BMJ Open Risk factors for further sick leave among Japanese workers returning to work after an episode of major depressive disorder: a prospective follow-up study over 1 year

Hikaru Hori,¹ Asuka Katsuki,¹ Kiyokazu Atake,¹ Reiji Yoshimura,¹ Jun Nakamura,² Bernhard T Baune^{3,4,5}

To cite: Hori H, Katsuki A, Atake K, *et al.* Risk factors for further sick leave among Japanese workers returning to work after an episode of major depressive disorder: a prospective follow-up study over 1 year. *BMJ Open* 2019;**9**:e029705. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2019-029705

► Prepublication history for this paper is available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2019-029705>).

Received 11 February 2019
Revised 21 August 2019
Accepted 23 August 2019

ABSTRACT

Objectives We aimed to investigate the risk factors for further sick leave episodes among Japanese workers returning to work after time off with a major depressive disorder.

Design A prospective study with 1 year of follow-up.

Participants We recruited 103 workers who had returned to work after taking sick leave with a major depressive disorder. Adjusted HRs with 95% CIs were calculated using Cox proportional hazard models to examine the risk of further sick leave.

Results In the adjusted analysis, we show that Social Adaptation Self-evaluation Scale scores (HR 0.95; $p=0.019$), 3-back correct response rate (N-back test) (HR 0.97; $p<0.001$) and benzodiazepine dosage (diazepam equivalent) (HR1.07; $p=0.014$) were associated with further episodes of sick leave.

Conclusions Poorer social and cognitive functioning, together with higher diazepam dosages, were associated with an increased likelihood of additional sick leave.

INTRODUCTION

Major depressive disorder (MDD) is the most common psychiatric illness, affecting some 300 million people worldwide.¹ The disorder has a significant negative impact on normal functioning and damages work-related outcomes, such as employment status, work productivity and sick leave.^{2–6} In Japan, an increasing number of patients take sick leave because of MDD, and although many could return to work after treatment, they frequently take additional sick leave or resign.⁷ Thus, in addition to improving and stabilising depressive symptoms, ongoing treatment for depression should be to achieve full recovery of social function and continued employment. Factors influencing the ability to work include the severity of a person's depression, social adjustment, and cognitive impairment.^{8,9}

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This is the first prospective follow-up study into the predictors of further episodes of sick leave episodes among Japanese workers.
- The study assessed depressive symptoms, social adaptation and cognitive function to predict the risk of further sick leave.
- We analysed and confirmed several factors associated with further sick leave episodes based on follow-up data over 1 year.
- Unfortunately, the study has a small sample size and the results lack generalisability.
- Despite the broad focus of our assessments, we cannot exclude the possibility that negative emotion bias, social cognition or other unexamined factors affected our results.

There is a lack of prospective research in the English literature investigating factors related to return to work and continuing to work after sick leave for MDD. Various studies have evaluated risk factors for relapse/recurrence of depression,^{10–18} showing that risk increased with factors such as a history of previous episodes, the presence of residual symptoms and decreased psychosocial functioning after the last episode. However, these studies were only performed in health-care or hospital settings. When considering functioning at work, the requirement for additional sick leave directly causes loss of productivity and can be seen as a significant and measurable outcome. This is unlike issues around reduced functioning at work that may require more input.

We hypothesised that several factors may contribute to the need for additional sick leave. In this study, we assessed depressive symptoms, social adaptation and cognitive

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2019. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Dr Hikaru Hori;
hor-h@med.uoeh-u.ac.jp

Figure 1 Study design. DSM-IV-TR, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, fourth edition, text revision; HAM-D, Hamilton Depression Rating Scale; MDD, major depressive disorder; SASS, Social Adaptation Self-evaluation Scale.

function in patients when they decided to return to work and examine the factors that affected continued employment over the course of a year.

