Effect of integrated urban and rural residents medical insurance on the utilisation of medical services by residents in China: a propensity score matching with difference-in-differences regression approach
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ABSTRACT

Objectives In this study, we aim to evaluate the effect of urban and rural resident medical insurance scheme (URRMI) on the utilisation of medical services by urban and rural residents in the four pilot provinces.

Setting and participants The sample used in this study is 13 305 individuals, including 2620 in the treatment group and 10 685 in the control group, from the 2011 and 2015 surveys of China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study.

Outcome measures Propensity score matching and difference-in-differences regression approach (PSM-DID) is used in the study. First, we match the baseline data by using kernel matching. Then, the average treatment effect of the four outcome variables are analysed by using the DID model. Finally, the robustness of the PSM-DID estimation is tested by simple model and radius matching.

Results Kernel matching have improved the overall balance after matching. The URRMI policy has significantly reduced the need-but-not outpatient care and significantly increased outpatient care cost and inpatient care cost for rural residents, with DID value of −0.271, 0.090 and 0.256, respectively. After robustness test, the DID competing results of four outcome variables are consistent.

Conclusions URRMI has a limited effect on the utilisation of medical and health services by all residents, but the effect on rural residents is obvious. The government should establish a unified or income-matching payment standard to prevent, control the use of medical insurance funds and increase its efforts to implement URRMI integration in more regions to improve overall fundraising levels.

INTRODUCTION

In 2005, the WHO urged member states to commit to universal health coverage (UHC) to ensure that all people have access to the health services they need and that these member states do not create economic risks or poverty in health service utilisation. Member states need to strengthen their political will to realise fairness and respect for the right to health of all people.1 WHO has recommended three aspects that should be considered for achieving UHC: population coverage (broadness), service coverage (depth) and health cost coverage (height). Governments around the world are actively taking action to respond to WHO’s goal of global health coverage.2 Some developing countries and low-income countries, especially emerging economies such as China, Thailand, South Africa and Mexico, have implemented social health insurance (SHI) reform programmes as the first step of UHC.3
In 2009, the Chinese government launched a new round of national healthcare system reform to provide healthcare services that are accessible and fair to residents. With the continuous implementation of the reform, the Chinese government hopes to reduce the economic burden of residents and improve the utilisation level of medical services. The health outcomes can be achieved by establishing SHI with multilevel and extensive coverage, thereby promoting the realisation of UHC. The current SHI system in China has an obvious dual structure of urban–rural areas, consists of the urban employee basic medical insurance (UEBMI), the urban resident basic medical insurance (URBMI) schemes and the new rural cooperative medical system (NRCMS). By the end of 2015, the number of individuals participating in SHI reached 1.34 billion in China (coverage reached 97.8%).

However, major differences exist in the coverage, fund raising and operation of UEBMI, URBMI and NRCMS. UEBMI was piloted in the ‘Two Rivers’ region of China in 1994 and was officially implemented in 1998. UEBMI is mandatory for all urban employees and retired citizens, and it covered 295 million individuals in 2016. The premium for UEBMI (8% of the salary) is paid by employers (6% as tax) and employees (2%) on a monthly basis. Retirees do not pay premiums, and this premium is shouldered by employees. The funds of SHI consist of pooling funds and personal accounts. All personal payments and 30% of the employer’s payment of UEBMI are included in a personal account that is used for outpatient expenses. The rest of the payments are included in a pooling fund allocated for inpatient reimbursements. URBMI was piloted in 88 cities in China in 2007 and was fully implemented in 2010. URBMI mainly covers students, children and non-employed urban residents and adheres to the principle of voluntary participation. By the end of 2016, URBMI has covered 453 million individuals, and both UEBMI and URBMI have covered more than 90% of urban population in China. The financing of URBMI mainly comes from financial subsidies and individual payments. In 2015, the per capita fundraising amount of URBMI was approximately ¥515 (US$6.90) and personal payment accounted for 21.75%. Pilot in 2003 and fully implemented in 2007, NRCMS covers all rural residents, and it is funded by individuals, collectives and governments. NRCMS also involves the voluntary participation of families. In 2015, NRCMS covered 670 million individuals (coverage of 98.80%). The average fundraising was ¥490, and individual payments accounted for 19.25%. In URBMI and NRCMS, premiums are paid on a yearly basis, and the establishment of pooling funds (mainly funded by the government) compensates hospitalisation. Some areas have also attempted to compensate outpatient costs. In 2013, the reimbursement rates of UEBMI, URBMI and NRCMS were approximately 85%, 60% and 50%, respectively. The compensation levels of URBMI and NRCMS are much lower than that of UEBMI.

