

BMJ Open

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<http://bmjopen.bmj.com>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email info.bmjopen@bmj.com

BMJ Open

WOMEN'S SATISFACTION WITH MIDWIFE-LED CONTINUITY OF CARE – A CASE-CONTROL STUDY IN PALESTINE

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2019-030324
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	08-Mar-2019
Complete List of Authors:	Mortensen, Berit; Oslo University Hospital The Intervention Centre; University of Oslo, Faculty of Medicine Diep, Lien; University of Oslo, Oslo Centre for Biostatistics and Epidemiology Lukasse, Mirjam; Oslo Metropolitan University, Faculty of Health Sciences; University of Southeast Norway, Oslo, Norway, Faculty of Health and Social Sciences Lieng, Marit; Oslo University Hospital, Ullevål, Department of Obstetrics; Oslo University, Faculty of Medicine Dweikat, Ibtesam; Al Quds University, Faculty of Nursing and Health Professions Elias, Dalia; Bethlehem University, Faculty of Nursing and Health Professions Fosse, Erik; Oslo University Hospital The Intervention Centre; Oslo University, Faculty of Medicine
Keywords:	Case-load Midwifery, Satisfaction with care, Experience, Continuity with care, Maternal medicine < OBSTETRICS, Developing country

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3 **Title page**
4
5
6

7 **WOMEN'S SATISFACTION WITH MIDWIFE-LED CONTINUITY OF CARE –**
8 **A CASE-CONTROL STUDY IN PALESTINE**
9

10 *Berit Mortensen MSc^{a,b}, Lien My Diep MSc^c, Mirjam Lukasse PhD^{d,e}, Marit Lieng PhD^{b,g},*
11 *Ibtesam Dwekat MS^h, Dalia Elias MScⁱ, Erik Fosse PhD^{a,b}*
12
13

14
15 ^a The Intervention Centre, Rikshospitalet, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway, ^b Institute
16 for Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway, ^c Oslo Centre
17 for Biostatistics and Epidemiology, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway, ^d Faculty of
18 Health Sciences, Oslo Metropolitan University, Oslo, Norway, ^e Faculty of Health and Social
19 Sciences, University of Southeast Norway, Oslo, Norway, ^g Department of Gynaecology, Oslo
20 University Hospital, Oslo, Norway, ^h Faculty of Health Professions, Al Quds University,
21 Jerusalem, Palestine, ⁱ Faculty of Nursing and Health Sciences, Bethlehem University,
22 Bethlehem, Palestine.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 Corresponding author: Berit Mortensen, Oslo University Hospital, Rikshospitalet, The
31 Intervention Centre, Sognsvannsveien 20, 0372 Oslo, e-mail: beritmor@me.com phone
32 number: 0047-93266113
33
34
35
36

37 **Word count** Abstract: 300 words, Article 3835 words (4152 words including
38 acknowledgements and required statements)
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

ABSTRACT

Objectives: A midwife-led continuity model of care had been implemented in the Palestinian governmental health system to improve maternal services in several rural areas. This study investigated if the model influenced women's satisfaction with care, during antenatal-, intrapartum- and postnatal period.

Design: An observational case-control design was used to compare the midwife-led continuity model of care with regular maternity care.

Participants and setting: Women with singleton pregnancies, who had registered for antenatal care at a rural governmental clinic in the West Bank, were between one to six months after birth invited to answer a questionnaire rating satisfaction with care in 7-point Likert scales.

Primary outcome was the mean sum-score of satisfaction with care through the continuum of antenatal, intrapartum and postnatal period, where mean sum-scores range from 1 (lowest) to 7 (highest). Secondary outcome was exclusive breastfeeding.

Results: Two hundred women answered the questionnaire, one hundred who received the midwife-led model and one hundred who received regular care. The median timepoint of interview were 16 weeks postpartum in both groups. The midwife-led model was associated with a statistically significant higher satisfaction with care during antenatal, intrapartum and postnatal period, with a mean sum-score of 5.2, versus 4.8 in the group receiving regular care. The adjusted mean difference between the groups' sum-score of satisfaction with care was 0.6 (95% CI 0.35 to 0.85) $p < 0.0001$. A statistically significant higher proportion of women who received the midwife-led continuity model of care were still exclusively breastfeeding at the timepoint of interview, 67% versus 46% in the group receiving regular care, an adjusted odds ratio of 2.56 (1.35 – 4.89) $p = 0.004$.

