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ABSTRACT

Objective To analyse individual-patient electronic health records to evaluate changes in antibiotic (AB) prescribing in England for different age groups, for male and female subjects, and by prescribing indications from 2014 to 2017.

Methods Data were analysed for 102 general practices in England that contributed data to the UK Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD) from 2014 to 2017. Prescriptions for all ABs and for broad-spectrum β-lactam ABs were evaluated. Relative rate reductions (RRR) were estimated from a random-effects Poisson model, adjusting for age, gender, and general practice.

Results Total AB prescribing declined from 608 prescriptions per 1000 person-years in 2014 to 489 per 1000 person-years in 2017; RRR 6.9% (95% CI 6.6% to 7.1%) per year. Broad-spectrum β-lactam AB prescribing decreased from 221 per 1000 person-years in 2014 to 163 per 1000 person-years in 2017; RRR 9.3% (9.0% to 9.6%) per year. Declines in AB prescribing were similar for men and women but the rate of decline was lower over the age of 55 years than for younger patients. AB prescribing declined by 9.8% (9.6% to 10.1%) per year for respiratory infections, 5.7% (5.2% to 6.2%) for genitourinary infections, but by 3.8% (3.1% to 4.5%) for no recorded indication. Overall, 38.8% of AB prescriptions were associated with codes that did not suggest specific clinical conditions, and 15.3% of AB prescriptions had no medical codes recorded.

Conclusion Antibiotic prescribing has reduced and become more selective but substantial unnecessary AB use may persist. Improving the quality of diagnostic coding for AB use will help to support antimicrobial stewardship efforts.

INTRODUCTION

Antimicrobial resistance is a growing concern worldwide. Many disease-causing pathogens have now developed resistance to antimicrobial drugs. The pathways to high rates of antibiotic (AB) resistance at population level are complex but excessive AB use as medical care is often a proximal cause of AB resistance, especially in communities. Consequently, there are increasing calls for more carefully considered use of ABs in order to conserve the therapeutic potential of these drugs. This is particularly relevant in primary care, where more than 70% of all ABs are prescribed.

Inappropriate AB prescribing is known to be widespread in primary care. Based on international comparisons, with both low and high AB prescribing being observed across Europe, without comparable variation in safety outcomes such as bacterial infections, it appears that a substantial reduction of present AB prescribing in primary care might be safe and feasible.

To deal with these concerns, aggregated data for AB prescribing are now being used for health service management. A contractual financial incentive, known as a ‘quality premium’, has been introduced into the English NHS for meeting indicative targets for year-on-year reductions in inappropriate AB use across all indications. The English Surveillance Programme for Antimicrobial Utilisation and Resistance (ESPAUR) analysed aggregated prescribing data and found that general practice AB prescriptions decreased by 13% between 2012 and 2016. Analysis of data for individual patients offers an opportunity for more detailed understanding of this decreasing trend. Dolk et al analysed data from The Health Improvement Network (THIN) database from 2013 to 2015. They drew attention to limitations of primary care records as a data source.
including the high proportion of AB prescriptions for which no ‘clinical justification’ was recorded.

The purpose of this study is to update data for AB prescribing trends in English general practices from 2014 to 2017. The analyses specifically aimed to provide estimates for the decline in AB use separately for male and female subjects and for people of different ages. We also aimed to evaluate which prescribing indications were most associated with reduced prescribing. We compared changes in all AB prescribing with changes in prescribing of broad-spectrum β-lactam ABs. Finally, we aimed to compare reductions in prescribing of individual major classes of ABs to provide complementary information.

METHODS

Data source

The UK Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD)\(^1\) was used as the data source for the study. This is a prospectively collected primary care database including data from approximately 7% of UK general practices. The total number of patients ever registered in CPRD is about 11 million, but the registered population has varied over time, and by 2017 there were approximately 2.5 million active UK patients. In the UK, more than 98% of the population are registered with a general practice and registrations are often maintained over many years. The CPRD is considered to be representative of the UK population.\(^1\) Data collected in the CPRD are of high quality and include all medical diagnoses recorded at consultations and referrals, as well as all drug prescriptions issued by general practices.\(^2\) For this study we included data from CPRD general practices in England, which participated in the data linkage scheme, and consistently contributed data in all years from 2014 to 2017. During this period the total number of general practices in the UK contributing to CPRD declined from 491 in 2014 to 285 in 2017. The number of CPRD general practices in England declined from 329 to 133, while the number participating in the data linkage scheme declined from 257 to 102 (online supplementary table 1). Individual participant data were included from 1 January 2014 or the start of the patient’s CPRD record, whichever was the latest, to the 31 December 2017 or the end of the patient’s CPRD record, whichever was the earliest. Data were obtained from the February 2018 release of CPRD. For practices that ended CPRD data collection during 2017, an equivalent end-of-year-date was also adopted for earlier years, because of the marked seasonality in AB use.

