ABSTRACTS

Introduction Intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI), originally introduced as add-on to in vitro fertilisation (IVF) for couples with severe male infertility, is in current clinical practice also used in couples with mild male or even unexplained infertility. However, ICSI has involved unresolved concerns regarding the selection and damage to gametes and the health conditions of the offspring, and it is also labour intensive and therefore more expensive than conventional IVF. High-quality well-powered randomised clinical trials (RCTs) comparing ICSI and IVF are lacking.

Methods and analysis We propose a multicentre, open-label RCT in 10 reproductive medical centres across China. We will study couples with non-severe male infertility (defined as a semen concentrate 5–15×10⁶/mL or sperm with progressive motility 10%–32%) scheduled for their first or second ICSI or IVF cycle, as low fertility rate after fertilisation are more frequent in this population, which could lead to controversy about ICSI or conventional IVF for fertilisation. On the day of oocyte retrieval, eligible participants are after informed consent be randomised to undergo either ICSI or conventional IVF in a 1:1 treatment ratio. Other standard assisted reproductive treatments are similar and parallel between two groups. Our primary outcome is ongoing pregnancy leading to live birth after the first cycle with embryo transfer. To demonstrate or refute a difference of 7% between ICSI and conventional IVF, we need to include 2346 women (1173 in each intervention arm). In addition, we will follow-up neonatal outcomes after delivery to identify the influence of ICSI on offspring.

Ethics and dissemination Ethical approval was obtained from Peking University Fourth Hospital medical ethics committee. The findings will be disseminated to the public through conference presentations and peer-reviewed scientific journals.

Trial registration number ClinicalTrials.gov registry (NCT03298633).

INTRODUCTION Male infertility is caused by impaired sperm production and function due to different congenital or acquired factors, and has been estimated to be associated with ~30% of infertility. Assisted reproductive technology (ART) is perceived as a more successful treatment. Originally applied in women with tubal damage in 1970s, in vitro fertilisation (IVF) is now acknowledged as an effective treatment for infertility as a major component of ART. However, conventional IVF was much less effective when the semen characteristics were grossly below the standard values according to the WHO fourth edition sperm parameter values and when fertilisation rate in previous cycles was low.

In 1992, intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI), a technique where a single spermatozoon was injected mechanically into an oocyte in vitro to achieve fertilisation, was introduced. While complete fertilisation
failure was reported up to 50% of the conventional IVF treatments for couples with moderate male infertility (moderate oligozoospermia, asthenozoospermia and teratozoospermia), this occurred in <3% of the couples undergoing ICSI. Consequently, ICSI has been applied worldwide to treat severe male infertility. The high success rate of ICSI has resulted in its increased use in other populations for whom conventional IVF may be an option, particularly non-male factor infertility. In Europe, in 2012 ICSI was used in 69% of IVF cycles compared with 35% in 1997, while in the Middle East, South-America and South-East Asia, ICSI is performed in 100% of IVF cycles. In the USA, between 1996 and 2012, the use of ICSI in IVF cycles has increased from 34% to 76%. The greatest increase was documented in non-male factor infertility, where the use of ICSI went from 15% to 67% during this time period.

There are concerns on the increased use of ICSI, as ICSI is time-consuming, expensive and involves unresolved concerns regarding the damage to gametes and the health conditions of the offspring. Many studies have indicated the routine use of ICSI in non-male factors infertility was not recommended to improve the clinical outcomes. For non-severe male factor infertility, including mild and moderate oligospermia with or without asthenospermia, the fertilisation and pregnancy outcome after ICSI compared with conventional IVF is unclear. Studies randomising sibling oocytes have shown conflicting results. Several studies have documented higher fertilisation rates and lower rates of fertilisation failure in these couples undergoing ICSI. Other studies did not support the benefit of ICSI in prevention of total fertilisation failure as there were no significant differences between ICSI and conventional IVF in embryo quality, implantation, clinical pregnancy or live birth rates. These studies have limitations such as small sample size, non-randomised couples or no evaluation of live births. In addition, fewer application of ICSI in China may result in low fertility rate for patients with non-severe male infertility, which would give rise to controversy about ICSI or conventional IVF for fertilisation during ART in these population.

In view of this situation, we plan an adequately powered multicentre randomised controlled clinical trial to assess whether ICSI or conventional IVF is more effective in couples with non-severe male infertility.