METHODS

Study design

This was a prospective observational follow-up study of a cohort of patients diagnosed with MDD (figure 1). All participants had taken sick leave and showed a desire to return to the same workplace. On deciding to return to work, we conducted three assessments of functioning and performance within 2 weeks of return: depression symptom severity, cognitive functioning and social adaptation. After their returning, participants were followed up prospectively every month by experienced psychiatrists who evaluated their clinical health and working status (figure 2). In total, follow-up lasted 1 year.

We obtained written informed consent from all participants prior to their involvement in the study.

Participants

We included patients who met the following criteria: (1) attending outpatient services of our university hospital; (2) aged 20–65 years; (3) diagnosed with MDD

according to the criteria of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, fourth edition, text revision (DSM-IV-TR); (4) a Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAM-D)¹⁹ total score ≤ 13 and (5) currently on sick leave. We excluded patients who had any comorbid central nervous system disorders or severe psychotic symptoms, as well as any patient who met the DSM-IV criteria for alcohol or other substance dependence or for mental retardation. All participants were required to be able to understand the study protocol.

The diagnosis of depression was established based on the structured clinical interview for DSM-IV²⁰ and a comprehensive review of the patients' medical records. The criteria used to define a person's ability to return to work were fourfold: (1) the depression had improved to 13 or less on the HAM-D; (2) the worker showed a desire to return to the workplace; (3) an attending psychiatrist determined that return to work was possible and (4) an occupational physician determined that return to work was possible.

Clinical measures

Depression symptom severity was assessed with the 17-item HAM-D.¹⁹

Figure 2 Clinical timeline for the study. DSM-IV-TR, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, fourth edition, text revision; HAM-D, Hamilton Depression Rating Scale; SASS, Social Adaptation Self-evaluation Scale.

Table 1 Baseline participant demographics

Predictor	Total
Age, years	40.6±10.6
Sex, male/female	75/28
Education, years	13.8±2.5
Job changes, number (range)	1.2±0.4 (0–5)
Sickness absences, number	2.4±1.1
Admissions, number	0.69±0.85
Marital status, single/married	42/61
Daily antidepressant dosage, average, mg/day*	126.5±62.0
Daily benzodiazepine dosage, average, mg/day†	6.85±5.22
HAM-D	5 (0–13)
SASS	29.3±7.2
WAIS digit span, total	14.4±2.8
CPT	
Correct response rate	0.85±0.13 (0.45–1.00)
Correct response reaction time, ms	537.4 (335.7–835.5)
False response rate	0.15±0.10
False response reaction time, n=94	601.76±107.83
N-back	
0-back correct response, %	94.8±7.7
0-back response time, ms	619.0±122.2
2-back correct rate, %	58.6±21.3
2-back response time, ms	701.8±269.2
3-back correct rate, %	56.0±17.7
3-back response time, ms	704.7±202.9
Verbal fluency task	
Letter fluency	40.2±9.1
Category fluency	48.1±8.7

*Imipramine equivalent.

†Diazepam equivalent. Data are reported as mean±SD or as number (IQR).

CPT, continuous performance task; DSM-IV-TR, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders fourth edition text revision; HAM-D, Hamilton Depression Rating Scale; SASS, Social Adaptation Self-evaluation Scale; WAIS, Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale.

Impaired social functioning, defined as ‘an individual’s ability to perform and fulfil normal social roles’,²¹ was assessed using the Japanese version of the Social Adaptation Self-evaluation Scale (SASS). This 21-item scale was developed by Bosc *et al* to evaluate social functioning through patient self-report.²² The reliability and validity of the Japanese version have been confirmed.²³ Contained within the SASS are 21 complementary questions relating to the subject’s work, relationships with family and friends, intellectual interests, hobbies, satisfaction with one’s own performance and ability to manage one’s own environment.²² Each question is scored from 0 to 3, and the test is completed in about 10 min. The total score ranges from 0 to 60, with a higher score indicating better social adaptation.

