
Assess support for judgement in the two different reviews
Same support for judgement
Different support for judgement
One support for judgement is empty
Risk of bias assessment does not match the support for judgement
(e.g. "Randomization described explicitly", judgement "Unclear"
Input mistake
Differences in interpretation
One review confuses one item with a different one / misunderstanding of definition of the item
(e.g. for Random Sequence Generation "600 opaque envelopes, 1 was drawn every time")
Access to the study report
Information in the report is incomplete or unclear
Study report clearly describes the information, but one review seemed to have missed it
Missed information from the study report
Study report does not describe the information reported by one author
No access to study report
Mention of access to additional information in the review
YES
NO
Differences in information
Unclear