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ABSTRACT

Objectives Systematically review the qualitative literature on living with knee osteoarthritis from patient and carer perspectives.

Design Systematic review of qualitative studies. Five electronic databases (CINAHL, Embase, MEDLINE, PsycINFO, SPORTDiscus) were searched from inception until October 2018. Data were synthesised using thematic and content analysis.

Participants Studies exploring the experiences of people living with knee osteoarthritis, and their carers were included. Studies exploring experiences of patients having participated in specific interventions, including surgery, or their attitudes about the decision to proceed to knee replacement were excluded.

Results Twenty-six articles reporting data from 21 studies about the patient (n=665) and carer (n=28) experience of living with knee osteoarthritis were included. Seven themes emerged: (i) Perceived causes of knee osteoarthritis are multifactorial and lead to structural damage to the knee and deterioration over time (n=13 studies), (ii) Pain and how to manage it predominates the lived experience (n=19 studies), (iii) Knee osteoarthritis impacts activity and participation (n=16 studies), (iv) Knee osteoarthritis has a social impact (n=10 studies), (v) Knee osteoarthritis has an emotional impact (n=13 studies), (vi) Interactions with health professionals can be positive or negative (n=11 studies), (vii) Knee osteoarthritis leads to life adjustments (n=14 studies). A single study reporting the perspectives of carers reported similar themes. Psychosocial impact of knee osteoarthritis emerged as a key factor in the lived experience of people with knee osteoarthritis.

Conclusions This review highlights the value of considering patient attitudes and experiences including psychosocial factors when planning and implementing management options for people with knee osteoarthritis.

Trial registration number CRD42018108962

INTRODUCTION

The experience of living with chronic pain associated with knee osteoarthritis is multidimensional comprising biological dimensions such as subchondral bone pathology and inflammation,1 and psychological and social dimensions such as pain catastrophising, depression, avoidance of activities and social support.3-4 The current management of knee osteoarthritis is focussed on pain management to address biological dimensions (joint pathology), through joint-specific exercises, pharmacology and in advanced stages, joint replacement surgery.5 6 However, levels of pain and disability reported by people with osteoarthritis are poorly correlated with radiographic severity of joint pathology, suggesting other factors apart from biological dimensions can affect the experience of living with knee osteoarthritis.7 Further, knee replacement surgery to address joint pathology, does not always have a successful outcome. Only about 40% of patients report being pain-free 2 years after surgery,8 and about 20% were not satisfied with surgical outcome 1 year after surgery.9

The role of psychological and social dimensions in the management of knee osteoarthritis has received relatively little attention in comparison with management of joint pathology.2 In other chronic musculoskeletal conditions, the role of psychological support,6 7 mental health and psychological interventions have been shown to be cost-effective.2 10 11 The role of patient and carer perceptions about the management of knee osteoarthritis has not been well studied.
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Strengths and limitations of this study

► The systematic review was reported consistent with the Enhancing Transparency in Reporting the Synthesis of Qualitative Research and registered prospectively with PROSPERO.
► A comprehensive search strategy of qualitative studies about patient and carer perceptions about their lived experience with knee osteoarthritis was conducted.
► Comprehensive data synthesis was applied using thematic and content analysis leading to results that went beyond the summary of the selected studies.
► The findings of this review are limited to the experience of living with knee osteoarthritis, and not the experience of receiving specific interventions, including surgery.
► Exclusion of non-English language articles limits the generalisability as other cultures with other languages might have different perceptions of knee osteoarthritis.
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and social dimensions has been studied extensively.10 For example, in chronic low back pain, psychological and social factors have been shown to play a role in the persistence of pain, and interventions designed to target these factors can improve pain, disability and quality of life in this population.11 12 Targeting the psychological and social dimensions of knee osteoarthritis in addition to the biological dimensions, consistent with a biopsychosocial approach, may optimise outcomes. There is preliminary evidence from a systematic review and meta-analysis of 12 randomised controlled trials showing psychological interventions, such as cognitive behavioural therapy, are associated with short-term reductions in pain for people with knee osteoarthritis.13 Further, there is preliminary evidence from a randomised controlled trial that combining physiotherapist-delivered pain coping skills training with exercise therapy, can lead to greater improvements in function compared with either treatment alone.14 In order to design targeted interventions consistent with a biopsychosocial approach, we must first understand the psychological and social dimensions of knee osteoarthritis from the perspectives of people living with the condition.

