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ABSTRACT

Introduction Chronic shoulder pain is common after spinal cord injury (SCI) and limits community mobility. This leads to loss of independence and reduced quality of life. Evidence suggests that exercises can help reduce shoulder pain. However, cost, expertise and transport barriers frequently limit access to treatment services. The objective of this study is to develop an evidence-based, acceptable, usable and persuasive self-guided web-based exercise intervention to treat shoulder pain in people living with SCI.

Methods and analysis An iterative and phased person-based approach (PBA) will capture users’ perspectives on usability and acceptability to develop guiding principles that will shape the design of the intervention. The intervention will be based on key elements identified through participant input and from evidence identified through systematic and narrative reviews, to ensure the intervention addresses participants’ needs and increase the likelihood of uptake. The prototype will be iteratively refined through focus groups and think-aloud sessions. Review data will be synthesised drawing on systematic and narrative review conventions. Qualitative data will be analysed using conventional content analysis (planning phase) and directed content analysis (development phase) to inform intervention design and refinement.

Ethics and dissemination Ethical approval has been granted by the Auckland University of Technology Ethics Committee (AUTEC) in Auckland, New Zealand. The results of the study will be published in a peer-reviewed journal and presented at relevant national and international conferences. A summary of findings will be presented to key stakeholder groups. We will progress to a definitive trial should the findings from this intervention development study indicate the intervention is acceptable and usable.

INTRODUCTION

People with spinal cord injury or spinal cord impairment (from non-traumatic causes) (SCI) rely on their upper extremities for locomotion as well as performance of daily activities. Consequently, up to 70% of people living with SCI experience shoulder pain, which can have a significant impact on activity that reduces community mobility, independence and quality of life.1–6

Exercise-based rehabilitation is often included in the management of shoulder pain. Protocols including stretches and strengthening exercises have been shown to significantly reduce shoulder pain in people with SCI in a series of non-controlled studies,7–10 a randomised controlled study11 and a systematic review.12 Despite the known benefits of exercise to reduce shoulder pain, many people living with SCI who experience shoulder pain often do not engage in these exercises.13 They cite barriers to accessing exercise and rehabilitation opportunities that include limited access to knowledgeable health professionals, poor physical accessibility and transportation difficulties.14 15 Digital health interventions offer a potential opportunity to overcome many of these barriers in a cost-effective way.16 They can provide automated and remote personalised feedback and support for self-guided exercise, in a person’s own time and environment.

Although web-based exercise resources are currently available for people living with SCI,17 18 they have some limitations. For
Figure 1  Phases of intervention development. PBA, person-based approach; SCI, spinal cord injury; SPIN, Shoulder Pain Intervention delivered over the interNet.

example, they require the ongoing support of a clinician, are not specific to treating shoulder pain or do not have the capability to automate exercise progression. Shoulder Pain Intervention delivered over the interNet (SPIN) is being developed to address these limitations. To our knowledge, this will be the first web-based, self-guided intervention that will prescribe, monitor and progress evidence-based exercises for people living with SCI who experience shoulder pain. The intervention will be an interactive tool using responses from users on their pain or degree of exercise difficulty to tailor the programme.

Translation of an existing evidence-based intervention into a web-based format presents a number of challenges. For example, attracting users and encouraging engagement with the intervention can be further complicated by how usable the technology is and how quickly it continues to evolve. Therefore, the development of SPIN will be theory-driven, evidence-based and underpinned by the person-based approach (PBA) to intervention development. The PBA seeks a deep understanding of the perspectives and psychosocial context of potential users through iterative qualitative research. The PBA draws on evidence from primary and secondary sources to identify barriers and facilitators to uptake. As Yardley et al suggest, it makes use of behavioural evidence and theory, while keeping the user’s needs and context in focus, increasing the likely engagement in and effectiveness of the intervention. SPIN is planned to be self-guided and so will be used with minimal health professional contact. As such, ensuring the design is underpinned by a clear understanding of the perceptions, assumptions, behavioural needs and challenges of the user will increase its relevance and usability. The aim of this project is to develop an evidence-based, acceptable, usable and persuasive self-guided web-based exercise intervention to treat shoulder pain in people living with SCI.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS

Patient and public involvement

The research question was developed from clinical experiences and then further refined through consultation with the Burwood Academy of Independent Living End User Consultation Committee, a consumer group with

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PBA Description</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Current Study</th>
<th>Planned Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Use of primary and secondary qualitative evidence to understand users’ behavioural and psychosocial needs and challenges in using the intervention.</td>
<td>Planning</td>
<td>To determine factors that need to be included to encourage or facilitate engagement with this self-guided web-based exercise intervention.</td>
<td>A rich description of key needs, challenges and facilitators of engagement in web-based tools and exercise for people living with SCI who experience shoulder pain to underpin the design phase’s guiding principles and features.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formulation of key guiding principles that capture the main intervention objectives as identified in the planning phase and that are continuously referred to throughout the development of the intervention.</td>
<td>Design</td>
<td>To design an evidence-based, self-guided, web-based intervention.</td>
<td>Key intervention objectives.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Exercise, behavioural support and self-guided components will be included within the intervention features.</td>
<td>Key intervention features. 1st iteration of SPIN prototype (wireframe version).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention components are evaluated and optimised based on user feedback.</td>
<td>Development</td>
<td>To develop an evidence-based, self-guided, web-based intervention.</td>
<td>Final SPIN prototype (digital version).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Iterative feedback and prototyping to refine the intervention through wireframe focus group testing and then further refined with think-aloud testing.</td>
<td>Ready for trialling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention is evaluated in real-life context and modified to improve future implementation.</td>
<td>Trialling</td>
<td>To carry out a mixed methods pilot trial. This phase will be dependent on the preceding phases.</td>
<td>A brief outline entitled ‘future work’ is included in this paper.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the expertise of living with SCI who advises on research projects.25

A stakeholder advisory group (SAG; more details are provided below) will also be formed to help support the study at key points. Key findings will be presented by researchers and participants at community and academic meetings.

Study design
The PBA proposes four phases of intervention development that include planning, design, development and trialling. The current study is focused primarily on the first three phases. Figure 1 provides a definition of each phase according to the PBA and an overview of how they map onto the current study. The iterative nature of intervention development implies that phases are not discreet, and movement will occur between them.

Participants
Eligibility
Participants will be eligible if they reside in New Zealand; are living with SCI; have completed active rehabilitation; are over 16 years of age; have capacity to give informed consent; are predominantly wheelchair users; and are experiencing or have recently (within 2 years) experienced shoulder pain. Participants will be excluded if they are unable to communicate with the researcher for the purposes of meaningful engagement in data collection.

Recruitment
Posters will be distributed within SCI community networks and SCI services and rehabilitation providers. Information will also be circulated through social media sites and professional and personal networks. People who express interest in the study will be invited to contact the researcher or give permission for the researcher to contact them. The sampling approach specific to each phase is provided in more detail below.

Stakeholder advisory group
An SAG will be formed to support this project. The composition of the group will include a person living with SCI, a clinician with experience in SCI rehabilitation, a representative of a relevant non-governmental organisation and a computer engineer with knowledge in web design and decision tree development. They will meet at least four times during the study including at the outset and following each phase. Their primary role will be to gauge how findings resonate with personal insights and experience, to make recommendations for the subsequent phases and to inform refinements to the intervention. For example, in the planning phase, they will be able to make recommendations for recruitment.

Planning phase: collecting and synthesising evidence
Purpose
To determine the effectiveness of existing self-guided web-based exercise interventions.

Systematic review of effectiveness of self-guided web-based exercise interventions
Yardley et al80 recommend drawing on an existing evidence base. However, there are no reviews currently available that synthesise evidence regarding the effectiveness of self-guided, web-based exercise interventions. Consequently, the first stage in this work is to conduct a systematic review to (1) determine effectiveness of this method of delivery in improving health outcomes for those with chronic health conditions and (2) extract data on key characteristics of those interventions identified as effective. The results will be used to inform intervention development by identifying elements common to effective web-based interventions.

