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ABSTRACT

Objectives To explore the current status to which Canadian obstetrics and gynaecology (Ob-Gyn) programmes teach residents about pregnancy in patients with physical disabilities, and to assess the level of interest in providing formal education sessions in this field. This study also assesses the residents’ perception of their knowledge and their comfort level caring for women with physical disabilities (WWPD), which will further determine the need for incorporation of this topic into the residency curriculum.

Design Cross-sectional survey.

Setting All Canadian English accredited Ob-Gyn residency programmes.

Participants Programme directors and residents.

Main outcome measures The current self-reported education and exposure Canadian Ob-Gyn residents have surrounding WWPD in pregnancy, and if there is an interest in further education in this area.

Methods An online survey was developed and distributed to all Canadian English accredited Ob-Gyn residency programme directors and residents. Answers were collected over a 2-month period in 2017, which consisted of an initial email and two email reminders. Questions were in three key areas: demographic characteristics, knowledge gap and level of interest in a formal method of education.

Results Eighty-four residents and nine programme directors participated in the surveys. Eighty-six per cent of residents and all programme directors responded that there are no formal scheduled training sessions on WWPD as part of the residency curriculum. Two-thirds of the residents reported being uncomfortable with the management issues surrounding a woman with a disability in pregnancy. A vast majority of residents (91.67%) and all programme directors have an interest in incorporating this topic into the residency curriculum to meet the need of pregnant women with disabilities.

Conclusions This survey indicated that there is both a need for and interest in education in the area of pregnancy and physical disability in the Canadian Ob-Gyn residency programme. This information suggests that the development of educational materials in this area should be considered to address an unmet need with the ultimate goal of improving the care provided to WWPD in pregnancy. Future projects in this area should focus on content development taking into account the CanMEDS competencies and competency-based medical education framework.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- To the best of our knowledge, this is the first national survey addressing programme directors and residents in Canada regarding the need for a structured and standardised curriculum for physical disabilities in pregnancy.
- This survey was distributed to Anglophone residency training programmes only as it was not translated to French. Therefore, it is possible that this sample is not representative of all Canadian obstetrics and gynaecology residents and programme directors.
- Although both surveys had respondents from a wide geographical area within Canada, there were disproportionately more responding residents from Ontario. This factor may have skewed the results.

INTRODUCTION

More than 11% of Canadian adults experience disability, with women having a higher prevalence of disability compared with men in almost every age group. Disability is defined as a consequence of impairments, activity limitations, participation restrictions and environmental factors. More recently, an increased number of women with disabilities want to become pregnant. It is, therefore, essential that adequate medical care is provided to these women throughout their pregnancy. However, numerous studies have shown that healthcare professionals are not properly trained to treat women with physical disabilities (WWPD). For instance, medical professionals are unfamiliar with the needs of women with disabilities and the medical services that they provide are not adequately tailored to these women.
Additionally, many physicians lack confidence in treating women with disabilities. These factors contribute to negative clinical encounters, causing women with disabilities to be less likely to seek out necessary care from an obstetric and gynaecology (Ob-Gyn) during the prenatal and postnatal periods. Suboptimal health-seeking behaviour is a serious concern as WWPD are at a higher risk for Caesarean section and adverse pregnancy outcomes such as early labour, preterm birth, pre-eclampsia, autonomic dysreflexia and offspring with low birthweight. Lack of knowledge about pregnancy in WWPD is likely related in part to lack of attention to disability in the education and training programmes for clinicians putting pregnant WWPD at higher risk for complications of pregnancy. The Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada’s 2015 CanMEDS Physician Competency Framework, which defines the foundation for medical education in Canada, states that physicians have a duty to address the needs of a vulnerable population, identify gaps in care delivery and seek opportunities to improve quality and promote patient safety. Formal educational opportunities in Ob-Gyn residency programmes may address this unmet need, and improve the care provided to women with disabilities throughout their pregnancy. Formal education is defined as scheduled lectures, seminars and simulation sessions. Informal learning is defined as that which occurred outside of structured didactics and learning.

To our knowledge, this is the first survey addressing programme directors and residents in Canada regarding the need for a structured and standardised curriculum for physical disabilities in pregnancy.