Qualitative research provides insight into the lived experience of health and how individuals make sense of their health symptoms. Rather than relying on the a priori assumptions of researchers or clinicians, qualitative research prioritises the voice of the ‘expert’ participant, thus shedding light on aspects of the lived experience that cannot be reached by quantitative approaches.15 Two recent systematic reviews have synthesised qualitative research related to knee pain, including people living with osteoarthritis.16 17 Wride17 explored the feelings and experiences of people living with knee pain from nine studies, three of which included people with non-osteoarthritic related knee pain. This review found many people with knee pain struggle to adapt to normal living, and that their negative experiences were exacerbated by a lack of knowledge and available information to help them plan for the future. In another review, Smith et al.16 explored the perceptions of people diagnosed with hip and/or knee osteoarthritis from 32 studies (18 of which sampled people with knee osteoarthritis only) to determine their attitudes and perceptions towards living with their musculoskeletal condition. Participants in these studies reported a number of factors that contributed to their negative attitude and perception about their hip and/or knee osteoarthritis, such as their understanding of the pathology of osteoarthritis, the activity limitations they experienced and their perceptions of other people’s beliefs towards their condition.

The two previous systematic reviews synthesising qualitative data have limitations as they did not consider the experience of knee osteoarthritis separately to the experience of non-osteoarthritic related conditions (eg, Wride17), and to the experience of hip osteoarthritis (eg, Smith et al.16). Empirical evidence suggests hip and knee osteoarthritis are distinct conditions that impact people in different ways.18 In addition, neither review16 17 looked at the perspectives of carers. Those in the immediate social environment may exert an influence on how an individual copes with their condition. In the case of knee osteoarthritis, family members and significant others often adopt the role of carer. By investigating the perceptions and experiences of both patients and carers, health professionals can gain a greater understanding of how living with knee osteoarthritis effects their lives, which may lead to improved management of people with knee osteoarthritis.

Therefore, the aim of this study was to systematically review the qualitative literature on the experience of living with knee osteoarthritis from the perspectives of patients and carers.

**METHODS AND ANALYSIS**

**Design**

A systematic review of qualitative studies was conducted. The review was reported consistent with the Enhancing Transparency in Reporting the Synthesis of Qualitative Research.19

**Patient and public involvement**

Patients and public were not involved in the development of the research question, outcome measures or research design.

**Search strategy**

Five electronic databases (CINAHL, Embase, MEDLINE, PsycINFO, SPORTDiscus) were searched from inception until October 2018. The search strategy comprised two key concepts: knee osteoarthritis and qualitative research. For each concept, key words and Medical Subject Headings terms were combined using the ‘OR’ operator and the results were combined using the ‘AND’ operator (Appendix). The search results were downloaded into bibliographic software (Endnote V.18). Two reviewers independently reviewed the titles and abstracts according to the selection criteria (table 1). If eligibility was uncertain based on title and abstract, the full-text of the study was obtained. Reference lists of included articles were manually searched for additional relevant articles, and citation tracking of included articles was completed using Google Scholar.

**Eligibility criteria**

Studies reporting the experiences of people living with knee osteoarthritis, and their carers were included. Studies that explored experiences of participation in specific interventions for knee osteoarthritis, including perioperative management and attitudes about the decision to proceed to total knee replacement were excluded as the focus of the review was on the lived experience of knee osteoarthritis, and not about the response to treatment from receiving a specific intervention (table 1). Since the aim of our review was to explore the experience
of living with knee osteoarthritis, with a focus on the psychological and social dimensions, it was decided not to include studies that explored perceptions about biological interventions including surgery.