The remarkable progress in establishing a comprehensive SHI system is rapid and impressive in China. The broad coverage of the SHI system has improved access to health services and promoted utilisation of outpatient and inpatient financing, thereby reducing the proportion of out-of-pocket (OOP) costs and improving the health of residents. However, the scattered and gradual development of the three basic medical insurances has formed a broken SHI that cannot meet the dynamic health service needs of different population groups, thus resulting in structural defects in the utilisation of medical services. First, as urbanisation accelerates in China, population migration between urban and rural areas has become common. Multiple insurance coverages are repeated, resulting in inefficient use of medical insurance funds. Moral hazards and adverse selection are also not effectively managed. Second, due to the large difference in the compensation scope and levels between different medical insurances, personal and public financial burdens have become aggravated. Thus, resident participation in different basic insurance plans in favour of the fair use of medical services cannot be guaranteed. This funding gap affects societal health outcomes. To improve the fairness, sustainability and efficiency of URBMI and NRCMS and to promote the process of UHC, the Chinese government piloted the integration of URBMI and NRCMS in some areas in 2008, which can be essentially described as the pooling funds of urban and rural resident medical insurance scheme (URRMI) at the province level. URRMI has two main forms. In most areas, the ‘one system, two standards’ type is implemented, where two standards of payment and compensation are set, and residents are free to choose any standard. The second type is ‘one system, one standard’, which is implemented in economically developed areas, which demonstrates a clear unification of payment standards and compensation standards. In 2016, the Chinese government issued the ‘Guiding Opinions on Integrating the Urban and Rural Residents Basic Medical Insurance System’, requiring that the URRMI must be unified in terms of coverage, financing policies, treatment, medical insurance catalogues, the management of designated hospitals and fund. The provinces introduced the implementation plan before the end of the year and the nationwide launch in 2019, the core of which is fair financing and equal benefits.

Chinese scholars have begun to explore the impact of URRMI on the utilisation of medical services by residents since the piloting of URRMI. Gu et al decomposed differences in the utilisation of medical services between urban and rural areas in the URRMI integration area. Findings showed that the differences in descriptive statistics may have underestimated the unfairness of the utilisation of medical services between urban and rural areas. The main factors that have caused the difference in the utilisation of urban and rural medical services are...
income and healthcare policy. Ma et al.18 reported that URRMI has significantly increased the frequency and cost of outpatient service utilisation for rural residents, but no evidence has been established that it significantly affects hospitalisation. Liu19 argued that URRMI does not have a substantial impact on the level of medical service utilisation in China. The reason may be that URRMI has not significantly narrowed the urban–rural difference in the actual compensation rate. Huang and Zhang20 analysed the 1600 insured rural residents in Guangzhou and found that their utilisation of medical services has improved significantly, but this is not the case for urban residents. The total medical expense of rural residents during the year is 54.9% lower than that of urban residents. The number of outpatient visits and inpatient visits of rural residents during the year was 0.74 times and 0.15 times lower than that of urban residents, respectively. The proportion of OOP cost for medical services by urban and rural residents remains high at nearly 50%, but the proportion for rural residents is approximately 8.7% higher than that of urban residents.

Existing research has its shortcomings in terms of quantitative evaluation. First, few control variables are used, and the influence of confounding factors on the implementation of policies cannot be accurately excluded. Second, the attention given to the effect of policy implementation is insufficient, and the number of research references is limited. The conclusions presented by extant research are also inconsistent. Finally, most current research focus on utilisation of medical services by rural residents, but studies on the utilisation of urban and rural health services and their differences are insufficient.

In this study, we use the large database of China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS) for analytical support and the propensity score matching and difference-in-differences regression approach (PSM-DID) method—a complete established method in health service research—to assess the effect of URRMI on the utilisation of medical services by urban and rural residents.21–23 Thus, we attempt to provide suggestions on the practicality of the policy and offer an important reference for the full implementation of the URRMI system in China.