Conclusions: There is an association between receiving midwife-led continuity of care and increased satisfaction with care through the continuum of pregnancy, intrapartum and postpartum period, and an increased duration of exclusive breastfeeding.

Trial registration number NCT03863600

Key words: Case-load Midwifery, Satisfaction with care, Experience, Continuity of care, Maternal care, Developing country

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

- The study adds new information from a low-middle income country to existing evidence on midwife-led continuity of care
- The study's complete data obtained from face to face interviews brings information on satisfaction with care from a marginalized group of women
- The study investigated to what extent a pragmatic implementation could improve continuity with care in a low resource setting
- The main limitation of this study is the observational, retrospective design comparing groups with potential unmeasured confounders.
- Not knowing the woman's village of origin and in which governmental hospital the women gave birth, could represent potential bias. However, the women in both groups represented a quite similar rural population from villages in different regions in the West Bank.

BACKGROUND

Yearly, more than 300 000 women die from preventable causes related to pregnancy and childbirth, and 99% of them are from low-and middle-income countries¹ It is estimated that in the shadow of each maternal death, between 50 and 100 women suffer severe maternal morbidity.^{1,2} A new-born child's prospects of survival, good health, and wellbeing is closely linked to their mother's survival, health and wellbeing.² Several studies investigating disrespectful and abusive treatment of women in maternity care, suggest this may explain why many women choose not to use available services.^{3,4} In a literature review from developing countries in 2015, Srivastava *et al.* investigated what determines women's satisfaction with maternal health care.⁵ They found that being treated respectfully, in terms of courtesy and non-abuse, irrespective of socio-cultural or economic context, is especially important to women.⁵ Interpersonal behaviour was the most prominent reported determinant of maternal satisfaction, more than structural factors as cleanliness and physical environment.⁵ Around the world women seek dignity, empathy and respect while obtaining maternal care and women's experience with disrespectful care and abuse in health care has been investigated in both low- and high-income settings.^{4,6} Based on the research evidence, the World Health Organization

1
2
3 (WHO) has recommended interventions that scales up midwifery and facilitate continuity
4 with care to enhance respectful relations in maternal care.^{1,7-11}
5
6

7 Midwife-led continuity of care described in the literature, can be organized as *case-load-* or
8 *team-midwifery* models.¹² In the case-load model one designated midwife cares for a group of
9 up to 45 women, while in team-midwifery four to six midwives share the care of a group of
10 up to 360 women. In both models, women are followed up through the continuum of
11 pregnancy, intrapartum- and postnatal period. The case-load model facilitates an individual
12 relationship between the woman and her midwife. Ideally, in both models, women will be
13 cared for during labour by a midwife they know from antenatal care.^{7,12} A Cochrane review
14 on continuity of midwifery care models, conducted by Sandal *et al.* in 2016, reported
15 improved health outcomes for women and babies. Several studies in the review also confirm
16 satisfaction with midwife-led continuity models of care, but the studies lacked consistency in
17 how satisfaction with continuity of care was measured.⁸ Perriman and Davis identified in a
18 systematic integrative review from 2015, four suitable instruments to measure satisfaction
19 with continuity of care through the continuum of pregnancy, birth and the early postpartum
20 period.¹³
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 **Palestinian context**

32
33
34 Palestinian midwives work in an overcrowded, understaffed and fragmented governmental
35 maternity care system.^{14,15} In such environment it is challenging to establish good relations
36 and to meet each woman's individual needs. In a study from 2006, Giacaman *et al.* identified
37 that Palestinian women were not satisfied with the place they gave birth, and that their choice
38 were constrained by availability, affordability and limited access due to Israeli military
39 closures and sieges.¹⁶ To address the challenge faced by Palestinian women living under
40 Israeli occupation in rural areas in the West Bank, the Palestinian Ministry of Health
41 implemented a modified midwife-led case-load model of care, in cooperation with a
42 Norwegian humanitarian organization, The Norwegian Aid Committee (NORWAC). The
43 model was implemented between 2013 to 2016 in six governmental hospitals from where
44 midwives provided outreaching antenatal and postnatal care in 37 rural villages. The
45 implementation increased number of antenatal visits, number of detected pregnancy
46 complications referred to higher level of care, and number of postnatal home-visits.¹⁷ When
47 the midwife-led model was tested in the region of Ramallah between 2007 and 2011, the
48 midwives described in a qualitative study, how the model enabled them to provide
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 personalized care related to the individual woman's needs and how the broad scope of
4 practice gave them new and important experience and knowledge.¹⁸
5
6