Main measures

For each year of study, we calculated the person-time contributed by each patient between 1 January of the year, or start of registration if this was later, to 31 December of the year, or end of registration or date of death, if these were earlier. Person-time was employed as the denominator for rates. Prescriptions for ABs were identified using product codes for all AB drug classes included in section 5.1 of the British National Formulary (BNF) except anti-tuberculous, anti-lepromatous agents, and methenamine, which were excluded.\(^3\) The BNF groups ABs into the following categories: penicillins, cephalosporins (including carbapenems), tetracyclines, aminoglycosides, macrolides, clindamycin, sulfonamides (including combinations with trimethoprim), metronidazole and tinidazole, quinolones, drugs for urinary tract infection (nitrofurantoin), and other ABs.

We analysed broad-spectrum β-lactam ABs as a separate group, including the BNF category of ‘broad-spectrum penicillins’\(^4\) and cephalosporins. The category of ‘broad-spectrum penicillins’ includes ampicillin and amoxicillin and combinations with clavulanic acid or flucloxacillin. Carbapenems, which are only rarely used in primary care, were combined with cephalosporins for these analyses. Clinical indications for AB prescription were grouped into categories based on Read medical codes recorded into patients’ clinical and referral records on the same date as the AB prescription, including ‘respiratory conditions’, ‘genitourinary conditions’, ‘skin conditions’, ‘eye’ conditions, or no codes recorded (online supplementary tables 2 to 5). All other codes were grouped into a single category of ‘other and non-specific codes’. The most frequently used codes in this category are shown in table 1 and included ‘telephone encounter’, ‘patient reviewed’, and ‘telephone triage encounter’. Since specific coded indications for AB therapy were uncommon in this category, it is subsequently referred to as ‘non-specific’. We analysed the prescription sequence variable to determine whether each prescription was the first in a sequence or whether it was a repeat prescription; the former were coded as ‘acute’ prescriptions and the latter were coded as ‘repeat prescriptions.

Statistical analysis

Antibiotic prescriptions for all ABs and broad-spectrum β-lactam ABs were enumerated by year. AB prescriptions of the same type on the same date were considered as a single event. Age was included as a continuous covariate but was also analysed in subgroups from 0 to 4 years, then 10-year age groups up to ≥85 years. Read codes recorded on the same date as an AB prescription were analysed according to indication. The primary indication on each date was allocated by giving priority to indications in the following sequence: respiratory, genitourinary, skin, and eye. We estimated AB prescription rates per 1000 person-years, and proportions of registered patients with ABs prescribed in a year in relation to age group, gender, study year, and main indication. In order to estimate annual changes in AB prescribing, we fitted it to hierarchical generalised linear Poisson models using the ‘hglm’ package\(^5\) in the R programme. The dependent variable was a count of AB prescriptions (either all AB prescriptions or broad-spectrum β-lactam AB prescriptions). Predictors were calendar year, gender, and age, including quadratic and cubic terms to allow for non-linear effects of age. Calendar year was included as a linear predictor based on inspection of
The research protocol for this study was submitted and approved by the Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) Independent Scientific Advisory Committee (ISAC), protocol 16_020. All patients’ electronic health records analysed for this study were fully anonymised.

**Patient and public involvement**

Neither patients nor public were involved in the development and design of this study, or the selection of outcome measures, or the conduct, analysis, and data dissemination of the study.