**Methods and analysis**

**Study design**

We plan a multicentre, parallel, open-label, randomised controlled clinical trial (1:1 treatment ratio). The flow-chart followed Standard Protocol Items: Recommendations for Interventional Trials checklist showing enrolment, allocation, treatment and follow-up of participants is presented in figure 1. In addition, the schedule of enrolment, interventions and assessments during the study period is shown in table 1.

**Study setting**

The study will recruit participants from 10 reproductive medical centres across China: Peking University Third Hospital, International Peace Maternity and Child Health Hospital of Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Women’s Hospital of Zhejiang University, The Sixth Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, First Affiliated Hospital of Kunming Medical University, Haidian Maternal and Child Health Hospital, First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University, The Second Hospital of Hebei Medical University, The Third Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University and General Hospital of Ningxia Medical University.

An independent data and safety monitoring board (DSMB), with members with clinical and statistical expertise, will monitor the trial progress and interim results at regular intervals.

**Eligibility criteria**

Couples presenting to reproductive medical centre of the involved hospitals will be screened for following eligibility to be enrolled in our trial.

**Inclusion criteria**

1. Infertile couples scheduled for their first or second IVF/ICSI cycle.
2. Male partner has non-severe male infertility, defined as a semen concentrate 5–15×10⁶/mL or sperm with progressive motility (type a+b) 10%–32%.
3. Women received either gonadotrophin-releasing hormone agonist (GnRH-a) protocol or gonadotrophin-releasing hormone antagonist (GnRH-ant) protocol as their controlled ovarian hyperstimulation (COH) treatment.
4. Informed consent obtained.

**Exclusion criteria**

1. Couple with a contraindication for IVF or ICSI, including poorly controlled type 1 or type 2 diabetes mellitus; undiagnosed liver disease or dysfunction (based on serum liver enzyme test results); renal disease or abnormal serum renal function; anaemia; history of deep venous thrombosis, pulmonary embolus or cerebrovascular accident; uncontrolled hypertension or known symptomatic heart disease; history of (or suspected) cervical carcinoma, endometrial carcinoma or breast carcinoma; and unexplained colporrhagia.
2. Couples receiving donor sperm or donor eggs.
3. Couples undergoing preimplantation genetic testing.
4. Sperm concentration with progressive motility used for insemination <0.1×10⁶/mL on the day of oocyte retrieval.
5. Women with 0 oocyte retrieved.
7. Poor fertilisation in previous cycle (≤25%).

In this study, couples with various female indications for IVF will be included. The sperm parameters defining non-severe male infertility are evaluated according to the WHO fifth edition sperm parameter values and the
sperm parameters are subject to the latest sperm analysis. Participants have the right to decline participation during the whole process, and they can withdraw their consent at any time. Their consent or refusal to consent will not affect their conventional clinical treatments.

**Recruitment**

Infertile couples who come to the outpatient clinic or medical record of infertile couples who have received COH treatment will be screened by a dedicated research team. Eligible couples will then, before oocyte retrieval, explained by a member of the research team the trial details. After this information, couples will be offered time for consideration to decide whether to participate in the trial. Couples who agree to participate will be asked to sign the consent form in their next scheduled visit. An individual record of all non-recruited patients and
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study period</th>
<th>Enrolment</th>
<th>Pre-allocation</th>
<th>Allocation</th>
<th>Post-allocation</th>
<th>Close-out</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Content</td>
<td>Screening and baseline assessment</td>
<td>Controlled ovarian hyperstimulation</td>
<td>Oocyte retrieval and randomisation</td>
<td>Assessment of embryo</td>
<td>Evaluation of pregnancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time point</td>
<td>T₀–3month</td>
<td>T₁–1 month</td>
<td>T₂ 0 month</td>
<td>T₃ 1–3 days</td>
<td>T₄ 3 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enrolment</td>
<td>Eligibility screen ×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Informed consent ×</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allocation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interventions</td>
<td>ICSI ×</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Conventional IVF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessments</td>
<td>Baseline data ×</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Laboratory tests ×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td></td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fertilisation ×</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Embryo quality ×</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pregnancy tests ×</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pregnancy outcomes ×</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fetus information</td>
<td>×</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neonate information</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Safety assessment ×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ICSI, intracytoplasmic sperm injection; IVF, in vitro fertilisation.
reasons for exclusion will be obtained and stored. On the
day of oocyte retrieval, semen of patients who have signed
consent form will be analysed again for the exclusion
criteria. Ineligible patients will be further excluded from
our trial, continuing their conventional clinical proce-
dures instead.

