BMJ Open Relationship between gay app use and HIV testing among men who have sex with men in Shenzhen, China: a serial cross-sectional study

Lan Wei,^{1,2} Lin Chen,¹ Haibo Zhang,¹ Zhengrong Yang,¹ Shaochu Liu,¹ Wei Tan,¹ Wei Xie,¹ Liegang Liu,² Jin Zhao,¹ Jinquan Cheng¹

To cite: Wei L, Chen L, Zhang H, *et al.* Relationship between gay app use and HIV testing among men who have sex with men in Shenzhen, China: a serial cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open* 2019;**9**:e028933. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2019-028933

► Prepublication history and additional material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2019-028933>).

Received 04 January 2019
Revised 05 July 2019
Accepted 10 July 2019

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2019. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

¹Department of AIDS Control and Prevention, Shenzhen Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Shenzhen, China

²Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China

Correspondence to

Dr Jinquan Cheng;
cjqin@szcdc.net

ABSTRACT

Objectives To investigate the relationship between gay app use and HIV testing among men who have sex with men (MSM).

Design Serial cross-sectional study.

Setting A newly well-developed city in China.

Participants 4935 MSM were recruited through offline sampling methods from 2015 to 2017.

Primary and secondary outcomes The primary outcome is the difference in HIV testing between app and non-app users.

Results 2872 (58.2%) and 2159 (43.7%) participated MSM had been tested for HIV within lifetime and the past year, respectively. Compared with non-app-using MSM, app-using MSM had a significantly higher prevalence of HIV testing within lifetime (adjusted OR (AOR): 1.48, 95% CI 1.27 to 1.72) and the past year (AOR: 1.36, 95% CI 1.18 to 1.57). App-using MSM were more likely to take an HIV test at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (AOR: 1.48, 95% CI 1.24 to 1.76) and community-based organisations (AOR: 1.71, 95% CI 1.44 to 2.03), but less often at gay venues (AOR: 0.49, 95% CI 0.37 to 0.63). Meanwhile, app-using MSM were more likely to take self-testing (AOR: 1.61, 95% CI 1.21 to 2.14). Predictors of HIV testing in the past year were: having an education level of college or higher (AOR: 1.29, 95% CI 1.01 to 1.65), being self-identified as a homosexual (AOR: 1.23, 95% CI 1.02 to 1.46), being recruited through clinic-based sampling (AOR: 1.30, 95% CI 1.06 to 1.60), using gay app (AOR: 1.49, 95% CI 1.21 to 1.83), engaging in group sex (AOR: 1.64, 95% CI 1.23 to 2.19), having received HIV-related service (AOR: 5.49, 95% CI 4.57 to 6.60), having a high level of HIV-related knowledge (AOR: 1.33, 95% CI 1.10 to 1.61) and high-risk perception (AOR: 2.95, 95% CI 1.40 to 6.23). **Conclusions** Gay app use was significantly associated with increased HIV testing among MSM hard to reach by traditional outreach. Therefore, it is imperative to expand HIV testing among non-app-using MSM. Continued efforts, innovative strategies and increased resource are highly needed to realise the first '90' target.

INTRODUCTION

HIV testing is an essential component of HIV prevention strategy linked to treatment and care. A lack of knowledge on HIV serostatus

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This study represents one of the few studies that have compared HIV testing and the preference for testing approaches between app-using men who have sex with men (MSM) and non-app-using MSM in low/middle-income countries, which has a significant implication for future HIV testing expanding strategies.
- Different from most previous online surveys, this study adopted combined offline recruitment methods, providing complementary evidence on this topic.
- A causal relationship was not established because of the cross-sectional study design.
- The findings may not be generalised to the MSM in other areas or settings.

could disable or postpone the initiation of antiviral treatment. Moreover, the HIV screening among asymptomatic HIV carrier may directly reduce HIV transmission, based on the prior findings that those who are unaware of their seropositive status are 3.5 times more likely to transmit HIV than those who know their serostatus.¹ About 30% of people living with HIV globally do not know their HIV status.² The first goal of the United Nations' 90–90–90 targets is that 90% of all people with HIV will get tested and know their status by 2020. However, the HIV testing rate in China is far from 90%. A recent meta-analysis reported that 47% of men who have sex with men (MSM) have been tested for HIV in China, despite the extensive combined efforts of government, public health agencies and community-based organisations (CBO) in recent years.³ Owing to the stigma against homosexuality in China, MSM are less likely to take an HIV test in fear of the exposure of homosexual identity. Furthermore, there are several other barriers to HIV testing, such as

name-based testing, low perception of risk, long asymptomatic phase and a lack of awareness that testing is free of charge, posing significant challenges to HIV prevention and interventions among MSM in China.⁴ Therefore, developing comprehensive strategies for the promotion of HIV testing among MSM is an urgent priority in China.

In recent years, gay app (geosocial networking application specific to MSM) has reformed significantly the sex-seeking and harm reduction behaviours of MSM.⁵ Studies on the association between gay app use and HIV testing behaviour are limited and inconclusive. Some studies associated app use with increasing HIV testing either within lifetime or in the past year,^{6,7} whereas others found no difference between app and non-users.⁸ A recent systematic review found that app users are twice likely to test for HIV than non-app users within lifetime.⁵ Another study revealed that social media use was positively associated with HIV testing in Chinese MSM.⁹ Free HIV testing has been available in all provinces of China since 2006. Apart from the health facility-based testing service provided at hospitals/clinics and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), there are also decentralised HIV testing services at peripheral health facilities including CBOs and blood donation sites, and testing service at gay venues and self-testing at home.¹⁰ As the uptake of HIV testing among MSM is still limited, a better understanding of the testing pattern and preferences among MSM is critical to inform strategies on expanding HIV testing. In addition, few studies exist regarding the impact of app use on the uptake and preference for HIV testing approach among MSM. Therefore, this study aimed to investigate the relationship between app use and HIV testing, and to identify the demographic and behavioural predictors of HIV testing among MSM in Shenzhen, China, where MSM accounted for the largest percentage (56.7%) of new infections in recent years.

