What are the prevalence and factors associated with sexual dysfunction in breastfeeding women? A Brazilian cross-sectional analytical study
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ABSTRACT

Objective This study determined the prevalence and factors associated with sexual dysfunction in breastfeeding women.

Design Cross-sectional analytical study.

Setting Population-based study of individuals living in the northeast region of São Paulo state, Brazil.

Participants From May to August 2017, 372 women aged ≥18 years were selected who gave exclusive, predominant or complementary breast feeding up to 23 months postpartum, and who did not have contraindications for the resumption of intercourse. Pregnant women, those diagnosed with mental health problems, users of medications that affect sexual function (antihypertensives, antidepressants or antipsychotics) and women unable to read or understand the instructions for the study were excluded.

Primary and secondary outcome measures The breastfeeding women completed the Female Sexual Function Index, the EUROHIS-QOL 8-item index and a questionnaire to collect participants’ sociodemographic, clinical and interpersonal data. A bivariate analysis was performed, and variables with p values<0.20 were analysed by multivariate logistic regression.

Results Sexual dysfunction was present in 58.3% of the study population. Factors significantly associated with female sexual dysfunction (FSD) included placing less of importance on sexual intercourse (adjusted OR [AOR]=2.49, 95% CI=1.22 to 5.09), limited communication with the partner (AOR=2.64, 95% CI=1.43 to 4.86), decreased frequency of sexual intercourse (AOR=2.17, 95% CI=1.30 to 3.61) and low quality of life (AOR=2.23, 95% CI=1.33 to 3.74).

Conclusions The prevalence of FSD appears with a great magnitude in breastfeeding women. The risk factors for sexual dysfunction are biopsychosocial and these findings may lead to improved counselling for prenatal and postnatal care.

INTRODUCTION

Female sexual dysfunction (FSD) has been defined as distress caused by the unwanted presence or absence of sexual desire, sexual arousal, orgasm and/or associated sexual pain disorder.1 FSD affects approximately 40%–50% of women, irrespective of age.2 In Brazil, FSD also has a high prevalence regardless of age.3 A previous study of Brazilian women reported the prevalence of sexual problems in those over 18 years of age at 49.0%.4

Previous studies of general populations reported that sociodemographic factors, such as age, religious belief and low educational and socioeconomic levels, are associated with FSD.5,6 Clinical and interpersonal factors, such as relationship duration, androgen level and chronic disease,7–9 are also associated with sexual problems. Moreover, poor communication of couples,5 low interest in sex and the perception of low frequency of sexual activities,10 and erectile dysfunction are associated with FSD.11 A decreased quality of life (QoL) may also be associated with FSD,12 and QoL may decline in the first 6 months after delivery.13

Strengths and limitations of this study

► This study provides new information on the prevalence of sexual dysfunction in breastfeeding women in Brazil.

► The study showed associations to sexual dysfunction in breastfeeding women through multivariate analysis.

► The use of validated measurement instruments will allow the findings to be compared with other studies on the same population.

► The study was limited by the finite gynaecological and obstetric histories obtained for the participants.

► Because of the study's design, it was not possible to confirm the cause of sexual dysfunction, in a cause–effect perspective.
In particular, FSD during breastfeeding may be related to hyperprolactinaemia and physiological hypo-oestrogenism, which can lead to poor vaginal lubrication and dyspareunia. A decrease in sex hormones can affect the sexual satisfaction of women. In addition, some women experience perineal trauma during childbirth, and this can impair their ability to achieve orgasm, leading to sexual dissatisfaction. Moreover, the responsibilities of motherhood and the demands of childcare may have a negative psychological impact on the sexual response of breastfeeding women.

Previous research has studied the relationship of FSD with perineal trauma with dyspareunia among primiparous women, with type of delivery and with sexual dysfunction in the postpartum period. However, prevalence and factors associated with sexual dysfunction have not been studied specifically in breastfeeding women and hence, the situation is unknown in Brazil.

Protection, promotion and support of breastfeeding women are global goals of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. Breastfeeding impacts both child and maternal health, reducing the rates of non-communicable diseases, such as diabetes, obesity and breast cancer, and having a positive effect on the reduction of poverty and on economic growth.

The determinants of breastfeeding are multifactorial and knowledge of the prevalence and factors associated with sexual dysfunction of breastfeeding Brazilian women is extremely important. This is because in Brazil there are no epidemiological studies available on this issue, and the magnitude of FSD is unknown. In this context, this study was designed to determine the prevalence and factors associated with sexual dysfunction in breastfeeding women.

