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ABSTRACT

Introduction  Total knee replacement (TKR) for osteoarthritis results in a satisfactory outcome in the majority of patients, although up to one in five patients may be dissatisfied with the outcome. Persistent pain is a main contributor to patient dissatisfaction, and femoral and tibial component malrotation have been identified as a potential cause for both persistent pain and patellofemoral problems. Based on the assumption that component malrotation is the causative factor for persistent pain, early revision for patients with symptomatic malrotated components has been advocated in the literature. However, convincing evidence that component malrotation indeed results in less than optimal outcomes is lacking. This study aims to assess the relation between knee prosthesis component rotation and patient-reported outcomes in a large group of patients and to determine the range of femoral, tibial and combined rotation that results in the best clinical outcomes.

Methods and analysis  In this single-centre, prospective observational cohort study, a total of 500 patients will undergo TKR. All patients will have a 3D-CT assessment of femoral and tibial component rotation within 8 weeks after surgery. Outcome measures will include the Oxford Knee Score, the Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score, EQ-5D, visual analogue scale for pain, the American Knee Society Score and the knee joint range of motion. We will assess the relation between femoral, tibial and combined component rotation and patient-reported outcome measures at 8 weeks and 1 year of follow-up, and we will determine the range of femoral, tibial and combined rotation that results in the best clinical outcomes.

Ethics and dissemination  Ethical approval for this study has been granted by the Isala Hospital ethics committee. The results will be published in a peer-reviewed journal and presented at relevant meetings.

Trial registration number  NL7635.

INTRODUCTION

Total knee replacement (TKR) for osteoarthritis results in a satisfactory outcome in the majority of patients, although up to one in five patients may be dissatisfied with the outcome.1 2 One reason for reduced patient satisfaction is the presence of persistent pain, which may be associated with patient-related factors and potentially surgically modifiable mechanical causes.3-4

Knee prosthesis component malrotation has been identified as a potential cause for both persistent pain and patellofemoral joint problems.5 6 Two recent systematic reviews found a positive correlation between an excessive internal rotation of the components and clinical outcomes.7 8 However, both studies had limitations that could have affected the results, since the included studies comprised a small number of patients, did not all use patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs) and showed substantial heterogeneity in the selection of patients, the type of prosthesis used, the CT protocol and the length of follow-up.

Based on the assumption that component malrotation is the causative factor for persistent pain, early revision for patients with
symptomatic malrotated components has been advocated in the literature. Some studies indeed show a benefit of revision surgery for malrotation. In marked contrast, a recent study found no relation between component rotation and pain and suggested caution when considering rotational malalignment as the cause of the pain.

With the expected increase in both the number of primary and revision TKRs performed and the socioeconomic impact of revision surgery, it is clear that identifying a relation between component rotation and PROMs may have important consequences.

Our hypothesis is that there is a correlation between knee prosthesis component rotation and functional outcomes as assessed with PROMs. Therefore, the aim of this prospective observational study is to assess the relationship between component rotation and PROMs in a large cohort of patients and to determine the range of femoral, tibial and combined rotation that results in the best clinical outcomes.

**METHODS AND ANALYSIS**

**Study design**

This study was designed as a single-centre, prospective observational cohort study.

**Study population**

The study includes all mentally competent adult patients who will be treated with TKR for primary knee osteoarthritis (Kellgren and Lawrence grade III or IV).

In order to be eligible to participate in this study, a subject must meet all of the following criteria:
- Primary knee osteoarthritis.
- Informed consent for the surgical procedure.
- Signed informed consent for the study.

A potential subject who meets any of the following criteria will be excluded from participation in this study:
- Contraindication for joint replacement surgery in general (pregnancy, active infection and severe cardiac and/or respiratory comorbidities).
- Previous distal femoral or proximal tibial fracture resulting in an altered anatomy.
- Previous osteotomies around the knee resulting in an altered anatomy.

**Sample size calculation**

Regression analysis will be performed to assess the relationship between the primary determinant (component rotation) and the primary study endpoint. The Oxford Knee Score (OKS) as a dichotomous outcome (improvement above the minimal clinically important difference (MCID) vs no improvement) is the primary endpoint in this analysis. In a multivariate logistic regression model, we will include possible confounders based on their effect on the beta of the primary determinant and outcome measure. Based on clinical assumption and previous literature, we would like to test five variables on confounding aspects: gender, age, body mass index (BMI), degree of degenerative changes, and predominant medial or lateral osteoarthritis.

