BMJ Open Occupational exposure to inorganic particles during pregnancy and birth outcomes: a nationwide cohort study in Sweden

Filip Norlén,¹ Per Gustavsson,¹ Pernilla Wiebert,¹ Lars Rylander,² Maria Albin,^{1,2} Magnus Westgren,³ Nils Plato,¹ Jenny Selander¹

To cite: Norlén F, Gustavsson P, Wiebert P, *et al.* Occupational exposure to inorganic particles during pregnancy and birth outcomes: a nationwide cohort study in Sweden. *BMJ Open* 2019;**9**:e023879. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2018-023879

► Prepublication history for this paper is available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2018-023879>).

Received 27 April 2018

Revised 3 January 2019

Accepted 8 January 2019

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2019. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

¹Institute of Environmental Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

²Division of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Lunds Universitet, Lund, Sweden

³Department of Clinical Science, Intervention and Technology, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

Correspondence to

Filip Norlén; filip.norlen@ki.se

ABSTRACT

Objectives The aim of this study was to investigate if occupational exposure to inorganic particles or welding fumes during pregnancy is associated with negative birth outcomes.

Design A prospective national cohort study.

Setting All single births from 1994 to 2012 in Sweden. Information on birth weight, preterm birth, small for gestational age, smoking habits, nationality, age, occupation, absence from work and education was obtained from nationwide registers. Exposure to inorganic particles (mg/m³) was assessed from a job exposure matrix.

Participants This study included all single births by occupationally active mothers (995 843).

Outcome measures Associations between occupational exposures and negative birth outcomes in the form of low birth weight, preterm birth and small for gestational age.

Results Mothers who had high exposure to inorganic particles and had less than 50 days (median) of absence from work during pregnancy showed an increased risk of preterm birth (OR 1.18; 95% CI 1.07 to 1.30), low birth weight (OR 1.32; 95% CI 1.18 to 1.48) as well as small for gestational age (OR 1.20; 95% CI 1.04 to 1.39). The increased risks were driven by exposure to iron particles. No increased risks were found in association with exposure to stone and concrete particles. High exposure to welding fumes was associated with an increased risk of low birth weight (OR 1.22; 95% CI 1.02 to 1.45) and preterm birth (OR 1.24; 95% CI 1.07 to 1.42).

Conclusions The results indicate that pregnant women should not be exposed to high levels of iron particles or welding fumes.

INTRODUCTION

Many studies have shown associations between exposure to traffic-related residential air pollution during pregnancy (including the combustion products SO₂, NO_x and CO and particles (PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀)) and low birth weight, preterm birth and small for gestational age.^{1 2} However, few studies have assessed the association between occupational exposure to particles and adverse pregnancy

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This register-based cohort study contains a vast amount of information with few missing observations about all children born in Sweden from the beginning of 1994 to the end of 2012 and their mothers, which make it possible to assess maternal occupational exposure to inorganic particle or welding fumes and adverse pregnancy outcome.
- The data were collected prospectively in the sense that the information about the mother and child were collected during pregnancy and information about the occupation was collected before the birth outcome was known.
- The information about the exposure was assessed from the job exposure matrix which can introduce non-differential misclassification even though it is assessed objectively and blinded from the outcome.
- In epidemiological studies, the risk shown might be associated with residual confounding from socio-economic factors related to the type of work rather than the exposure. In this study, this issue was managed by the use of information on absence.

outcomes, even though the levels of pollutants can be substantially higher at work than in the general outdoor environment.

A majority of Swedish women are in the active work force, 64% of women in ages 20–64 are employed or self-employed. In a Swedish survey from 2009, 23% of occupationally active women in the age group 16 to 29 years and 16% in the age group 30 to 49 years reported exposure to air pollution at the workplace for at least a quarter of the working day.³ Most women continue to work during pregnancy. Women in physically very demanding work can apply for pregnancy benefit during the last 60 days of pregnancy. A few occupations/exposures are not allowed for pregnant women: lead exposure, diving, firefighting with smoke helmet, underground mining work and work

involving exposure to certain microbiological agents.⁴ Women exposed to substances or physical conditions that may affect pregnancy negatively can apply for pregnancy benefit during the whole pregnancy, but this is rare. Thus, a large number of Swedish women keep working during pregnancy.

Adverse birth effects, such as low birth weight (<2500 g), small for gestational age (birth weight less than two SD below the mean for gestational length) and preterm birth (<37 full weeks) are relatively common conditions among newborns. About 2.7%–4.4% of the children born in 1998–2007 in Sweden were born small for gestational age, and about 3.7%–5.1% were born with low birth weight.⁵ The proportion of premature births has been quite constant in recent decades, and in 2013 about 5% of all single births were premature.⁶ Low birth weight has been associated with an increased risk of asthma and respiratory problems⁷ as well as increased risk of cardiovascular disease.⁸ In addition, children with low birth weight have a higher mortality than children with normal birth weight,⁹ and low birth weight and preterm birth both might result in cognitive deficits later in life.^{10 11} The mechanism behind the association between exposure to air pollution during pregnancy and foetal health effects is not yet fully understood.² Several plausible pathways have been suggested,¹² where oxidative stress is one putative mechanism.¹³ Low birth weight could possibly be caused by cardiovascular mechanisms related to oxidative stress, inflammation, coagulation, disturbed endothelial function and haemodynamic responses.¹² Preterm delivery and intrauterine growth retardation could by themselves or together be the underlying cause of low birth weight. Adverse effects in the development of the fetus during pregnancy, such as intrauterine growth restriction,^{14 15} have previously been associated with low birth weight, and stress during pregnancy has been shown to lead to preterm birth.¹⁶