METHODS
Study design and participants
This cross-sectional analytical study was conducted in the northeast region of the state of São Paulo, Brazil, from May to August 2017. Participants were heterosexual women ≥18 years of age, who practised exclusive, predominant or complementary breastfeeding for up to 23 months after delivery. In addition, they had no medical contraindications to resuming vaginal intercourse, such as surgical complications after delivery, puerperal infection, haemorrhage or uterine inversion. Those excluded were pregnant women, women diagnosed with mental health problems, women using medications that affect sexual function (antihypertensives, antidepressants or antipsychotics) and women unable to read or understand the questionnaires.

The calculation of the sample size was based on the data from the database of the Nutrition Surveillance System (SISVAN) of the Ministry of Health of Brazil. This system performed epidemiological surveillance of women who breastfeed up to 23 months after delivery, with coverage of 99% of municipalities in all regions of Brazil. The SISVAN data were collected by doctors and nurses in health centres and entered into the SISVAN database by administrative personnel. SISVAN generated annual statistics on three types of breastfeeding: ‘exclusive breastfeeding’, in which the woman fed her child only with breast milk, with no other liquid or solid food except for the administration of vitamins, minerals and/or medicines; ‘predominant breastfeeding’, in which the woman fed her child with breast milk and with water or water-based drinks and ‘complementary breastfeeding’, in which the woman fed her child with breast milk along with solid and semisolid foods, including non-human milk.

The minimal sample size was 372 participants, considering a total population of 4839 breastfeeding women living in the northeast region of the state of São Paulo. A probabilistic calculation was made for a known population and an unknown FSD prevalence, considering CIs at 95%, an accuracy of 5% and a statistical power of 80%, with a participation loss of 5%.

To gather data, the medical histories of women who were scheduled for medical appointments at health facilities were examined. The medical records of the women who matched the inclusion criteria were selected and successively numbered. Initially, the sample for the study was drawn at random from this data set. Subsequently, selected women were invited to participate voluntarily in the study.

Data were collected from May to August 2017 by professionals trained in family health, who had clinical experience in sexual, prenatal and postnatal healthcare. The interviews were conducted in private rooms located in the health facilities. The average time for each interview was 20 min.

Study variables and measurement
The dependent variable was the presence of FSD, determined from the established cut-off point (see below) on the Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI). The FSFI was a self-administered instrument used to assess female sexual function, was considered a gold standard test, and had been validated in Brazil. The FSFI assessed female sexual function in the previous 4 weeks using 19 multiple-choice questions in six domains: desire, arousal, lubrication, orgasm, satisfaction and pain. The scores for each question ranged from 0 to 5 points, and the values of each domain were added and then multiplied by a correction factor to obtain the total score, which ranges from 2 to 36 points. A total score below 26.55 points suggested FSD. Cut-off points were also used for each FSD domain (4.28 for desire, 5.08 for arousal, 5.45 for lubrication, 5.05 for orgasm, 5.04 for satisfaction and 5.51 for pain), and scores less than or equal to these values indicated sexual problems in that domain.

The effect of sociodemographic, clinical, interpersonal relations and QoL variables on FSD were examined (table 1). Through a clinical interview, a structured questionnaire was used to collect sociodemographic data
(age, skin colour, marital status, religious beliefs, family income, years of study and employment), clinical data (type of birth, chronic disease, perineal trauma, breastfeeding time, type of breast feeding and breastfeeding problems) and interpersonal data (time in relationship, resumption of sex, premature ejaculation of their partner, breast discomfort and sexual health consultation). The levels of communication with their partner,
the importance of sex and stress were evaluated using a Likert-type scale: 1, very low; 2, low; 3, moderate; 4, high and 5, very high. \(^{34} \)

QoL was assessed using the EUROHIS-QOL 8-item index, which was validated in Brazil. \(^{35} \) This single-dimension instrument evaluated self-perceived QoL during the previous 2 weeks, by assessment of physical, psychological and social relations, and environment domains. This instrument had eight items, each scored from 1 to 5 points, for a total score range of 8–40, with a higher score indicating a better QoL.