METHODS

Source of sample

From May 2015 to the end of 2017, eligible MSM were recruited through combined offline sampling methods, primarily venue-based method (time location sampling, TLS), and clinic-based methods (respondent-driven sampling, RDS; voluntary counselling and testing (VCT) centre). TLS recruited eligible participants at MSM venues based on a random selection strategy of venue daytime. RDS started with the identification of initial 'seeds' of MSM peers. These seeds were then offered with three coded coupons to recruit new peers, who, in turn, referred those they know and so on. Other participants who visited a VCT centre were also randomly recruited. More information on these methods can be found in the previous study.¹¹ The criteria for recruiting were (1) male, Chinese resident, aged 18 years or above; and (2) having had a homosexual contact 6 months prior to the survey in Shenzhen. Meanwhile, the eligibility criteria for male sex worker (also called money boy, MB) participants

were: (1) male aged 18 years or older; (2) having lived in Shenzhen for more than 1 month at the time of survey; and (3) having sold sex (oral or anal) to another male in the previous month. Each participant was invited to complete an anonymous questionnaire via a handheld electronic tablet and provide a written informed consent. Then, they were required to take a confidential HIV and syphilis test at Shenzhen Center for Disease Control and Prevention, or a VCT centre.

Behavioural measures

All data were collected through self-administered questionnaires with information on sociodemographic features, sexual behaviours, gay app use, recreational drug use, HIV testing, HIV-related services, HIV-related knowledge, previous diagnosis of sexually transmitted disease (STD) other than HIV and syphilis infections, source of HIV-related knowledge, HIV and syphilis serostatus and perception of HIV infection. The HIV-related services included distribution of condom, lubricant, peer education, sexually transmitted infection (STI) diagnosis or treatment, HIV counselling or testing, or AIDS/STI educational materials. A high level of HIV-related knowledge was defined as having correctly answered at least six of the nine questions, otherwise, it was deemed as low to medium level. HIV testing behaviours were evaluated in the following measures: (1) Have you ever taken an HIV test? (2) Have you taken an HIV test in the past year? (3) Where did you receive an HIV test (test at gay venue/hospital/CDC/blood donation site/CBO/at home with self-testing kit)? The main source of HIV-related knowledge was listed as TV, radio, newspaper, books, friends, doctors, consultation service, free promotional materials, bulletin board, internet and school education. The behavioural measures presented in the questionnaire were developed according to the national sentinel surveillance survey for HIV/AIDS and previously verified questionnaires.¹²

HIV and syphilis testing

HIV and syphilis testing was performed according to the standard procedures established by the laboratory of the Shenzhen Center for Disease Control and Prevention. HIV was tested using a rapid test (Determine HIV-1/2/O; Abbott Laboratories, IL) and ELISA (Wantai Biotech, Beijing, China) for screening, with the positive test confirmed by western blot (Genlabs Diagnostics, Singapore). Syphilis was tested with rapid plasma regain method (Rongsheng Biotech, Shanghai, China) for qualitative screening, with the positive test confirmed by *Treponema pallidum* particle agglutination assay (Fuji-rebio, Japan).

Patient and public involvement

Patients or the public were not involved in the design or planning of the study.

Statistical analysis

The sociodemographic features and HIV testing-related behaviours were compared between app-using MSM and

non-app-using MSM, with categorical variables compared using the χ^2 test or Fisher's exact test. Univariate and multivariate logistic regressions adjusted for confounders (eg, age, education, marital status, year of survey, and so on) were performed to examine the correlates between app use and testing-related behaviours. To identify the significant predictors of HIV testing within the past year and lifetime, variables with a p value <0.10 in the univariate logistic regression models and theoretically important covariates were included for stepwise selection in multivariate regression models. The covariates with a p value <0.05 were kept in the final model via manual stepwise selection. Adjusted ORs (AOR) and 95% CIs were presented. All statistical analyses were performed in R (V.3.4.3, Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

RESULTS

Among the 4935 participants recruited from 2015 to 2017, a total of 1588 (32.2%) MSM had used at least one kind of gay app, including 1496 non-commercial MSM (NcMSM) and 92 MBs. The demographic characteristics of all recruited MSM are summarised in table 1. Compared with non-app-using MSM, app-using MSM were younger (28.3 vs 28.8 years), more likely to be unmarried (92.0% vs 90.1%), having an education level of college or above (64.0% vs 42.1%) and having a monthly income higher than ¥7000 (34.1% vs 24.3%). There was no significant difference in ethnicity and duration of residence in Shenzhen between the two groups. There was higher percentage of app-using MSM recruited from clinics (69.6% vs 41.6%) and in 2017 (56.9% vs 34.2%) than that of non-app-using MSM. The prevalence of HIV and syphilis infection was not significantly different between the two groups. However, the prevalence of both HIV and syphilis was significantly higher among non-app-using NcMSM, but not significant for MBs who were less likely to use app and be positive for HIV and syphilis (data not shown).