The systematic review will follow the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement.26

Eligibility criteria
Studies will be included if they meet the inclusion and exclusion criteria presented in table 1. Initial scoping revealed no applicable interventions involving people living with SCI. Therefore, the search was expanded to include those living with a chronic health condition.

Databases
Literature searches will be conducted in the following databases: Cumulated Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL), MEDLINE, SPORTSDiscus through EBSCO Health Database, Allied and Complementary Medicine (AMED), Evidence-Based Medicine (EBM) Reviews—Cochrane Methodology Register third Quarter 2012, EBM Reviews—Health Technology Assessment fourth Quarter 2016 PsycINFO 1806 to July Week 2, 2017, MEDLINE(R) Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process and Other Non-Indexed Citations, Ovid MEDLINE(R) Daily and Ovid MEDLINE(R) 1946 to present through OVID, in Scopus and in Web of Science. The Physiotherapy Evidence Database (PEDro) will be searched (using simplified broad key terms) as a checking exercise. Reference lists of relevant reviews and studies will be hand searched.

A search strategy has been devised drawing on the Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcome (PICO) framework with a focus on key terms relevant to intervention (web-based exercise) and study design (randomised control trial). The search will not be limited by population and outcome to keep the reach as broad as possible and ensure
Table 1  Inclusion/exclusion criteria for systematic review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Inclusion criteria</th>
<th>Exclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Study design and reporting</td>
<td>Randomised controlled trial or pilot that contains data addressing effectiveness</td>
<td>Not in English Publication not peer-reviewed Conference proceeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Full text available</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>Adults with an existing chronic health condition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Designed for the use of people living with a chronic health condition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Explicitly supports self-guided physical activity or exercise in a self-guided</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>programme</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intervention has minimal human contact comprising no more than initial contact</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>for set up or orientation. Has ongoing contact that is generated automatically.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome</td>
<td>Health related</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2  Database search concepts and terms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Search concept</th>
<th>Likely terms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#1 Web-based exercise</td>
<td>(web* ADJ6 Exercis*) OR (web* ADJ6 Rehabilitation) OR (web* ADJ6 Physiotherap*) OR (web* ADJ6 ‘Physical therap*’) OR (web* ADJ6 therap*) OR (web* ADJ6 ‘fitness training’) OR (web* ADJ6 physical activit*) OR (internet* ADJ6 Exercis*) OR (internet* ADJ6 Rehabilitation) OR (internet* ADJ6 Physiotherap*) OR (internet* ADJ6 ‘Physical therap*’) OR (internet* ADJ6 therap*) OR (internet* ADJ6 ‘fitness training’) OR (internet* ADJ6 physical activit*) OR (online ADJ6 Exercis*) OR (online ADJ6 Rehabilitation) OR (online ADJ6 Physiotherap*) OR (online ADJ6 ‘Physical therap*’) OR (online ADJ6 therap*) OR (online ADJ6 ‘fitness training’) OR (online ADJ6 physical activit*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2 E-health or physiotherapy</td>
<td>(Ehealth OR e-health) AND (exercise* OR rehabilitation OR physiotherap* OR ‘physical therap*’)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#3 #1 OR #2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#4 Study design RCT</td>
<td>‘Random’ control* OR RCT OR ‘control’ trial*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#5 #3 AND #4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Selection of studies
All citations returned in the search will be downloaded and saved into EndNote X8. Duplicates will be removed and then titles will be screened by VS, according to the predefined inclusion criteria. Initially, a selection of titles will be independently screened by a second assessor (NS). Any disagreements will be reviewed and discussed to ensure consensus is reached. Should agreement not be reached, a third assessor (NMF) will serve as arbitrator. The abstracts and then full texts of all those studies potentially meeting the inclusion criteria will be reviewed by VS before settling on a final set of included studies in consultation with NS.

Data extraction and management
Key details from each of the included studies will be recorded in data extraction tables. Details will include author and country, study design, participant numbers and characteristics, treatment intervention (including features and components used) and health outcomes.

Quality assessment
Risk of bias for each of the included studies will be assessed as low, high or unclear drawing on guidelines by The Cochrane Collaboration’s tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. Appraisal of the quality of included studies will follow the criteria outlined in the Critical Appraisal Skills Programme Randomised Controlled Trial Checklist.