METHODS

Data source/sample

The data used in this study are derived from the 2011 baseline survey and the 2015 tracking survey of CHARLS. The CHARLS survey collects a set of high-quality microdata representing families and individuals aged 45 years and older in China to analyse the ageing Chinese population and promote interdisciplinary research on ageing. The CHARLS questionnaire includes demographic backgrounds, family structure and financial support, health status and functioning, healthcare and insurance, work, retirement and pension, income, expenditures and assets, and housing characteristics. The questionnaire design draws on international experience, including the Health and Retirement Study, the English Longitudinal Study of Aging and the Survey of Health, Aging and Retirement in Europe. The project adopted multistage sampling, and probability proportionate to size sampling methods were adopted in the county/district and village sampling stages. CHARLS pioneered the electronic drawing software (CHARLS-GIS) technology and used the map method to create village-level sampling frames.

The CHARLS baseline survey was launched in 2011 and covered 150 county-level units, 450 village-level units and 17708 individuals from 10257 households in 28 provinces, municipalities and autonomous regions. In general, the CHARLS baseline is a good representation of the elderly population of China. Overall response rate was 80.51%, of which the rural response rate was as high at 94.15%. Sampling are conducted every 2 years. By 2015, the sample had covered 21069 individuals from 12083 households, with an overall response rate of 82.63%, of which the rural response rate was 91.74%.

In this study, 31777 observations were admitted from CHARLS database between 2011 and 2015. After selection, the remaining 26610 observations were separated into URRMI group (treatment group) and non-URRMI group (control group) (figure 1). This study selected the provinces that piloted the URRMI in 2011–2015 as the treatment group, includes Zhejiang, Shandong, Guangdong and Chongqing. Otherwise, as the control group. This ensures that there is no pilot province for URRMI before 2011, and there are some pilot provinces after 2015. The final populations used in this paper is 13305 people, including 2620 in the treatment group and 10685 in the control group.

Variables

For this study, two types of outcome variable are considered in our analysis: (1) frequency of healthcare service utilisation, including need-but-not outpatient care (NOC) and need-but-not inpatient care (NIC) and (2) healthcare service cost, including outpatient care cost (OCC) and inpatient care cost (ICC). The URRMI is set as the treatment variable. Based on the framework of the Anderson Health Services Utilization Initial Model,24 the elements that ultimately result in the utilisation of health services include propensity characteristics, capacity resources and health needs. We synthesise the structure of the CHARLS questionnaire and screen the explanatory variables contained in the three elements as follows: (1) propensity characteristics: gender, age, hukou status, marital status and education level; (2) capacity resources: family income, receive child, given child, live with child, pension and retirement; and (3) health needs: health status, chronic, smoke, drink, activities of daily living, cognition and depression. The OCC and ICC were natural logarithm transformed as the original data are skewed to the right. The calculation and definition of the outcome
and explanatory variables is shown in online supplementary table 1.

Statistical analysis

Propensity score matching

To estimate the effects of URRMI integration on the utilisation of medical services by residents in China, the outcomes between treatment group and comparable control group are compared. Although the treatment group can be easily selected from the CHARLS database, some characteristics of the control group may be inconsistent with those of the treatment group. To address this shortcoming, we constructed an appropriate control group by using PSM. The PSM method is widely used in estimating the effects of health and other policy interventions, whereas randomised controlled trials are not feasible.\(^{25}\) Matching, which is based on propensity scores, can identify individuals in the control group with similar characteristics as those affected by the policy.

Before estimating the propensity scores based on a rich set of covariates from logistic regression, we initially restricted the potential control group by considering the key individual characteristics of the treatment group and the availability of data prior policy implementation. To test the balancing property of each observed covariate and the overall balance between the treatment and control groups in the baseline and to verify the reduced sampling bias achieved through matching, kernel matching was used for our main analysis. We used a with replacement kernel matching at the bandwidth of 0.06, and the kernel type is epan kernel. The kernel types and bandwidths are frequently mentioned in the literature.\(^{26-28}\) Observations not on the common support were excluded from the analysis. We checked the balance of means of covariates after matching by examining the standardised mean differences between the control group and the treatment group in the baseline (ie, the baseline is also checked before and after matching). After matching, the bias should be ≤5% (or p value >0.1) to establish adequate matching. Then, we calculated the achieved percentage of the bias reduction and examined each covariate’s standardised bias percentage before and after matching. Finally, we determined whether the kernel matching is appropriate based on the mean reduction bias and median reduction bias of overall balance.\(^{29}\)