There were significant differences in testing-related behaviours between app-using and non-app-using MSM in this study (table 2). About 58.2% and 43.7% of MSM had been tested for HIV within lifetime and the past year, respectively, in Shenzhen. App-using MSM had significantly higher prevalence of HIV testing within lifetime (70.2% vs 52.6%) and the past year (52.0% vs 39.8%) than non-app-using MSM. After controlling for age, education, monthly income, marital status, year of sampling, source of sample and type of MSM, MSM who used gay app had significant higher odds of lifetime HIV test (AOR: 1.48, 95% CI 1.27 to 1.72) and HIV test in the past year (AOR: 1.36, 95% CI 1.18 to 1.57) than those who did not. App-using MSM more commonly know their HIV testing results (AOR: 1.72, 95% CI 1.16 to 2.54) and their last negative result within the past year (AOR: 1.29, 95% CI 1.09 to 1.53). Generally, app-using MSM had higher risk perception of HIV infection than

non-app-using MSM (AOR: 1.43, 95% CI 1.00 to 2.06). For HIV testing approaches, app-using MSM were more likely to have taken an HIV test at a local CDC (AOR: 1.48, 95% CI 1.24 to 1.76) or CBO (AOR: 1.71, 95% CI 1.44 to 2.03) and self-testing (AOR: 1.61, 95% CI 1.21 to 2.14). However, they were less likely to have taken an HIV test at gay venues (AOR: 0.49, 95% CI 0.37 to 0.63). There were no significant differences between the two groups for the choices of testing at hospitals and blood donation sites. Moreover, the choices of HIV testing approach by year were compared between the two groups in figure 1. From 2015 to 2017, the proportion of app-using MSM taking an HIV test at CDC increased, whereas testing at hospitals, CBOs and blood donation sites declined. Notably, there was an increasing rate of self-testing among non-app-using MSM, although the main choices were testing at gay venue, CDC and CBO. Compared with non-app-using MSM, app-using MSM were more likely to gain HIV-related knowledge from the internet (AOR: 3.07, 95% CI 2.58 to 3.67), books (AOR: 2.04, 95% CI 1.72 to 2.42), doctors (AOR: 1.27, 95% CI 1.00 to 1.61), free promotional materials (AOR: 1.76, 95% CI 1.51 to 2.07) and bulletin board (AOR: 1.81, 95% CI 1.50 to 2.19), but less likely from TV (AOR: 0.68, 95% CI 0.59 to 0.78). For the other source such as radio, newspaper, friends, consultancy service and school education, there was no significant difference between the two groups.

Based on univariate analysis and manual stepwise selection, the final multivariate model was constructed with covariates including year of sampling, source of sample, type of MSM, education level, self-identified sexual identity, sexual role, group sex, app use, HIV-related knowledge and service, previous diagnosis of other STI, risk perception of HIV infection and current HIV and syphilis serostatus (table 3). Multivariate regression results showed the following were factors associated with higher odds of HIV testing in the past year (table 3): having an education level of college or higher (AOR: 1.29, 95% CI 1.01 to 1.65), being recruited through clinic-based sampling methods (AOR: 1.30, 95% CI 1.06 to 1.60), being self-identified as homosexual (AOR: 1.23, 95% CI 1.02 to 1.46), engaging in group sex (AOR: 1.64, 95% CI 1.23 to 2.19) in the past 6 months, using gay apps (AOR: 1.49, 95% CI 1.21 to 1.83), having received offline-based AIDS-related service in the past year (AOR: 5.49, 95% CI 4.57 to 6.60), having a high level of HIV-related knowledge (AOR: 1.33, 95% CI 1.10 to 1.61) and having high-risk perception of HIV infection (AOR: 2.95, 95% CI 1.40 to 6.23). Meanwhile, MSM who were recruited in 2016 (AOR: 0.54, 95% CI 0.45 to 0.65) and 2017 (AOR: 0.66, 95% CI 0.51 to 0.86), being MB (AOR: 0.36, 95% CI 0.28 to 0.46), having reported a receptive sexual role during anal sex in the past 6 months (AOR: 0.76, 95% CI 0.64 to 0.90) and being HIV seropositive (AOR: 0.66, 95% CI 0.51 to 0.86) had significantly lower odds of HIV testing within the past year. We also performed a multivariate analysis to identify the determinants of HIV testing within lifetime, which showed similar results, except for additional

Table 1 Demographics of app-using and non-app-using MSM

	Non-app-using MSM (n=3347) n (%)	App-using MSM (n=1588) n (%)	P value*
Age			
<25	1316 (39.3)	461 (29.0)	<0.01
25–40	1618 (48.4)	1030 (64.9)	
>40	412 (12.3)	97 (6.1)	
Age (median, IQR)	26 (23, 33)	27 (24, 31)	<0.05
Duration of residence in Shenzhen (year)			
<1	802 (25.5)	360 (24.0)	0.299
≥1	2342 (74.5)	1137 (76.0)	
Ethnicity			
Han	3237 (97.8)	1524 (97.1)	0.215
Other	74 (2.2)	45 (2.9)	
Education			
Junior high school or less	637 (19.0)	146 (9.2)	<0.01
Senior high school	1301 (38.9)	425 (26.8)	
College or above	1409 (42.1)	1017 (64.0)	
Monthly income (¥)			
<3000	196 (5.9)	123 (7.7)	<0.01
3000–7000	2337 (69.8)	923 (58.1)	
>7000	814 (24.3)	542 (34.1)	
Marital status			
Unmarried	3015 (90.1)	1461 (92.0)	<0.05
Married	332 (9.9)	127 (8.0)	
Year of sample			
2015	1254 (37.5)	118 (7.4)	<0.01
2016	949 (28.4)	567 (35.7)	
2017	1144 (34.2)	903 (56.9)	
Source of sample			
Venue based	1953 (58.4)	483 (30.4)	<0.01
Clinic based	1394 (41.6)	1105 (69.6)	
Type of MSM			
NcMSM	2117 (63.3)	1496 (94.2)	<0.01
MB	1230 (36.7)	92 (5.8)	
HIV			
Negative	3005 (89.8)	1414 (89.0)	0.458
Positive	342 (10.2)	174 (11.0)	
Syphilis			
Negative	2983 (89.1)	1419 (89.4)	0.844
Positive	364 (10.9)	169 (10.6)	
Previous diagnosis of other STDs			
No	3119 (93.2)	1430 (90.1)	<0.01
Yes	228 (6.8)	158 (9.9)	

*All P values in this column were derived from the comparison between non-app-using MSM and app-using MSM. MB, money boy (male sex worker); MSM, men who have sex with men; NcMSM, non-commercial MSM; STD, sexually transmitted disease.