Tests of cognitive function

The DSM-IV-TR defines cognitive symptoms of MDD by impairments in decision making, attention and coordination and maintenance of information in working memory. The finding that cognitive deficits occur across multiple domains suggests that, rather than interfering with a specific modality, depression interferes with underlying cognitive faculties and the coordination of cognitive subsystems. Crucial to daily functioning, our cognitive abilities are also pivotal to the severity of several cognitive difficulties associated with depression. Cognitive therapy targets improving cold cognition, with the rationale that this will lead to better performance in hot cognitive tasks, thereby improving everyday function. Moreover, cognitive impairments, by interacting with emotional and social factors, may maintain or exacerbate depression and lead to recurrent episodes.^{24 25} In this study, we therefore perform several cognitive assessments.

We assessed forward sequencing and backward sequencing tasks with the digit span task subscale of the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale,²⁶ which primarily assesses auditory short-term memory. The points of the respective tasks were summed and reported as the raw score.

We evaluated sustained attention and vigilance by the Continuous Performance Task (CPT), using the CPT-Identical Pairs software as described previously.²⁷ On a computer screen, a four-digit number was displayed as a single stimulus, and patients were required to click the mouse as quickly as possible while the stimulus continues (one stimulus is shown for 50 ms, and the interval between stimuli is 950 ms). Patients completed 150 trials, 30 of which involve the target. We recorded the correct response rate, correct hit reaction time, false alarm rate and false alarm reaction time.

We used the N-back test^{28 29} to assess working memory, which uses working memory task software that requires participants to update their mental set continually while responding to a previously seen stimuli (ie, numbers).^{28 29} The stimulus duration was set to 0.4s and the interval between stimuli was set to 1.4s. We used 0-back, 2-back and 3-back conditions and reported performance as the percentage of correct responses (accuracy, %) and the reaction times.

Verbal fluency tasks were performed over the letter fluency and category fluency domains.^{30 31} Letter fluency was assessed in three separate trials in which patients were given 60s to generate as many as words as possible that begin with the letters *a*, *ka* and *shi*. Category fluency was also assessed in three separate trials in which patients were given 60s each to name as many words as possible within the categories *animal*, *sport* and *job*. In both fluency tests, the outcome measure is the total number of words.

To avoid potential confounding factors, we collected the following background information: gender, age, occupation, job rank, number of job switches and number of previous sick leaves at the time of return to work. We also collected information about daily antidepressant and

Table 2 Cox proportional HR estimates for an unadjusted analysis of predictors of time to additional sick leave

Variable	HR	95% CI	P value
Age	0.98	0.96 to 1.01	0.221
Sex	1.89	1.07 to 3.32	0.028
Number of job changes	1.10	0.92 to 1.32	0.302
Number of sickness absences	1.02	0.79 to 1.32	0.863
HAM-D	1.02	0.93 to 1.11	0.707
SASS	0.95	0.91 to 0.99	0.009
Marital status	0.90	0.64 to 1.27	0.563
Number of admissions	0.96	0.66 to 1.40	0.823
WAIS digit span total	1.00	0.94 to 1.07	0.982
CPT correct response rate	1.47	0.18 to 11.87	0.719
CPT correct response reaction time	1.00	1.00 to 1.00	0.695
CPT false response rate	1.22	0.98 to 1.06	0.881
CPT false response reaction time	1.00	1.00 to 1.00	0.141
0-back correct response rate	1.02	0.98 to 1.06	0.409
0-back response time	1.00	1.00 to 1.00	0.058
2-back correct response rate	1.00	0.99 to 1.01	0.877
2-back response time	1.00	1.00 to 1.00	0.24
3-back correct response rate	0.97	0.96 to 0.99	<0.001
3-back response time	1.00	1.00 to 1.00	0.031
Letter fluency	1.00	0.97 to 1.03	0.969
Category fluency	1.00	0.97 to 1.03	0.877
Imipramine equivalent	1.00	0.99 to 1.00	0.436
Diazepam equivalent	1.04	0.99 to 1.10	0.083

CPT, continuous performance task; HAM-D, Hamilton Depression Rating Scale; SASS, Social Adaptation Self-evaluation Scale; WAIS, Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale.

benzodiazepine dosages and converted the data into daily imipramine and diazepam equivalents, respectively.³²

Statistical analysis

We conducted all statistical tests using IBM SPSS V.22.0 for Windows (IBM, Armonk, New York, USA). We expressed continuous variables with a normal distribution as means±SD, and those with skewed distributions as medians with IQRs. We present categorical data as numbers or percentages.