7 The aim of this study was to investigate if and how a modified case load midwife-led
8 continuity model of care, in the governmental system in Palestine, influenced rural women's
9 satisfaction with care, through the continuum of antenatal, intrapartum and postnatal period. A
10 secondary aim was to explore the association between the model and duration of exclusive
11 breastfeeding.
12
13
14
15

16 **METHODS**

17 **Study design**

18
19 An observational case-control design was used to compare satisfaction with care, between
20 rural women receiving the midwife-led continuity model and rural women receiving regular
21 maternity care, through the continuum of antenatal, intrapartum and postnatal period.
22
23
24
25
26

27 **Power and sample size**

28
29 The power calculations were based on the results from a recent study in Australia, as we
30 found no available studies on satisfaction with midwife-led continuity models of care in low –
31 middle income countries.¹⁹ A sample of 164 to 186 (82 to 93 in each group) was required to
32 detect a difference of 20% between the control and intervention group's proportions of
33 satisfaction, given a significance level of 0.05 and 80% power. Considering the novel context,
34 we decided to collect answers from two-hundred women, 100 in each group, to assure enough
35 power.
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 **Models of care**

43
44 The midwife-led continuity of care model, modified to the Palestinian setting, implies that
45 midwives who work in governmental hospitals was assigned to weekly visits to rural areas.
46 Midwives drove from their base at their governmental hospitals in designated marked cars, to
47 provide antenatal care in rural clinics and postnatal home-visits. Each midwife visited the
48 same area and clinic each week, thereby following up the same case-load of women to
49 enhance relational continuity. The obligation to work full time and the heavy workload at the
50 hospital prevented the midwives from being on call to attend labour and birth, as such the
51 women were not assured having a known midwife during labour. A more detailed framework
52 of the model is described elsewhere.¹⁷
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 The regular model of governmental antenatal care was provided by midwives, nurses and
4 physicians who only worked with primary health care and who had a variety of other
5 responsibilities, like vaccination, regular health care and minor emergencies.
6
7

8 9 **Participants and data-collection**

10
11 Women with a singleton pregnancy, who had registered for antenatal care at a rural
12 governmental clinic in the West Bank, and who had given birth between the last one to six
13 months, were asked to participate when they came with their child for vaccination at the same
14 governmental clinic where they received antenatal care. Two midwives, who were not
15 working with governmental primary health care, nor in the midwife-led continuity model,
16 were trained in data collection. The research midwives travelled to rural villages scattered in
17 different regions of the West Bank, that either offered the midwife-led continuity model or
18 regular care. They invited eligible women to participate after providing them an information
19 and consent form in Arabic, explaining the study. Women were assured anonymity if they
20 participated, and that they would not be affected negatively if they did not accept to
21 participate. To assure anonymity, the women were informed that neither their identity, village,
22 clinic, nor birth facility could be traced. Their consent was given orally by accepting to
23 answer the questionnaire by an interview. The research midwives collected the data in the
24 women's homes or in a private place in the clinic. Each woman was given an Arabic version
25 of the questionnaire. The research midwife then filled the questionnaire forms while
26 interviewing the women to assure they understood the questions. The interview was estimated
27 to take 30 minutes. The research midwives transferred the women's responses to the
28 University of Oslo via the web-form, "nettskjema.no".
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 **The questionnaire**