Narrative review of relevant literature
The planning phase includes using qualitative evidence to inform intervention development. This second review of literature will explore what helps or hinders engagement with (1) exercise and physical activity and (2) web-based interventions for people living with SCI. The findings will be used to generate discussion topics for the Interpretive descriptive study (described further below). Findings will also inform the guiding principles for intervention design.
Table 3  Inclusion/exclusion criteria for narrative review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Inclusion criteria</th>
<th>Exclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Study design and formatting</td>
<td>Qualitative study</td>
<td>Not in English Publication not peer-reviewed Conference proceeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenomena of interest</td>
<td>Full text available</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exploring experiences and perspectives of physical activity interventions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exploring experiences and perspectives of web-based interventions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>Adults living with SCI who experience mobility limitation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SCI, spinal cord injury.

Eligibility criteria

Studies will be included if they meet the inclusion and exclusion criteria outlined in Table 3.

Search strategy

Literature searches will be conducted in the following databases: Cumulated Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL), MEDLINE, SPORTSDiscus through EBSCO Health Database, Allied and Complementary Medicine (AMED), Evidence-Based Medicine (EBM) Reviews—Cochrane Methodology Register third Quarter 2012, EBM Reviews—Health Technology Assessment fourth Quarter 2016, PsycINFO 1806 to July Week 2, 2017, MEDLINE(R) Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process and Other Non-Indexed Citations, Ovid MEDLINE(R) Daily and Ovid MEDLINE(R) 1946 to present through OVID, in Scopus and in Web of Science. Reference lists of relevant reviews and studies will also be searched.

A search strategy will be devised by breaking the review question down into component parts including key words relevant to patient perspective, the substantive topics (web-based intervention, exercise and physical activity) and study design. Table 4 provides an example of a strategy that combines these components and possible search terms. Searches will be run for each component and then combined to reflect the relevant question (see Table 4 searches #6 and #7).

Selection of studies

This review will follow a similar approach to the data selection as the systematic review described earlier.

Data selection and management

Key details from each of the included studies will be recorded in data extraction tables. Details will include author details and country, study design, participant numbers and characteristics, experience explored (eg, exercise or web-based interventions), barriers to engagement and facilitators to engagement.

Quality assessment

Appraisal of the quality of included studies will follow the criteria as outlined in the Critical Appraisal Skills Programme Qualitative Checklist.

Data analysis

A narrative synthesis of data will be performed. Findings from the included studies will be reviewed and key concepts relevant to the review questions identified. These will be grouped into categories and then mapped to the original findings of included papers to check for resonance and identify aspects that either confirm or conflict with the synthesised findings. From this, a more refined set of categories will be generated.

Table 4  Narrative review search concepts and terms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Search concept</th>
<th>Likely terms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#1 Experiences</td>
<td>barrier* OR facilitator* OR help* OR hinder* OR perspective* OR experience* OR acceptability OR satisfaction OR view* OR perception*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2 Study design</td>
<td>qualitative OR hermeneutics OR ‘thematic analysis’ OR interview* OR ‘focus group’ OR ‘grounded theory’ OR ‘content analysis’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#3 Physical activity or exercise</td>
<td>exercise* OR rehabilitation OR physiotherapy OR physical therapy OR therapy OR ‘fitness training’ OR ‘training’ OR ‘physical activity’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#4 Web-based interventions</td>
<td>web OR internet OR online OR e-health OR ehealth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#5 Spinal cord injury</td>
<td>Spinal cord injur* OR ‘SCI’ OR paraplegi* OR quadriplegi* OR tetrapilegi*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#6 #1 AND #2 AND #3 AND #5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#7 #1 AND #2 AND #4 AND #5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SCI, spinal cord injury.
To develop an evidence-based web-based intervention.