**RESULTS**

**Overall antibiotic prescriptions**

Analyses included 102 general practices that contributed data in each year from 2014 to 2017 (table 2). The registered population was 1.03 million in 2014 increasing to 1.07 in 2017. There were 539,219 AB prescriptions in 2014, declining to 459,476 in 2017. The AB prescribing rate declined from 608 per 1000 person-years in 2014 to 489 per 1000 person-years in 2017. The proportion of registered patients who were prescribed ABs in each year declined from just over 1 in 4 (25.3%) in 2014 to just over 1 in 5 (21.1%) in 2017. Figure 1 (left panel) shows changes in the proportion of patients prescribed ABs by year over the study period. A consistent year-on-year reduction was observed in each age-group from 0–4 years to ≥85 years. Marked AB prescribing variations were observed in relation to age, with the highest rates at the extremes of age.

A total of 195,750 broad-spectrum β-lactam AB prescriptions were made in 2014, declining to 153,423 in 2017. The proportion of all AB prescriptions that were broad-spectrum β-lactams decreased from 36.3% in 2014 to 33.4% in (table 2). Figure 1 (right panel) shows the change in proportion of patients prescribed broad-spectrum β-lactam ABs by age group. Although there was a year-on-year decrease in broad-spectrum β-lactam AB use in each age group, the absolute reduction appeared to be greater at older ages, where broad-spectrum β-lactam AB use was greatest.

Table 3 presents data for AB prescribing indications. Respiratory consultations accounted for the most frequent indication with 168,852 (31%) prescriptions in 2014 and 129,092 (28.1%) in 2017. Genitourinary infections and skin infections accounted for 9% and 7% of AB prescriptions, respectively, with little change over years. There were 77,431 (14%) AB prescriptions with no associated medical codes recorded in 2014 and 73,596 (16%) in 2017. There were 204,395 (38%) AB prescriptions with other and non-specific codes recorded in 2014 and 181,018 (39%) in 2017. Overall, more than half (54.1%) of the AB prescriptions were documented without specific clinical conditions recorded.

Table 4 shows the proportion of repeat prescriptions for different prescribing indications. In 2017, 78,166 (17%) AB prescriptions were recorded as repeat prescriptions. The proportion of repeat prescriptions was ≤2%.

---

**Table 1** Thirty most frequently used Read codes for ‘other and non-specific’ antibiotic prescribing indications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Read code</th>
<th>Read term</th>
<th>Number of events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9N31.00</td>
<td>Telephone encounter</td>
<td>51504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6A...00</td>
<td>Patient reviewed</td>
<td>32470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9N3A.00</td>
<td>Telephone triage encounter</td>
<td>26900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>246...00</td>
<td>O/E - blood pressure reading</td>
<td>25502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>242...00</td>
<td>O/E - pulse rate</td>
<td>15918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9Z...00</td>
<td>Administration NOS</td>
<td>9278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22A...00</td>
<td>O/E - weight</td>
<td>8937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8CB...00</td>
<td>Had a chat to patient</td>
<td>8191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9N1C.11</td>
<td>Home visit</td>
<td>7813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1371</td>
<td>Never smoked tobacco</td>
<td>6065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9...00</td>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>5748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8CAL.00</td>
<td>Smoking cessation advice</td>
<td>5664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8B3H.00</td>
<td>Medication requested</td>
<td>5661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137S.00</td>
<td>Ex-smoker</td>
<td>4642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137P.00</td>
<td>Cigarette smoker</td>
<td>4565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9N3G.00</td>
<td>SMS text message sent to patient</td>
<td>3990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8B3S.00</td>
<td>Medication review</td>
<td>3891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>246....11</td>
<td>O/E - BP reading</td>
<td>3810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9N4...00</td>
<td>Failed encounter</td>
<td>3514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>661M.00</td>
<td>Clinical management plan agreed</td>
<td>3305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9N58.00</td>
<td>Emergency appointment</td>
<td>2930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8CF...00</td>
<td>History/symptoms</td>
<td>2827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8B19.00</td>
<td>Patient examined</td>
<td>2691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81H...00</td>
<td>Dressing of wound</td>
<td>2543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9Na...00</td>
<td>Consultation</td>
<td>2381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14L...00</td>
<td>H/O: drug allergy</td>
<td>2277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>Abdominal pain</td>
<td>2102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9N32.00</td>
<td>Third-party encounter</td>
<td>1948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>679....11</td>
<td>Advice to patient - subject</td>
<td>1939</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Multiple codes per date were analysed.