44
45 The questionnaire (supplementary file 1) was based on previous studies measuring satisfaction
46 with midwife-led continuity, and evaluated as suitable for this purpose.^{19,20,13} The
47 questionnaire included 62 questions measuring women's satisfaction with antenatal,
48 intrapartum and postpartum care using a 7-point Likert scale, where usually 1 signified
49 "disagree strongly" and 7 signified "agree strongly". Women were further asked to what
50 extent they received care during intrapartum and postpartum period from the provider they
51 knew from antenatal care, and they were asked about their breastfeeding practice. The
52 participants were invited to add recommendations to improve governmental services, in an
53 open text section in the questionnaire. The content of the final questionnaire was tested for
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 contextual and cultural sensitivity with a group of five Palestinian midwives. After minor
4 adjustments the questionnaire was translated to Arabic by a professional translator, retested
5 and adjusted for accuracy.
6
7

8 9 **Outcomes**

10
11 Primary outcome was the mean sum-score of satisfaction with care through the continuum of
12 antenatal, intrapartum and postpartum period. Secondary outcomes were satisfaction with care
13 related to the different episodes of care, and proportion of women that still practiced exclusive
14 breastfeeding at timepoint of interview. Grade of continuity was measured by number of
15 women who received care from their antenatal midwife during labour, at postnatal hospital
16 ward and/or at home-visits.
17
18
19
20
21

22 23 **Statistical analysis**

24
25 Difference in characteristics between the intervention and control groups were analysed
26 by two independent samples t tests, Mann-Whitney U tests, chi-squared or Fisher's exact
27 tests, as appropriate.
28
29

30
31 The Likert scale ordinal variables were highly skewed and first analysed by conducting
32 ordinal regression because this method had been used in previous studies using similar Likert
33 scales.¹⁹ After fitting the ordinal regression, the proportional odds assumption was inspected
34 by a Brant test, using brant command in Stata/SE, version 14. Results from the test showed
35 that proportional odds assumption was violated for several ordinal outcomes.
36
37
38

39
40 Therefore, we summarized the answers, and the groups' mean sum-scores of satisfaction were
41 compared by bootstrapping linear regression. The primary outcome, mean sum-score of
42 satisfaction through the continuum of antenatal, intrapartum and postnatal care, included 53
43 different questions of satisfaction. Negative questions, such as: *I felt that nobody really cared*
44 *for me during labour and birth*, were turned positive so that satisfaction could be interpreted
45 equally in all questions and the mean sum-scores thereby read as 1 (lowest) and 7 (highest).
46
47
48
49
50 One question from the antenatal period was not included, as it investigated if occupation
51 soldiers or settlers limited women's access to the clinic and not satisfaction with care. Neither
52 were eight questions involving satisfaction with care during home-visits, as it only applied to
53 the group receiving the midwife-led model. The questions of satisfaction included in the mean
54 sum-score variables were assessed for internal consistency and Cronbach's Alpha was
55 between 0.90 and 0.95.
56
57
58
59
60

Factors which could influence the difference between groups were included for adjusting. Adjusted bias-corrected and accelerated bootstrap estimates (BCa) with 95% confidence intervals were given for non-normally distributed ordinal outcomes and based on 10000 bootstraps.

For breastfeeding practice as binary outcome, multiple logistic regression analyses were used to test the difference between the groups and adjusting for possible confounding variables. Significance level was set at 0.05. The analyses were performed with IBM SPSS 25.

Patient and public involvement

Women were not directly involved in the planning of the study, but in testing the questionnaire. The results will be disseminated in scientific publications, in public media and in local and international conferences.

Ethical considerations

The Palestinian Ministry of Health approved the study and the research assistants' access to the health facilities, allowing them to contact women who had registered at the governmental clinic to ask them for consent to participate in the study.

Ethical approval for the study was granted from the Norwegian Regional Committee for Medical Health Research Ethics South East (REK) with id number: 2015/1235.

RESULTS

Participants characteristics

Between May 1st, 2016 to May 31st, 2017, 200 women from 20 villages answered the questionnaire, 100 who received the midwife-led continuity model and 100 who received regular care. There were 26 women who abstained from participating, of them 22 received regular care and 4 received midwife-led care. Groups characteristics, presented in table 1, were mainly homogenous. The time point of interview was median 16 weeks postpartum in both groups, with no statistically significant differences related to age, education, employment or parity. Less women who received the midwife-led model of care had parents living in the same village as themselves.