One epidemiological study has assessed the association between occupational particle exposure in the form of welding fumes and metal dust during pregnancy and foetal effects.¹⁷ The Finnish cross-sectional study showed a 78% non-significant increased risk of small for gestational age in relation to welding fumes and three-fold significant increased risk of small for gestational age in relation to a combination of welding fumes and metal dust.¹⁷ However, the study was retrospective which gives an increased risk of recall bias. No previous study has been found on maternal occupational exposure to inorganic particles like stone and concrete and adverse pregnancy outcomes. There is therefore a strong need for a prospective cohort study in this area of research.

The aim of this cohort study was to investigate the relationship between the mothers' exposures to inorganic particles and welding fumes in the work environment during pregnancy and the risk of the following negative birth outcomes: small for gestational age, low birth weight and preterm birth.

METHODS

Study setting and data set

The study population was selected among mother and child pairs with children born from 1 January 1994 to 31 December 2012. Only single births were included in the study (1 826 743 observations). Additional inclusion criteria were that the mother should have an occupation during pregnancy that could be coded into Arbetsmarknadsstyrelsens yrkesklassificering (AMSYK) or Nordisk yrkesklassificering 1983 (NYK 83) (1 148 312 observations) and that they also reported working full time or part time at the beginning of pregnancy (995 843 observations).

The study was based on data from three Swedish registers—the Medical Birth Register at the National Board of Health and Welfare, the longitudinal integration database for health insurance and labour market studies at Statistics Sweden and the Register of sick leave and parental leave from the Swedish Social Insurance Agency.

The Medical Birth Register includes information about the mother's occupation in free text (uncoded) and if the mother was working full time, part time or not at all, which is reported during the registration interview at prenatal care facilities around week 10 of the pregnancy. It also includes important information about the outcome variables and potential confounders. The register includes in total about 98%–99% of all children born in Sweden.¹⁸

To increase the specificity of the information on occupational exposures, information about absence from work for pregnancies between 1994 and 2012 was collected from the register of sick leave and parental leave and matched by birth date and gestational length, in order to assess the number of days of absence from the workplace during each pregnancy. Citizens report sick leave and parental leave in order to get social insurance benefits. The register does not cover short-term sick leave of less than 14 days (when the employer is responsible for the benefit), but it covers long-term sick leave, parental leave and special sick leave related to the pregnancy from day 1.

The longitudinal integration database for health insurance and labour market studies contains all persons in Sweden aged 16 and older who are registered in Sweden as of the 31 December every year.¹⁹ The information about the mother's highest level of education from the register was used to adjust for socioeconomic status.

Exposure

Information on the mothers' occupation obtained during the registration interview was coded manually by occupational hygienists according to AMSYK/Standard för svensk yrkesklassificering, the Swedish version of ISCO-88 (International Labour Office 1990). A detailed description of the coding procedure has been published earlier by Selander *et al.*²⁰ Exposure to air pollution at work was assessed by matching of the mother's occupational title during pregnancy to a job exposure matrix, based on Finnish Information System on Occupational Exposure

and adopted to Swedish conditions by the research group.²¹ The matrix included estimations for 14 different types of particles for about 100 different occupational groups and two time periods.

The mean value for exposure was divided into the following exposure groups based on percentiles: unexposed (0), low exposure (0 to 50th percentile) and high exposure (equal to or over the 50th percentile). The cut-off value between low exposure and high exposure (50th percentile) was 0.09 mg/m³ for both inorganic particles and welding fumes, with a range of 0.01 to 1.60 mg/m³ for inorganic particles and 0.01 to 3.20 mg/m³ for welding fumes. When the study participants were few, the exposure was dichotomised into unexposed or exposed.

The occupational exposures were further divided into three subgroups. The subgroup of iron dust included occupational exposure to iron dust or fumes from welding, smelting, grinding or other processing of steel and other materials containing iron. The subgroup of concrete dust included dust from stone and concrete material, and the subgroup of other inorganic dust included dust from plaster and insulation.

The presence of the pregnant mother at the workplace was divided into three categories: (1) working full time with low absence from work (reported full-time work and with fewer than 50 days of absence from work (<50th percentile) during pregnancy), (2) working full time or part time with moderate absence from work (reported part-time work or with 50 or more days (≥50th percentile) but fewer than 112 days of absence from work (<75th percentile) during pregnancy) and (3) working full time or part time with high absence from work (reported full-time or part-time work and with 112 or more days of absence from work (≥75th percentile) during pregnancy).