Data analysis
The database was constructed using independent double data entry, and all descriptive and inferential analyses were performed using SPSS V.16.0. Absolute and relative frequencies and percentages were calculated for the categorical variables, and median, mean and SD for the numeric variables. The dependent variable FSD was classified by the cut-off point in the FSFI instrument; sexual dysfunction for each domain of the FSFI was determined using their respective cut-off points. Women were classified as having low or high QoL based on a total score above or below the average QoL in the sample. Age, family income, relationship duration and time until resumption of intercourse were converted from continuous to categorical dichotomous variables, taking the value of the median as a reference. The variables, level of communication by the couple, importance of sex and level of stress, that presented \( \leq 2 \) points, on the Likert scale, were dichotomised in the categories: poor communication, low importance of sex and high stress. The explanatory variables were binary, and a \( \chi^2 \) test was applied. In addition, crude ORs and 95% CIs were calculated. Variables whose \( p \) values were \(<0.2\) were then entered into a binary logistic regression model. The criteria for maintenance of a variable in the final model considered its level of significance and the quality of fit of the model (using the value of the likelihood function and the Hosmer-Lemeshow test). The predictive capacity of the model was also examined by plotting a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve. For all statistical tests, the type I error rate was set at 0.05.

Patient and public involvement
Participants were not involved in the design and conception of the study. The results of this study will be disseminated to the community and health personnel of the northeast region of the state of São Paulo.

Ethical considerations
The study was performed according to the Declaration of Helsinki.

All participants were informed of the aim of the study, the risks and the benefits.

### Table 2 Prevalence of overall female sexual dysfunction and in the individual domains (n=355)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FSD prevalence*</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall FSD</td>
<td>207 (58.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domains</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual desire</td>
<td>295 (83.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual arousal</td>
<td>295 (83.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lubrication</td>
<td>315 (88.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orgasm</td>
<td>271 (76.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual satisfaction</td>
<td>181 (50.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual pain</td>
<td>294 (82.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Estimated from cut-off points in the FSFI.

FSD, female sexual dysfunction; FSFI, Female Sexual Function Index.

RESULTS
We initially recruited 372 breastfeeding women, but 17 were excluded, 5 (0.01%) refused to participate and 12 (0.03%) had difficulty completing the questionnaires. The final sample of 355 women (95.4%) had a mean age of 26.5±6.68 years (range: 18–45), 41.1% were brown skinned, 34.3% were married, 8.2% had more than 12 years of education, 51.3% had religious beliefs, 31.3% had formal work, and family income was US$514.28±287.42 (range: 111.20–1600.00; table 1). The average FSFI score for the sample was 24.72 points (SD=4.75; range: 10.20–35.1). On the basis of the FSFI cut-off point, 207 women (58.3%) had sexual dysfunction (FSFI<26.55). The individual domain with the highest prevalence of dysfunction was vaginal lubrication (n=315, 88.7%), and the one with the lowest was sexual satisfaction (n=181, 50.9%; table 2).

The overall QoL index, calculated from the sum of all eight items, had a mean±SD of 29±4.89 points (range: 14–40; table 3).

Table 4 shows the results of bivariate analyses of the variables that presented with \( p \) values<0.2. Variables that had \( p \) values<0.2, and were included in the multivariate logistic regression model, were: black skin colour, yellow skin colour, de facto relationship, in the home or unemployed, high stress, low importance of sexual relations, little communication with partner, decrease in the frequency of sexual intercourse compared with the period before breast feeding, breasts discomfort, premature ejaculation of their partner, receipt of consultation for sexual health and low QoL. Variables that had \( p \) values<0.2, and therefore were not included in the multivariate logistic regression model, were: age, white skin colour, brown skin colour, religious beliefs, education years, type of birth, chronic disease, perineal trauma, breastfeeding type, duration of breast feeding and breastfeeding problems.

Table 5 shows the results of the multivariate logistic regression model.

These results indicate FSD was positively associated with low importance of sex in the relationship (adjusted OR...
Factors associated with sexual dysfunction in women who breastfed up to 23 months after delivery. All women agreed to participate freely in this study. The final sample size (n=355) provided a statistical power of 80%.

Although the knowledge of FSD in breastfeeding women is limited, and the prevalence of FSD in breastfeeding women is still unknown, previous studies have shown that it is a common problem in women postpartum, with a prevalence of 64.3%. Our current study showed that the prevalence of FSD in women who breast fed was 18.3% higher than the prevalence reported for the general population of women older than 18 years. Notably, 58.3% of our subjects had FSD, and about two-thirds of the women had individual FSFI scores below the cut-off points in each of the domains. The lubrication domain was the most affected domain in our participants. Sexual desire and sexual arousal domains were the next two most affected domains among our subjects. Most of the factors predictive of FSD were interpersonal variables.

