

Supplementary file 4. Study characteristics.

Study	Year	Country	N	Age (yrs) ($\bar{X} \pm SD$) or Range	Sex	Overweight/Obesity Criteria
Ackel-D'Elia et al.[13]*	2014	Brazil	AE = 24 AE+ST = 24	AE, AE+ST = 16.5 \pm 1.5	MF	All classified as obese (BMI \geq 95th percentile) based on 2000 CDC BMI charts
Alberga et al.[14]	2013	Canada	AE+ST = 12 CON = 7	AE+ST = 10.0 \pm 1.0 CON = 10.0 \pm 2.0	MF	All classified as obese (BMI \geq 95th percentile) based on 2000 CDC BMI charts
Alves et al.[15]	2008	Brazil	AE = 39 CON = 39	AE = 8.0 \pm 1.8 CON = 7.9 \pm 1.5	MF	BMI \geq 85 percentile
Andre & Beguier[16]	2015	France	AE = 8 CON = 8	AE, CON 14.4 \pm 1.5	MF	NA (\bar{X} BMI = 37.7 kg.m ²)
Ben Ounis et al.[17]	2010	Tunisia	AE = 16 CON = 16	AE = 13.4 \pm 0.4 CON = 13.2 \pm 0.6	MF	All classified as obese (BMI \geq 97 th percentile)

Berntsen et al.[18]	2010	Norway	ST = 32 CON = 16	ST, CON = 12.1	MF	All classified as obese (BMI >97.5 percentile)
Cao et al.[19]	2012	China	AE = 20 CON = 20	AE = NA CON = NA	M	All classified as obese (BMI \geq 25 kg·m ²)
Chang et al.[20]	2008	China	AE+ST = 25 CON = 24	AE+ST = 12.6 \pm 0.8 CON = 12.2 \pm 0.1	MF	All classified as obese (BMIs \geq 95th percentile for sex-specific age group Chinese children aged 7–18 years)
Chen et al.[21]	2015	China	AE =15 ST = 15 AE+ST = 15 CON = 15	AE = 14.1 \pm 3.1 ST = 13.9 \pm 2.2 AE+ST = 14.2 \pm 3.8 CON = 14.4 \pm 3.2	NA	All classified as obese (BMI \geq 25 kg·m ²)
Chen et al.[22]	2016	Taiwan	AE = 25 CON = 25	AE = 12.6 \pm 0.7 CON = 12.8 \pm 0.8	MF	All classified as obese (BMI >24 kg·m ²), equivalent to the 95th percentile for Taiwan growth charts

Cheng et al.[23]	2012	China	AE+ST = 30 CON = 30	AE+ST, CON = 13.0 to 14.0	M	All classified as obese (BMI \geq 25 kg.m ²)
Davis et al.[24]	2012	US	AE (LD) = 71 AE (HD) = 73 CON = 78	AE (LD) = 9.3 \pm 0.9 AE (HD) = 9.4 \pm 1.2 CON = 9.4 \pm 1.1	MF	BMI \geq 85th percentile based on 2000 CDC growth charts
Elloumi et al.[25]	2011	Tunisia	AE = 7 CON = 8	AE = 13.1 \pm 1.0 CON = 13.2 \pm 0.2	M	All classified as obese (> 97th percentile)
Farpour-Lambert et al.[26]	2009	Switzerland	AE+ST = 22 CON = 22	AE+ST = 9.1 \pm 1.4 CON = 8.8 \pm 1.6	MF	All classified as obese (BMI > 97th percentile based on age and sex)
Fazelifar et al.[27]	2013	Iran	AE+ST = 12	AE+ST, CON = 11-13	M	All classified as obese (BMI > 28 kg.m ²)

			CON =			
			12			
Fiorilli et al.[28]	2017	Italy	AE = 12	AE, ST(MI),	MF	Overweight or obese (BMI >85th percentile and body fat \geq 25% for boys and \geq 30% for girls)
			ST(MI) =	ST(HI) = 12-15		
			15			
			ST(HI) =			
			14			
Ghorbanian et al.[29]	2013	Iran	AE = 15	AE = 17.4 ± 1.1	M	NA, but all classified overweight or obese by authors (BMI ($\bar{X} \pm SD$) was 28.41 ± 2.36 kg·m ⁻²)
			CON =	CON = $16.9 \pm$		
			15	1.2		
Gutin et al.[30]	1997	US	AE = 17	AE = 9.6 ± 0.8	MF	NA, but all classified as obese by authors (\bar{X} BMI was 31.4 kg·m ⁻² in exercise group and 28.8 kg·m ⁻² in control group)
			CON =	CON = 9.5 ± 1.3		
			18			
Hagstromer et al.[31]	2009	Sweden	AE+ST =	AE+ST = $13.7 \pm$	MF	All classified as obese according to International of Obesity Task Force cutpoints
			16	2.0		
			CON =	CON = $13.6 \pm$		
			15	2.2		
Hay et al.[32]	2016	Canada	AE(MI) =	AE(MI) = $15.1 \pm$	MF	Overweight or obese based on age- and sex-specific BMI standards
			32	1.8		