Confounders

Potential confounders were identified through a review of previous studies on small for gestational age, preterm birth and low birth weight in association with exposure to residential or occupational air pollution^{22 23} and included the mother's age (five categories: <20 years, ≥20–<25 years, ≥25–<30 years, ≥30–<35, ≥35 years), current smoking habits (three categories: non-smokers, smokers of 1–9 cigarettes per day and smokers of 10 cigarettes per day or more), highest completed educational level (three categories: high school 2 years or less, high school more than 2 years or university less than 3 years and university 3 years or more or graduated), working at the beginning of pregnancy (three categories: full time, part time and not at all), occupational exposure to noise (two categories: <75 dB and ≥75 dB), nationality measured as country of origin (three categories: Swedish, EU15/Nordic countries except Sweden and outside Europe (EU15)) and parity (three categories: first child, second child and third child or more).

The selection of variables for confounding adjustment was based on the effect each potential confounder

had on the association between occupational exposure and outcome. The inclusion criterion for the final model was a deviation >5% in the point estimate with the confounder in the model compared with the model without the confounder. The mother's body mass index, physical strenuous work and psychosocial stress, family structure and the children's gender and birth year were also tested, but since they affected the point estimate of the crude analysis 5% or less, they were excluded from the final model.

Outcome

Outcome variables available through the Medical Birth Registry were small for gestational age (a calculated growth curve of weight and gestational age estimated by the National Board of Health and Welfare), birth weight and gestational length. Preterm birth was defined by dichotomising gestational length at gestational week 37, and low birth weight was defined by dichotomising birth weight at 2500 g. Small for gestational age was defined as birth weight below 2 SD of the mean.²⁴

Statistical analysis

Analyses were done with logistic regression in STATA SE V13.1 (StataCorp LLC, Texas, USA) generating ORs and 95% CIs. All the confounders listed in table 1 have been tested with χ^2 , and show a statistically significant difference, p value <0.05.

Patient and public involvement

The research question and outcome measures have been developed through questions by occupational active women to Karolinska Institutet and Stockholm county council about risks with exposure during pregnancy, as well as a review of previous studies and literature. Formal consent is not obligated in this register-based cohort study; therefore, neither patients/study participants nor the public were involved in the recruitment to or conduct of the study. The study participants have been interviewed in about week 10 and the information has been added to the Medical Birth Register. All the registries involved have done a confidentiality control on the behalf of the study participants. The study does not include publication of results on or to individual study participants. The results will be published through this paper and maybe alter the recommendations to pregnant women at antenatal clinics in Sweden.

RESULTS

In total, the population included 1 826 743 single births. After restriction that the mother had to have an occupation during pregnancy that could be coded into AMSYK or NYK 83, the sample decreased to 1 148 312 observations. Out of these, 995 843 had complete data on mother's occupation (including information on full-time or part-time work) and were selected as the final study population. The study included 20 445 cases of small

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of the study participants* (995 843) in per cent (%) and number (n) of cases in relation to the adverse outcomes of small for gestational age (SGA)†, low birth weight (LBW)‡ and preterm birth (PTB)§

Per cent (%) and number (n) of cases	SGA		LBW		PTB		All births
	%	n	%	n	%	n	n¶
Mother's age							
<20 years	3.42	111	4.41	143	6.37	207	3259
≥20, <25 years	2.20	2370	3.12	3355	5.28	5680	107 792
≥25, <30 years	1.96	6433	2.73	8936	4.69	15 398	328 776
≥30, <35	1.95	7064	2.65	9593	4.27	15 456	362 597
≥35 years	2.32	4467	3.24	6245	4.82	9303	193 419
Smoking							
Non-smokers	1.86	16 679	2.66	23 912	4.52	40 605	900 154
Smokers, ≥1, ≤9 cigarettes per day	3.91	2331	4.39	2620	5.45	3252	59 874
Smokers, ≥10 cigarettes per day	5.15	1125	5.82	1272	6.57	1437	21 945
Highest completed educational level							
High school ≤2 years (1)	2.44	6525	3.23	8635	5.02	13 395	267 775
University <3 years (2)	1.97	8128	2.79	11 541	4.66	19 288	414 529
University ≥3 years or graduate (3)	1.83	5649	2.58	7945	4.26	13 154	309 072
Working at the beginning of pregnancy							
Full time	2.21	14 295	3.03	19 574	4.86	31 437	648 050
Part time	1.77	6150	2.51	8698	4.21	14 607	347 793
Absence from work							
<50 days	2.36	12 209	3.57	18 458	5.73	29 613	518 275
≥50 days and <120 days	1.87	5164	2.00	5511	3.13	8642	276 789
≥120 days	1.53	3072	2.15	4303	3.89	7789	200 779
Occupational noise							
Unexposed	2.02	16 686	2.82	23 216	4.57	37 671	826 422
Exposed	2.23	3759	2.99	5056	4.95	8373	169 421
Nationality							
Swedish	1.97	17 469	2.77	24 508	4.61	40 863	887 847
EU15 and Nordic countries (except Sweden)	2.35	632	2.95	794	4.43	1194	27 029
Outside Europe (EU15)	2.91	2339	3.68	2963	4.93	3973	80 711
Parity							
First child	2.91	13 379	3.79	17 449	5.87	27 007	461 203
Second child	1.29	4640	1.92	6915	3.42	12 315	360 660
Third child or more	1.40	2426	2.25	3908	3.87	6722	173 980

All the confounders have been tested with χ^2 , and show a statistically significant difference, p value <0.05 in relation to the outcome of small for gestational age, low birth weight or preterm birth.