BP, blood pressure; H/O, history of; NOS, not otherwise specified; O/E, on examination.
for respiratory, genitourinary, or eye conditions. For skin infections, 8% of AB prescriptions were recorded as repeat prescriptions. There were 10% of repeat prescriptions among AB prescribing associated with non-specific codes. Among 73,596 AB prescriptions in 2017 with no medical codes recorded, 56,216 (76%) were repeat prescriptions.

Informed by the apparent consistent annual declines in AB prescribing noted in Table 2 and Figure 1, Figure 2 presents a Forest plot of annual relative reductions in AB prescribing adjusted for age, gender, and general practice. Estimates for all AB prescribing are shown in blue and for broad-spectrum β-lactam AB prescribing in red. The annual relative reduction in all AB prescribing was 6.9% (95% CI 6.6% to 7.1%). Estimates were generally similar for male and female subjects. For participants aged <55 years, the subgroup estimates were all greater than the overall estimate, being greatest at age 45–54 years at 9.2% (8.4% to 9.9%) per year. For participants older than 55 years, estimates were consistently lower than the overall estimate being lowest at age 75–84 years and above at 4.3% (3.4% to 5.1%) per year. Considering subgroups of indications, rates of decline were greatest for respiratory indications (9.8%, 9.6% to 10.1%), and eye indications (11.0%, 9.9% to 12.2%). The rate of decline was smallest for AB prescriptions with no recorded indication (3.8%, 3.1% to 4.5%). The overall rate of decline was faster for broad-spectrum β-lactam ABs than all ABs at 9.3% (9.0% to 9.6%). Estimates were consistent for male and female subjects. The greatest relative decline was observed at 45–54 years (12.5%, 11.5% to 13.5%) and the lowest at 75–84 years (5.7%, 4.7% to 6.7%). The greatest decline was for skin condition indications (14.9%, 13.9% to 15.9%) and lowest for uncoded indications (5.5%, 4.5% to 6.4%).

Changes in different classes of antibiotics

Figure 3 presents changes over time in the use of different classes of ABs. The most frequently issued ABs were penicillins, accounting for 56% of AB prescriptions in men and 44% in women in 2017; macrolides, men 14%, women 12%; tetracyclines, men 14%, women 12%; sulfonamide and trimethoprim combination, men 6%, women 11%. Clindamycin, aminoglycosides, and other ABs accounted for <1% of AB prescriptions and are not shown. During the period of study, drugs for urinary tract infections (nitrofurantoin) increased as a proportion of all AB prescriptions, in men from 2.6% in 2014 to 4.2% in 2017, and in women from 8.8% in 2014 to 13.7% in 2017. Tetracycline use also increased between 2014 and 2017, in men from 12.8% to 14.5% and in women from
10.1% to 11.6%. Most other categories appeared to show
slight declines. Both penicillin and macrolides were
mainly prescribed for treating respiratory conditions,
whereas tetracyclines was frequently issued for skin condi-
tions among young patients and respiratory conditions in
later life. There was a decline in the use of sulfonamide/
trimethoprim combinations for urinary conditions while
a notable increase of nitrofurantoin use for these condi-
tions was seen over the study years among all age groups,
but more particularly in women.

**Main findings**

The rate of AB prescriptions and the proportion of
patients receiving ABs have declined consistently over this
4-year period. Antibiotic use shows important variations
by age and gender, being higher in very young and very
old people and higher in women than men. However,
these results show that a reduction in AB use is being
achieved across all ages and in all subjects. The gender
gap in relation to AB prescribing might be due to
differences in medical care-seeking behaviour or specific
conditions which disproportionately affect one gender.23
Among prescriptions associated with coded indications,
respiratory conditions were the most frequent indication
for AB prescription and also showed the greatest rate of
decline. Consistent with other recent reports,16 we find
for AB prescription and also showed the greatest rate of
respiratory conditions were the most frequent indication
Among prescriptions associated with coded indications,
the rate of AB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
<th>Distribution of antibiotic (AB) prescriptions by broad groups of indications. Figures are frequencies except where indicated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Freq.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB prescriptions</td>
<td>539219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory conditions</td>
<td>168852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genitourinary conditions</td>
<td>47009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin conditions</td>
<td>39579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye conditions</td>
<td>1953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-specific codes</td>
<td>204395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No medical codes</td>
<td>77431</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

More than one-third of prescriptions were for β-lactam
ABs and there was evidence of an important decline in
AB prescribing in this category consistent with previous
evidence.10 The relative reductions of broad-spectrum
β-lactam prescriptions were greater than for overall
AB use. Broad-spectrum β-lactam ABs may not necessarily
offer more effective coverage of causal pathogens than
their more specific counterparts. These results suggest
that clinicians are gradually moving to more targeted
narrow-spectrum substitutions when possible.

