Clinical trial protocol of doublet therapy and olanzapine for carboplatin-induced nausea and vomiting in patients with thoracic cancer: a multicentre phase II trial
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ABSTRACT

Introduction Adding neurokinin-1 receptor antagonist (NK-RA) to 5-hydroxytryptamine-3 receptor antagonist and dexamethasone (DEX) improved carboplatin (CBDCA)-induced chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting (CINV) in patients with thoracic cancer. NK-RA with high drug cost are raising medical expenses. Olanzapine (OLZ) is less expensive and can be expected to have an excellent effect on CINV. This phase II trial aimed at evaluating the efficacy and safety of 5 mg OLZ plus granisetron (GRN) and DEX in CBDCA combination therapy with area under curve (AUC) ≥5 mg/mL/min for the prevention of nausea and vomiting in patients with thoracic cancer.

Methods and analysis This is an open-label, single-arm, multicentre, phase II trial. Patients who receive CBDCA-based therapies (AUC ≥ 5) and have never been administered moderate to high emetogenic chemotherapy will be enrolled. All patients will receive a combination of GRN, DEX and OLZ. The primary endpoint is complete response (CR) rate, defined as the absence of emetic episodes and no use of rescue medication for 120 hours after the initiation of CBDCA. Forty-eight patients are required based on our hypothesis that this regimen can improve CR rate from 65% (null hypothesis) to 80% (alternative hypothesis) with a one-sided type I error of 0.1 and a power of 0.8. We set the target sample size at 50 considering dropouts.

Ethics and dissemination The study protocol was approved by the institutional review board at each of the participating centres. Data will be presented at international conferences and published in peer-reviewed journals.

Trial registration number UMIN000031267.

INTRODUCTION

In recent guidelines, carboplatin (CBDCA) is reclassified at the upper limit of the moderately emetogenic chemotherapy (MEC) category and/or the highly emetogenic chemotherapy (HEC) category.1-3

The Multinational Association of Supportive Care in Cancer (MASCC) (regardless of the CBDCA dose),1 the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) (CBDCA at a dose of ≥4 mg/mL/min)2 and the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (CBDCA at a dose of ≥4 mg/mL/min)3 have recommended emesis prophylaxis using a three-drug regimen including 5-hydroxytryptamine-3 receptor antagonist (5-HT3 RA), dexamethasone (DEX) and neurokinin-1 receptor antagonist (NK-RA) in patients receiving CBDCA-based chemotherapy.

CBDCA is widely used against various cancers. The complete response (CR) rate (the absence of emetic episodes and no use of rescue medication) for first generation 5-HT3 RA and DEX varies depending on the cancer type; the rate is approximately 50% in patients with gynaecological cancer1,4 and approximately 65% in those with thoracic cancer.6 7 This difference is due to the
background of the cancer types. Gynaecological cancer patients are only women and are younger than thoracic cancer patients. Female gender, younger age, non-habitual alcohol intake and non-smoker are known as risk factors for chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting (CINV). Therefore, we believe NK-RA with high drug cost is unnecessary for CBDCA-based therapy in thoracic cancer patients. Furthermore, because of the inhibition of cytochrome P450 3A4, clinically significant pharmacokinetic interactions of aprepitant (APR) and fosaprepitant have been reported not only for general agents but also chemotherapy agents. Therefore, the development of antiemetic therapy without NK-RA is beneficial in complicated cancer chemotherapy.

Olanzapine (OLZ) is classified as a multiacting receptor-targeted antipsychotic and blocks dopaminergic D₁, D₂, D₃ and D₄ receptors, serotoninergic 5-HT₂, 5-HT₄, 5-HT₅, and 5-HT₆ receptors, histamine H₁ receptors and muscarinic acetylcholine M₁, M₂, M₄ and M₅ receptors. Among its other uses, OLZ is used to improve CINV. Navari et al performed a phase III trial to confirm the superiority of 10mg OLZ combined with palonosetron (PALO) and DEX to an antiemetic regimen consisting of PALO, DEX and APR in HEC. The study could not demonstrate that the OLZ regimen was superior to the APR regimen and the CR rates for the acute, delayed and overall period were not significantly different between the OLZ regimen and the APR regimen. On the other hand, the OLZ regimen showed excellent control of nausea in the delayed and overall period. Moreover, in the USA and Asia, the effectiveness of a combination of 10mg OLZ and standard antiemetic therapy has been demonstrated for HEC in randomised control trials; however, the resulting patient sedation due to the therapy may be a concern. In Japan, three phase II studies revealed the efficacy and safety of the combination of 5mg OLZ and standard triple therapy for CINV induced by HEC. In a trial, Yanai et al reported that OLZ at 5mg and 10mg showed comparable CR effects, but the 5mg dose was less sedative.