Table 3 Cox proportional HR estimates for the adjusted analysis of predictors of time to additional sick leave

Variable	HR	95% CI	P value
SASS	0.95	0.92 to 0.99	0.019
3-back correct response rate	0.97	0.95 to 0.99	<0.001
Benzodiazepine dosage	1.07	1.01 to 1.12	0.014

SASS, Social Adaptation Self-evaluation Scale.

Follow-up assessments were performed 1 year after the subjects' return to work to examine the factors affecting their ability to continue working. Assessments were done by Cox proportional hazard modelling, using the step-wise method to include variables with a p value of <0.2. The working duration from the time of return to work to additional sick leave was used as the dependent variable, and we adjusted the analyses for background data (ie, sex, age, occupation, job rank, number of job switches and number of sick leaves), daily imipramine equivalent dose and daily diazepam equivalent dose at the time of return to work.

Patient and public involvement

Patients and/or public were not involved in the design or planning of the study.

RESULTS

We enrolled 103 patients diagnosed with MDD (75 males and 28 females) aged 21–65 years. Participants tended to be middle aged, had approximately one job change on average and an average of 2.4±1.1 absences due to sickness. The mean HAM-D score at baseline was 6.1±3.2, which had decreased from 11.04±2.07 at screening, and the mean SASS score was 29.3±7.2. During the study, 99 patients (96.1%) were treated with antidepressants, with the most common being sertraline (n=24), paroxetine (n=20), duloxetine (n=18), milnacipran (n=14), fluvoxamine (n=10), mirtazapine (n=8) and escitalopram (n=5). All baseline demographic data are summarised in table 1.

Incidence of additional sick leave

We found that 52 of the 103 participants (50.5%) had continued working over the 1-year follow-up period. The reasons for additional sick leave included relapse (n=28), retiring for personal reasons (eg, interpersonal relationships, work environment stress and family relations) (n=14), being laid off or fired (n=6) and unknown factors (n=3).

Factors of additional sick leave: unadjusted analysis

Table 2 shows the results of the unadjusted Cox regression analysis. Sex, low SASS score, the 3-back correct response rate and the 3-back response time were each significant as predictors of additional sick leave at the p<0.2 threshold.

Factors affecting additional sick leave: adjusted analysis

Factors affecting additional sick leave in the Cox adjusted analysis are shown in table 3. Given that we hypothesised that several factors may contribute to additional sick leave, we conducted an adjusted analysis by forward step-wise selection, using all 23 items: age, gender, education, number of job changes, number of sickness absences, number of admissions, marital status, antidepressant dosage, benzodiazepine dosage, HAM-D score, SASS score, CPT result (ie, correct and false response rates and reaction times), N-back result (ie, 0-back, 2-back, 3-back

correct response rates and times) and verbal fluency task result (letter and category fluency). We observed a strong correlation between letter and category fluencies in the unadjusted analysis and excluded both from the adjusted analysis.

Selection for the Cox proportional hazard model via the stepwise method identified the SASS score, correct response rate in the 3-back task and benzodiazepine dosage as relevant variables at the point of return to work. Notably, the SASS score and 3-back correct response rate were associated with a decreased risk of further absence, whereas the benzodiazepine dosage was associated with an increased risk.

DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to use prospective data, collected from the time a worker decided to return to work after sick leave for MDD, to predict continued employment. Our focus on workers sets this study apart from other research into MDD, showing that the SASS score, the performance on the 3-back task and the benzodiazepine dosage were associated with continued employment.