A total of 88.7% of our study subjects had low scores for the vaginal lubrication domain. This could be due to the high levels of prolactin during breast feeding, which leads to reduced oestrogen levels, high vaginal pH, increased parabasal and intermediate cells, and decreased superficial cells. These atrophic changes in the vaginal mucosa may reduce vaginal lubrication, and therefore cause dyspareunia. In our study population, 82.8% of the women reported sexual pain in parallel with poor lubrication, a relationship also reported in a previous study of middle-aged Chinese women.

There is a known association of poor lubrication and sexual pain during or after intercourse with hypoactive sexual desire disorder (HSDD) in middle-aged women. HSDD is also related to high prolactin and decreased sex hormones, levels common in lactating women. The social context for these women, who need to take on new tasks as mothers, may also have psychological effects that decrease sexual desire and sexual arousal and reduce interpersonal interactions in a relationship.

Interestingly, women who attributed low importance to sex were 2.5 times more likely to have sexual dysfunction. Previous research indicated that low sexual interest can predict HSDD, and more interest in sex protected against sexual dysfunction. New mothers often experience fatigue because of the demands of childcare and the task of breast feeding, which become priorities, especially in the first 6 months after childbirth. In addition, breastfeeding women may give lower importance to sex due to a decreased interest in sex caused by physical changes after childbirth, such as weight gain, the presence of stretch marks or scars and changes in the perineal region.

Women who had limited communication with their partners had a 2.2-fold increased risk of sexual dysfunction. Adequate communication in a relationship contributes to interpersonal well-being for the couple and improves the female sexual response. This finding is

Table 3 Results of the EUROHIS-QOL 8-item index (n=355)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Total mean score</th>
<th>EUROHIS-QOL 8-item index (n=355)</th>
<th>Overall quality of life index*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Score n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minimum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General quality of life</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3 (0.8)</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General health</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>5 (1.4)</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activities of daily living</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>11 (3.0)</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-esteem</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>16 (4.4)</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relations</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>17 (4.6)</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>26 (71)</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finances</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>11 (3.0)</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>54 (14.7)</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Index calculated from the sum of all eight items.

[AOR]=2.49, 95% CI=1.22 to 5.09), limited communication with the partner (AOR=2.64, 95% CI=1.43 to 4.86), a decrease in frequency of sexual intercourse compared with the period before breast feeding (AOR=2.17, 95% CI=1.30 to 3.61) and low QoL (AOR=2.23, 95% CI=1.33 to 3.74). In addition, FSD was negatively associated with sexual health consultation (AOR=0.33, 95% CI=0.19 to 0.58). This multivariate model had goodness of fit, as indicated by the Hosmer-Lemeshow test ($\chi^2=6.00$, p value=0.65) and the Nagelkerke test (30.2%).

We also calculated the ROC curve to describe the predictive capacity of the logistic regression model. The results indicated a satisfactory prediction of FSD, with an area under the curve of 0.79±0.02 (95% CI=0.74 to 0.84; p value<0.01. (figure 1).

**DISCUSSION**

The present study determined the prevalence and factors associated with sexual dysfunction in women who breastfed up to 23 months after delivery. All women agreed to participate freely in this study. The final sample size (n=355) provided a statistical power of 80%.

A total of 88.7% of our study subjects had low scores for the vaginal lubrication domain. This could be due to the high levels of prolactin during breast feeding, which leads to reduced oestrogen levels, high vaginal pH, increased parabasal and intermediate cells, and decreased superficial cells. These atrophic changes in the vaginal mucosa may reduce vaginal lubrication, and therefore cause dyspareunia. In our study population, 82.8% of the women reported sexual pain in parallel with poor lubrication, a relationship also reported in a previous study of middle-aged Chinese women.

There is a known association of poor lubrication and sexual pain during or after intercourse with hypoactive sexual desire disorder (HSDD) in middle-aged women. HSDD is also related to high prolactin and decreased sex hormones, levels common in lactating women. The social context for these women, who need to take on new tasks as mothers, may also have psychological effects that decrease sexual desire and sexual arousal and reduce interpersonal interactions in a relationship.