However, the effectiveness of OLZ against CBDCA-induced CINV has not been demonstrated. The cost per treatment cycle of 5mg of OLZ (brand: 733.60 JPY, generic: 180.80 JPY) is less than that of PALO (14 851.00 JPY) and NK-RA (APR: 11 698.20 JPY, fosaprepitant: 14 545.00 JPY), and confirming the effectiveness of the combination of OLZ, first generation 5HT₃RA and DEX would change the standard antiemetic treatment for CBDCA-based chemotherapy in thoracic cancer.

In recent years, the immune checkpoint inhibitor (ICI), in combination with chemotherapy, is available in clinical settings for lung cancer. Arbour et al reported that baseline corticosteroid use was associated with poorer outcomes in patients who were treated with ICI. Therefore, there is a concern that DEX as emesis prophylaxis may adversely affect ICI combination chemotherapy. The non-inferiority of DEX, except on days 2 and 3, combined with PALO has been demonstrated for MEC in randomised control trials. Therefore, use of PALO can reduce the corticosteroid. Among the ICI combination therapies, the pembrolizumab combined with CBDCA and pemetrexed is one of the most often used regimens for advanced non–small-cell lung cancer. In the KEYNOTE-189 trial that proved the effectiveness of this regimen for prophylaxis of cutaneous reaction, the administration of DEX at 8mg per day for 2 days besides DEX of day 1 used for antiemetic therapy was regulated by the protocol. Therefore, we plan to administer DEX for 3 days.

The efficacy of OLZ has been demonstrated in both combinations with the first and second generation 5HT₃RA in HEC. Therefore, granisetron (GRN) was chosen as 5HT₃RA in the study.

Given the above, we plan this open-label, single-arm, multicentre, phase II trial to evaluate the efficacy and safety of 5mg OLZ plus GRN and DEX in CBDCA combination therapy with area under curve (AUC) ≥5mg/mL/min for the prevention of CINV in patients with thoracic cancer.

**Study protocol**

**Objective**

Our objective is to evaluate the efficacy and safety of 5mg OLZ plus GRN and DEX for the prevention of nausea and vomiting during CBDCA combination therapy achieving AUC ≥5mg/mL/min in patients with thoracic cancer. The study was approved by the institutional review board at each participating centre and was independently monitored by the alliance data centre and safety monitoring board.

**Study setting**

This study is an open-label, single-arm, multicentre, phase II trial conducted in four centres in Japan.

**End points**

We choose the CR rate, defined as the absence of emetic episodes and no use of rescue medication during the overall assessment period (0–120 hours) after the initiation of CBDCA, as the primary endpoint.

Secondary endpoints are the CR rate in the early assessment period (0–24 hours), the CR rate in the delayed assessment period (25–120 hours), and the complete control rate defined as no significant nausea, no emetic episodes and no use of rescue medication for the acute, delayed, and overall assessment periods. We use a four-grade categorical scale (none, mild, moderate or severe) to stratify nausea and choose the moderate and severe categories to define significant nausea. The total control rate is defined as the absence of nausea and emetic episodes and no use of rescue medication for the acute, delayed, and overall assessment periods. The time to treatment failure is defined as the time to the first emetic episode or the use of rescue medication. The levels of nausea, anorexia, sleepiness, impact on life severity and patient satisfaction with antiemetic therapy are also
classified using a four-grade categorical scale. These data are collected from patient diaries. Adverse events are graded according to Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) V.4.0.

Eligibility criteria
Inclusion criteria
i. Patients with thoracic cancer scheduled to receive CBDCA-based chemotherapy (AUC ≥5).
ii. Age: 20–79 years at registration.
iii. Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status of 0, 1 or 2.
v. Absence of a history of administration of moderate-to-high emetogenic chemotherapy.
vi. No current use of any drug, with antiemetic activity and somnolence, such as 5-HT₃RA, NK₁RA, corticosteroids, dopamine receptor antagonists, phenothiazine tranquillisers, antihistamine drugs (paclitaxel administration allowed during premedication) and benzodiazepine agents.
vii. Meeting the following standard values of general clinical tests:
   a. aspartate aminotransferase ≤100 U/L.
   b. alanine aminotransferase ≤100 U/L.
   c. total bilirubin ≤2.0 mg/dL.
viii. Patients who provided written informed consent.