**Methodological quality of the included studies**

The Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) checklist was used to assess methodological quality of the included studies.\(^{20}\) The CASP checklist includes 10 questions in three sections about the validity of the results (questions 1 to 6), ethical considerations, trustworthiness and clarity of results (questions 7 to 9) and the value of the results (question 10). Two reviewers (JW, SB) independently answered each question as ‘yes’, ‘no’ or ‘can’t tell’, by reading the decision rules and instructions on how to interpret checklist criteria. Discrepancies between reviewers were discussed with a third reviewer (NT) until consensus was reached with the overall judgement scored as yes or no. The CASP checklist has been used in other qualitative systematic reviews in musculoskeletal research.\(^{21,22}\)

**Data collection process**

Data were extracted from each study on participant age, sex, disease severity and body mass index, where available. Data were also extracted on the study design including sample size, data collection method (eg, interview or focus group) and qualitative framework informing the analysis. From the results section of each included paper, we extracted the main themes and subthemes as outlined below.

**Data analysis**

Data were analysed using a three-stage approach adapted from Sandelowski and Barroso.\(^{23}\) In stage one, the results sections of each paper including direct quotations were read and re-read so the authors familiarised themselves with the content, prior to extracting main themes and subthemes. Themes and subthemes were then extracted and assigned descriptive codes using an inductive process. In stage two, the identified codes were then reviewed and codes were grouped together according to their topical similarity. In stage three, these groupings of codes were subsequently organised into themes and subthemes in a process of thematic analysis. To help understand the relative importance of the emergent themes and subthemes relative to each other, and consistent with content analysis methods, the number of studies that identified each theme was counted. The process of data extraction, initial coding, grouping of codes and identification of emergent themes and subthemes was completed by one researcher (NS). The data analysis process was subsequently checked independently by two other researchers (JW, NT) before the final themes and subthemes were confirmed by the research team.

**RESULTS**

**Study selection**

The search strategy yielded 720 articles. After screening the titles and abstracts of these articles, 42 underwent full text review. Sixteen articles were excluded after full text review resulting in a final library of 26 articles (figure 1). The most common reasons for exclusion were that articles were abstracts, and the results of knee osteoarthritis were not reported separately from osteoarthritis at other joints. The 26 included articles reported data from 21 studies (table 2) on the experience of living with knee osteoarthritis from the perspectives of people themselves (n=20) or their carers (n=1).

**Methodological quality of included studies**

All studies had a clear rationale for using qualitative methods, used appropriate qualitative designs and included explicit statements of findings that were

---

**Table 1 Selection criteria**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion criteria</th>
<th>Exclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Design and report** | • Qualitative studies  
• Reports lived experience of knee osteoarthritis  
• Full text article published in peer-reviewed journal  
• Primary research | • Questionnaires/surveys  
• Non-English language  
• Single case studies  
• Secondary analysis of qualitative data such as a systematic review |
| **Participants** | • Knee osteoarthritis  
• Perceptions of people diagnosed with knee osteoarthritis, and their carers  
• May include other conditions providing perceptions about knee osteoarthritis are reported separately | • Participants not identified as having knee osteoarthritis (eg, knee pain, anterior cruciate ligament injury) |
| **Interventions** | • No intervention  
• May include studies exploring perceptions about management, such as knee replacement, provided experiences about living with knee osteoarthritis are reported separately | • Explored experiences of patients having participated in interventions  
• Explored experiences about perioperative management of knee replacement  
• Explored attitudes about the decision to proceed to total knee replacement |

---

Seven major themes emerged from the data: (i) The perceived causes of knee osteoarthritis are multifactorial and lead to structural damage to the knee and deterioration over time, (ii) Pain and how to manage it predominates the lived experience, (iii) Knee osteoarthritis impacts activity and participation, (iv) Knee osteoarthritis has a social impact, (v) Knee osteoarthritis has an emotional impact, (vi) Interactions with health professionals can be positive or negative and (vii) Knee osteoarthritis leads to life adjustments. Themes were consistent between studies that included people with severe osteoarthritis and mild-to-moderate osteoarthritis. The study including cares (family members of the participants from one trial), captured six of the seven major themes, with no new themes identified by cares.

The perceived causes of knee osteoarthritis are multifactorial and lead to structural damage to the knee and deterioration over time

Thirteen studies reported what participants perceived the causes of knee osteoarthritis were. 