Interestingly, women who attributed low importance to sex were 2.5 times more likely to have sexual dysfunction. Previous research indicated that low sexual interest can predict HSDD, and more interest in sex protected against sexual dysfunction. New mothers often experience fatigue because of the demands of childcare and the task of breast feeding, which become priorities, especially in the first 6 months after childbirth. In addition, breastfeeding women may give lower importance to sex due to a decreased interest in sex caused by physical changes after childbirth, such as weight gain, the presence of stretch marks or scars and changes in the perineal region.

Women who had limited communication with their partners had a 2.2-fold increased risk of sexual dysfunction. Adequate communication in a relationship contributes to interpersonal well-being for the couple and improves the female sexual response. This finding is
important because it suggests that incorporation of the sexual partner in clinical interventions may help to treat or prevent FSD.

Women generally report a decrease in frequency of sexual intercourse after childbirth, an association that deserves attention because it could increase the risk for FSD. Our multivariate analysis also confirmed that breastfeeding women had a reduced frequency of sexual intercourse. A low QoL led to a 2.3-fold increased risk for sexual dysfunction in our sample. Breastfeeding women may have a low QoL due to the physical and emotional stress experienced during the first months of breast feeding. However, this interpretation is controversial, because there is evidence that sexual function

### Table 4 Bivariate analysis of factors associated with FSD (n=355)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>FSD</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Black skin colour</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.47 (0.84 to 2.56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian skin colour</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.69 (0.85 to 3.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De facto relationship</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0.73 (0.47 to 1.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the home or unemployed</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>1.68 (1.07 to 2.65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High stress</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0.72 (0.45 to 1.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low importance of sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>3.82 (2.03 to 7.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor communication with partner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>4.02 (2.38 to 6.78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased frequency of sexual intercourse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>3.02 (1.94 to 4.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast discomfort</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>1.99 (1.26 to 3.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premature ejaculation of their partner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>1.67 (1.06 to 2.61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual health consultation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.35 (0.21 to 0.56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low QoL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>3.18 (2.04 to 4.97)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P value<0.05.

FSD, female sexual dysfunction; OR, gross OR; QoL, quality of life.
after birth is influenced by a woman’s perception of her partner’s postpartum sexual response. As such, factors, such as breastfeeding, vaginal issues, stress, body image and social support, may be less important than her partner’s behaviour in understanding female sexual responses after birth.49 Thus, further research is needed to clarify the role of QoL in predicting sexual dysfunction in breastfeeding women.

A sexual health consultation provides an opportunity for counselling and professional support that could help to prevent sexual problems.22 51 52 The health team could identify risk factors and plan appropriate interventions during regular appointments, offering an improved holistic approach in familiar surroundings.39 52 Evidence indicates that women who receive sexual health consultations report better sexual performance.53 54  Despite this, very few breastfeeding women seek counselling for sexual problems. A previous study showed that only 28% of postpartum women discussed changes in their sex lives with the health team.39 Similarly, only 34% of health professionals reported being interested in discussing the resumption of sexual activities after the sixth week postpartum.55

The high prevalence of FSD among women who breastfeed demonstrates the importance of health professionals actively assessing sexual function among these patients. All women who breastfeed should have the opportunity to fulfil their full sexual health potential and should not be at a disadvantage in achieving this goal, because of the care of their child. This means that healthcare personnel must create opportunities to improve the health of women who breastfeed, so as to provide for comprehensive sexual healthcare.

More qualified and educated professionals will be able to help improve the sexual life of women who breastfeed, through guidance on topics, such as the use of lubricants to prevent dyspareunia, and breastfeeding before vaginal intercourse to prevent the escape of breast milk during orgasm. In addition, counselling of the woman and her sexual partner can facilitate the readjustment of their patterns of sexual relations during the period of breastfeeding.
Finally, because the determinants of breast feeding are multifactorial, FSD could interfere in the predisposition to breast feed, because FSD decreases the level of well-being. Therefore, prevention of problems of sexual dysfunction among women who breast feed could contribute not only to the maintenance of sexual health of women, but also to promote breast feeding; however, this hypothesis needs to be tested with other studies.

CONCLUSIONS
The high prevalence of FSD among breastfeeding women is a stimulus to the planning of preventive measures, especially in primary healthcare. Our study showed that the risk factors for FSD involve interpersonal aspects, and suggested educational programmes for implementation in prenatal and postnatal care.

Precise estimates of FSD prevalence are important in understanding the international magnitude of this problem in providing care to postnatal women, and in identifying the risk in breastfeeding women. Our findings encourage further epidemiological studies that will make it possible to compare the results in this report with those of other departments.
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