The objective of this study is to evaluate the current state to which Canadian Ob-Gyn programmes teach residents about pregnancy in patients with physical disabilities and to determine if there is a perceived need for a formalised educational programme in this field.

METHODS

We developed an anonymous cross-sectional online survey for residents and programme directors. The survey tools were created based on a review of the literature in response to a series of interviews with WWPD who had recently had a pregnancy and leaders in the disability community. These interviews had been undertaken as a critical patient-engagement step in the development of an antenatal clinic for WWPD. The surveys were distributed and collected using the electronic online survey tool Survey Monkey (Survey Monkey, Palo Alto, California, USA). Surveys were distributed to all accredited Anglophone Ob-Gyn residency programmes in Canada, as this project did not have funding available for translation into French. A letter of request was emailed to programme directors and their administrative assistants of the 13 Anglophone Ob-Gyn residency programmes in Canada for participation. An introductory letter described the rationale and objective of the study, followed by request for informed consent. Programme directors were asked to (1) complete the survey themselves (Programme directors’ Survey) and (2) distribute the resident version of the survey to their residents to avoid direct contact between the authors and the residents. The survey was available in English. Informed consent was assumed if the respondent chose to complete the questionnaire. We assumed that the survey had indeed been distributed to residents if (1) the programme director responded to our email to indicate they had distributed or (2) we received responses from residents at that programme.

The programme directors survey asked basic demographic information if there is an inclusion of the topic of women with disability in pregnancy in the curriculum, and if it was included in a specific format, for example, grand rounds or journal club. They were then asked if there was a readily available education session on pregnancy and disability, the degree of interest they would have in incorporating it into the resident’s curriculum, on a spectrum of not interested to extremely interested (online supplementary file).

The residents’ survey consisted of nine multiple-choice questions (online supplementary file). The resident questionnaire asked about (1) basic demographic data, (2) formal education of the care of pregnant WWPD in residency, (3) resident’s knowledge and comfort level with treating WWPD in pregnancy, (4) Interest in incorporating educational sessions such an academic half-day on pregnancy and disability into their curriculum. Surveys responses were analysed using descriptive statistics.

RESULTS

Resident survey

Surveys were distributed to all thirteen Anglophone Canadian Ob-Gyn residency programme directors. We received confirmation of distribution to residents or at eight of these programmes. Therefore, it is assumed that the surveys were distributed to 265 residents based on the calculation of the number of residents at those...
schools using the information from Canadian Resident Matching Service.

A total of 84 residents’ survey were completed and returned. Therefore, the response rate was 84/265 or 32%.

**Residents’ demographic characteristics**

Demographic features of the 84 respondents are listed in table 1. Of all resident respondents, 91.67% were female (77 of 84), which is likely representative of the current gender ratio in Ob-Gyn training programmes. The largest number of respondents (20 of 84 (23.81%)) was from McMaster University. Residents from all postgraduate year levels completed the survey.

**Resident experience in physical disabilities in pregnancy**

Most residents responded that they had not received or participated in any formal methods of education on the topic of pregnancy and physical disabilities (figure 1). Minimal informal education, ‘the topic was mentioned once or twice’ was reported in 40/84, 47.6% (figure 2). 40.5% residents (34/84) reported having seen a pregnant patient or patient with physical disabilities planning a pregnancy in a clinical capacity at least two to five times. Most residents (57/84, 67.86%) feel uncomfortable with the management issues surrounding a woman with a disability in pregnancy (figure 3). A total of 77/84 (91.67%) of residents indicate that they are interested in a formal education about pregnancy in WWPD (figures 4 and 5). Among the 77 residents, 72 (72/77 (93.5%)) female and 5 (5/7 (71.4%)) male residents were interested in formal education. The largest number of female residents was in their first year of residency.

**Programme director survey**

Nine programme directors completed and returned the survey. Therefore, the response rate was 9/13 or 69%. All programme directors that responded indicated that their programmes did not include formal education or training in women with disability in pregnancy as part of the residency curriculum.

In addition, most programme directors (8/9) confirmed that there were no resident education opportunities for women with disability in pregnancy in the
past 2 years. Out of nine, eight programme directors are at least moderately interested in incorporating this topic into the resident curriculum, and 6/9 were very/extremely interested in incorporating this topic into the curriculum (figure 6).