*Restricted to single births between 1994 and 2012 among mothers who worked full time or part time.

†Small for gestational age, estimated by a calculated growth curve of weight and gestational age.

‡Low birth weight, dichotomised as <2500 g and ≥2500 g.

§Preterm birth, dichotomised as <37 weeks and ≥37 weeks.

¶There was no missing, except for smoking (1%).

for gestational age, 28 272 cases of low birth weight and 46 044 cases of preterm birth.

Baseline characteristics are presented in table 1 above. The risk for births with adverse outcome varied with the mother's age and seemed to be higher for younger (younger than 25 years) and older (35 years of age or older) mothers. Smokers had a higher percentage of adverse outcomes than non-smokers, and higher

education was correlated with a lower prevalence of adverse birth outcomes. There also seemed to be a difference in percentage between nationalities, with a higher prevalence of cases in the group of mothers born outside Europe.

ORs for the association between exposure to air pollution and birth outcomes subdivided by absence from work are presented in tables 2 and 3. An elevated risk of

Table 2 Maternal occupational exposure* to inorganic particles and small for gestational age (SGA)†, low birth weight (LBW)‡ and preterm birth (PTB)§ subdivided by work participation during pregnancy

	Working full time with low absence from work¶			Working full or part time with moderate absence from work**			Working full or part time with high absence from work††		
	Adjusted##		No of cases	Crude		No of cases	Crude		No of cases
	(n=37683†)	OR (95% CI)		(n=418233)	OR (95% CI)		(n=200779)	OR (95% CI)	
SGA									
No exposure	1	8736	1	8551	1	8010	1	7828	1
Low exposure	0.83 (0.71 to 0.97)	156	0.88 (0.75 to 1.03)	154	0.75 (0.58 to 0.99)	54	0.89 (0.68 to 1.16)	54	0.85 (0.60 to 1.21)
High exposure	1.35 (1.17 to 1.55)	207	1.20 (1.04 to 1.39)	203	1.21 (1.05 to 1.39)	210	1.06 (0.92 to 1.22)	204	1.19 (0.98 to 1.44)
LBW									
No exposure	1	13085	1	12838	1	9975	1	9742	1
Low exposure	0.84 (0.73 to 0.95)	236	0.90 (0.79 to 1.03)	230	0.98 (0.79 to 1.21)	87	1.11 (0.89 to 1.38)	85	0.73 (0.53 to 1.01)
High exposure	1.52 (1.36 to 1.70)	346	1.32 (1.18 to 1.48)	341	1.11 (0.98 to 1.26)	240	1.02 (0.90 to 1.17)	235	1.08 (0.91 to 1.28)
PTB									
No exposure	1	21003	1	20630	1	15904	1	15551	1
Low exposure	0.83 (0.75 to 0.92)	377	0.89 (0.80 to 0.99)	366	0.89 (0.75 to 1.07)	127	0.98 (0.82 to 1.17)	125	0.75 (0.60 to 0.95)
High exposure	1.38 (1.26 to 1.51)	502	1.18 (1.07 to 1.30)	491	0.99 (0.89 to 1.10)	342	0.93 (0.83 to 1.04)	334	1.01 (0.89 to 1.16)

*Exposure divided into unexposed (0), low exposure (<0–50th percentile) and high exposure (>50th percentile). The 50th percentile=0.09 mg/m³.

†Small for gestational age, estimated by a calculated growth curve of weight and gestational age.

‡Low birth weight, dichotomised as <2500 g and ≥2500 g.

§Preterm birth, dichotomised as <37 weeks and ≥37 weeks.

¶Full-time workers who stated that they were working full time at the interview in week 10 and had fewer than 50 days of absence from work (<50th percentile) during pregnancy (excluding the first 14 days of sickness).

**Part-time workers who stated that they were working part time at the interview in week 10 or had 50 or more days (≥50th percentile) but fewer than 112 days of absence from work (<75th percentile) during pregnancy (excluding the first 14 days of sickness).

††All workers who responded to the question about work at the interview in week 10 and had 112 or more days of absence from work (≥75th percentile) during pregnancy, except those who stated that they were not working at all.

##OR adjusted for mothers' age, education, smoking habits, nationality, occupational exposure to noise and parity.

Table 3 Maternal occupational exposure* to welding fumes and small for gestational age (SGA)†, low birth weight (LBW)‡ and preterm birth (PTB)§ subdivided by work participation during pregnancy