Table 2 HIV testing-related behaviours between app-using and non-app-using MSM

All	Non-app-using MSM (n=3347) n (%)	App-using MSM (n=1588) n (%)	OR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)
Ever tested for HIV				
No	1587 (47.4)	474 (29.8)	1	1
Yes	1758 (52.6)	1114 (70.2)	2.12 (1.87 to 2.41)**	1.48 (1.27 to 1.72)**
HIV test in the past year				
0	2014 (60.2)	762 (48.0)	1	1
1	1333 (39.8)	826 (52.0)	1.64 (1.45 to 1.85)**	1.36 (1.18 to 1.57)**
Did you know your testing results?				
Not every time	125 (7.1)	42 (3.8)	1	1
Yes	1635 (92.9)	1072 (96.2)	1.95 (1.36 to 2.79)**	1.72 (1.16 to 2.54)**
Last HIV negative result				
Over 1 year ago	922 (53.0)	529 (47.9)	1	1
Within the past year	818 (47.0)	576 (52.1)	1.23 (1.06 to 1.43)**	1.29 (1.09 to 1.53)**
Perception of being infected by HIV				
No or little chance	3263 (97.5)	1513 (95.3)	1	1
Rather big chance	84 (2.5)	75 (4.7)	1.93 (1.40 to 2.64)**	1.43 (1.00 to 2.06)*
Where did you receive HIV testing? Gay venue				
No	1203 (68.4)	977 (87.7)	1	1
Yes	557 (31.6)	137 (12.3)	0.30 (0.25 to 0.37)**	0.49 (0.37 to 0.63)**
Where did you receive HIV testing? Hospital				
No	1434 (81.5)	832 (74.7)	1	1
Yes	326 (18.5)	282 (25.3)	1.49 (1.24 to 1.78)**	1.18 (0.96 to 1.44)
Where did you receive HIV testing? CDC				
No	1233 (70.1)	637 (57.2)	1	1
Yes	527 (29.9)	477 (42.8)	1.75 (1.50 to 2.05)**	1.48 (1.24 to 1.76)**
Where did you receive HIV testing? Blood donation site				
No	1719 (97.7)	1093 (98.1)	1	1
Yes	41 (2.3)	21 (1.9)	0.81 (0.47 to 1.37)	0.91 (0.51 to 1.64)
Where did you receive HIV testing? CBO				
No	1141 (64.8)	610 (54.8)	1	1
Yes	619 (35.2)	504 (45.2)	1.52 (1.31 to 1.78)**	1.71 (1.44 to 2.03)**
Where did you receive HIV testing? Self-testing				
No	1657 (94.1)	956 (85.8)	1	1
Yes	103 (5.9)	158 (14.2)	2.66 (2.05 to 3.45)**	1.61 (1.21 to 2.14)**
The main source to gain HIV-related knowledge: TV				
No	1805 (54.5)	1077 (68.6)	1	1
Yes	1504 (45.5)	492 (31.4)	0.55 (0.48 to 0.62)**	0.68 (0.59 to 0.78)**
The main source to gain HIV-related knowledge: radio				
No	3028 (91.5)	1435 (91.5)	1	1
Yes	281 (8.5)	134 (8.5)	1.01 (0.81 to 1.25)	0.93 (0.73 to 1.19)
The main source to gain HIV-related knowledge: newspaper				
No	2757 (83.3)	1278 (81.5)	1	1
Yes	552 (16.7)	291 (18.5)	1.14 (0.97 to 1.33)	1.10 (0.92 to 1.31)
The main source to gain HIV-related knowledge: books				
No	2788 (84.3)	1135 (72.3)	1	1

Continued

Table 2 Continued

All	Non-app-using MSM (n=3347) n (%)	App-using MSM (n=1588) n (%)	OR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)
Yes	521 (15.7)	434 (27.7)	2.05 (1.77 to 2.37)**	2.04 (1.72 to 2.42)**
The main source to gain HIV-related knowledge: friends				
No	2764 (83.5)	1257 (80.1)	1	1
Yes	545 (16.5)	312 (19.9)	1.26 (1.08 to 1.47)**	0.92 (0.77 to 1.09)
The main source to gain HIV-related knowledge: doctors				
No	3016 (91.1)	1405 (89.5)	1	1
Yes	293 (8.9)	164 (10.5)	1.20 (0.98 to 1.47)	1.27 (1.00 to 1.61)*
The main source to gain HIV-related knowledge: consultation service				
No	3125 (94.4)	1476 (94.1)	1	1
Yes	184 (5.6)	93 (5.9)	1.07 (0.83 to 1.38)	0.80 (0.60 to 1.07)
The main source to gain HIV-related knowledge: free promotional materials				
No	2508 (75.8)	1069 (68.1)	1	1
Yes	801 (24.2)	500 (31.9)	1.46 (1.28 to 1.67)**	1.76 (1.51 to 2.07)**
The main source to gain HIV-related knowledge: bulletin board				
No	2960 (89.5)	1235 (78.7)	1	1
Yes	349 (10.5)	334 (21.3)	2.29 (1.95 to 2.70)**	1.81 (1.50 to 2.19)**
The main source to gain HIV-related knowledge: internet				
No	1370 (41.4)	226 (14.4)	1	1
Yes	1939 (58.6)	1343 (85.6)	4.20 (3.59 to 4.91)**	3.07 (2.58 to 3.67)**
The main source to gain HIV-related knowledge: school education				
No	3137 (94.8)	1408 (89.7)	1	1
Yes	172 (5.2)	161 (10.3)	2.09 (1.67 to 2.61)**	0.98 (0.76 to 1.26)

, p<0.05; *, p<0.01; OR, Odds ratio; AOR, adjusted odds ratio after controlling for age, education, monthly income, marital status, year of sample, source of sample, and type of MSM. CDC, center for disease control and prevention; CBO, community based organization. CBO, community-based organisation; CDC, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; MSM, men who have sex with men.

predictors, such as older age, multiple sexual partners, previous diagnosis of other STD and gaining HIV-related knowledge mainly from consultation services (see the online supplementary table).

