

Table S2. Changes in primary reviewer scores as compared to the changes of the secondary reviewer scores for the face-to-face (Table S2a) and teleconference (Table S2b) settings.

Table S2a. Face-to-Face

| Primary Reviewer | Secondary Reviewer | % of Sub-Group | % of Entire Sample |
|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Improved Score | Improved Score | 18.8% | 3.5% |
| Improved Score | Poorer Score | 37.5% | 6.9% |
| Improved Score | No Change | 43.8% | 8.1% |
| Total | Total | 100.0% | 18.5% |
| Poorer Score | Improved Score | 19.8% | 8.5% |
| Poorer Score | Poorer Score | 33.3% | 14.2% |
| Poorer Score | No Change | 46.8% | 20.0% |
| Total | Total | 100.0% | 42.7% |
| No Change | Improved Score | 20.8% | 8.1% |
| No Change | Poorer Score | 44.6% | 17.3% |
| No Change | No Change | 34.7% | 13.5% |
| Total | Total | 100.0% | 38.8% |

Table S2b. Teleconference

| Primary Reviewer | Secondary Reviewer | % of Sub-Group | % of Entire Sample |
|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Improved Score | Improved Score | 17.9% | 2.4% |
| Improved Score | Poorer Score | 32.1% | 4.2% |
| Improved Score | No Change | 50.0% | 6.6% |
| Total | Total | 100.0% | 13.2% |
| Poorer Score | Improved Score | 15.2% | 4.7% |
| Poorer Score | Poorer Score | 30.3% | 9.4% |
| Poorer Score | No Change | 54.5% | 17.0% |
| Total | Total | 100.0% | 31.1% |
| No Change | Improved Score | 18.6% | 10.4% |
| No Change | Poorer Score | 30.5% | 17.0% |
| No Change | No Change | 50.8% | 28.3% |
| Total | Total | 100.0% | 55.7% |