DID model

The most important premise of the DID model is that the treatment group and the control group must meet the common-trend assumption.\(^{30}\) If the URRMI policy has not been implemented, no systematic differences can be observed in the trends of medical service utilisation over time between individuals who participated and did not participate in the URRMI. In reality though, the common-trend assumption cannot be easily satisfied. We therefore used the samples after conducting a panel balance for waves 2011 and 2015.

The following equation of DID model is estimated for continuous outcomes:

\[
Y_{it} = \beta_1 + \beta_2 URRMI + \beta_After + \delta (URRMI \times After) + \gamma X_{it} + \lambda_j + \eta_j + \epsilon_{it}
\]

(1)

The following equation of DID model is estimated for binary outcomes:

\[
\logit(Y_d) = \beta_1 + \beta_2 URRMI + \beta_After + \delta (URRMI \times After) + \gamma X_{it} + \lambda_j + \eta_j + \epsilon_{it}
\]

(2)

Where \(Y_d\) stands for healthcare service utilisation of resident at time \(t\); the treatment variable \(URRMI_i\) is a binary indicator; it represents the group dummy variable. \(URRMI_i = 1\) represents the treatment group that the individuals come from the province that have been implemented the URRMI; \(URRMI_i = 0\) represents the control group that the individuals come from the province that...
have not begun to implement the URRMI; After represents the time dummy variable. After = 0 means the time before the URRMI implemented (the year of 2011), and After = 1 means the time after the URRMI implemented (the year of 2015); the variable $\text{URRMI}_i \times \text{After}_t$ denotes the interaction between groups and time; $\text{X}_i\text{t}$ represents a set of individual covariates of resident $i$ at time $t$; $\lambda_j$, $\eta_j$ and $\epsilon_i\text{t}$ denote three error terms and contains information other than the main variables of the model. For the purpose of nullifying the roles of province and community level unobserved characteristics, $\lambda_j$ and $\eta_j$ represent province and community level fixed effects, respectively; $\epsilon_i\text{t}$ means an independently distributed error term that represents individual level and other unobservable characteristics. $\delta$ is calculated by DID model and denotes average treatment effect of the policy; we called $\delta$ the DID value in this paper.

To estimate the effects of URRMI integration on the utilisation of medical services by residents in China, we used a PSM-DID regression approach rather than a matching estimator alone to estimate the policy effect. These two methods are complementary to one another and can be applied for causal inferences to counter any selection bias or confounding. The combination of methods to counter biases and the confounding of different sources, and the comparison of results, are encouraged in the literature. An advantage of the PSM-DID approach is its capability to handle relatively weak assumptions, which is not the case for matching estimators, thus helping DID meeting common trend on observables. Therefore, to completely eliminate biases in the sampled selection, controlling all covariates that may affect the selection and results is necessary, but this matching technique often requires as many control variables as possible. To examine robustness of the DID results after PSM, we presented DID competing results coming from fixed-effect model and simple model, one matching method versus another matching method.

### Table 1 Characteristics of study sample in the CHARLS 2011 and 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>2011 Treatment (n=2620)</th>
<th>2011 Control (n=10685)</th>
<th>2015 Treatment (n=2620)</th>
<th>2015 Control (n=10685)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOC</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCC</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIC</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICC</td>
<td>8.25</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>7.78</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>58.87</td>
<td>10.02</td>
<td>58.41</td>
<td>9.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hukou status</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education level</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family income</td>
<td>18,877.82</td>
<td>35,510.49</td>
<td>15,409.88</td>
<td>31,934.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health status</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoke</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drink</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADL</td>
<td>75.64</td>
<td>6.83</td>
<td>75.14</td>
<td>6.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognition</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>2.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>29.46</td>
<td>10.50</td>
<td>28.49</td>
<td>10.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receive child</td>
<td>998.06</td>
<td>8009.74</td>
<td>716.45</td>
<td>3816.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Given child</td>
<td>537.11</td>
<td>6786.44</td>
<td>471.67</td>
<td>6634.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live with child</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension</td>
<td>444.23</td>
<td>2290.80</td>
<td>314.75</td>
<td>2274.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retirement</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mean shows the annual average for each variable. ADL, activities of daily living; ICC, inpatient care cost; NIC, need-but-not inpatient care; NOC, need-but-not outpatient care; OCC, outpatient care cost.
statistical analysis. The two-sided statistical significance level was set to 0.05.