Randomisation
Randomisation and allocation of eligible patients to study
groups will be performed on the day of oocyte retrieval.
This procedure will be performed by administrative staffs in
the trial centre not involved in the treatment procedure,
using an online trial system with a computer-generated randomisation list that allocates couples in a 1:1
ratio to ICSI or IVF, with a variable block size of four or
six stratified for centre. Stratified permuted block ran-
domisation will be centrally controlled.

Blinding
The trial was originally designed and performed as a
double-blind trial, in which participants and clinicians/ nurses who performed embryo transfer or follow-up, as
well as the investigators and assessors will be blinded until
the primary outcome occurred. While embryologists who
performed IVF and ICSI were not blinded. Recruitment
was slow due to the double-blind design, as participants
wanted to know about their allocation of fertilisation
method as soon as possible. Therefore, after recruitment
of 115 participants, the design was changed to an open-
label study: on the day of oocyte retrieval, administrative
staff in the IVF laboratory will log into the trial system to
randomise and allocate participants to receive either ICSI
or IVF. Initially, only embryologists will know the alloca-
tion. Participants and clinicians will be informed about
the randomised allocation on the day of embryo transfer
for participants with fresh embryo transfers and the day
of embryo freezing for couples with freeze-all strategies.
Prior to these dates, participants and clinicians will still
be unaware of randomisation allocation.

Interventions
Controlled ovarian hyperstimulation
All couples will receive COH treatment, which is
performed by standard routine according to each study
centre. The COH treatment includes either GnRH-a
protocol or GnRH-ant protocol, and the selection of
protocol will be done by physicians. In the GnRH-ant
protocol, participants will be injected Gonadotropin
(Gonal-F or Pouliquen or HMG) daily from cycle day 2
or 3. When at least one follicle has reached a diameter
(Gonal-F or Pouliquen or HMG) is 150–300
μg of hCG, 250 μg of hCG (Ovitrelle, 250 μg sc) will be
injected on trigger day.

Oocyte retrieval and preparation
Oocyte retrieval is scheduled for 36 hours (±2) after hCG
injection. Routine oocyte pick-up is performed under
transvaginal ultrasound guidance via 17–18G oocyte
needle with use of intravenous sedation. The
retrieved cumulus oocyte complexes (COCs) will be
placed in culture medium covered by lightweight paraffin
oil and incubated in a humidified 37°C, 5%/6% CO₂
incubator after oocyte retrieval immediately. Besides, the
COCs are incubated for 2–6 hours before insemination or
injection.

Semen preparation
Fresh ejaculate semen samples will be obtained by mastur-
bation after 2–7 days’ abstinence from sexual intercourse
on the day of oocyte retrieval. Sperm concentration and
progressive motility are assessed by computer-assisted
semen analysis according to the fifth edition of WHO
laboratory standards of human semen and sperm.37 All
semen samples are prepared by discontinuing density
gradient centrifugation or swim-up protocol according to
local routines. Microscopes (200–400 times) will be used
to observe whether there are serious abnormalities in
sperm morphology that could lead to fertilisation failure,
such as globozoospermia.

ICSI group
Oocytes in couples allocated to ICSI, will undergo ICSI
which has been previously described.38 In short, as the
enzymatic removal was done in oocyte preparation, the
denuded oocytes are examined to assess integrity and
maturity. Only those oocytes that have extruded the first
polar body (metaphase-II oocytes) will be microinjected.

IVF group
All the oocytes in couples allocated to IVF will be treated
by conventional IVF which is adhered to every study, in
which every oocyte will be inseminated by sperm with

progressive motility concentrate 0.1–0.2×10⁶–39–42 hours after hCG injection.

Assessment of fertilisation and embryo quality
Apart from the fertilisation procedure, assisted reproductive treatments will be similar for the two groups. Assessment of fertilisation is carried out about 16–18 hours (day 1) after fertilisation. Normal fertilisation was assessed by the presence of two pronuclei and a second polar body. The zygotes were cultured in cleavage medium to day 3, and the cleavage embryo quality will be observed at 67–69 (day 5) hours after fertilisation. The embryos are scored according to the quality, numbers, size of the blastomeres and the amount of anucleate fragmentation.