There is no universally accepted definition for ‘broad-spectrum’ ABs.10,19 This study analysed a separate
category of β-lactam ABs that were broad-spectrum (as
‘broad-spectrum β-lactam ABs’) to illustrate the possible
difference in prescribing trends between these broad-spect-
rum ABs and their counterparts. For most common and
uncomplicated infections, narrower spectrum drugs are
generally recommended as first-line agents in general
practices.21 Macrolides are generally recommended as
substitutions for penicillin in cases of penicillin allergy,
and for specific indications, including Legionella or the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4</th>
<th>Proportion of antibiotic (AB) prescriptions that were either acute or repeat prescriptions in 2017. Figures are frequencies (percent of row total)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total AB prescriptions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB prescriptions</td>
<td>459476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory conditions</td>
<td>129032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genitourinary conditions</td>
<td>42401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin conditions</td>
<td>32003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye conditions</td>
<td>1426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-specific codes</td>
<td>181018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No medical codes</td>
<td>73596</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
eradication of *Helicobacter pylori*. Nevertheless, macrolides were frequently prescribed in this and other studies. Clinical use of tetracyclines was low in children in recognition of the risk of deposition in growing bone and teeth, but the overall use of tetracyclines was higher at other ages. The increase of nitrofurantoin use was mainly due to the change in the guideline recommendation from trimethoprim to nitrofurantoin as empiric treatment for urinary tract infection.

**Strengths and limitations**

The study included more than 100 general practices in England that participated consistently across the 4-year period of study. The CPRD includes general practices from throughout the UK. However, because the CPRD licence imposes limits on the size of dataset to be employed, we selected only CPRD general practices in England. During the period of the study, there was substantial attrition of the cohort of CPRD general practices as practices migrated from the Vision practice systems that were employed by practices contributing to the CPRD database. We considered that it was important to include the same general practices in each year of study. However, we cannot be sure whether the AB prescribing of general practices that left the CPRD might differ from those that remained.

Previous studies have demonstrated the high quality and completeness of primary care electronic health records in CPRD. The data suggested that repeat AB prescriptions might account for a high proportion of uncoded prescriptions, but the prescription sequence field has not been well-validated to our knowledge. A concern for this study is the possible lack of recording of out-of-hours prescriptions, especially those from deputising services, walk-in centres, and emergency care settings. We noted that codes for telephone consultations and home visits were frequent among AB prescriptions with non-specific coded indications, which suggests that some out-of-hours activity might have been captured. We also acknowledge that prescriptions from hospitals and specialist clinics are not included, but these are expected to make only a small contribution to community AB use.

It appears unlikely that the large and consistent reductions in prescribing seen in this paper could be accounted for by movement of prescribing to other care settings.

The research analysed prescriptions issued and not prescriptions dispensed or consumed by patients. We could not determine whether prescribers used a delayed or deferred AB prescribing strategy. For these reasons, we believe that AB consumption may be slightly lower than we have reported. We acknowledge that there are variations in prescribing between practices. Our analytical method allowed us to estimate overall effects, and measures of precision, which took into account variation
between practices. Our results show some difference from an earlier study\textsuperscript{16} in the distribution of indications, but since different general practices, from different databases, were included in the two studies this may reflect variations in clinical practice.