Patient and public involvement

All data in this study were derived from the CHARLS database, so no patients and the public were involved in the study design, the outcome measures, data analysis or interpretation of the results. Results will be disseminated to study participants via this publication. The study samples are thanked in the acknowledgements.

RESULTS

Balancing proper test for PSM result

We examined the balancing property of each observed covariate between the treatment and control groups and the reduced sampling bias achieved through kernel matching. The results suggest that almost all observable covariates are sufficiently balanced by the matching between the treatment and control groups. The initial differences in the two groups are reduced considerably and have become statistically insignificant at 5% (online supplementary table 2).

As shown by table 2, the overall balancing properties can be verified by comparing the joint significance of all matching variables in the logit models before and after matching. In column 1, the pseudo $R^2$ of results after PSM by using kernel matching are much lower for the matched sample than for the raw sample. In columns 2 and 3, the matching covariates are jointly significant before matching and became insignificant after matching ($p$ value $>$0.05). The results further indicate that kernel matching have improved the overall balance after matching. Columns 4 and 5 consistently show that both the mean and the median of the absolute standardised bias have been reduced substantially by kernel matching.

Effects of URRMI on the utilisation of medical services by residents

The effect of URRMI on the utilisation of medical services by residents was estimated on the basis of kernel matching. We examined the impact of URRMI integration on NOC, OCC, NIC and ICC. The sample was divided into urban and rural residents, which is the most sensitive variable to the characteristics of the population in the URRMI reform. Then, DID analysis is conducted for the three types of sampling, namely, all samples, rural samples and urban samples (table 3 and table 4).

From the analytical results of all the samples, no significant difference exists in the utilisation of medical services between urban and rural residents before and after the implementation of URRMI. In the sample of rural residents, the URRMI policy significantly reduced the value of NOC, and increased the value of OCC and ICC, with PSM-DID values of −0.271, 0.090 and 0.256, respectively.

Testing the robustness of PSM-DID estimation

To ensure the robustness of the PSM-DID results, we further test DID competing results coming from fixed-effect model and simple model, one matching method versus another matching method. First, we performed linear and logistic regression using a simple model of DID without considering the province and community fixed effects. The results were consistent with the PSM-DID results in tables 3 and 4 (online supplementary table 3-4).

Then, we used the radius matching method to match the original panel data between treatment and control group in the baseline. Linear and logistic regression containing provincial and community fixed effects were performed, and we found that the results were also consistent with the previous PSM-DID results in tables 3 and 4 (online supplementary table 5-6). It indicated that although...
there are inevitable deviations in coefficient value and SEs through the results of the robustness test, this does not affect the robustness of the conclusions in this paper.

**DISCUSSION**

Our study found that, as the year of 2015, the URRMI policy has not significantly affected the utilisation of medical services by all residents in the four pilot provinces, as depicted by the NOC (DID value = -0.191, p value = 0.756), OCC (DID value = 0.075, p value = 0.603), NIC (DID value = 0.165, p value = 0.408) and ICC (DID value = 0.110, p value = 0.805). There result can be attributed to two reasons: first, the implementation of URRMI may have not led to significant changes in the overall actual compensation rate. Evidence from developing countries, such as Brazil and Mexico, have shown that the

---

**Table 3**  Effect of URRMI on the frequency of healthcare service utilisation for residents (NOC and NIC): PSM-DID estimate result