The design phase will synthesise information from the planning phase, in keeping with the PBA, to formulate guiding principles. These are statements that succinctly reflect what is distinctive about the intervention that meets user needs as identified in the planning phase. They ensure intervention development remains constant and true in its direction towards meeting the earlier identified goals. The guiding principles can be broken down into intervention objectives and the key intervention features needed to achieve them. Data will be drawn and integrated from several sources to underpin intervention objectives and features including (1) existing evidence-based exercise interventions that address shoulder pain; (2) systematic review findings regarding features of effective self-guided and web-based interventions; (3) narrative review and interpretive descriptive study findings regarding aspects that support acceptability and engagement and (4) relevant behavioural theory. For example, if synthesis reveals that valuing independence is important, then an objective of the intervention would be that it can be used autonomously. This will then inform a set of related intervention features such as customisable elements for goal setting, self-monitoring and choice.

The first iteration of the SPIN prototype will be produced during this phase as a set of wireframes. Wireframes are a paper-based visual schematic of the prototype that help participants experience working through the intervention’s proposed sequence without live data or graphic design. It therefore allows researchers and participants to discuss the features of the intervention separate to the aesthetics.

Development phase
Purpose
► To develop an evidence-based web-based intervention.

During the development phase, people with SCI will take part in usability testing, providing feedback on the wireframes produced in the design phase. Data will guide the iterative development and refinement of SPIN into a digital working prototype of SPIN, ready for implementation and trialling. There will be a large amount of collaborative work between the participants, computer engineer, web-developer, stakeholder advisory group and the researcher during this phase.
Participants
Up to 10 participants who originally participated in the planning phase will be purposefully sampled to take part in the focus groups. Up to five of those participants will then be further purposefully sampled to be involved in individual think-aloud sessions. Sampling will aim for a range of abilities and levels of comfort when interacting with the prototype. Sample size is based on previous usability work by Nielsen \(^3^9\) and Virzi. \(^4^0\)

Data collection
Focus groups will use wireframes as a prompt for discussion. The facilitator will lead exploration of the wireframes and will use exercises to elicit feedback on the intervention features, layout, order and content. For example, initial discussion will be generated around broad topics including initial impressions, including positive and negative feedback. More specific exercises will ask participants to sort prototype features in order of preference and importance. Sessions will be audio and video recorded with notes taken during the process.

Focus group findings will lead to development of a working digital prototype. Following that, individual think-aloud sessions will be used to gain a more in-depth, real-time understanding of how easy the working prototype is to use and follow and how participants interact with the intervention and progress through the stages. In these sessions, we will invite participants to work through a ‘live’ component of the prototype (eg, one exercise). They will be encouraged to speak their thoughts out loud while performing the representative task, commenting on what they are looking at, thinking, doing and feeling at each moment, in as close to their natural environment as possible. These sessions will be audio and video recorded. Open-ended interviews and postexperience questionnaires may also be used. \(^4^1\)

Data analysis
Audio recordings from focus groups and think-aloud sessions will be transcribed verbatim. Data will be analysed using a directed content approach, \(^3^4\) drawing on usability frameworks. \(^4^2\) Transcripts will be read and key concepts relevant to preidentified usability elements will be highlighted. Consistent with directed content analysis, text that does not fit an existing code will be given a new code. \(^3^4\) Data from this phase will be used to refine the SPIN prototype in preparation for implementation and trialling (outside the scope of the current proposed research).

Future work
Should findings from the intervention’s design and development phases indicate the website is acceptable and usable, we will progress to a mixed methods pilot trial of a 12-week SPIN intervention. The aim of this pilot trial will be to explore the feasibility, acceptability, safety and engagement of the intervention. The full protocol will be informed by the framework proposed by Proudfoot et al\(^3^3\) outlining facets, elements and guidelines of best practice in evaluating and reporting internet interventions. \(^4^4\) The full scope of this pilot trial will depend on findings from earlier phases. It is anticipated that up to 10 people with SCI who have not been involved with any of the intervention development phases will be invited to take part. Data such as pain and adverse events will be collected concurrently. Data such as shoulder pain and function will be collected pre and post intervention. Post-trial interviews will explore user experiences on the acceptability and the perceived benefits of the SPIN intervention.

CONCLUSION
This paper has described how we plan to develop a self-guided, web-based, exercise intervention (SPIN) to treat shoulder pain in people living with SCI. Using the PBA involves people living with SCI at each phase, increasing the likely engagement and effectiveness of the planned intervention.
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