Figure 1 HIV testing approaches of app-using and non-app-using MSM in Shenzhen, China, 2015–2017. CBO, community-based organisation; CDC, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; MSM, men who have sex with men.

DISCUSSION

The HIV testing rates within lifetime and the past year were significantly higher among app-using than non-app-using MSM. Particularly, app-using MSM were more likely to take an HIV test at CDC and CBO, and a self-testing than non-app-using MSM, but less likely to take a test at gay venues. Gay app use was significantly associated with higher odds of lifetime HIV testing. As there were few studies that addressed the difference in choices of HIV testing approaches between app-using and non-app-using MSM, this study has significant implication for future HIV testing expanding strategies.

Our result showed that the prevalence of lifetime HIV testing among MSM in Shenzhen (58.2%) was comparable to that reported in other areas (60.3%–63.1%) in China.^{13 14} Specifically, app-using MSM had a relatively higher prevalence of lifetime HIV testing than non-app-using MSM (70.2% vs 52.6%), which is similar to an online study from China (69.7% vs 55.5%).⁷ The prevalence of lifetime testing among app-using MSM in this study was, however, lower than that in online studies from the USA (83.2%–90%).^{6 15} Previous research has demonstrated that some gay apps were used to link MSM to HIV testing

Table 3 Factors associated with HIV testing in the past year among MSM

Variables	Not tested (n=2776) n (%)	Tested (n=2159) n (%)	OR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)
Age				
<25	1153 (64.9)	624 (35.1)	1	
25–40	1131 (51.0)	1086 (49.0)	1.77 (1.56 to 2.01)**	–
>40	491 (52.2)	449 (47.8)	1.69 (1.44 to 1.99)**	–
Residence in Shenzhen (year)				
<1	674 (58.0)	488 (42.0)	1	–
≥1	1928 (55.4)	1551 (44.6)	1.11 (0.97 to 1.27)	–
Ethnicity				
Han	2659 (55.8)	2102 (44.2)	1	–
Other	62 (52.1)	57 (47.9)	1.16 (0.81 to 1.67)	–
Education				
Junior high school or less	491 (62.7)	292 (37.3)	1	1
Senior high school	1103 (63.9)	623 (36.1)	0.95 (0.80 to 1.13)	0.90 (0.70 to 1.15)
College or above	1182 (48.7)	1244 (51.3)	1.77 (1.50 to 2.09)**	1.29 (1.01 to 1.65)*
Monthly income (¥)				
<3000	192 (60.2)	127 (39.8)	1	
3000–7000	1941 (59.5)	1319 (40.5)	1.03 (0.81 to 1.30)	–
>7000	643 (47.4)	713 (52.6)	1.68 (1.31 to 2.15)**	–
Marital status				
Single	2540 (56.7)	1936 (43.3)	1	–
Married	236 (51.4)	223 (48.6)	1.24 (1.02 to 1.50)*	–
Year of sample				
2015	757 (55.2)	615 (44.8)	1	1
2016	972 (64.1)	544 (35.9)	0.69 (0.59 to 0.80)**	0.54 (0.45 to 0.65)**
2017	1047 (51.1)	1000 (48.9)	1.18 (1.03 to 1.35)*	0.65 (0.48 to 0.88)**
Type of MSM				
NcMSM	1501 (61.6)	935 (38.4)	1	
MB	1275 (51.0)	1224 (49.0)	1.54 (1.38 to 1.73)**	0.36 (0.28 to 0.46)**
Source of sample				
Venue based	1839 (50.9)	1774 (49.1)	1	1
Clinic based	937 (70.9)	385 (29.1)	0.43 (0.37 to 0.49)**	1.30 (1.06 to 1.60)*
Self-identified as a homosexual				
No	777 (58.7)	546 (41.3)	1	1
Yes	1999 (55.3)	1613 (44.7)	1.15 (1.01 to 1.30)*	1.23 (1.02 to 1.46)*
Receptive sexual role				
No	1764 (54.2)	1490 (45.8)	1	1
Yes	1012 (60.2)	668 (39.8)	0.78 (0.69 to 0.88)**	0.76 (0.64 to 0.90)**
In a relationship				
No	275 (50.4)	271 (49.6)	1	–
Yes	451 (46.9)	510 (53.1)	1.15 (0.93 to 1.42)	–
Sexual partners in the past 6 months, n				
0	881 (63.9)	498 (36.1)	1	
1–5	1462 (49.5)	1491 (50.5)	1.8 (1.58 to 2.06)**	–
>5	433 (71.9)	169 (28.1)	0.69 (0.56 to 0.85)**	–