**DISCUSSION**

More WWPD are choosing to become pregnant, and are more likely to have pregnancy-related complications such as preterm birth, low birthweight, Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) admission and neonatal death. As well, WWPD are less likely to receive prenatal care in the first trimester, and are susceptible to complications associated with a physical disability such as falls and respiratory complications. Compounding this risk, WWPD perceive ‘a real lack of information within the Ob-Gyn community.’ Our study demonstrates that current trainees recall minimal educational opportunities in the field of WWPD, although almost half had clinical experience with WWPD.

Furthermore, the majorities of residents express discomfort with caring for WWPD, and perhaps most importantly, are interested in a formal education session on WWPD and pregnancy. It is of interest that women appear more interested in formal education sessions than men. However, the number of men surveyed is small, and therefore, it is not possible to draw conclusions surrounding this observation. The desire for formal education is reflected in the programme directors’ survey, with the majority stating there is no formal training offered, however, would be interested in incorporating an educational session into their resident curriculum. This survey confirms a perceived need and desire for resident education in this area.

There is some progress in the area of care for WWPD in pregnancy. Sunnybrook Health Sciences Center established the Accessible Care Pregnancy Clinic, the first specialised clinic to provide obstetrical care for women with disabilities in North America. The American College of Ob-Gyn developed an interactive online site for clinicians serving women with disabilities discussing common concerns in this community. The development of an interactive website and a specialised clinic represent meaningful progress on this issue. However, residents should have and have expressed a desire to have formal educational sessions on this topic.
The needs of WWPD in pregnancy are quite varied and differ depending on the reason for the physical disability. For example, women with spinal cord injuries are at risk for autonomic dysreflexia, whereas a woman with cerebral palsy is not. However, both of these women may require the use of a wheelchair accessible scale, be more likely to experience prejudice during their pregnancy and be at increased risk of bladder infection. A curriculum for women with disabilities in pregnancy fits well into the CanMEDS framework, which is a framework for improving patient care by enhancing physician training. It would provide an opportunity to highlight common obstetrical concerns for WWPD, (medical expert), while also discussing the need for advocacy (health advocate), person-centred care (communicator) and interdisciplinary care (collaborator). Formal education could be in the format of an academic half-day. WWPD should be consulted and central to curriculum development, ideally including examples of their pregnancy experiences in their own words. Formal education could be accomplished through the creation of a video or series of vignettes that could be distributed electronically for academic use.

To our knowledge, this is the first published study to evaluate the current state of education among Canada’s postgraduate training programmes in Ob/Gyn. Our results confirm a suspected gap in resident formal education in pregnancy and physical disability. This study, however, had several limitations. The survey was only distributed to English-speaking schools, as we did not have the funding available to have it translated to French, which resulted in the lack of information from francophone residency programmes. As well, to protect resident privacy, the survey was distributed through the programme directors themselves, who may or may not have resent the reminder emails, which could result in a lower response rate. Data were missed from specific institutions, and it is unknown what the educational experiences are at those sites. Although both surveys had respondents from a wide geographical area within Canada, there were disproportionately more responding residents from Ontario.

This study reports on the current level of education in Canadian postgraduate training programmes in Ob-Gyn in the area of pregnancy and disability and demonstrates a perceived desire from both residents and programme directors to include formal education on this topic. This finding suggests the need for the development of educational materials in this field to ensure that our future practitioners have an adequate set of skills to respond effectively to the needs of disabled women during pregnancy.

CONCLUSION
This survey provides a contemporary assessment of the current status of education on the topic of physical disability in pregnancy and identifies a deficiency in the formal and informal education. Information gathered from this survey demonstrates a gap in knowledge and provides evidence for the need to develop curricula in this field. We hope that through physician education, WWPD will have access to high-quality, compassionate, evidence-based obstetrical care. Future research efforts should focus on establishing the content of resident educational materials, how we would address competency in this area as part of competency-based medical education and the most appropriate method of distribution. These goals require input from educators, experts and members of the disabled community. This study focuses on obstetrical care for WWPD. Future studies may also examine gynaecological care.
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