Exclusion criteria
i. History of hypersensitivity or allergy to study drugs or similar compounds.
ii. Antiemetics needed at the time of enrolment.
iii. Started opioid intake in the 48 hours prior to enrolment.
iv. Presence of unstable angina, ischaemic heart disease, cerebral haemorrhage or apoplexy, or active gastric or duodenal ulcer within 6 months prior to enrolment.
v. Presence of convulsive disorders requiring anticonvulsant therapy.
vi. Presence of ascites effusion requiring paracentesis.
viii. Breastfeeding or pregnant women or those not willing to use contraception.
ix. Presence of psychosis or psychiatric symptoms that interfere with daily life.

xi. Abdominal or pelvic irradiation within 6 days prior to enrolment.

xii. Presence of diabetes mellitus.

xiii. Being a habitual smoker at the time of enrolment.

xiv. Patients deemed inappropriate for the study by the investigator (From daily behaviour, patients who may not be able to keep medication adherence and/or fulfill patient diary etc).

Registration
The accrual started in February 2018.

Treatment methods
The study antiemetic administrations are shown in Table 1. All patients receive GRN (1 mg intravenously (iv) infusion on day 1, 30 min before chemotherapy), DEX (9.9 mg iv infusion or 12 mg oral administration on day 1, 30 min before chemotherapy and 6.6 mg iv infusion or 8 mg oral administration on days 2, 3) and OLZ (5 mg oral administration on days 1–4, after supper). In addition, when paclitaxel is used, DEX is administered at 19.8 mg intravenously or 20 mg orally on day 1. DEX injection is provided as DEX sodium phosphate. The 8 mg of DEX sodium phosphate contains 6.6 mg of DEX.

Follow-up
We schedule physical and blood examinations of patients before the initiation of treatment and once between days 5 and 15 after treatment initiation. The data are collected from patient diaries. Patients are required to fill the diary every 24 hours from the start of chemotherapy for a 120-hour period. After the overall assessment period (0–120 hours), patient-reported study diaries are collected (Figure 1 provides details of the schedule of enrolment, interventions and assessments).

Study design and statistical methods
The hypothesis of this study is that the CR rate for 5 mg OLZ plus GRN and DEX during CBDCA combination therapy achieving AUC ≥5 mg/mL/min will be significantly higher than that for standard antiemetic doublet therapy.

Other trials have shown CR rates of approximately 65%.[4,7] The improvement in CR due to the treatment has to be ≥10% to enable amendment of the guidelines of MASCC/ESMO2016. According to previous studies,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Antiemetic administrations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antiemetics</strong></td>
<td><strong>Method of administration</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granisetron</td>
<td>iv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dexamethasone</td>
<td>po or iv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olanzapine</td>
<td>po</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*When paclitaxel is used, on day-1 DEX is administered 19.8 mg intravenously or 20 mg orally. po; per os.
Table 1: \( ^{25-27} \) We think an improvement of CR rates of antiemesis treatment by PALO, DEX, and APR will be clinically meaningful. Therefore, assuming the null hypothesis of the CR rate to be \( \leq 65\% \) and an alternative hypothesis to be 80%, we calculate that a minimum of 48 patients are required to achieve a one-sided type I error of 0.1% and 80% of power, based on the exact binomial distribution. Because some dropouts are expected, we set the target sample size at 50. A sample size calculation was performed by SAS V.9.4 (Cary, NC, USA).

CR rates of antiemesis treatment by PALO, DEX, and APR were 80.5%–92%.

CR rates of antiemesis treatment by PALO, DEX, and APR were 80.5%–92%. We think an improvement of CR rates of antiemesis treatment by PALO, DEX, and APR will be clinically meaningful. Therefore, assuming the null hypothesis of the CR rate to be \( \leq 65\% \) and an alternative hypothesis to be 80%, we calculate that a minimum of 48 patients are required to achieve a one-sided type I error of 0.1% and 80% of power, based on the exact binomial distribution. Because some dropouts are expected, we set the target sample size at 50. A sample size calculation was performed by SAS V.9.4 (Cary, NC, USA).

Patient and public involvement

Patients and/or public were not involved in the design of this study.

Ethics and dissemination

A signed informed consent form will be obtained from all patients before enrolment. Data will be presented at international conferences and published in peer-reviewed journals.

Participating institutions

Gifu University Hospital, Gifu Municipal Hospital, Murakami Memorial Hospital - Asahi University and Gunma Prefectural Cancer Center.

Trial status

February 2018: Protocol approval by the Ethics Committee.

February 2018: Start of inclusion.

December 2019: End of inclusion.

We will submit the manuscript during the first half of 2020.
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