Deficits in social skills (ie, lack of smiling, a sad facial expression, avoidance of eye contact, monotonic speech and lack of verbal responses) are demonstrably common among those with low levels of social contact and in depressed patients.^{33 34} Such behaviour has been found to result in social rejection by strangers and friends,³⁵ and has also been suggested to play an important role in the aetiology and maintenance of depressive symptoms. Thus, social adaptation skills are considered important for adjustment to the workplace and in the aetiology of depressive disorders. It is therefore plausible that higher SASS scores have a preventative effect on a person taking additional sick leave.

The N-back task assesses working memory, with any increase in the size of N shown to be associated with an increase in the subjective difficulty of a task. Previous research has indicated that the greater task difficulty associated with higher 'n' values in n-back tasks elicited greater activity in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, which has a role in working memory.³⁶ In this study, we observed no differences for the 0-back or 2-back tasks, but did find a significant difference for the more difficult 3-back task. Critically, therefore, residual cognitive impairment may induce occupational and social dysfunction.^{8 9 37 38} This finding has clear relevance to clinical practice.

We also found that the benzodiazepine dosage was related to work continuation. Although benzodiazepines have the potential to decrease cognitive function, we observed no correlation in this study between benzodiazepine dosage when a subject decided to return to work and their cognitive function. While a finding of this type is difficult to interpret, a possibility is that returning to work may have been easier for patients with severe depression, for whom psychiatric symptoms gradually improve

when treated with both antidepressants and benzodiazepines. In contrast to our findings, Rizvi *et al*² compared an employed and an unemployed group, and their results showed that the latter had a significantly higher rate of benzodiazepine use. Although it was unclear if this reflected an effect of benzodiazepines alone, it appears that use above certain dose thresholds affects employment and continued employment after return to work.

We noted a 50.5% (52/103) period of continued employment for 1 year after reinstatement in this study, which is low given that we included workers with MDD who were in remission (mean HAM-D-17 score: 6.1). The incidence of sick leave and repeated sick leave in Japan is reportedly greater than that in other countries, which may reflect a culture of absenteeism.³⁹ Recurrence and personal reasons were the main reasons for additional sick leave. In the future, we plan to investigate whether the rate of continued employment after reinstatement can be increased by cognitive incentives that increase social adaptation.

There are several limitations to this study. First, the sample size was small, and given the relatively large number of explanatory variables, this may have reduced the reliability. Second, this study was conducted in a specific region of Japan, which may preclude the possibility of generalisation to other occupations and regions. Third, although we set minimum standards for reinstatement, the difference in the standards for reinstatement and the amount of overtime at each participating company may have influenced our results. Fourth, we did not record the number of depressive episodes, the duration of the current episode, the severity of previous depressive episodes, the history of treatment resistance or the period of previous sick leave. These factors could have contributed significantly to relapse and the need for additional leave. Fifth, we used forward stepwise selection. It can miss important confounders that have offsetting effects. Sixth, the results of Cox regression analysis suggest that the influence of each factor on the success of return to work rate is small individually, making it difficult to use them to predict successful return to work. Finally, we focused on psychiatric symptoms, social adaptation and neurocognitive functions, but negative emotional biases and social cognitions, as well as other unexamined factors, likely affected the success of reinstatement. Future studies should therefore consider a wider range of variables.^{40 41}

In conclusion, our study suggests that social adaptation, working memory function and benzodiazepine dosage are associated with the ability to continue working following a return to work after recovery from MDD. These factors should therefore be considered in clinical settings when reviewing a patient's suitability for return to work.