	Working full time with low absence from work¶			Working full or part time with moderate absence from work**			Working full or part time with high absence from work††		
	Crude	Adjusted##	No of cases	Crude	Adjusted##	No of cases	Crude	Adjusted##	No of cases
	(n=376 831)	(n=370 126)		(n=418 233)	(n=410 370)		(n=200 779)	(n=196 878)	
	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)		OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)		OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	
SGA									
No exposure	1	1	8916	1	1	8097	1	1	2982
Low exposure	1.63 (1.34 to 1.99)	1.45 (1.19 to 1.78)	106	1.29 (1.05 to 1.59)	1.14 (0.92 to 1.40)	94	1.21 (0.90 to 1.64)	1.03 (0.76 to 1.40)	44
High exposure	1.14 (0.91 to 1.43)	1.05 (0.83 to 1.32)	77	1.18 (0.95 to 1.47)	1.07 (0.86 to 1.34)	83	1.15 (0.85 to 1.54)	0.94 (0.69 to 1.26)	45
LBW									
No exposure	1	1	13 362	1	1	10 104	1	1	4188
Low exposure	1.75 (1.49 to 2.05)	1.52 (1.30 to 1.79)	168	1.13 (0.93 to 1.38)	1.06 (0.87 to 1.29)	103	1.00 (0.75 to 1.32)	0.87 (0.65 to 1.16)	49
High exposure	1.37 (1.15 to 1.63)	1.22 (1.02 to 1.45)	137	1.08 (0.88 to 1.33)	1.00 (0.81 to 1.23)	95	1.14 (0.88 to 1.46)	0.99 (0.76 to 1.27)	62
PTB									
No exposure	1	1	21 451	1	1	16 109	1	1	7586
Low exposure	1.36 (1.18 to 1.56)	1.16 (1.00 to 1.34)	211	0.98 (0.82 to 1.16)	0.92 (0.77 to 1.09)	142	1.08 (0.89 to 1.33)	0.99 (0.81 to 1.22)	97
High exposure	1.38 (1.20 to 1.58)	1.24 (1.07 to 1.42)	220	0.87 (0.72 to 1.04)	0.82 (0.68 to 0.98)	122	1.01 (0.83 to 1.23)	0.93 (0.76 to 1.14)	101

*Exposure divided into unexposed (0), low exposure (<0–50th percentile) and high exposure (>50th percentile). The 50th percentile=0.09 mg/m³.

†Small for gestational age, estimated by a calculated growth curve of weight and gestational age.

‡Low birth weight, dichotomised as <2500 g and ≥2500 g.

§Preterm birth, dichotomised as <37 weeks and ≥37 weeks.

¶Full-time workers who stated that they were working full time at the interview in week 10 and had fewer than 50 days of absence from work (<50th percentile) during pregnancy (excluding the first 14 days of sickness).

**Part-time workers who stated that they were working part time at the interview in week 10 or had 50 or more days (≥50th percentile) but fewer than 112 days of absence from work (<75th percentile) during pregnancy (excluding the first 14 days of sickness).

††All workers who responded to the question about work at the interview in week 10 and had 112 or more days of absence from work (≥75th percentile) during pregnancy, except those who stated that they were not working at all.

OR adjusted for mothers' age, education, smoking habits, nationality, occupational exposure to noise and parity.

small for gestational age, low birth weight and preterm birth was indicated after exposure to inorganic particles during pregnancy (table 2). In the highest exposed group of mothers working full time with low absence from work <50 days, a statistically significantly increased risk in all outcomes was shown, including small for gestational age (OR 1.20; 95% CI 1.04 to 1.39), low birth weight (OR 1.32; 95% CI 1.18 to 1.48) and preterm birth (OR 1.18; 95% CI 1.07 to 1.30). An increased risk of adverse birth outcomes was not visible among mothers that had an exposed occupation, but were absent from work during pregnancy. Full-time working women who were exposed to high levels of welding fumes during pregnancy had a significantly increased risk of low birth weight (adjusted OR 1.22; 95% CI 1.02 to 1.45) and preterm birth (adjusted OR 1.24; 95% CI 1.07 to 1.42) compared with the unexposed (table 3). Mothers with low exposures had a statistically significant increased risk of having children born small for gestational age (adjusted OR 1.45; 95% CI 1.19 to 1.78), but no dose-response was shown. Among exposed mothers with moderate or high absence from work, no increased risk for negative birth outcomes was shown.

In table 4, exposure to iron particles showed statistically significantly increased risks of small for gestational age (OR 1.25; 95% CI 1.07 to 1.46), low birth weight (OR 1.37; 95% CI 1.22 to 1.54) and preterm birth (OR 1.20; 95% CI 1.08 to 1.33) in the exposed group that had been working full time. There was no association between welding fumes and adverse birth outcomes among mothers who had high absence from work. Overall, the trend was that exposed mothers with less absence had higher risk of adverse birth outcomes. Examples of common occupations in the group exposed to iron particles were welders, car builders, flight engineers, metal workers and plumbers. For stone and concrete and other inorganic dust, there was no statistically significant increase in the risk for any of the outcomes.

DISCUSSION

Among the full-time working mothers with low absence from work and high exposure to inorganic particles during pregnancy, statistically significantly increased risks for small for gestational age, low birth weight and preterm birth were observed. No previous studies have been found on maternal occupational exposure to inorganic particles like stone and concrete and adverse pregnancy outcome.²⁵ A statistically significant increased risk for low birth weight and preterm birth was also found in children to mothers who were exposed to welding fumes during pregnancy and with a low absence from work. This was in line with the only previous study made on maternal occupational exposure to welding fumes, metal dust and adverse birth outcome.¹⁷ In addition, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons that are prevalent in welding fumes have been associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes.²⁶