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>NOC</th>
<th></th>
<th>NIC</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URRMI×After</td>
<td>-0.191 (0.151)</td>
<td>-0.185 (0.191)</td>
<td>-0.271** (0.247)</td>
<td>0.165 (0.240)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URRMI</td>
<td>-0.402*** (0.115)</td>
<td>-0.491*** (0.185)</td>
<td>-0.404*** (0.149)</td>
<td>-0.322* (0.193)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After</td>
<td>0.029 (0.086)</td>
<td>-0.111 (0.149)</td>
<td>0.092 (0.107)</td>
<td>0.668*** (0.129)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covariates</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province-level fixed effects</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community-level fixed effects</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>0.087 (0.524)</td>
<td>0.387 (0.917)</td>
<td>0.066 (0.694)</td>
<td>0.799 (0.693)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²/pseudo R²</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of observations</td>
<td>25506</td>
<td>8009</td>
<td>17497</td>
<td>25506</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Robust SEs in parentheses. Constant represents beta-1, ‘URRMI’ represents beta-2, ‘After’ represents beta-3 and ‘URRMI×After’ represents delta (DID value) in equation (2). Coefficient value and SEs are estimated by logistic regression.

***P<0.01; **p<0.05; *p<0.1.

NOC, need-but-not outpatient care; NIC, need-but-not inpatient care; PSM-DID, propensity score matching and difference-in-differences regression approach; URRMI, urban and rural resident medical insurance.

**Table 4**  Effect of URRMI on the cost of healthcare service utilisation for residents (OCC and ICC): PSM-DID estimate result

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>OCC</th>
<th></th>
<th>ICC</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URRMI×After</td>
<td>0.075 (0.027)</td>
<td>0.058 (0.022)</td>
<td>0.090** (0.066)</td>
<td>0.110 (0.054)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URRMI</td>
<td>-0.025 (0.004)</td>
<td>-0.098** (0.037)</td>
<td>0.133 (0.050)</td>
<td>0.139* (0.021)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After</td>
<td>0.647*** (0.076)</td>
<td>0.516*** (0.131)</td>
<td>0.680*** (0.093)</td>
<td>0.154 (0.091)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covariates</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province-level fixed effects</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community-level fixed effects</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>5.427*** (0.500)</td>
<td>5.652*** (0.966)</td>
<td>5.017 (0.636)</td>
<td>9.140*** (0.510)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²/pseudo R²</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of observations</td>
<td>4551</td>
<td>1406</td>
<td>3145</td>
<td>2446</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Robust SEs in parentheses. Constant represents beta-1, ‘URRMI’ represents beta-2, ‘After’ represents beta-3 and ‘URRMI×After’ represents delta (DID value) in equation (1). Coefficient value and SEs are estimated by linear regression.

***P<0.01; **p<0.05; *p<0.1.

OCC, outpatient care cost; ICC, inpatient care cost.
expenditure. This model is also applicable for China. The ‘one system, two standards’ model is a transitional measure in China’s reform health programme to guarantee insured residents in the pilot areas. This practice allows insured residents to choose the payment standard that suits their payment capability, and it gives rural residents the right to choose a payment standard independently.15 33 34 This model also gives rural residents the right to choose high-grade medical insurance that only urban residents used to enjoy. However, although it eliminates discrimination based on identity and household registration, the model cannot completely eliminate the difference in fundraising and forms of treatment within the system.35 In the pilot areas of China, majority of the agricultural hukou residents with low income and low cultural level have opted to retain their original payment habits. These residents may not have the strong willingness to participate in second-grade URRMI (ie, the compensation ratio is relatively high); In this study, no significant change has been observed in the utilisation of medical services, which is consistent with the findings of Gu et al32 and Liu.10 Therefore, to further promote URRMI integration, particularly as the income gap between urban and rural residents gradually narrows, the ‘one system, one standard’ may be achieved by setting a unified payment standard and exploring a suitable financing mechanism linked with the per capita disposable income of urban and rural residents. As such, urban and rural residents can equally improve the utilisation of medical services.36–38 Second, URRMI follows the principle in which the treatment level of postintegration refers to a ‘higher treatment level’ compared with the preintegration of URBMI and NRCMS, thus achieving a smooth transition for the reimbursement policy of URBMI. The treatment level of the original policy, including outpatient care, hospitalisation compensation and serious illness insurance, has generally remained unchanged. Therefore, this study was unable to establish a significant impact on the level of health service utilisation of urban residents. A previous study has suggested that the compensation level of URRMI be gradually increased to reduce catastrophic health expenditure.39