Embryo transfer and luteal support
Fresh or frozen-thawed embryo transfer will be decided by physicians according to conditions of patients. Transfer of fresh embryos is the usual practice when fresh embryos are available in all our study centres. In some cases, all embryos may be cryopreserved without a fresh-embryo transfer, most commonly to prevent the ovarian hyper-stimulation syndrome (OHSS). In addition, a freeze-all strategy will be used in the following scenarios: hydro-salpinx, elevated progesterone in hCG day, endometrial factors (endometrial polyps, endometrial cavity fluid and thin endometrium), systematic diseases (stomach-ache, fever or cold) and sudden accident of patients.

Fresh or frozen-thawed embryo transfer will be performed by physicians in 3 or 5 days following the day of oocytes collection for participants receive fresh embryo transfer, and 4–6 days after progesterone initiation for participants undergo frozen-thawed embryo transfer (within 6 months after oocyte aspiration). To reduce the risk of high-order multiple pregnancies, the number of embryos replaced will be limited up to two best-quality embryos in all study centres (one embryo would be transferred if there is uterine malformation, history of uterine surgery or caesarean section). Luteal support, as well as embryo freezing and thawing is performed by standard routines at each study centre, as we assume that the different protocols will be equally distributed in the interventional and control groups.

Follow-up
Urine and blood hCG will be measured 14 days after embryo transfer, and positive results indicate biochemical pregnancy. If the gestational sac is observed with ultrasonography on 7 weeks of gestation, clinical pregnancy will be confirmed. Ongoing pregnancy is defined by the presence of a gestational sac with fetal heartbeat after 12 weeks of gestation. In 6 weeks after delivery, the information of pregnancy (pregnancy complications and fetus information), delivery information (gestational age, delivery mode, placenta abnormality and/or delivery complications), infant information (such as sex, birth weight, birth defect) will be collected by completing forms designed for this visit.

Outcome measures

Primary outcome
Our primary outcome will be ongoing pregnancy leading to live birth after the first embryo transfer. Live birth will be defined as a delivery of one or more living infants.

Secondary outcomes
For the effectiveness of the treatment, we will record these secondary outcomes in terms of effectiveness:
1. Fertilisation: defined as number of zygotes with 2PN (per woman randomised and per oocyte retrieved).
2. Total fertilisation failure: defined as no oocyte formed 2PN in this given cycle.
3. Available embryo: defined as number of embryos ≥4 cells and ≤30% fragmentation (except embryos developed from ≥3 PN zygotes) on day 3 observation.
4. Good quality embryo: defined as number of embryos with ≥26 cells and ≤10% fragmentation developed from 2PN zygotes on day 3 observation.
5. Implantation: defined as the number of gestational sacs observed per embryo transferred.
6. Clinical pregnancy: defined as one or more observed gestational sac or definitive clinical signs of pregnancy under ultrasonography at 7 weeks of gestation (including clinically documented ectopic pregnancy).
7. Multiple pregnancy: defined as a pregnancy with two or more gestational sacs or positive heart beats at 7 weeks of gestation.
8. Ongoing pregnancy: defined as the presence of a gestational sac and fetal heartbeat after 12 weeks of gestation.

For the safety of the treatment, we will record the following treatment complications as secondary outcomes:
1. Moderate/severe OHSS: defined as exaggerated systemic response to ovarian stimulation characterised by a wide spectrum of clinical and laboratory manifestations. It is classified as mild, moderate or severe according to the degree of abdominal distention, ovarian enlargement, and respiratory, haemodynamic and metabolic complications.
2. Miscarriage: defined as the spontaneous loss of an intra-uterine pregnancy prior to 22 completed weeks of gestational age.
3. Ectopic pregnancy: defined as the implantation takes place outside the uterine cavity, confirmed by sonography or laparoscopy.

We will also collect the following obstetric and perinatal complications:
1. Gestational diabetes mellitus.
2. Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy (comprising pregnancy-induced hypertension, pre-eclampsia and eclampsia).
3. Antepartum haemorrhage, including placenta previa, placenta accreta and unexplained.
4. Preterm birth: defined as birth of a fetus delivered after 22 and before 37 completed weeks of gestational age in participants confirmed ongoing pregnancy.
5. Birth weight, including low birth weight (defined as weight <2500g at birth), very low birth weight (defined as <1500g at birth), high birth weight (defined as >4000g at birth) and very high birth weight (defined as >4500g at birth).

