

Supplementary Table 1. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative studies (COREQ): 32-item checklist

No	Item	Guide questions/description	Response
Domain 1: Research team and reflexivity			
Personal Characteristics			
1.	Interviewer/facilitator	Which author/s conducted the interview or focus group?	#001, 002, 003, 004, 006, 007, 008, 009 by SEC, #005, 010 by JHL
2.	Credentials	What were the researcher's credentials? E.g. PhD, MD	SEC, JEL and JHL were KM college student. SMKL, JL and HK were KMD, PhD.
3.	Occupation	What was their occupation at the time of the study?	Researcher's occupations at the time of the study included student, research fellow, research professor.
4.	Gender	Was the researcher male or female?	SEC, JEL, JHL and SMKL were females. JL and HK were males.
5.	Experience and training	What experience or training did the researcher have?	SEC has been trained to conduct interviews and analyze data of qualitative research in specialized lecture at accredited research institution. SMKL has experience in carrying out qualitative research.
Relationship with participants			
6.	Relationship established	Was a relationship established prior to study commencement?	No, there wasn't.
7.	Participant knowledge of the interviewer	What did the participants know about the researcher? e.g. personal goals, reasons for doing the research	Name, occupation, reasons for doing the research
8.	Interviewer characteristics	What characteristics were reported about the interviewer/facilitator? e.g. Bias, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic	Name, occupation, reasons for doing the research
Domain 2: Study design			
Theoretical framework			

9.	Methodological orientation and Theory	What methodological orientation was stated to underpin the study? e.g. grounded theory, discourse analysis, ethnography, phenomenology, content analysis	Constant comparative analysis method
Participant selection			
10.	Sampling	How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball	Purposive sampling, snowball sampling, theoretical sampling
11.	Method of approach	How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email	Face to face
12.	Sample size	How many participants were in the study?	10
13.	Non-participation	How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons?	2 for personal reason
Setting			
14.	Setting of data collection	Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace	A quiet and comfortable place chosen by the participant
15.	Presence of non-participants	Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers?	2 interviewees with their baby
16.	Description of sample	What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date	Female KM doctors in their 30's
Data collection			
17.	Interview guide	Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested?	Yes, they were. / Yes, it was.
18.	Repeat interviews	Were repeat interviews carried out? If yes, how many?	No, they weren't.
19.	Audio/visual recording	Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data?	Audio recording
20.	Field notes	Were field notes made during and/or after the interview or focus group?	Yes, they were.
21.	Duration	What was the duration of the interviews or focus group?	Average 59 minutes
22.	Data saturation	Was data saturation discussed?	Yes, it was.

23.	Transcripts returned	Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or correction?	Reviewed by 2 randomly selected participants
Domain 3: Analysis and findings			
Data analysis			
24.	Number of data coders	How many data coders coded the data?	One (SEC)
25.	Description of the coding tree	Did authors provide a description of the coding tree?	Yes, we did.
26.	Derivation of themes	Were themes identified in advance or derived from the data?	Themes were derived using both methods.
27.	Software	What software, if applicable, was used to manage the data?	AXQDA 2018
28.	Participant checking	Did participants provide feedback on the findings?	Reviewed by 2 randomly selected participants
Reporting			
29.	Quotations presented	Were participant quotations presented to illustrate the themes/findings? Was each quotation identified? e.g. participant number	Yes, there were. / Yes, there was.
30.	Data and findings consistent	Was there consistency between the data presented and the findings?	Yes, there was.
31.	Clarity of major themes	Were major themes clearly presented in the findings?	Yes. they were.
32.	Clarity of minor themes	Is there a description of diverse cases or discussion of minor themes?	Yes, there is.

Developed from: Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*. 2007. Volume 19, Number 6: pp. 349 – 357