Table1 Participants characteristics

Characteristics	Midwife-led care (n=100)	Regular care (n=100)	p-value ****
Timepoint of interview/weeks since birth*	16.0 (11.0-18.8)	16.0 (8.0-22.8)	0.499

Age**	26.6 (5.6)	26.3 (5.6)	0.688
Age at marriage*	20.3 (18.0-22.0)	20.7 (18.0-22.8)	0.812
Age at first birth*	21.5 (19.0-23.0)	21.8 (19.3-23.0)	0.997
Nulliparous***	32	38	0.459
Multiparous***	68	62	0.459
Number of previous pregnancies*	2.0 (1.0-3.0)	2.0 (1.0-3.0)	0.125
Number of live born children*	2.0 (1.0-3.0)	2.0 (1.0-3.0)	0.104
Education level***			
Up to master's degree after high school	46	37	0.251
High school	54	63	0.251
Employment***			
Woman has employment (full- or part-time)	15	10	0.393
Woman not employed	85	90	0.393
Husband has regular employment	64	49	0.020
Husband employed now and then	32	50	0.014
Husband not employed	4	1	0.369
Social***			
Husband must live outside home to work	9	15	0.119
Women's parents live in same village	34	63	0.001
Not Smoking ***	94	86	0.097

n=number of women, no missing, *Median(IQR), **Mean(SD), ***% ****Mann-Whitney U tests, independent samples t- or chi-squared tests

Characteristics of obtained care

Women who received the midwife-led continuity model of care booked significantly earlier for antenatal care at the governmental clinic, reporting a gestational age of median 6.5 weeks, compared to median ten weeks gestation for the group who received regular care (table 2).

The group receiving the midwife-led model of care had median nine antenatal visits, and only two women reported less than four visits, while the group receiving regular care had median six antenatal visits and 28 women reported having less than four visits at the governmental clinic. While 42% in the midwife-led group, received antenatal care exclusively from the governmental clinic, only 8% in the regular care group reported the same. Subsequently, women who had regular care received more additional care from private doctors and 33% gave birth at a private hospital, compare to only 11% of women who received the midwife-led care. There were no missing data except two women in the group receiving midwife-led care, who gave birth under transportation and therefore did not report satisfaction with intrapartum care. Only women who had received the midwife-led continuity model of care received home-visit after birth.

Table 2 Characteristics of obtained care

Characteristics	Midwife-led care (n=100)	Regular care (n=100)	p-value ***
Antenatal care (ANC)			
Gestation at booking visit*	6.5 (4.0-11.8)	10.0 (5.0-19.5)	0.003
Number of ANC visits at government clinic*	9.0 (8.0-10.0)	6.0 (3.0-9.0)	0.001
Less than 4 ANC visits at government clinic**	2	28	0.0001
Number of ANC visits with doctor at government clinic*	4.0 (3.0-5.0)	5.0(2.0-8.0)	0.066
Number of ANC visits at private doctor*	2.0 (0.0-3.0)	6.0 (3.0-10.0)	0.0001
ANC care only from governmental clinic**	42	8	0.0001
Referred once or more to high risk care**	36	22	0.004
Place of birth of last child**			0.035
Governmental hospital	87	67	0.0001
Private hospital	11	33	0.0001
Under transportation	2	0	
Hours spent at postnatal ward postpartum*	24.0 (18.0-24.0)	15.0 (8.5-24.0)	0.0001
Number receiving postnatal home-visits	76	0	0.0001