Author affiliations

¹Department of Psychiatry, School of Medicine, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Kitakyushu, Japan

²Kitakyushu Koga Hospital, Kitakyushu, Japan

³Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University of Münster, Münster, Germany

⁴Department of Psychiatry, Melbourne Medical School, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

⁵The Florey Institute of Neuroscience and Mental Health, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

Contributors All authors contributed to and approved the final manuscript. HH and JN conceived and designed the experiments; HH, AK, JN and KA performed the experiments; HH and RY analysed the data; HH prepared the first manuscript; and RY and BTB edited the manuscript and revised the manuscript for important intellectual content.

Funding This work was supported by AMED under grant no. JP18dk0307060 and UOEH Research Grant for Promotion of Occupational Health.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval The ethics committee of the University of Occupational and Environmental Health approved the study protocols (no. 270071).

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available on reasonable request. All data relevant to the study are included in the article or uploaded as supplementary information.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

REFERENCES

- WHO. Depression and other common mental disorders, 2017. Available: <http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/254610/1/WHO-MSD-MER-20172-eng.pdf>
- Rizvi SJ, Cyriac A, Grima E, et al. Depression and employment status in primary and tertiary care settings. *Can J Psychiatry* 2015;60:14–22.
- Patten SB, Wang JL, Williams JVA, et al. Prospective evaluation of the effect of major depression on working status in a population sample. *Can J Psychiatry* 2009;54:841–5.
- Lerner D, Henke RM. What does research tell us about depression, job performance, and work productivity? *J Occup Environ Med* 2008;50:401–10.
- Ghassemi GR, Ahmadzadeh GH, Yousefi AR, et al. What are the predictors of work impairment in Iranian patients with depressive disorders? *Community Ment Health J* 2009;45:453–62.
- Despiéglé N, Danchenko N, François C, et al. The use and performance of productivity scales to evaluate presenteeism in mood disorders. *Value Health* 2012;15:1148–61.
- Endo M, Haruyama Y, Muto T, et al. Recurrence of sickness absence due to depression after returning to work at a Japanese IT company. *Ind Health* 2013;51:165–71.
- Fried EI, Nesse RM. The impact of individual depressive symptoms on impairment of psychosocial functioning. *PLoS One* 2014;9:e90311.
- Clark M, DiBenedetti D, Perez V. Cognitive dysfunction and work productivity in major depressive disorder. *Expert Rev Pharmacoecon Outcomes Res* 2016;16:455–63.
- Winokur G, Coryell W, Keller M, et al. A prospective follow-up of patients with bipolar and primary unipolar affective disorder. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1993;50:457–65.
- Pintor L, Torres X, Navarro V, et al. Is the type of remission after a major depressive episode an important risk factor to relapses in a 4-year follow up? *J Affect Disord* 2004;82:291–6.
- Mueller TI, Leon AC, Keller MB, et al. Recurrence after recovery from major depressive disorder during 15 years of observational follow-up. *Am J Psychiatry* 1999;156:1000–6.
- Maj M, Veltro F, Pirozzi R, et al. Pattern of recurrence of illness after recovery from an episode of major depression: a prospective study. *Am J Psychiatry* 1992;149:795–800.
- Keller MB, Lavori PW, Lewis CE, et al. Predictors of relapse in major depressive disorder. *JAMA* 1983;250:3299–304.
- Judd LL, Akiskal HS, Maser JD, et al. Major depressive disorder: a prospective study of residual subthreshold depressive symptoms as predictor of rapid relapse. *J Affect Disord* 1998;50:97–108.
- Gopinath S, Katon WJ, Russo JE, et al. Clinical factors associated with relapse in primary care patients with chronic or recurrent depression. *J Affect Disord* 2007;101:57–63.
- Gonzales LR, Lewinsohn PM, Clarke GN. Longitudinal follow-up of unipolar depressives: an investigation of predictors of relapse. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 1985;53:461–9.