Perceived cause of knee osteoarthritis included internal factors (eg, being overweight, family history of osteoarthritis, ageing, working in occupations requiring heavy manual work such as extensive kneeling or lifting, past sporting activities and menopause) and external factors (eg, trauma and the weather). Participants perceived knee osteoarthritis as preventable or partially attributable to actions or incidents that were modifiable (eg, pushing too far or knee injury) had they changed their behaviour earlier in life. Participants in four studies expressed strong beliefs and concerns about their knee osteoarthritis being caused by structural deterioration using language such as ‘bone on bone’ with the joint worn away by movement. Carers of people with knee osteoarthritis attributed the cause of their relative’s knee osteoarthritis to ageing, working too hard or to unknown causes.

The prognosis of knee osteoarthritis was discussed by participants in six studies. Participants believed their symptoms would get worse over time as knee osteoarthritis was ‘a progressive degenerative disease’ and could not be ‘cured’. However, participants in one study also felt they could halt or slow the progression of their symptoms through diet and exercise.

Pain and how to manage it predominates the lived experience

The participants’ experience of pain and its management emerged as a theme in 19 studies. Pain was described by participants as the predominant ‘omnipresent’ feature of knee osteoarthritis. Pain was perceived to interrupt and deter daily activities such as walking, to make people less confident in their bodies and to slow people down. Participants in one study described two distinct patterns of pain: ‘mechanical’ pain described as ‘sharp’ pain related to discrete movements or activities, and ‘inflammatory’ pain described as a ‘burning’ pain which was more unpredictable and associated with the weather or prolonged activity. Pain was perceived as...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Demographics (N, age, sex, BMI)</th>
<th>Method: framework/analysis</th>
<th>Sampling</th>
<th>Data collection</th>
<th>Research questions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alami et al[24]</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>Knee osteoarthritis</td>
<td>n=81 71% women,</td>
<td>Descriptive -Inductive</td>
<td>Purposive</td>
<td>Individual interviews -semi-structured</td>
<td>Explore views of patients about management of knee osteoarthritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ahmad et al[26]</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>Knee osteoarthritis</td>
<td>n=12 Mean age 67 years 67% women</td>
<td>Thematic analysis</td>
<td>Purposive</td>
<td>Individual interviews -in-depth</td>
<td>Explore perspectives of patients with knee OA mainly about pain experiences, its impact, effects of physiotherapy and their personal expectation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-Tair et al[28]</td>
<td>Kuwait</td>
<td>Severe knee osteoarthritis -Kuwait women waitlisted for total knee replacement</td>
<td>n=39 Mean age 62 years 100% women</td>
<td>Thematic analysis</td>
<td>Convenience</td>
<td>Focus groups</td>
<td>Explore the pain experience and mobility limitation as well as the patient’s decision-making process to undertake total knee replacement among women with knee pain in the waiting list for surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carmona-Terés et al[21]</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Knee osteoarthritis -symptomatic</td>
<td>n=10 Mean age 70 years, 70% women</td>
<td>Content thematic analysis based on Lazarus stress model categories</td>
<td>Theoretical</td>
<td>Individual interviews -semi-structured</td>
<td>Understand experiences, perceptions, cognitive evaluation, values, emotions, beliefs and coping strategies of people with knee osteoarthritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chan and Chan[27]</td>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>Knee osteoarthritis -mild to very severe</td>
<td>n=20 Mean age 57 years, 65% women</td>
<td>Grounded theory</td>
<td>Convenience</td>
<td>Individual interviews -semi-structured</td>
<td>Evaluate influence of different pain patterns on quality of life Investigate coping strategies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarke et al[25]</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Knee osteoarthritis -symptomatic</td>
<td>n=24 Mean age 62 years, 71% women</td>
<td>Descriptive thematic analysis</td>
<td>Purposive</td>
<td>Individual interviews -semi-structured</td>
<td>Explore participant’s experience of living with knee osteoarthritis and their beliefs about knee osteoarthritis and its treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darlow et al[28]</td>
<td>NZ</td>
<td>Knee osteoarthritis</td>
<td>n=13 Age range 50–84 54% women</td>
<td>Interpretative description</td>
<td>Purposive</td>
<td>Individual interviews -semi-structured</td>
<td>Explore the beliefs of people with knee osteoarthritis about the disease, how these beliefs had formed and what impact these beliefs had on activity participation, health behaviour and self-management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fagg et al[23]</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Knee osteoarthritis -not actively seeking total knee replacement</td>
<td>n=94 Mean age 71 years 84% women</td>
<td>Content analysis</td>
<td>Purposive</td>
<td>Structured field interviews</td>
<td>Explore older urban Blacks with knee osteoarthritis to determine their preferences and expectations of total knee replacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hall et al[29]</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Unilateral knee osteoarthritis -scheduled for total knee replacement</td>
<td>n=15 Mean age 67 years 40% women</td>
<td>Grounded theory</td>
<td>Purposive</td>
<td>Individual interviews -semi-structured</td>
<td>Explore views of total knee replacement and the role of physiotherapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hendry et al[30]</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Knee osteoarthritis -mild-to-severe symptoms</td>
<td>n=22 Age range 52–86 years 73% women</td>
<td>Conceptual Framework</td>
<td>Convenience</td>
<td>Individual interviews -Focus Groups (n=6)</td>
<td>Explore the views of primary care patients with knee osteoarthritis towards exercise, and explore factors that determine acceptability and motivation to exercise, and barriers that limit its use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsu et al[22]</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>Family carers of people with knee osteoarthritis</td>
<td>n=28 Mean age 48 years, 46% women</td>
<td>Descriptive content analysis</td>
<td>Convenience</td>
<td>Individual interviews -semi-structured</td>
<td>Explore primary caregivers’ perceptions of their older relatives’ knee osteoarthritis pain and management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keysor et al[21]</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Knee osteoarthritis -presence of functional limitations</td>
<td>n=4 Age range 25–43 years, 75% women</td>
<td>Van Kaam method of phenomenological data analysis</td>
<td>Purposive</td>
<td>Individual interviews -semi-structured</td>
<td>Understand the experience of living with osteoarthritis as young and middle-aged adults</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kao and Tsai[27,25]</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>Knee osteoarthritis -symptomatic</td>