Continued

Table 3 Continued

Variables	Not tested (n=2776) n (%)	Tested (n=2159) n (%)	OR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)
Engaged in ONS in the past 6 months				
No	927 (61.6)	579 (38.4)	1	–
Yes	918 (56.1)	717 (43.9)	1.25 (1.08 to 1.44)**	–
Engaged in group sex in the past 6 months				
No	1741 (60.4)	1143 (39.6)	1	1
Yes	104 (40.3)	154 (59.7)	2.26 (1.74 to 2.93)**	1.64 (1.23 to 2.19)**
Engaged in commercial service in the past 6 months				
No	1736 (59.0)	1207 (41.0)	1	–
Yes	105 (53.8)	90 (46.2)	1.23 (0.92 to 1.65)	–
Condom use of anal sex in the past 6 months				
No	786 (52.7)	706 (47.3)	1	–
Yes	1109 (53.8)	954 (46.2)	0.96 (0.84 to 1.09)	–
Recreational drug use in the past year				
No	66 (54.1)	56 (45.9)	1	–
Yes	998 (51.5)	941 (48.5)	1.11 (0.77 to 1.60)	–
Received offline-based HIV-related service in the past year				
No	1367 (77.0)	408 (23.0)	1	1
Yes	1409 (44.6)	1751 (55.4)	4.16 (3.65 to 4.75)**	5.49 (4.57 to 6.60)**
Gay app use				
No	2014 (60.2)	1333 (39.8)	1	1
Yes	762 (48.0)	826 (52.0)	1.64 (1.45 to 1.85)**	1.49 (1.21 to 1.83)**
HIV-related knowledge				
Low to medium	828 (59.2)	571 (40.8)	1	–
High	1948 (55.1)	1588 (44.9)	1.18 (1.04 to 1.34)**	1.33 (1.10 to 1.61)**
Previous diagnosis of other STD				
No	2581 (56.7)	1968 (43.3)	1	–
Yes	195 (50.5)	191 (49.5)	1.28 (1.04 to 1.58)*	–
HIV serostatus				
Negative	2461 (55.7)	1958 (44.3)	1	–
Positive	315 (61.0)	201 (39.0)	0.80 (0.67 to 0.97)*	0.66 (0.51 to 0.86)**
Syphilis serostatus				
Negative	2489 (56.5)	1913 (43.5)	1	–
Positive	287 (53.8)	246 (46.2)	1.12 (0.93 to 1.34)	–
Perception of HIV infection				
No or little chance	2744 (56.4)	2118 (43.6)	1	1
Rather big chance	32 (43.8)	41 (56.2)	1.66 (1.04 to 2.65)*	2.95 (1.40 to 6.23)**
The main source to gain HIV-related knowledge: TV				
No	1640 (56.9)	1242 (43.1)	1	–
Yes	1080 (54.1)	916 (45.9)	1.12 (1.00 to 1.26)	–
The main source to gain HIV-related knowledge: radio				
No	2508 (56.2)	1955 (43.8)	1	–
Yes	212 (51.1)	203 (48.9)	1.23 (1.00 to 1.50)*	–
The main source to gain HIV-related knowledge: newspaper				
No	2247 (55.7)	1788 (44.3)	1	–

Continued

Table 3 Continued

Variables	Not tested (n=2776) n (%)	Tested (n=2159) n (%)	OR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)
Yes	473 (56.1)	370 (43.9)	0.98 (0.85 to 1.14)	–
The main source to gain HIV-related knowledge: books				
No	2238 (57.0)	1685 (43.0)	1	–
Yes	482 (50.5)	473 (49.5)	1.30 (1.13 to 1.50)**	–
The main source to gain HIV-related knowledge: friends				
No	2274 (56.6)	1747 (43.4)	1	–
Yes	446 (52.0)	411 (48.0)	1.20 (1.04 to 1.39)*	–
The main source to gain HIV-related knowledge: doctors				
No	2514 (56.9)	1907 (43.1)	1	–
Yes	206 (45.1)	251 (54.9)	1.61 (1.32 to 1.95)**	–
The main source to gain HIV-related knowledge: consultation service				
No	2614 (56.8)	1987 (43.2)	1	–
Yes	106 (38.3)	171 (61.7)	2.12 (1.65 to 2.72)**	–
The main source to gain HIV-related knowledge: free promotional materials				
No	2042 (57.1)	1535 (42.9)	1	–
Yes	678 (52.1)	623 (47.9)	1.22 (1.08 to 1.39)**	–
The main source to gain HIV-related knowledge: bulletin board				
No	2396 (57.1)	1799 (42.9)	1	–
Yes	324 (47.4)	359 (52.6)	1.48 (1.25 to 1.74)**	–
The main source to gain HIV-related knowledge: internet				
No	859 (53.8)	737 (46.2)	1	–
Yes	1861 (56.7)	1421 (43.3)	0.89 (0.79 to 1.00)	–
The main source to gain HIV-related knowledge: school education				
No	2541 (55.9)	2004 (44.1)	1	1
Yes	179 (53.8)	154 (46.2)	1.09 (0.87 to 1.36)	0.83 (0.69 to 1.01)

, $p < 0.05$; *, $p < 0.01$; OR, Odds ratio; AOR, adjusted odds ratio; ONS, one night stand; STD, sexually transmitted disease.

AOR, adjusted OR; MB, money boy; MSM, men who have sex with men; NcMSM, non-commercial MSM; ONS, one-night stand; STD, sexually transmitted disease.

promotion.^{15 16} For instance, *Blued*, the most popular gay app in China, uses an 'AIDS' ribbon to provide monthly banners on HIV testing, and suggests its users the nearest medical and testing facilities.¹⁷ Second, as our results found that non-app-using MSM had a relatively lower level of HIV-related knowledge and HIV risk perception, they may be less likely to take an HIV test than app-using MSM.

For the HIV testing approaches preference, both app-using and non-app-using MSM mainly chose to take an HIV test at CDC and CBO, but less often at hospital. The standard public health approaches at public health agencies, such as CDC, are always the mainstay of HIV/STD services in China.¹⁸ However, our results showed that compared with the two main approaches, testing in hospitals was less common among both app-using and non-app-using MSM. The literature data also implied the uptake of HIV testing was usually low in a clinical setting.¹⁹ Owing to the stigma and confidential concerns, Chinese MSM

are very wary of taking an HIV test at hospitals. Meanwhile, engagement of CBO in sexual health prevention may bring about positive social norms to HIV testing and reduce the stigma concerns of MSM.^{20 21} Other research showed that the CBO-based testing was a cost-effective approach to reach the population who have low access to clinic-based HIV testing,²² and it would be worthwhile for testing expansion and other intervention development and delivery in China.²³ Therefore, continued efforts of CBOs in promoting HIV testing may further increase the uptake of testing among Chinese MSM.

