	Moderate	Moderate	Low	Low	Low	Moderate	Low	Moderate
15	Won 2017	Moderate	Low	Moderate	Low	Low	Moderate	Low	Moderate
16	Bus 2018	Moderate	Low	Low	Low	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
17	Monsivai 2018	Moderate	Low	Low	Low	Low	Moderate	Low	Moderate
18	Akbik 2019	Moderate	Low	Low	Low	Low	Moderate	Low	Moderate
19	Sufaro 2019	Serious	Low	Low	Moderate	Low	Moderate	Low	Serious
20	Trevisi 2020	Moderate	Low	Low	Low	Low	Moderate	Low	Moderate
21	Younsi 2020	Moderate	Low	Low	Low	Serious	Moderate	Low	Serious

References

- 1. Wilberger JE, Jr., Harris M, Diamond DL. Acute subdural hematoma: morbidity, mortality, and operative timing. *J Neurosurg*. 1991;74(2):212-218.
- 2. Cagetti B, Cossu M, Pau A, Rivano C, Viale G. The outcome from acute subdural and epidural intracranial haematomas in very elderly patients. *Br J Neurosurg*. 1992;6(3):227-231.
- 3. Kotwica Z, Jakubowski JK. Acute head injuries in the elderly. An analysis of 136 consecutive patients. *Acta Neurochir (Wien).* 1992;118(3-4):98-102.
- 4. Petridis AK, Dorner L, Doukas A, Eifrig S, Barth H, Mehdorn M. Acute subdural hematoma in the elderly; clinical and CT factors influencing the surgical treatment decision. *Cent Eur Neurosurg.* 2009;70(2):73-78.
- 5. Taussky P, Hidalgo ET, Landolt H, Fandino J. Age and salvageability: analysis of outcome of patients older than 65 years undergoing craniotomy for acute traumatic subdural hematoma. *World Neurosurg.* 2012;78(3-4):306-311.
- 6. Benedetto N, Gambacciani C, Montemurro N, Morganti R, Perrini P. Surgical management of acute subdural haematomas in elderly: report of a single center experience. *Br J Neurosurg*. 2017;31(2):244-248.
- 7. Won SY, Dubinski D, Brawanski N, et al. Significant increase in acute subdural hematoma in octo- and nonagenarians: surgical treatment, functional outcome, and predictors in this patient cohort. *Neurosurg Focus*. 2017;43(5):E10.
- 8. Monsivais D, Choi HA, Kitagawa R, Franch M, Cai C. A retrospective analysis of surgical outcomes for acute subdural hematoma in an elderly cohort. *Interdisciplinary Neurosurgery*. 2018;14:130-134.
- 9. Bus S, Verbaan D, Kerklaan BJ, et al. Do older patients with acute or subacute subdural hematoma benefit from surgery? *Br J Neurosurg.* 2019;33(1):51-57.
- 10. Akbik OS, Starling RV, Gahramanov S, Zhu Y, Lewis J. Mortality and Functional Outcome in Surgically Evacuated Acute Subdural Hematoma in Elderly Patients. *World Neurosurg.* 2019;126:e1235-e1241.
- 11. Trevisi G, Sturiale CL, Scerrati A, et al. Acute subdural hematoma in the elderly: outcome analysis in a retrospective multicentric series of 213 patients. *Neurosurg Focus*. 2020;49(4):E21.
- 12. Younsi A, Fischer J, Habel C, et al. Mortality and functional outcome after surgical evacuation of traumatic acute subdural hematomas in octa- and nonagenarians. *Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg.* 2020.
- 13. Sufaro Y, Avraham E, Alguyn F, Azriel A, Melamed I. Unfavorable functional outcome is expected for elderly patients suffering from acute subdural hematoma even when presenting with preserved level of consciousness. *J Clin Neurosci.* 2019;67:167-171.
- 14. Massaro F, Lanotte M, Faccani G, Triolo C. One hundred and twenty-seven cases of acute subdural haematoma operated on. Correlation between CT scan findings and outcome. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)*. 1996;138(2):185-191.

- 15. Koc RK, Akdemir H, Oktem IS, Meral M, Menku A. Acute subdural hematoma: outcome and outcome prediction. *Neurosurg Rev.* 1997;20(4):239-244.
- 16. Merzo A, Lenell S, Nyholm L, Enblad P, Lewen A. Promising clinical outcome of elderly with TBI after modern neurointensive care. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)*. 2016;158(1):125-133.
- 17. Raj R, Mikkonen ED, Kivisaari R, Skrifvars MB, Korja M, Siironen J. Mortality in Elderly Patients Operated for an Acute Subdural Hematoma: A Surgical Case Series. *World Neurosurg.* 2016;88:592-597.
- 18. Hamed M, Schuss P, Daher FH, et al. Acute Traumatic Subdural Hematoma: Surgical Management in the Presence of Cerebral Herniation-A Single-Center Series and Multivariate Analysis. *World Neurosurg*. 2016;94:501-506.
- 19. McGinity MJ, Michalek JE, Rodriguez JS, Floyd JR. Surgical evacuation of acute subdural hematoma in octogenarians: a ten-year experience from a single trauma center. *Br J Neurosurg*. 2017;31(6):714-717.
- 20. Jamjoom A. Justification for evacuating acute subdural haematomas in patients above the age of 75 years. *Injury.* 1992;23(8):518-520.