**Comparison with other studies**

Previous analyses of primary care electronic health records have focused on AB prescribing for respiratory infections,\textsuperscript{30,31} recognising that these conditions represent the most frequent indications for AB prescription. There has been a long-term decline in respiratory consultation rates in England, which has contributed to reducing AB use for these conditions.\textsuperscript{31} Some authors suggest that respiratory consultations account for nearly two-thirds of AB use in primary care.\textsuperscript{33} Our analyses are consistent with those of Dolk et al.,\textsuperscript{16} who found that respiratory consultations account for fewer than half of AB prescriptions. However, a high proportion of prescriptions may be associated either with no medical codes or non-specific codes, making interpretation difficult. There were further methodological differences between the study of Dolk et al.\textsuperscript{16} and our own. The former study relied on the THIN database with a different number of general practices participating in different years, and used code lists that might have differed in some respects. Consequently, minor numerical differences are to be expected.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The present analyses add to recent reports by providing age- and gender-adjusted estimates of the rate of decline in AB use for all ABs and broad-spectrum β-lactam ABs, for different prescribing indications and different population subgroups defined by age and gender. The results show that the recent decline in AB use is broadly based and has occurred in all subgroups investigated. However, the decline in AB use has been at a faster rate for broad-spectrum β-lactam ABs than for all ABs; the decline is consistent by gender but tended to be lower over age 55 years; the slowest rate of decline is observed for AB prescription with no coded indications. The results emphasise the utility of electronic health records for providing individual-patient data for surveillance of trends in antimicrobial use and focusing future efforts at antimicrobial stewardship where these are most needed.
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Fall in GP antibiotic prescribing has been slowest for older patients and those with an unclear diagnosis

Fewer broad spectrum beta-lactams are being prescribed suggesting GPs are opting for more targeted drugs

GP in England are prescribing fewer antibiotics and when they prescribe them they are increasingly choosing drugs that target a narrow range of organisms rather than broad spectrum antibiotics, suggests new research from King’s College London published online in BMJ Open.

However, falls in GPs’ rates of prescribing have been smaller in some groups of patients, in particular patients aged over 55 and those with no clear diagnosis.

There has been a global drive to cut antibiotic use in response to the growing threat of antimicrobial resistance – a situation where bacteria develop resistance to antibiotics so become more difficult to treat and potentially ultimately untreatable.

The mechanism by which resistance develops is complex but the more frequently antibiotics are used, the greater the number of bacteria exposed to them and the more likely it is that those bacteria susceptible to the antibiotics will become resistant to them.

Antibiotic stewardship policies promoting more considered use of antibiotics have been introduced to slow the development of antimicrobial resistance. These policies encourage GPs to reduce prescribing of antibiotics overall, and where they are needed to choose one effective against a narrow range of bacteria over broad spectrum options, which target a wider range of bacteria.

Xiaohui Sun, PhD candidate of Population and Environmental Health Sciences, who led the research from King’s College London, analysed GP prescription of antibiotics at 102 general practices in England from 2014 to 2017 by extracting data from the UK Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CRPD).

The data showed that over that period total antibiotic prescribing declined by 6.9% per year, from 608 prescriptions per 1000 person-years in 2014 to 489 per 1000 person-years in 2017.

The rate of prescribing for broad spectrum beta lactam antibiotics, which target a wide range of organisms, fell more rapidly – by 9.3% per year, from 221 prescriptions per 1000 person-years in 2014 to 163 per 1000 person-years in 2017.

Prescribing rates declined at a similar pace for male and female patients, but the rate of decline was lower for older patients (those aged over 55).

When the authors looked at the associated diagnostic codes for the prescriptions they noted that prescribing rates had declined most for respiratory infections (9.8% per year), followed by for genitourinary infections (5.7%), but had fallen by only 3.8% in cases where no medical reason for their prescription was recorded.

More than a third of antibiotics (38.8%) prescribed by GPs were associated with medical codes that did not indicate a clinical condition that would require their use, and a further 15.3% of antibiotic prescriptions had no medical codes at all recorded against them. A large proportion of prescriptions not associated with medical codes were repeat prescriptions.
One potential limitation of the study is that not all community antibiotic prescribing may have been fully recorded, the authors point out, as prescribing by out-of-hours services, walk-in and urgent care centres may not have made it into the electronic record. Prescribing data from specialist clinics and hospitals was not included and these services may have issued some community prescriptions.

On the other hand, as the study looked at the number of prescriptions written not the number of antibiotic prescriptions dispensed, the study could not determine whether GPs used a delayed or deferred antibiotic prescribing strategy. If that was the case, antibiotic consumption would be slightly lower than antibiotic prescription, the authors added.

A strength of the study was that it looked at prescribing habits at the same practices over four years. Sun concluded: “Antibiotic prescribing has reduced and become more selective but substantial unnecessary antibiotic use may persist. Improving the quality of diagnostic coding for antibiotic use will help to support antimicrobial stewardship.”