Based on the primary analysis using logistic regression, with an alpha of 0.05, power of 80% and 10% of patients in the unacceptable rotation group, we would need 480 patients to detect a difference of 9% in the acceptable rotation group. To account for loss to follow-up, we decided to include 500 patients in the study. Interim analysis is planned after the first 100 patients have been enrolled and have had CT assessment of component rotation. The results of this analysis will enable us to adjust the power analysis.

**Study parameters**

The primary endpoint of this study is the change from baseline OKS at 1 year of follow-up in relation to femoral, tibial and combined component rotation. Additional outcome measures include the Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score, five-level EQ-5D, visual analogue scale for pain, American Knee Society Score V.2011 and knee joint range of motion. In addition, we will assess the relation between rotation and the OKS as a continuous variable to obtain a more precise estimate of this relation.

**Study procedures**

After obtaining informed consent for the study, we will ask patients to complete the relevant PROMs. All TKRs will be performed by one of five experienced orthopaedic surgeons (with each performing >50 procedures per year) or residents under their direct supervision.

In all cases, a medial parapatellar arthroscopy will be used with implantation of a cemented, posterior stabilised, Persona total knee prosthesis (Zimmer-Biomet, Warsaw, Indiana). We will use the measured resection technique with anterior referencing to determine anteroposterior femoral component size. We aim for mechanical alignment. Intraoperatively, axial rotation of the femoral component will be assessed with reference to the posterior condylar line (PCL), with additional checks using the epicondylar axis and the trochlear anteroposterior axis. Tibial component rotation will be assessed using a combination of anatomical landmarks, including the tibial tuberosity, the anterior tibial crest and the anteromedial tibial contour. Coverage of the proximal tibia will be used as an additional check. The operating surgeon will specify the surgical methods used to assess femoral and tibial component rotation in the clinical records. We do not resurface the patella. Instead, circumpatellar denervation with electrocautery will be used in all patients.

All patients will have a CT assessment of component rotation within 8 weeks after surgery. Low-dose3D-CT will be used in all cases, and measurements will be performed according to the Berger protocol. The angle between the surgical transepicondylar axis and the PCL of the femoral component, and between the tip of the tibial tubercle and the geometric centre of the tibial component will be assessed by two reviewers blinded to the clinical data. The
mean of the two measurements will be used for calculation of the main study endpoint. Combined component rotation will be obtained by adding the femoral component rotational angle and the tibial component rotational angle for each knee.\textsuperscript{21}

One to 3 days after surgery, patients will be discharged. Regular follow-up visits will be planned at 2 and 8 weeks and 1 year after surgery. Knee radiographs (knee anteroposterior and lateral) will be obtained at the 8-weeks and 1-year follow-up visits. At 8 weeks and 1 year after surgery, patients will be asked to complete the relevant PROMs.

Data analysis
We will present descriptive statistics for the different PROMs (preoperative, 8 weeks, 1 year postoperative and 1-year change from baseline values) and for component rotation (femoral, tibial and combined component rotation). Continuous data will be summarised using mean with SD or median with range (in case of skewed data).

The following patient demographic data will be presented in a table:
- Right or left knee (right/left).
- Sex (male/female).
- Age (in years).
- Height (cm), weight (kg) and BMI (kg/m²).
- The following surgery-related data will be recorded:
  - Number and type of previous surgeries.
  - Location, degree and extent of degenerative changes.
  - Size of prosthetic components.
  - Additional surgical procedures performed (lateral patellar release and patellar resurfacing).
- Number and type of complications.

To assess the primary outcome, we will use an MCID of 5 points on the OKS to indicate a meaningful difference between groups.\textsuperscript{22} Logistic regression analysis will then be performed with the change from baseline OKS as the dependent (outcome) variable and the amount of component rotation as the independent variable. Furthermore, we will determine the range of femoral, tibial and combined component rotation that results in the best clinical outcomes.

Patient and public involvement
This research was done without patient involvement. Patients were not invited to comment on the study design and were not consulted to develop patient-relevant outcomes or to interpret the results. Patients were not invited to contribute to the writing or editing of this document for readability or accuracy.

DISCUSSION
Currently, there is no agreed consensus on the optimal strategy in patients with persistent pain following TKR. There is conflicting evidence on the role of component malrotation as a potential cause for persistent pain.

Our study is novel in that it investigates knee prosthesis component rotation in a large cohort of patients undergoing primary TKR and its impact on PROMs. This single-centre, prospective observational cohort study will help clarify whether pain and patient-reported functional outcomes are indeed related to component rotation.
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