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ABSTRACT

Background Septic shock is a life-threatening infection frequently responsible for hospital admissions or may be acquired as nosocomial infection in hospitalized patients with resultant significant morbidity and mortality. There is a dearth of data on a résumé and meta-analysis on the global epidemiology of this potentially deadly condition. Therefore, we propose the first systematic review to synthesize existing data on the global incidence, prevalence and case fatality rate of septic shock worldwide.

Methods We will include cross-sectional, case-control and cohort studies reporting on the incidence, and case fatality rate of septic shock. Electronic databases including PubMed, Embase, WHO Global Health Library and Web of Science will be searched for relevant records published between 1 January 2000 and 31 August 2019. Independents reviewers will perform study selection and data extraction, as well as assessment of methodological quality of included studies. Appropriate meta-analysis will then be used to pool studies judged to be clinically homogenous. Egger’s test and funnel plots will be used to detect publication bias. Findings will be reported and compared by human development level of countries.

Ethics and dissemination Being a review, ethical approval is not required as it was obtained in the primary study which will make up the review. This review is expected to provide relevant data to help in evaluating the burden of septic shock in the general population. The overall findings of this research will be published in a peer-reviewed journal.

PROSPERO registration number CRD42019129783.

INTRODUCTION

According to Sepsis-3, the most recent international consensus on sepsis and septic shock (SS), SS is defined as a sepsis (denoted by a Sequential Organ Failure Assessment ≥2 points) plus serum lactate ≥2 mmol/L and persistent hypotension despite adequate volume resuscitation, necessitating vasopressors to maintain a mean arterial blood pressure ≥65 mm Hg.1 Globally, SS is one of the most common cause of admission in both the adult and paediatric populations and it is associated with a significantly high mortality rate. SS is globally recognised by WHO as a health priority. In patients with SS, the blood perfusion of noble organs is compromised, leading to encephalopathies, acute respiratory distress syndrome, acute hepatic failure, ischaemic heart disease, sepsis-induced coagulopathy, multiorgan dysfunction and ultimately death if not timely and appropriately treated. Survivors often have a reduced quality of life due to long-term cognitive, psychological, physical sequelae and equally have a higher risk for 1-year mortality following hospital discharge for SS. Antibiotics play a key role in the pharmacological management of SS; however, the timing of initiation of antibiotic therapy still remains an important debate in clinical practice. Furthermore, the management of SS currently challenging in identifying the correct hemodynamic control, optimisation of fluid resuscitation, choosing the appropriate vasopressors, triage of
patients who need ionotropic drugs, beta-blockers and steroids. Some multinational studies on the global prevalence of sepsis but not SS found a prevalence rate varying between 29.5% and 51%. A more systematic review found that the frequency and mortality rate of SS stood between 8.3% to 10.4% and 37.3%, respectively, in both Europe and North America combined. However, there is a dearth of contemporary data on the global epidemiological of SS, making it impossible to appraise the burden of SS on a global perspective. Despite this gap in knowledge on the topic, currently, no research has highlighted the global epidemiology of SS. Accordingly, we propose this systematic review and meta-analysis protocol to critically synthesize contemporary evidence on the occurrence of SS in order to provide a clear understanding of its up-to-date burden in the world. The research goal being to provide useful data that may help guide resource allocation by informing health authorities.

**REVIEW QUESTIONS**

What is the burden of SS in the global population?

**OBJECTIVE**

The aim of this systematic review and meta-analysis is to determine the global incidence, and case fatality rate of SS on a global basis.

**METHODS**

This review is reported in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols 2015 Guidelines and applicable to observational studies. This is illustrated in more detail in online additional file 1.

**Eligibility criteria**

**Types of studies**

We will include cross-sectional, case-control and cohort studies. Commentaries, editorials, letters and reviews will not be considered. Studies with inaccessible full texts either online or from the corresponding author will be excluded.

**Types of patients**

We will consider studies including hospitalised adults and children.

**Types of outcomes**

The diagnosis of SS in adults will be based on the Sepsis-3 Consensus, whereas SS in children will be defined in conformity with the International Pediatric Sepsis Consensus (online additional 2). The incidence of SS will be defined number of individuals who developed SS between 1 January 2000 and 31 August 2019. We will exclude studies in which relevant data on patients with SS are impossible to extract even after contacting the corresponding author.