As was mentioned in the introduction, the mechanism is not yet fully understood, but oxidative stress is seen as a putative pathway. Oxidative stress occurs when the capacity of the antioxidant system cannot keep up with the generated reactive oxygen species (ROS). Both chronic oxidative stress as well as acute exposure to high levels of ROS can be harmful and can damage proteins, lipids and DNA²⁷ as detected through biomarkers in blood and urine. This is a process that might be reinforced by different exposures, and in high-quality studies, exposures to particles and welding fumes have been associated with oxidative DNA damage.^{28 29} Graczyk *et al* found an association between welding and the biomarker 8-OHdG in plasma and urine, and there was an exposure response with the number of fine particles but not when particles were measured gravimetrically.³⁰ Associations between welding fumes and indications of ROS, increased oxidative stress and lipid peroxidation have also been seen.^{29 30}

The size distribution of the particles and the toxicity of the substances are important to know in order to interpret the results. Inorganic particles (such as iron, concrete, plaster and insulation material) mostly contain coarse particles and are therefore likely to deposit in the nasopharyngeal region,³¹ even if there is also a smaller fraction with finer particles that might deposit farther down in the tracheobronchial and alveolar regions of the lung. Particle size can explain the distribution and where in the respiratory system the particles deposit, but not the toxicity to lung tissue among different substances.^{32 33} Welding fumes are difficult to define because they contain both small and large particles as well as many different compounds.³⁴ However, among the three subgroups of inorganic particles, only iron particles showed an increased risk of adverse outcomes.

Measured gravimetrically, most of the iron particles are coarse and deposit mainly in the upper airways and thus can be transported out of the airways. Measurements of blood markers for cardiovascular disease and inflammation indications in subway platform workers—who are exposed to high levels of iron particles in the underground system—showed only slightly increased levels.³⁵ Even so, measured in numbers, there could also be a large amount of fine particles, especially if the iron particles are derived from welding. The translocation of carbon particles from the lung to the systemic circulation in humans has been shown to be low,^{36 37} but inhalation of iron particles in rats has been shown to lead to oxidative stress.³⁸

It is also interesting that a synergistic interaction between soot and iron particles regarding oxidative stress has been shown in rats.³⁹ All of the occupations in the group exposed to iron particles listed above have one or more other exposures in addition to iron particles, and thus the observed effect cannot with certainty be attributed solely to iron.

This register-based cohort study has several strengths, and it is in many ways unique in a global perspective. First, it contained a vast amount of information on all children

Table 4 Maternal occupational exposure* to inorganic particles, subdivided into iron particles, stone and concrete particles, and other inorganic particles, and small for gestational age (SGA)†, low birth weight (LBW)‡ and preterm birth (PTB)§

	Working full time with low amount of absence from work¶			
	Crude		Adjusted**	
	OR (95% CI)	n/cases	OR (95% CI)	
Iron particles				
SGA				
Unexposed	1	361 282/8916	1	354 903/8729
Exposed	1.38 (1.19 to 1.61)	5361/183	1.25 (1.07 to 1.46)	5253/179
LBW				
Unexposed	1	356 889/13 362	1	350 574 /13 109
Exposed	1.55 (1.38 to 1.75)	5239/305	1.37 (1.22 to 1.54)	5132/300
PTB				
Unexposed	1	348 989/21 451	1	342 797/21 066
Exposed	1.37 (1.24 to 1.51)	5119/431	1.20 (1.08 to 1.33)	5016/421
Stone and concrete particles				
SGA				
Unexposed	1	358 427/8924	1	352 060/8735
Exposed	0.86 (0.74 to 1.00)	8216/175	0.89 (0.76 to 1.04)	8096/173
LBW				
Unexposed	1	354 007/13 396	1	347 700/13 145
Exposed	0.88 (0.78 to 1.00)	8121/271	0.92 (0.81 to 1.04)	8006/264
PTB				
Unexposed	1	346 142/21 450	1	339 957/21 068
Exposed	0.88 (0.79 to 0.97)	7966/432	0.91 (0.82 to 1.00)	7856/419
Other inorganic particles				
SGA				
Unexposed	1	366 317/9089	1	359 836/8898
Exposed	1.24 (0.66 to 2.32)	326/10	1.07 (0.57 to 2.02)	320/10
LBW				
Unexposed	1	361 804/13 655	1	355 388/13 397
Exposed	0.98 (0.55 to 1.75)	324/12	0.77 (0.43 to 1.37)	318/12
PTB				
Unexposed	1	353 796/21 858	1	347 507/21 463
Exposed	1.25 (0.82 to 1.89)	312/24	0.94 (0.62 to 1.43)	306/24

*Exposure divided into unexposed and exposed.

†Small for gestational age, estimated by a calculated growth curve of weight and gestational age.

‡Low birth weight, dichotomised as <2500 g and ≥2500 g.

§Preterm birth, dichotomised as <37 weeks and ≥37 weeks.

¶Full-time workers who stated that they were working full time at the interview in week 10 and who had fewer than 50 days of absence from work (<50th percentile) during pregnancy (excluding the first 14 days of sickness).

**OR adjusted for the confounders of the mothers' age, education, smoking habits, nationality, occupational exposure to noise and the children's parity.

born in Sweden from the beginning of 1994 to the end of 2012 and their mothers. The variables from the Medical Birth Register and the longitudinal integration database for health insurance and labour market studies are of high quality with few missing observations (see table 1). The free-text data on occupations from the Medical Birth Register have been manually coded (blinded), which

ensures accuracy, and even if some misclassification cannot be ruled out, these are most likely non-differential misclassifications. The data were collected prospectively in the sense that the information about the mother and child were collected during pregnancy and information about the occupation was collected before the birth outcome was known. The information about the exposure

was assessed from the job exposure matrix objectively and blinded from the outcome.