<td>n=17 Mean age 50 years, 82% women</td>
<td>Constant comparison</td>
<td>Purposive</td>
<td>Individual interviews -semi-structured</td>
<td>Understand the living and illness experiences of middle-aged adults with early knee osteoarthritis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Demographics (N, age, sex, BMI)</th>
<th>Method: framework/ analysis</th>
<th>Sampling</th>
<th>Data collection</th>
<th>Research questions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MacKay et al</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Knee osteoarthritis -moderately</td>
<td>n=51 Median age 49 years, 61%</td>
<td>Constructivist grounded Theory/ constant</td>
<td>Purposive</td>
<td>Focus groups</td>
<td>Explore the meaning and perceived consequences of knee symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>symptomatic</td>
<td></td>
<td>comparative method</td>
<td></td>
<td>Individual</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>interviews</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-semi-structured</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maly and Krupa</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Knee osteoarthritis</td>
<td>n=3 Age range 62–87 years, 61%</td>
<td>Descriptive phenomenology</td>
<td>Convenience</td>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>Understand the experience of living with knee osteoarthritis in older adults</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>interviews</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-semi-structured</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Man et al</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Knee osteoarthritis -waitlisted</td>
<td>n=8 Age range 46–80 years, 50%</td>
<td>Thematic analysis</td>
<td>Purposive</td>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>Explore the meaning and importance of occupational changes experienced by individuals during the pre-total knee replacement period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>for total knee replacement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>interviews</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-semi-structured</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morden et al</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Knee osteoarthritis -moderate</td>
<td>n=22 Age range 50–75 yrs, 59%</td>
<td>Constant comparison</td>
<td>Purposive</td>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>Explore the meaning and enactment of self-management in everyday life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ong et al</td>
<td></td>
<td>to severe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>interviews</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-in-depth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Diaries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nyvang et al</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Knee osteoarthritis -scheduled</td>
<td>n=12 Mean age 66 years, 58%</td>
<td>Thematic analysis</td>
<td>Purposive</td>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>Explore patients’ experiences of living with knee osteoarthritis when scheduled for total knee replacement and further their expectations for future life after surgery.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>for total knee replacement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>interviews</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-semi-structured</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tallon et al</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Knee osteoarthritis -mild</td>
<td>n=7</td>
<td>Content analysis</td>
<td>Convenience</td>
<td>Focus group</td>
<td>Explore perception of treatment preferences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>to moderate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victor et al</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Knee osteoarthritis</td>
<td>n=170 Mean age 63 years, 73%</td>
<td>Content analysis</td>
<td>Convenience</td>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>Explore meaning of osteoarthritis for those receiving health promotion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>interviews</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Group discussion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Diaries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xie et al</td>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>Knee osteoarthritis -symptomatic</td>
<td>n=41 Mean age 64 years, 66%</td>
<td>Grounded theory/content analysis</td>
<td>Purposive</td>
<td>Focus groups</td>
<td>Determine health-related quality of life domains affected by knee osteoarthritis, and identify ethnic variations in the importance of these domains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alami et al</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ahmad et al</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-Taiar et al</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carmona-Teresa et al</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chan and Chan</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarke et al</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pouli et al</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darlow et al</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figaro et al</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hall et al</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hendry et al</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsu et al</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keysor et al</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mackay et al</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maly et al</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Man et al</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morden et al</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ong et al</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nyvang et al</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tal ions et al</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victor et al</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xie et al</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N: No; Y: Yes.
insurmountable when there was no foreseeable end to it and made some participants feel ‘old’. Carers reported
their relatives with knee osteoarthritis rarely mentioned pain until they needed help. Participants reported
managing their pain with medication but that this was not always a satisfactory strategy due to feelings of depend-
dence, undesirable side-effects and only partial relief from symptoms. Other pain management strategies described
were activity-related (including exercise, avoidance of certain activities, brief rest, pacing and physiotherapy),
psychological-related (having a positive life philosophy, humour, continuing to engage in pleasurable activi-
ties), passive treatment modalities (including ice, heat, massage, Chinese traditional medicine) and weight loss.
Some believed joint replacement was inevitable and the only real solution for their pain. In contrast, participants from one study preferred a natural solution only as they had a negative perception of surgery and saw it as a last resort.