**Search strategy for identifying relevant studies**

The search strategy will be as follows:

**Bibliographic database searches:** PubMed, Embase, WHO Global Health Library and Web of Science will be searched for relevant records published in English or French between 1 January 2000 and 31 August 2019. The designed search strategy for PubMed using both text words and medical subject heading terms related to sepsis, septicaemia, bacteraemia, infection and shock is provided in online additional file 3. This search strategy will be adapted to fit with other databases.

**Searching for other sources**

We will scan the references of all relevant articles for additional data sources missed during our search strategy, and their full texts will be sorted. Citations of important reviews will also be scanned. Lastly, the search strategy will extend to include grey literature from conference proceedings, book chapters, theses, government and non-governmental organisations reports.

**Selection of studies for inclusion in the review**

Two reviewers (FLT and CD) will independently evaluate the records obtained from the searching process, with the aid of an evaluation form to ensure reliably application of the selection criteria. These reviewers will screen the titles and abstracts of records obtained. Next, the full texts of potentially eligible articles will be retrieved by at least one author. The two reviewers will independently review the full text of each potentially eligible article, compare their findings and resolve any discordance by the arbitration of a third author (MNT). For duplicates articles, only the study reporting the largest sample size will be considered.

**Data extraction and management**

A data extraction form will be used by three pairs of independent reviewers (JNT and AM), (FLT and CD) and (SYM and MNT) to collect information on the last name of the first author, year of publication, region, country name, human development index ranking of country economic level, study area (rural vs urban), study setting, number of sites, study design, mean or median age, sampling method, sample size, timing of data collection, male proportion, specific characteristics of the study population (patients with HIV, cancer, diabetes mellitus, organ transplants or any other specific condition), incidence rate, site of infection, Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA), serum late level in mmol/L, bacteria involved in the infection and case fatality rate of SS. For multicentre studies conducted in different countries, the incidence, prevalence and case fatality rate will be reported separately for the individual countries.

**Data synthesis and analysis**

After data collection, a meta-analysis will be conducted when there will be clinical homogeneity based on the
profile of the population. Unadjusted SE, case fatality rate, prevalence and incidence for the study-specific estimates will be resumed based on the crude information of the numerators, and denominators provided by each study. Subgroup analyses will be performed by separate pooling of studies conducted among children and adolescents. To maintain the effect of studies with extremely small or large estimates on the overall estimate to a minimum, the variance of the study-specific incidence/case fatality rate will be stabilised with the Freeman-Tukey double arcsine transformation, before pooling the data using a random effects meta-analysis model. Heterogeneity will be assessed using the $\chi^2$ test on Cochrane’s Q statistic and quantified by calculating $I^2$. Values of 25%, 50% and 75% for $I^2$ will, respectively, represent low, medium and high heterogeneity. We will assess the presence of publication bias using funnel plots inspection and Egger’s test. Where substantial heterogeneity will be detected, metaregression and subgroup analyses will be performed to investigate the possible sources of heterogeneity using the aforementioned variables and the study methodological quality. In case of substantial clinical heterogeneity, a narrative summary of our findings will be done. The inter-rater agreement for study inclusion between investigators will be assessed using Cohen’s k coefficient. Data analyses will be done using the ‘meta’ package of the statistical software R (V.3.5.1, The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

Presentation and reporting of results
The study selection process will be summarized in a flow diagram. Quantitative data will be presented in evidence tables of individual studies as well as in summary tables and forest plots where appropriate. The quality scores and risk of bias for each eligible study will be reported accordingly. This may be tabulated and accompanied by narrative reports.

Patient and public involvement
In this study, data will be collected directly from published articles available in main databases and unpublished studies. Patient and public are not involved in the development of this protocol.

Potential amendments
Amendments made include broadening the scope of the study to a global epidemiology of SS; not synthesising the risk factors and prevalence of SS anymore. The definitions of SS will be based on the Sepsis-3 Consensus and the International Pediatric Sepsis Consensus for adults and children, respectively. These amendments will be updated in the review submitted to the International Prospective Register for Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO) under the number CRD42019129783 for better transparency.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATIONS
The current review will use published studies. Therefore, there is no requirement for ethical approval. The review is expected to provide the current global burden of SS in the global population of inpatients in order to inform health authorities and decision makers to elaborate effective preventive strategies to reduce the burden of SS in this high-risk patient population. The resulting manuscript will be published in a peer-reviewed journal and presented at scientific conferences.
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Preliminary searches.
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