There are also some weaknesses in this kind of study design. Job exposure matrices were used, which can introduce non-differential misclassification.⁴⁰ The job exposure matrices were based on measurements among workers in different occupations, and based on those measurements, a mean value for the occupational group was calculated. This way of classifying exposure is valid, but is not equal to individual measurements throughout the pregnancy for each participant. Therefore, it could introduce a misclassification, but the misclassification would not likely be differential and would therefore only push the risk towards null in the middle-exposure and high-exposure groups.²⁰ The risks might therefore in reality be higher than we found in the present study. In addition, it was not possible in this study to adjust for exposure to air pollution in the outdoor environment, away from the work place, which if it correlates with occupations can be a potential confounder.

In epidemiological studies, the risk shown might be associated with residual confounding from socioeconomic factors related to the type of work rather than the exposure. To manage this issue, information on absence can be used. If the exposed groups (according to job title) have high amounts of absence, they would not have been exposed and thus should not have an increased risk of adverse outcome. In this study, there were no statistically significantly increased risks among the exposed women with high absence from work, which indicates no or little residual confounding regarding socioeconomic factors related to the occupational title among the study participants.

In addition, there was no information in the present study on relocation or change of work task within the workplace in order to avoid exposure during pregnancy; therefore, some of the women might have been misclassified regarding exposure, and this might be the reason for the OR being lower than expected in the group of working women with low absence from work and high exposure to welding fumes. Another limitation is that information on absence from work does not include short-term sick leave of less than 14 days, which might lead to non-differential misclassification and underestimate the risk.

In this study, multiple analyses have been performed that increase the risk of chance findings, but the analyses have followed a priori hypothesis and the pattern of results (including consideration taken to absence, which shows low residual confounding) does not point to chance findings.

CONCLUSION

Maternal exposure to air pollution from iron particles and welding fumes in the work environment during pregnancy was associated with negative health effects in the children. No increased risk was found in association with exposure to stone and concrete or other inorganic dust.

The results emphasise that women should not be exposed to high levels of iron particles and welding fumes during pregnancy. However, since so few studies have been made in this area, these results need confirmation in future studies.

Acknowledgements We thank Annika Gustavsson for her valuable contribution to the data management.

Contributors JS, PG, MA, LR and PW conceived of the presented idea. FN performed the analysis under the supervision of JS, PG, MA, LR and PW and NP supported in the occupational exposure assessment and MW supported in the definition and interpretation of the outcome variables. All supervised the findings of this work. All authors discussed the results and contributed to and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding The results reported herein correspond to specific aims of grant no 2013-1438 from Swedish Research Council for Health, Working life and Welfare.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. The study was approved by the Regional Ethical Review Board in Stockholm on 8/14/2014 (case number; 2014/1108-31/5).

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data sharing statement Data can be obtained through acquisition from Swedish registers. The data collection process is described in the Method section of this paper. Data code for analysis in STATA can be obtained from the corresponding author on request.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

REFERENCES

- Shah PS, Balkhair T. Knowledge Synthesis Group on Determinants of Preterm/LBW births. Air pollution and birth outcomes: a systematic review. *Environ Int* 2011;37:498–516.
- Leem JH, Kaplan BM, Shim YK, et al. Exposures to air pollutants during pregnancy and preterm delivery. *Environ Health Perspect* 2006;114:905–10.
- Swedish Work Environment Authority. *Arbetsmiljön 2009 [in Swedish]*. Stockholm, 2010.
- Swedish Work Environment Authority. *Gravida och ammande arbetstagare (AFS 2007:5), föreskrifter [in Swedish]*, 2007.
- National Board of Health and Welfare. *Graviditeter, förlossningar och nyfödda barn [in Swedish]*, 2009.
- National Board of Health and Welfare. *Graviditeter, förlossningar och nyfödda barn [in Swedish]*, 2014.
- Ali K, Greenough A. Long-term respiratory outcome of babies born prematurely. *Thorax* 2012;6:115–20.
- de Jong F, Monuteaux MC, van Elburg RM, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of preterm birth and later systolic blood pressure. *Hypertension* 2012;59:226–34.
- Kochanek KD, Kirmeyer SE, Martin JA, et al. Annual summary of vital statistics: 2009. *Pediatrics* 2012;129:338–48.
- Nepomnyaschy L, Hegyi T, Ostfeld BM, et al. Developmental outcomes of late-preterm infants at 2 and 4 years. *Matern Child Health J* 2012;16:1612–24.
- Breslau N, DelDotto JE, Brown GG, et al. A gradient relationship between low birth weight and IQ at age 6 years. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 1994;148:377–83.
- Kannan S, Misra DP, Dvorchak JT, et al. Exposures to airborne particulate matter and adverse perinatal outcomes: a biologically plausible mechanistic framework for exploring potential effect modification by nutrition. *Environ Health Perspect* 2006;114:1636–42.