n=number of women, *Median(IQR), **% ***Mann-Whitney U or chi-squared tests

Satisfaction with care

The groups' mean sum-scores, including crude and adjusted mean differences in satisfaction with care, are given in table 3. For the primary outcome, a statistically significant higher satisfaction with care was observed in favour of the group receiving the midwife-led care, through the continuum of pregnancy, intrapartum and postnatal period, with a crude mean sum-score of 5.2 (SD 0.86) versus 4.8 (SD 0.96) in the group receiving regular care. The adjusted mean difference between the groups was 0.6 (95% CI 0.35 to 0.83) $p < 0.0001$. The statistically significant difference in favour of the midwife-led model persisted during the various periods of care. The adjusted mean difference in satisfaction with care during pregnancy was 0.4 (0.06 to 0.65) $p = 0.021$ and with care during labour and birth 0.5 (0.14 to 0.87) $p = 0.008$. The highest difference in satisfaction was with postpartum care, an adjusted mean difference of 0.8 (0.53 to 1.16) $p < 0.0001$. Adjusting for the number of women who had given birth in private hospitals, influenced, but did not significantly change the primary outcome. Neither did it change satisfaction with care during pregnancy or postnatal period. However, a significant higher proportion of women who received regular care gave birth in private hospitals and adjusting for this factor significantly changed the difference in satisfaction with intrapartum care in governmental hospitals, in favour of the midwife-led model. We did not adjust for age, parity, employment, time since birth, or if the parents lived in the same village, as we found no significant influence from these covariates in univariate

analyses. The satisfaction with care during home-visits was generally high. However, it only applied to the group receiving the midwife-led continuity model of care. The detailed results in the full scales are presented in supplementary file 2 and shows which aspects of care that influenced the difference between the groups.

Table 3 Satisfaction with antenatal, intrapartum and postpartum care

	Mean sum-scores**		Crude difference***	Adjusted difference***	
	Midwife-led care*	Regular care*	Mean (95%CI)	Adjusted mean(95%CI)	Adj. p-value
Primary outcome					
Satisfaction with all care through the whole continuum (53)	5.2 (0.86)	4.8 (0.96)	0.5(0.25 to 0.73)	0.6(0.37 to 0.81)	<0.0001
Descriptive outcomes					
Satisfaction with care from midwives/nurses during pregnancy (6)	6.2 (0.92)	5.7 (1.22)	0.6(0.25 to 0.84)	0.6(0.22 to 0.82)	<0.001
Satisfaction with pregnancy care from doctors (5)	5.4 (1.50)	5.2 (1.47)	0.2(-0.18 to 0.66)	0.2(-0.23 to 0.55)	0.351
Satisfaction with all care during pregnancy (15)	5.7 (0.99)	5.3 (1.19)	0.4(0.08 to 0.68)	0.4(0.06 to 0.64)	0.021
Satisfaction with midwives' care during labour and birth (5)	5.5 (1.75)	5.1 (1.79)	0.5(-0.04 to 0.93)	0.7(0.21 to 1.13)	0.008
Satisfaction with doctor's care during labour and birth (3)	5.0 (1.69)	4.7 (1.87)	0.3(-0.20 to 0.78)	0.5(0.06 to 0.95)	0.038
Satisfaction with all care during labour and birth (17)	5.1 (1.29)	4.7 (1.34)	0.3(-0.04 to 0.68)	0.5(0.18 to 0.83)	0.006
Satisfaction with care and advice related to baby after birth (5)	4.8 (1.23)	4.1 (1.44)	0.7(0.41 to 1.01)	0.8(0.44 to 1.21)	<0.0001
Satisfaction with care related to yourself after birth (9)	5.0 (1.07)	4.3 (1.1)	0.8(0.37 to 1.11)	0.8(0.44 to 1.08)	<0.0001
Satisfaction with all care after birth (21)	5.0 (1.04)	4.2 (1.14)	0.8(0.46 to 1.08)	0.8(0.50 to 1.19)	<0.0001

*100 women in each group, no missing except two women who gave birth under transportation in the group receiving midwife led care did not report satisfaction with care during labour and birth ** Mean(SD) sum-score is calculated from the 1-7 likert scale where 1 means very low satisfaction and 7 means very high ***BCa estimates with 95% confidence intervals, analysed by bootstrapping linear regression, adjusted for place of birth (private or governmental hospital), Number in bracelets reflects the number of questions included in the sum-score.

Breastfeeding

As the interview was done at an approximately equal timepoint of median 16 weeks after birth in both groups we compared the proportion of women who were still breastfeeding. Most women were still breastfeeding at this timepoint, respectively 96% receiving midwife-led care and 88% receiving regular care (table 4). Of these a statistically significant higher rate of

women receiving midwife-led care were still exclusively breastfeeding, 67% versus 46%. After adjusting for age, parity and number of weeks since birth the difference was still statistically significant with an adjusted odds ratio of 2.56 (95% CI 1.35 – 4.89) $p=0.004$. Only three women in the control group had never breastfed, and none in the midwife-led group.