6. Large for gestational age (defined as a birth weight greater than the 90th centile of the sex-specific birth weight for a given gestational age reference) and small for gestational age (defined as a birth weight less than the 10th centile for gestational age).

7. Congenital anomaly (defined as structural or functional disorders that occur during intrauterine life and can be identified prenatally, at birth or later in life), including trisomy 13, 18, 21, neural tube defect, congenital heart disease, cleft lip, excessive numbers of fingers or toes, hydrocephalus. Clinical diagnosis of congenital anomaly is defined according to the International Classification of Diseases, revision 10 criteria.39

8. Perinatal mortality (defined as fetal or neonatal death occurring during late pregnancy (at 222 completed weeks of gestational age and later), during childbirth or up to seven completed days after birth).

9. Neonatal mortality (defined as death of a live born baby within 28 days of birth).

Data management

The data collected for the trial will be a mixture of routinely clinical data and information from follow-up, which are verifiable from the medical record. To guarantee the authentic study results, all of our researchers and clinicians are required to master all details about this study. All the characteristics in our study are collected at baseline and follow-up through a standard clinical electronic data collection system. All participant-identifiable data, such as consent forms, screening and identification logs will be stored in the investigator site files, accessible only to delegated members of the study team.

Safety reporting will be in accordance with plan and all adverse events will be recorded and informed DSMB. The DSMB will perform an interim analysis 3 months after the first 600 randomised participants have completed embryo transfer. They will do so using the endpoint ongoing pregnancy, as data on live birth will not be available. Also, the DSMB will oversee the severe adverse events (SAEs) that have occurred.

Sample size

Among couples with non-severe male infertility, the average live birth rate after IVF during 2014–2015 calculated over all study sites was 40% per cycle. Based on other studies within fertility care as well as the discussion by gynaecologist and methodologists, we assumed that the minimal clinical important difference to make ICSI preferable over IVF would be 7%. To demonstrate this difference with two-sided test, 5% alpha-error, 90% statistical power and taking consideration a dropout of 10%, we will need to enrol 1173 participants in each group, that is, a total of 2346 participants (the ratio between groups will be 1:1). For the interim analysis, we will use the Haybittle-Peto boundary. The significance level for the interim analysis will be 0.001 and for the final analysis 0.05.40

Statistical analysis

For continues variables, parameters normally distributed will be expressed as mean with SD and compared using Student’s t-test. If the parameters are non-normally distributed, their medians and IQRs will be reported, the Mann-Whitney U test will be utilised to test the distribution of these variables as well. For categorical variables, we will present the proportion between each group and distributions will be compared using Pearson’s χ² test and Fisher’s exact test when appropriate. Data analysis of this trial will follow intention-to-treat principle, in which all randomised women will be considered in the primary comparison between treatment groups. Per-protocol analysis will be conducted as a secondary analysis in participants who complied with protocol.

For missing values, a range of clinically plausible scenarios will be used to impute missing values in order to test the robustness of the findings. For losses to follow-up and protocol violations, we will attempt sensitive analyses to explore the effect of these factors on the trial findings. All tests will be two-tailed, and differences with p value <0.001 for interim analysis or p value <0.05 for final analysis are considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses will be performed with the SAS software package V.9.4. The statistical analysis will be done by an independent statistician, overseen by Clinical Epidemiology Research Center of Peking University Third Hospital. The analysis will be described in detail in a statistical analysis plan.

Patient and public involvement

This research was done without patient or public involvement. Neither patients nor the public were involved in the development of the research question, study design or implementation of this trial. Patients will not be invited to develop patient relevant outcomes or interpret the results, as well as the writing or editing of final manuscript for readability or accuracy. As interventions in our study are both routine procedures during clinical work, burden of the intervention is assessed by patients themselves.

Ethics and dissemination

The study is registered on ClinicalTrials.gov. Informed consent will be obtained from each participant before randomisation. The researchers will permit trial-related monitoring, audits, regulatory inspections, providing direct access to source data and documents. There are no additional data available in this study protocol.

Trial status

The recruitment in each study centre started in April 2018. The estimated end date of the last recruitment for this study is April 2020.
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