Testing at gay venues generally was less frequent than the other three main approaches in this study. First, the limited coverage of HIV prevention outreach could explain this finding, as we found only 64% of the MSM in this study had received the HIV-related service. The current outreach-based HIV services in Shenzhen including HIV testing and counselling, free distribution of condoms and lubricants and STD diagnosis and

treatment were provided by the local public health agencies (CDC) with limited resources (budget and HIV care professionals).²⁴ Second, the literature has documented that MSM were less willing to choose venue-based rapid testing than CBO-based testing among those non-clinical testing sites because of the concerns about quality, cleanliness and professionalism.¹⁰ Recent studies have also suggested that testing at gay venues, such as saunas and gay bars, was not acceptable among Chinese MSM, unless the test is guaranteed with confidentiality, quality and quick results.^{25 26} Although app-using MSM showed higher testing rates for the three main testing approaches than non-app-using MSM, they were less likely to get tested at gay venues. As non-app-using MSM more often patronise gay venues to seek sexual partner than app-using MSM do, they were more likely to receive the offline-based HIV-related service (69.6% vs 30.4%) that is routinely delivered at gay venues (eg, bars, massage centres and saunas). This finding indicates that app-using MSM currently are not well reached by traditional HIV prevention and intervention programmes. Thus, innovative intervention strategies are greatly needed for this subpopulation. Besides, future improvements regarding concerns about venue-based rapid testing and increasing HIV-related resource are warranted to remove the barrier to higher uptake of HIV test at gay venues.

The rate of self-testing was much lower than that of other main testing approaches. Although self-testing kits have been widely accessible in China since its distribution was approved in 2008, only 6.1%–26.2% of MSM have ever chosen this new approach.^{13 27 28} Compared with non-app-using MSM, app-using MSM showed a higher self-testing rate. A prior study found that the most common means of obtaining self-testing kit in China was the internet, followed by CBOs, pharmacies and other offline means.¹³ Interestingly, there was an increasing trend in self-testing among non-app-using MSM in our study period. The underlying reason may be the scaling up campaign of self-testing in recent years in China, which increases the accessibility of self-testing kit among offline social networking MSM.²⁹ Self-testing provides an anonymous and confidential way of HIV testing for MSM, and could better reach high-risk MSM. Our findings demonstrate that there is a large capacity to reach untested MSM via self-testing, especially for those non-app-using MSM who are hard to reach. Therefore, promotion of self-testing would be an alternative approach to increase the HIV testing coverage among Chinese MSM.

Our study found that MSM who were self-identified as homosexual had higher education level, recruited through clinic-based methods, practised receptive sexual role during anal sex, engaged in group sex, used any gay app and had previous diagnosis of other STD with higher odds of HIV testing in the past year. Additionally, older age, multiple sexual partners and previous diagnosis of other STD were associated with higher chance of lifetime HIV testing. These findings were aligned with the literature that associated age, education level, history of other

STD, sexual orientation and high-risk sexual behaviours (eg, multiple male sexual partners and unprotected sexual intercourse) with HIV testing.^{30 31} Other studies found that app use, HIV negative serostatus and recent unprotected anal intercourse were positively associated with HIV testing within lifetime and the past year.^{7 15} Our study also found some other factors, such as access to offline-based HIV-related service in the past year, having a high level of HIV-related knowledge and high HIV risk perception, were significantly associated with HIV testing within the past year, which is consistent with another Chinese study.¹⁴ These findings highlighted the need for strengthened public health efforts in HIV prevention and interventions to increase the uptake of HIV testing among MSM.

There are several limitations to this study which need to be noted. First, relatively fewer samples were collected in 2016 than in the other 2 years; therefore, we have adjusted the confounding effect of sampling year in the multivariate analysis. Second, this study included eight types of gay apps; some new gay apps may emerge in the study period. As *Blued* accounted for about 97% of all apps used in this study, newly emerged apps may have limited impact on the results. Third, as this is a cross-sectional study, we are unable to establish a causal relationship; future longitudinal study would be desirable to evaluate the change of testing behaviour over time. In addition, there might be recall bias and socially desirable bias regarding self-reported information, especially sensitive personal behaviours. Lastly, our results may not be generalised to MSM in other areas, caution is required for appropriate interpretation.

In conclusion, there is still a significant gap in the coverage of HIV testing among MSM in Shenzhen, China. It is imperative to expand HIV testing coverage among key population for scale-up treatment, especially among the non-app-using MSM. Smartphone-based gay apps may have considerable potential for increasing HIV testing uptake among the MSM who are otherwise not reachable by traditional outreach. Continued efforts in promoting HIV testing, increased resources of HIV services and innovative strategies are highly needed to achieve the first '90' target by 2020.

Acknowledgements We thank all the participants, MSM volunteers and peer researchers, and the staff of the Department of HIV Control and Prevention in Shenzhen Center for Disease Control and Prevention.

Contributors JC and JZ conceived the work. JZ, JC and LL designed the study. LW conducted all data analyses and drafted the manuscript. LC, HZ, SL, WT and WX ran the field investigations, and participated in data collection and management. LW, HZ, ZY and JZ contributed to the writing and data interpretation. WT and WX performed the experiments. LW, LC, ZY, JZ, JC and LL reviewed and edited the manuscript. All authors have seen and approved the final version of the manuscript for publication.

Funding This study was supported by the National Science and Technology Major Project of the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (Grant No 2018ZX10715004), the San-Ming Project of Medicine in Shenzhen (Grant No SZSM201811007) and the Shenzhen Municipal Technological Project (Grant No JCYJ20170306160440762).