After the implementation of URRMI, the ICC of rural residents have significantly improved (DID value=0.256, p value=0.052), which is consistent with previous research.40–42 Considering the necessity of hospitalisation needs and the design of NRCMS that is centres on hospitalisation compensation, the rural residents might have mainly considered their own disease severity and hospital service level in selecting inpatient hospitals. The rural residents also have relatively low sensitivity towards compensation level. On the basis of hospital distribution and rural inpatient in recent years, and despite the emphasis on initial medical care through the community and healthcare grading system, rural residents may have preferred high-level hospitals with better service capabilities over primary medical hospitals. In addition, after URRMI integration, the treatment levels in the pilot areas have markedly improved alongside the expanded scope of hospitals designated for medical insurance, the timely settlement of medical services with the use of social security identification and the reduced medical expenses of residents. Similarly, the utilisation of medical services by insured rural residents can be improved and high hospitalisation costs can be resolved. Therefore, preventing the use and controlling the risk of medical insurance funds requires sufficient attention.

However, the effect of URRMI on the hospitalisation of rural residents should not be the main basis for evaluating policy performance, as the indicators of outpatient service utilisation can also reflect the current effect of URRMI on the level of medical service utilisation of rural residents. After integration, the NOC of rural residents (DID value=−0.271, p value=0.043) significantly reduced and OCC (DID value=0.090, p value=0.026) significantly increased, and the change is mainly due to the policies on outpatient pooling funds and outpatient chronic disease, which have been prioritised by URRMI and NRCMS before URRMI implementation in the pilot areas. In terms of the outpatient pooling fund policy, the annual maximum payment limit of NRCMS is slightly higher than that of URBMI. However, in terms of the outpatient chronic disease policy, the number of special chronic diseases in NRCMS is significantly larger than that in URBMI, and the reimbursement rate of NRCMS is higher, but the annual maximum payment limit is lower, than URBMI.43 On the basis of the principle of ‘treatment level of postintegration’ (ie, higher treatment level at postintegration compared with preintegration for URBMI and NRCMS), the scope of disease and the annual maximum payment limit in the outpatient pooling funds and outpatient chronic disease policy has improved, and this phenomenon may have greatly stimulated the health service utilisation of rural residents. The reasons may be related to the public health and family doctor enlistment services of rural primary medical hospitals, the policies of which are much more publicised to rural residents. Meanwhile, the utilisation level of outpatient services by urban residents might have been affected by multiple factors, such as the time lag effect and relatively high economic conditions, such that the current level of utilisation of medical services remains unrecognisable. Therefore, the government should increase efforts to implement URRMI integration in more regions, raise the level of overall pooling funds and strengthen policy propaganda to further promote social substantive equity.44 45

**Limitations**

This study has several limitations. First, an important assumption in the implementation of PSM-DID is that the
model should contain all covariates that may influence the policy effect before and after matching. Unobservable covariates will cause different trends between the treatment group and the control group, and such an instance may have led to biased results in this study. Second, in the PSM process, kernel matching and radius matching were selected for the evaluation of the policy effect. Although the two matching methods have good applicability in practice, potentially more suitable matching methods have not been tried. Third, the survey of CHARLS is only applicable for urban and rural residents over 45 years old. The utilisation of medical services by urban and rural residents younger than 45 years old cannot be considered, which limits the scope of application of this study. Finally, the investigation relied on time points, particularly 2011 and 2015. There may be a time lag effect on the policy effects that are not apparent in the short term and thus not considered in the analysis on the utilisation level of medical services.

FURTHER RESEARCH
The observed disparities in our study reveal the potential impact of the URRMI policy on the utilisation of medical services for urban and rural residents in China. However, the underlying mechanisms of the link between URRMI policy and the utilisation of medical services are complex. Further research is needed to explore potential mechanisms and validate this relationship in other methods. In addition, China has begun to implement the hierarchical treatment model in recent years and should pay attention to the rationality of medical service utilisation, including the rationality of admission and the rationality of the treatment.

CONCLUSIONS
The current policy design of URRMI has a significant impact on the medical service utilisation of rural residents, and it has positive affected the promotion of urban and rural equality in terms of outpatient utilisation. However, the current policy design has not yet obtained sufficient evidence tantamount to the promotion of hospitalisation equality between urban and rural residents. Policy makers should learn from the implementation of the pilot area and develop a much more effective implementation strategy for the upcoming national promotion of URRMI.
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