13. Rossner P, Svecova V, Milcova A, *et al.* Oxidative and nitrosative stress markers in bus drivers. *Mutat Res* 2007;617:23–32.
14. Teng C, Wang Z, Yan B. Fine particle-induced birth defects: Impacts of size, payload, and beyond. *Birth Defects Res C Embryo Today* 2016;108:196–206.
15. Figueras F, Gratacos E. An integrated approach to fetal growth restriction. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol* 2017;38:48–58.
16. Goldenberg RL, Culhane JF, Iams JD, *et al.* Epidemiology and causes of preterm birth. *Lancet* 2008;371:75–84.
17. Quansah R, Jaakkola JJ. Paternal and maternal exposure to welding fumes and metal dusts or fumes and adverse pregnancy outcomes. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health* 2009;82:529–37.
18. National Board of Health and Welfare. *Utvärdering av det medicinska födelseregistret [in Swedish]*. Stockholm, Sweden: National Board of Health and Welfare, 2002.
19. SCB. *Longitudinell integrationsdatabas för Sjukförsäkrings- och Arbetsmarknadsstudier (LISA) 1990–2009 [in Swedish]*. Stockholm, Sweden: SCB, 2011.
20. Selander J, Albin M, Rosenhall U, *et al.* Maternal occupational exposure to noise during pregnancy and hearing dysfunction in children: a nationwide prospective cohort study in Sweden. *Environ Health Perspect* 2016;124:855–60.
21. Wiebert P, Lönn M, Fremling K, *et al.* Occupational exposure to particles and incidence of acute myocardial infarction and other ischaemic heart disease. *Occup Environ Med* 2012;69:651–7.
22. Palmer KT, Bonzini M, Harris EC, *et al.* Work activities and risk of prematurity, low birth weight and pre-eclampsia: an updated review with meta-analysis. *Occup Environ Med* 2013;70:213–22.
23. Windham G, Fenster L. Environmental contaminants and pregnancy outcomes. *Fertil Steril* 2008;89(2 Suppl):e111–6. discussion e7.
24. Marsál K, Persson PH, Larsen T, *et al.* Intrauterine growth curves based on ultrasonically estimated foetal weights. *Acta Paediatr* 1996;85:843–8.
25. Lipfert FW. Long-term associations of morbidity with air pollution: a catalog and synthesis. *J Air Waste Manag Assoc* 2018;68:12–28.
26. Langlois PH, Hoyt AT, Desrosiers TA, *et al.* Maternal occupational exposure to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and small for gestational age offspring. *Occup Environ Med* 2014;71:529–35.
27. Cichoż-Lach H, Michalak A. Oxidative stress as a crucial factor in liver diseases. *World J Gastroenterol* 2014;20:8082–91.
28. Mukherjee S, Palmer LJ, Kim JY, *et al.* Smoking status and occupational exposure affects oxidative DNA injury in boilermakers exposed to metal fume and residual oil fly ash. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2004;13:454–60.
29. Lai CY, Lai CH, Chuang HC, *et al.* Physicochemistry and cardiovascular toxicity of metal fume PM2.5: a study of human coronary artery endothelial cells and welding workers. *Sci Rep* 2016;6:33515.
30. Graczyk H, Lewinski N, Zhao J, *et al.* Increase in oxidative stress levels following welding fume inhalation: a controlled human exposure study. *Part Fibre Toxicol* 2016;13:31.
31. Chow JC. Measurement methods to determine compliance with ambient air quality standards for suspended particles. *J Air Waste Manag Assoc* 1995;45:320–82.
32. Hedberg Y, Gustafsson J, Karlsson HL, *et al.* Bioaccessibility, bioavailability and toxicity of commercially relevant iron- and chromium-based particles: in vitro studies with an inhalation perspective. *Part Fibre Toxicol* 2010;7:23.
33. Morakinyo OM, Mokgobu MI, Mukhola MS, *et al.* Health Outcomes of Exposure to Biological and Chemical Components of Inhalable and Respirable Particulate Matter. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2016;13:592.
34. Swedish Work Environment Authority. *Hälsoeffekter av gaser och partiklar bildade vid svetsning [in Swedish]*, 2013.
35. Bigert C, Alderling M, Svartengren M, *et al.* Blood markers of inflammation and coagulation and exposure to airborne particles in employees in the Stockholm underground. *Occup Environ Med* 2008;65:655–8.
36. Wiebert P, Sanchez-Crespo A, Falk R, *et al.* No significant translocation of inhaled 35-nm carbon particles to the circulation in humans. *Inhal Toxicol* 2006;18:741–7.
37. Wiebert P, Sanchez-Crespo A, Seitz J, *et al.* Negligible clearance of ultrafine particles retained in healthy and affected human lungs. *Eur Respir J* 2006;28:286–90.
38. Zhou YM, Zhong CY, Kennedy IM, *et al.* Pulmonary responses of acute exposure to ultrafine iron particles in healthy adult rats. *Environ Toxicol* 2003;18:227–35.
39. Zhou YM, Zhong CY, Kennedy IM, *et al.* Oxidative stress and NFκappaB activation in the lungs of rats: a synergistic interaction between soot and iron particles. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 2003;190:157–69.
40. Benke G, Sim M, Fritschi L, *et al.* Comparison of occupational exposure using three different methods: hygiene panel, job exposure matrix (JEM), and self reports. *Appl Occup Environ Hyg* 2001;16:84–91.