Knee osteoarthritis impacts activity and participation
Participants in 16 studies reported functional limitations due to their knee osteoarthritis particularly mobility restrictions. Participants predominantly reported limitations in movements involving weight-bearing such as standing, stair climbing, squatting, carrying, lifting, kneeling, bending; limitations in self-care activities such as dressing, toileting, sleeping, cooking; limitations in leisure pursuits such as walking, gardening, sport and other forms of exercise, and a fear of falling. Living with knee osteoarthritis was reported by participants to reduce their physical activity and exercise, and to become sedentary. Participants described the impact on physical activities and associated this with the severity of their knee osteoarthritis. The combined consequences of pain and functional limitations was an inability for some participants to participate in paid employment, or a reduction in work hours affecting household income, or other impacts on work such as requiring modifications, tiring easily or being less efficient. For others, living with knee osteoarthritis meant a loss of independence, and a loss of sleep.

Knee osteoarthritis has a social impact
Participants in 10 studies felt their knee osteoarthritis had a substantial social impact. It limited their ability to stay socially connected because of reduced participation in leisure activities and because of difficulties with taking public transport. For some participants, the inability to take part in socially-based physical activity, such as walking with friends or playing sport was the most difficult aspect of this condition. Participants described social isolation marked by doing fewer activities outside of home. Participants felt mobility limitations made it conspicuous to others that they had poor health. Living with knee osteoarthritis reduced their enjoyment of activities, particularly when travelling. Others described a change in their social relationships conveying that they related more to older individuals with health problems. Participants also described the repercussions of knee osteoarthritis on family life, reporting difficulties taking care of the family including looking after grandchildren and playing with their children.

Knee osteoarthritis has an emotional impact
Thirteen studies reported data on the emotional impact participants said they experienced as a result of having knee osteoarthritis. Living with knee osteoarthritis was described as being ‘difficult’ and often described as having a negative impact on the participant’s mood, resulting in feelings of loss, anxiety, inadequacy, frustration, irritability, emotional distress, depression, embarrassment, fear for the future and uncertainty of the outcomes of knee pain. Carers reported their relatives with knee osteoarthritis could lose their temper easily when experiencing severe pain. Some participants reported their mobility limitations in particular devalued their sense of self-worth because mobility was integral to their identity. Living with knee osteoarthritis made them feel like ‘a partial person’, ‘less valuable’ and losing their identity, since they had to give up something that was part of their normal life. Other participants talked of a reduced sense of control or of being ‘lost’ after being ‘told’ to elim-
inate athletic activities and change their lifestyles. Other participants reported grieving for activities they could no longer take part in, or their vision of ageing. Participants in one study felt the unpredictability and uncertainty of living with knee osteoarthritis caused the most stress. While participants in another study said they dreamed of regaining their previous level of physical activity, their knee was a major barrier to achieving their dreams.