- Conradi HJ, de Jonge P, Ormel J. Prediction of the three-year course of recurrent depression in primary care patients: different risk factors for different outcomes. *J Affect Disord* 2008;105:267–71.
- Hamilton M. Development of a rating scale for primary depressive illness. *Br J Soc Clin Psychol* 1967;6:278–96.
- First MB, Gibbon M, Spitzer RL, et al. *Users Guide for the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis Disorders-Research Versopm- (SCID-, version 2.0, February 1996 Final Version)*. New York: Biometric Research Department, 1996.
- Hirschfeld RM, Montgomery SA, Keller MB, et al. Social functioning in depression: a review. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2000;61:268–75.
- Bosc M, Dubini A, Polin V. Development and validation of a social functioning scale, the social adaptation Self-evaluation scale. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol* 1997;7(Suppl 1):S57–70.
- Ueda N, Suda A, Nakagawa M, et al. Reliability, validity and clinical utility of a Japanese version of the social adaptation Self-evaluation scale as calibrated using the Beck depression inventory. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 2011;65:624–9.
- Weightman MJ, Air TM, Baune BT. A review of the role of social cognition in major depressive disorder. *Front Psychiatry* 2014;5.
- Raes F, Williams JMG, Hermans D. Reducing cognitive vulnerability to depression: a preliminary investigation of Memory Specificity Training (MEST) in inpatients with depressive symptomatology. *J Behav Ther Exp Psychiatry* 2009;40:24–38.
- Wechsler D. *Wechsler adult intelligence scale*. 3rd edn. San Antonio: The Psychological Corporation, 1997.
- Cornblatt BA, Risch NJ, Faris G, et al. The Continuous Performance Test, Identical Pairs version (CPT-IP): I. New findings about sustained attention in normal families. *Psychiatry Res* 1988;26:223–38.
- Callicott JH, Egan MF, Mattay VS, et al. Abnormal fMRI response of the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex in cognitively intact siblings of patients with schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry* 2003;160:709–19.
- Callicott JH, Bertolino A, Mattay VS, et al. Physiological dysfunction of the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex in schizophrenia revisited. *Cereb Cortex* 2000;10:1078–92.
- Nakano Y, Baba H, Maeshima H, et al. Executive dysfunction in medicated, remitted state of major depression. *J Affect Disord* 2008;111:46–51.
- Lezak MD, Howieson DB, Loring David W, et al. *Neuropsychological assessment*. 4th edn. New York, NY, US: Oxford University Press, 2004.
- Inada T, Inagaki A. Psychotropic dose equivalence in Japan. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 2015;69:440–7.
- Segrin C, Abramson LY. Negative reactions to depressive behaviors: a communication theories analysis. *J Abnorm Psychol* 1994;103:655–68.
- Cohen S, Sherrod DR, Clark MS. Social skills and the stress-protective role of social support. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 1986;50:963–73.
- Tse WS, Bond AJ. Consequences of displaying abnormal social behaviour: avoidance and reduction of social reinforcement. *J Affect Disord* 2003;75:49–58.
- Braver TS, Cohen JD, Nystrom LE, et al. A parametric study of prefrontal cortex involvement in human working memory. *Neuroimage* 1997;5:49–62.
- McIntyre RS, Lee Y. Cognition in major depressive disorder: a 'Systemically Important Functional Index' (SIFI). *Curr Opin Psychiatry* 2016;29:48–55.
- Baune BT, Miller R, McAfoose J, et al. The role of cognitive impairment in general functioning in major depression. *Psychiatry Res* 2010;176:183–9.
- Evans-Lacko S, Knapp M. Global patterns of workplace productivity for people with depression: absenteeism and presenteeism costs across eight diverse countries. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* 2016;51:1525–37.
- Knight MJ, Baune BT. Psychosocial dysfunction in major depressive Disorder-Rationale, design, and characteristics of the cognitive and emotional recovery training program for depression (CERT-D). *Front Psychiatry* 2017;8.
- Baune BT, Air T. Clinical, functional, and biological correlates of cognitive dimensions in major depressive disorder – rationale, design, and characteristics of the cognitive function and mood study (CoFaM-Study). *Front Psychiatry* 2016;7.