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval The protocol for recruitment was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of Shenzhen Center for Disease Control and Prevention.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement All data relevant to the study are included in the article or uploaded as supplementary information.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

REFERENCES

1. Marks G, Crepaz N, Janssen RS. Estimating sexual transmission of HIV from persons aware and unaware that they are infected with the virus in the USA. *AIDS* 2006;20:1447–50.
2. HIV testing and counselling: World Health Organization. Available: <http://www.who.int/hiv/topics/vct/about/en/index.html> [Accessed 18 Nov 2018].
3. Zou H, Hu N, Xin Q, et al. HIV testing among men who have sex with men in China: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS Behav* 2012;16:1717–28.
4. Wei C, Yan H, Yang C, et al. Accessing HIV testing and treatment among men who have sex with men in China: a qualitative study. *AIDS Care* 2014;26:372–8.
5. Zou H, Fan S. Characteristics of men who have sex with men who use smartphone Geosocial networking applications and implications for HIV interventions: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arch Sex Behav* 2017;46:885–94.
6. Phillips G, Magnus M, Kuo I, et al. Use of geosocial networking (GSN) mobile phone applications to find men for sex by men who have sex with men (MSM) in Washington, DC. *AIDS Behav* 2014;18:1630–7.
7. Bien CH, Best JM, Muessig KE, et al. Gay Apps for seeking sex partners in China: implications for MSM sexual health. *AIDS Behav* 2015;19:941–6.
8. Lehmiller JJ, Loerger M. Social networking smartphone applications and sexual health outcomes among men who have sex with men. *PLoS One* 2014;9:e86603.
9. Cao B, Liu C, Durvasula M, et al. Social media engagement and HIV testing among men who have sex with men in China: a nationwide cross-sectional survey. *J Med Internet Res* 2017;19:e251.
10. Bien CH, Muessig KE, Lee R, et al. HIV and syphilis testing preferences among men who have sex with men in South China: a qualitative analysis to inform sexual health services. *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0124161.
11. Zhao J, Cai R, Chen L, et al. A comparison between respondent-driven sampling and time-location sampling among men who have sex with men in Shenzhen, China. *Arch Sex Behav* 2015;44:2055–65.
12. Zhao J, Cai W-D, Gan Y-X, et al. A comparison of HIV infection and related risk factors between money boys and noncommercial men who have sex with men in Shenzhen, China. *Sex Transm Dis* 2012;39:942–8.
13. Han L, Bien CH, Wei C, et al. HIV self-testing among online MSM in China: implications for expanding HIV testing among key populations. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2014;67:216–21.
14. Li R, Pan X, Ma Q, et al. Prevalence of prior HIV testing and associated factors among MSM in Zhejiang Province, China: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health* 2016;16:1152.
15. Rendina HJ, Jimenez RH, Grov C, et al. Patterns of lifetime and recent HIV testing among men who have sex with men in New York City who use Grindr. *AIDS Behav* 2014;18:41–9.
16. Kirby T, Thornber-Dunwell M. Phone apps could help promote sexual health in MSM. *Lancet* 2014;384.
17. Taking China's Fight Against AIDS. Available: <https://thediplotmat.com/2016/01/taking-chinas-fight-against-aids-online/> [Accessed 10 Sep 2018].
18. Tucker JD, Fenton KA, Peckham R, et al. Social entrepreneurship for sexual health (SESH): a new approach for enabling delivery of sexual health services among most-at-risk populations. *PLoS Med* 2012;9:e1001266.
19. Chirawu P, Langhaug L, Mavhu W, et al. Acceptability and challenges of implementing voluntary counselling and testing (VCT) in rural Zimbabwe: evidence from the Regai Dzive Shiri project. *AIDS Care* 2010;22:81–8.
20. Ramirez-Valles J. The protective effects of community involvement for HIV risk behavior: a conceptual framework. *Health Educ Res* 2002;17:389–403.
21. Latkin C, Weeks MR, Glasman L, et al. A dynamic social systems model for considering structural factors in HIV prevention and detection. *AIDS Behav* 2010;14(S2):222–38.
22. Suthar AB, Ford N, Bachanas PJ, et al. Towards universal voluntary HIV testing and counselling: a systematic review and meta-analysis of community-based approaches. *PLoS Med* 2013;10:e1001496.
23. Zhang TP, Liu C, Han L, et al. Community engagement in sexual health and uptake of HIV testing and syphilis testing among MSM in China: a cross-sectional online survey. *J Int AIDS Soc* 2017;20:21372.
24. Zhao J, Cai W-D, Chen L, et al. A comparison of HIV infection and related risks among male sex workers in different venues in Shenzhen, China. *AIDS Behav* 2011;15:635–42.
25. Han L, Wei C, Muessig KE, et al. HIV test uptake among MSM in China: implications for enhanced HIV test promotion campaigns among key populations. *Glob Public Health* 2017;12:31–44.
26. Tucker JD, Bien CH, Peeling RW. Point-Of-Care testing for sexually transmitted infections: recent advances and implications for disease control. *Curr Opin Infect Dis* 2013;26:73–9.
27. Yan H, Yang H, Raymond HF, et al. Experiences and correlates of HIV self-testing among men who have sex with men in Jiangsu Province, China. *AIDS Behav* 2015;19:485–91.
28. Wong HTH, Tam HY, Chan DPC, et al. Usage and acceptability of HIV Self-testing in men who have sex with men in Hong Kong. *AIDS Behav* 2015;19:505–15.
29. Tucker JD, Ong J, Conserve D, et al. Scaling up HIV self-testing in China and Africa. *J Virus Erad* 2017;3.
30. Wei C, Ruan S, Zhao J, et al. Which Chinese men who have sex with men miss out on HIV testing? *Sex Transm Infect* 2011;87:225–8.
31. Song Y, Li X, Zhang L, et al. HIV-Testing behavior among young migrant men who have sex with men (MSM) in Beijing, China. *AIDS Care* 2011;23:179–86.

Correction: Relationship between gay app use and HIV testing among men who have sex with men in Shenzhen, China: a serial cross-sectional study

Wei L, Chen L, Zhang H, *et al.* Relationship between gay app use and HIV testing among men who have sex with men in Shenzhen, China: a serial cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open* 2019;9:e028933. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-028933

The previous version of this manuscript left out to include co-corresponding author. Dr. Jin Zhao; zhaoj@szcdc.net

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2019. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

BMJ Open 2019;9:e028933corr1. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2019-028933corr1