Interactions with health professionals can be positive or negative
Eleven studies explored the interactions people with knee osteoarthritis described having with health profes-
sionals. Participants said the impact of their diagnosis was a positive step towards successful management; although for people with low expectations of treatment, the impact of their diagnosis resulted in limited contact with health professionals. Participants who had positive interactions with health professionals described being listened to, being offered hope for the future and being provided with recommendations for managing knee osteoarthritis including weight loss and exercise. Participants who had negative experiences interacting with health professionals described their dissatisfaction with receiving limited information about their condition and the management options available including ways to avoid aggravating their condition, a sense of not being listened to, not being given sufficient attention or not understanding the information provided to them. For example, in one study, participants recounted how their symptoms were viewed by health
professionals as something that could not be changed, which they ‘just had to live with’ or were dismissed as an inevitable part of ageing.

Knee osteoarthritis leads to life adjustments

Fourteen studies, reported participants’ descriptions of adjusting to having knee osteoarthritis in terms of role changes or modifications, ownership of their health management, awareness of their condition and developing coping strategies. Participants described taking measures to alleviate their symptoms and protect their knee joint including lifestyle adjustments by keeping active and controlling their weight, adapting their work, modifying activities or postures to manage everyday routines (eg, climbing stairs less frequently and looking for escalators, not carrying heavy things, planning ahead, looking for places to sit, avoiding situations whereby pain would be intolerable and avoiding public transport) and seeking out health-related information. In one study, participants described living with knee osteoarthritis as a balancing act recognising the health benefits from being physically active as well as beliefs about future joint deterioration and pain. Two studies described a ‘tipping point’ whereby participants arrived at the point where they were giving up all their enjoyable activities with an extensive feeling of loss, and felt their best option was a knee replacement.

DISCUSSION

This systematic review provides insights into the experience of living with knee osteoarthritis as described by the seven emergent themes. While the experience of persistent pain and disability were the main features of everyday living with knee osteoarthritis, psychological and social factors such as emotional distress, loss of social contact and fear for the future were commonly expressed concerns of the participants. Other common views were the perceptions of knee osteoarthritis as an inevitable part of ageing, attributing their osteoarthritic knee to ‘wear and tear’ and finding ways to adjust their lives until they reach the ‘tipping point’ characterised by a perceived need for a knee replacement. A theme highlighted was unsatisfying relationships between people with knee osteoarthritis and healthcare professionals if there was limited information about the knee osteoarthritis and effective management options. Importantly, patient and health professional interactions were also perceived to provide a positive step towards effective management, particularly when health professionals listened to their patients, conveyed hope for the future and provided recommendations for managing knee osteoarthritis.

This review, comprising data from 21 studies involving 665 people with knee osteoarthritis and 28 carers, adds to the literature by highlighting the magnitude of the psychosocial impact of living with knee osteoarthritis that permeates all aspects of life. A previous systematic review of the experience of hip and knee osteoarthritis focussed on the functional impacts of osteoarthritis, as well as people’s lack of understanding and the stigma of their disease. One small previous review of nine studies focussed on the lived experience of knee pain, but did not limit this to osteoarthritis. While the assessment of the lived experience of a health condition should be disease-specific, the finding by Wride that ‘knee pain affects every aspect of life, redefining what people are able to do, who they do it with and how they do it’ complements our findings among people with knee osteoarthritis.

The anxiety, depression and feeling of hopelessness that we identified in our review only recently received attention in published clinical practice guidelines. For example, clinical practice guidelines for management of knee and hip osteoarthritis emphasise the importance of a holistic assessment to ascertain the impact of osteoarthritis on the whole person. This includes specific recommendations for a psychosocial evaluation to identify unique factors that may affect a person’s quality of life and participation in usual activities, and to embed patient-centred care principles in the management of patients with knee osteoarthritis. Patient-centred care encourages patient participation in decision-making and communication with patients about their management options. Hence, offering a psychological intervention such as cognitive behavioural therapy may be important to improve the lived experience and self-management of osteoarthritis. Recent Australian clinical practice guidelines conditionally recommend offering cognitive behavioural interventions (eg, pain coping skills training) delivered by trained health professionals to people with knee osteoarthritis presenting with psychological impairments. Combined with exercise, the guidelines suggest these interventions may improve pain, self-efficacy, pain coping, depression and anxiety.