			AE(HI) = 38	AE(HI) = 15.3 ± 1.7		
			CON = 33	CON = 15.2 ± 1.7		
Karacabey[33]	2009	Turkey	AE = 20 CON = 20	AE = 11.8 ± 0.5 CON = 11.2 ± 0.2	M	All classified as obese (BMI ≥ 30.0 kg·m ²)
Kelly et al.[34]	2004	US	AE = 10 CON = 10	AE = 11.0 ± 2.0 CON = 11.0 ± 2.3	MF	Overweight or obese (BMI ≥ 85 th percentile)
Kelly et al.[35]	2015	US	ST = 13 CON = 13	ST = 15.2 ± 0.9 CON = 15.5 ± 0.9	MF	All classified as obese (BMI ≥ 95 th percentile) based on 2000 CDC BMI charts
Kim et al. [36]	2007	SK	AE = 14 CON = 12	AE = 17 ± 0.4 CON = 17.0 ± 0.4	M	NA, but classified as obese ($\bar{x} \pm SD$ BMI in group was, 29.5 ± 2.2 kg·m ²)
Kim et al. [37]	2008	SK	AE+ST = 8 CON = 9	AE+ST, CON = NA	M	Overweight or obese (BMI ≥ 85 th percentile based 1999 growth charts for Korean children and adolescents aged 2–18 years)

Lau et al.[110]	2015	China	AE(LI) = 21 AE(HI) = 15 CON = 12	AE(LI) = 9.9 ± 0.9 AE(HI) = 11.0 ± 0.6 CON = 10.6 ± 0.6	MF	Overweight according to age-specific BMI cut-off values (boys >20.20 kg·m ² and girls >20.29 kg·m ²)
Lee et al.[39]	2012	US	AE = 16 ST = 16 CON = 13	AE = 15.2 ± 0.9 ST = 14.6 ± 1.5 CON = 14.8 ± 1.4	M	All classified as obese (BMI ≥ 95th percentile) based on 2000 CDC BMI charts
Lee et al.[40]	2013	US	AE = 16 ST = 16 CON = 12	AE = 14.6 ± 1.9 ST = 14.8 ± 1.9 CON = 15.0 ± 2.2	F	All classified as obese (BMI ≥ 95th percentile) based on 2000 CDC BMI charts
Li et al.[111]	2014	China	AE = 20 CON = 20	AE = 15.4 ± 2.6 CON = 14.6 ± 3.5	M	All classified as obese (BMI ≥ 25 kg·m ²)
Maddison et al.[42]	2011	NZ	AE = 160 CON = 162	AE, CON = 11.6 ± 1.1	MF	Overweight or obese according to International Obesity Task Force international cutoffs for child obesity

McNarry et al.[43]	2015	UK	AE = 15 CON = 11	AE, CON = 9.3 ± 0.9	MF	Obese, defined as BMI \geq 95 th percentile
Meyer et al.[44]	2006	Germany	AE = 33 CON = 34	AE = 13.7 \pm 2.1 CON = 14.1 \pm 2.4	MF	Obese, defined as > 95 th percentile for German pediatric population
Monteiro et al.[45]	2015	Brazil	AE = 11 AE+ST = 14	AE = 11.0 \pm 1.0 AE+ST = 11.0 \pm 1.3	MF	Obese according to BMI
Murphy et al.[46]	2009	US	AE = 23 CON = 12	AE = 10.3 \pm 1.9 CON = 10.0 \pm 1.3	MF	Overweight (BMI \geq 85 th percentile)
Nobre et al.[47]	2017	Brazil	AE = 40 CON = 19	AE = 9.8 \pm 5.7 CON = 9.9 \pm 4.8	M	Overweight (BMI = 85 th to 95 th percentile) and obese BMI \geq 95 th percentile)
Owens et al.[48]	1999	US	AE = 35 CON = 39	AE = 9.5 \pm 1.2 CON = 9.4 \pm 1.3	MF	Obese, classified as a triceps skinfold greater than the 85 th percentile for gender, age, and ethnicity
Park et al.[49]	2012	SK	AE+ST = 15	AE+ST = 12.1 \pm 0.4	MF	BMI \geq 85 th percentile for age and gender according to WHO cut-off points