Psychological and social factors such as emotional distress, concerns about disability and learning to live with pain have been identified among people living with other chronic musculoskeletal pain conditions. Some of the experiences of living with knee osteoarthritis we identified, such as the perception among the participants in the included studies that their condition was an inevitable part of ageing, the perceived poor prognosis due to the ‘progressive degenerative disease’ and the pre-occupation with the existing damage to their joint and their perceived need for surgery have also been recognised in people with low back pain. An explanation for the perception of ‘damage’ for people with knee osteoarthritis is likely to have been influenced by the results of imaging as well as the messages people receive from their health professionals. This highlights the importance that health professionals not only focus on reducing joint-related pain and improving function, but to also include strategies to dispel patient misconceptions about knee osteoarthritis. Strategies may include providing education that osteoarthritis is not a ‘wear and tear’ disease, that it does not necessarily worsen with ageing and that people can remain healthy and active with osteoarthritis.
strategy could be to apply audit and feedback which has been used to change clinician behaviour in the management of other clinical groups.\textsuperscript{57} Audit and feedback to health professionals could be applied to improve the education and language used to describe osteoarthritis, to overcome and dispel patient misconceptions as well as help patients participate in decisions about their management.\textsuperscript{58} It may also be important that carers are invited to be involved in conversations and education sessions with health professionals. This approach could potentially dispel carer misconceptions about the causes of osteoarthritis and its management, may be empowering for family members,\textsuperscript{59} and may lead to improved patient adherence to treatment and better outcomes.

The overall findings highlight the importance of equipping patients and carers with information and self-management strategies to reduce the impact of knee osteoarthritis on their lives, beyond simply providing information about osteoarthritis. In particular to improve their psychosocial well-being, by reducing pain, maintaining function, increasing social and physical activity participation, helping patients to remain in employment and achieve optimal mental health. For example, one option to address patients’ harmful beliefs and attitudes towards pain and damage is to address the negative or mistaken language and beliefs about their knee through education. Emphasising facts such as ‘hurt does not equal harm’ and ‘exercise is safe’\textsuperscript{60} and dismissing myths such as ‘exercise is damaging’\textsuperscript{61} may be fundamental to alter people’s negative attitudes and may be best combined with interventions such as exercise programmes to potentially improve patients’ overall perception of their knee. Beliefs about a health condition are formed not only from personal experiences, but also from observing others and external sources of information such as the media. Thus, negative beliefs about knee osteoarthritis can predate the onset of the condition.\textsuperscript{62} Therefore, there may be a role for public health campaigns to dispel myths about knee osteoarthritis across society more broadly.

The main limitation of this systematic review was the exclusion of studies exploring patients’ perceptions of interventions they received such as exercise or perioperative management for knee osteoarthritis. These were excluded because experiences in response to biological interventions would be expected to be different from the daily experience of living with knee osteoarthritis (the focus of this review), and should be the subject of further study. Only one study reported carer perceptions about living with knee osteoarthritis. Although the themes identified in this single study converged with six of the seven themes, further enquiry may be required to confirm their perceptions. Further, given the pattern of recurring themes we identified, it is unlikely that the inclusion of subsequent studies would have substantially added to the themes we described in this review. Finally, exclusion of non-English language articles limits the generalisability as other cultures with other languages might have different perceptions of knee osteoarthritis.

CONCLUSION

This review highlighted the value of taking patient attitudes and experiences into account, consistent with patient-centred care, when planning and implementing management options for people with knee osteoarthritis. These findings could inform clinical practice guidelines, to help clinicians better understand the lived experience of knee osteoarthritis, optimise the patient-clinician interaction and provide insights into how patient education may be conducted. These findings could also lead to new research questions to address patients lived experience with knee osteoarthritis and interventions to target modifiable psychological and social factors.
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