			CON = 14	CON = 12.2 ± 0.4		
Racil et al.[50]	2013	Tunisia	AE(MI) = 11	AE(MI) = 16.3 ± 0.5	F	BMI >97th percentile according to French standards
			AE(HI) = 11	AE(HI) = 15.6 ± 0.7		
			CON = 12	CON = 15.9 ± 1.2		
Racil et al.[51]	2016	Tunisia	AE = 23	AE = 16.6 ± 0.9	F	Obese according to CDC growth charts
			AE+ST = 26	AE+ST = 16.5 ± 1.2		
			CON = 19	CON = 16.9 ± 1.0		
Rooney et al.[52]	2005	US	AE = 26	AE = 8.9 ± 2.2	MF	Overweight or obese (BMI ≥ 84.5 percentile)
			CON = 33	CON = 8.6 ± 2.1		
Saygin & Ozturk [53]	2011	Turkey	AE = 20	AE, CON = 10.0	F	NA, but authors classified all participants as obese (baseline BMI
			CON = 19	to 12.0		$\bar{X} \pm SD = 25.9 \pm 2.1$ in exercise group and 26.1 ± 1.4 in control group)

Schranz et al.[54]	2014	Australia	ST = 26 CON = 23	ST = 14.9 ± 1.4 CON = 15.1 ± 1.6	M	Overweight or obese according to Cole et al. BMI cutpoints
Seo et al.[55]	2012	SK	AE = 10 CON = 10	AE = 14.7 ± 1.5 CON = 14.6 ± 3.0	M	Obese, defined as BMI >95th percentile based on French population standards
Shaibi et al.[56]	2006	US	ST = 11 CON = 11	ST = 15.1 ± 1.7 CON = 15.6 ± 1.7	M	Overweight or obese (BMI ≥ 85 th percentile based on 2000 CDC growth charts)
Sigal et al.[57]	2014	Canada	AE = 75 ST = 78 AE+ST = 75 CON = 76	AE = 15.5 ± 1.4 ST = 15.9 ± 1.5 AE+ST = 15.5 ± 1.3 CON = 15.6 ± 1.3	MF	Obese (BMI ≥ 95th percentile for age and sex)
Silva et al.[58]	2012	Brazil	AE = 9 CON = 5	AE, CON = 13 to 17 yrs	MF	Overweight or obese according to Cole et al. BMI cutpoints
Song et al.[59]	2012	SK	AE = 12 CON = 10	AE = 12.7 ± 0.7 CON = 12.6 ± 0.6	M	Obese (> 30% body fat)

Staiano et al.[60]	2017	US	AE = 20 CON = 18	AE = 15.3 ± 1.2 CON = 16.1 ± 1.4	F	Overweight or obese (BMI ≥ 85 th percentile)
Sun et al.[61]	2011	China	AE = 25 CON = 17	AE, CON 13.6 ± 0.7	MF	Overweight or obese (>85 th percentile) based on China Obesity Task Force Recommendations
Tan et al.[62]	2010	China	AE = 30 CON = 30	AE = 9.4 ± 0.5 CON = 9.5 ± 0.5	MF	Obese (body mass greater than 20% of standard body-mass-for height of Chinese children)
Vasconcellos et al.[63]	2016	Brazil	AE = 10 CON = 10	AE = 14.1 ± 1.3 CON = 14.8 ± 1.5	MF	Obese (BMI >2 standard deviations above age and sex specific WHO reference medians)
Watts et al.[64]	2004	Australia	AE+ST = 19 CON = 19	AE+ST, CON = 14.3 ± 1.5	MF	Obese according to Cole et al. BMI cutpoints
Watts et al.[65]	2004	Australia	AE = 14 CON = 14	AE, CON = 8.9 ± 1.6	MF	Obese according to Cole et al. BMI cutpoints

Weintraub et al.[66]	2008	US	AE = 9 CON = 12	AE = 9.5 ± 0.6 CON = 10.3 ± 0.8	MF	Overweight or obese (BMI ≥ 85th percentile based on 2000 CDC BMI growth charts)
Wong et al.[67]	2008	Singapore	AE+ST = 12 CON = 12	AE+ST = 13.8 ± 1.1 CON = 14.3 ± 1.5	M	Obese according to Cole et al. BMI cutpoints
Youssef et al.[68]	2015	Lebanon	AE = 14 CON = 9	AE = 16.1 ± 1.1 CON = 16.3 ± 1.5	F	Overweight or obese according to Cole's BMI cutpoints
Zehsaz et al.[69]	2017	Iran	AE = 16 CON = 16	AE = 10.8 ± 0.9 CON = 10.3 ± 0.9	M	Overweight or obese (BMI ≥ 25 kg·m ²)

Notes: US, United States; SK, South Korea; NZ, New Zealand; UK, United Kingdom; N, number of participants; yrs, years; AE, aerobic exercise, LPA, leisure physical activity; ST, strength training; CON, control; $\bar{X} \pm SD$, mean ± standard deviation; LI, lower intensity; MI, moderate-intensity; HI, high-intensity; M, males; F, females; NA, not available; LD, low-dose; HD, high-dose; BMI, body mass index; CDC, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; WHO, World Health Organization *, study also included a leisure intervention but was excluded because it didn't meet our eligibility criteria.