Table 4 Breastfeeding practice

	Midwife-led care*	Regular care*	Difference between groups**		
			OR(95%CI)	Adj. OR(95%CI)	Adj. p-value
Still exclusively breastfeeding	67%	46%	2.38(1.34 to 4.23)	2.56(1.35 - 4.88)	0.004
Still breastfeeding (exclusively and partly)	96%	88%	3.27(1.02 to 10.52)	2.76(0.84 - 9.09)	0.096
Never breastfed	0	3%			0.246

*100 women answered, no missing ** Odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence intervals from binary logistic regression analysis, adjusted for age, parity and timepoint of interview/weeks since birth, regular care was set as reference

Continuity measures

Investigating the midwife-led continuity model's actual continuity with care from the same midwife through the continuum, we found that 23% of the women received care from their antenatal-midwife during labour, and 34% received care from her at the hospital's postnatal ward. Of the 100 women, 69% received home-visit from their antenatal-midwife, while 7% received home-visits from the nurse who they also knew from the clinic. As many as 17% met their antenatal-midwife through the whole continuum of antenatal, intrapartum and postnatal period, while 8% did not receive care from their antenatal-midwife elsewhere.

Table 5 Continuity measures (n=100)	%
Number who met their ANC-midwife during labour	23
Number who met their ANC-midwife at hospital's postnatal ward	34
Number who met their ANC-midwife at home-visit	69
Number who met their ANC-midwife through the whole continuum	17
Number who only met their midwife in ANC	8
Numbers of meetings with the same provider	8 (7-9)*

*n=number of women, only from the group receiving midwife led care, *median (IQR)*

Women's recommendations

Free text recommendations to improve governmental services were recorded from 101 women, mainly from the group receiving regular care. The main recommendations from

women were to allow having a companion with them during labour and birth, to provide human, respectful and sensitive care during labour and birth, and to implement an appointment system for the antenatal visits.

DISCUSSION

Compared with regular care, the midwife-led model was associated with a higher sum-score of satisfaction with care through the continuum of antenatal, intrapartum and postpartum period. The highest satisfaction reported in both groups, were with care during pregnancy, where the mean sum-score differed least. The difference between groups during pregnancy was most prominent related to satisfaction with being involved and the emotional support from the midwives. The general high satisfaction with pregnancy care could be explained by that this period is less demanding and stressful for most women and recall bias might have influenced.

Care during labour and birth was presented with the lowest satisfaction scores in both groups. This is not surprising considering the overcrowded and understaffed environment in the government hospitals labour wards, as previously described by other studies from Palestine.^{15,16} Another important explanation could be the statement from a clear majority of women in both groups: "I wish someone from my family could accompany me during labour and birth". The request of having a companion during labour was confirmed by the women's main recommendation. The value of a companion is important to improve birth outcomes and improve women's birth experiences.²¹ WHO recommends that health facilities gives every woman the option to experience labour with a companion of her choice.²² Nevertheless, knowing a midwife at the labour ward seemed to influence the difference between the two groups' satisfaction with care during labour and birth, a difference that increased after adjusting for the subgroup of women who gave birth in private hospitals. Interestingly, the difference in satisfaction with care from doctors also increased to a significant level after this adjustment. This suggests that the enhanced relation between the woman and her midwife also seemed to reduce the alienation to doctors. An important contextual question revealed that women receiving the midwife-led model were less afraid of being stopped at Israeli military checkpoints on their way from the village to hospital. This reduced anxiety could be related to that women's relation with their midwife made them feel safer, also knowing they could call their midwife in an emergency. The increased satisfaction with care during the intrapartum period among women receiving midwife-led care, could reasonably be explained by that nearly a quarter was cared for during labour by the midwife they knew. The relational

1
2
3 continuity seemed to enhance women's perception of receiving respectful care during labour
4 and birth. The most prominent difference between the two groups' satisfaction was with care
5 during postpartum period, despite the exclusion of the high score of satisfaction with care
6 related to home-visits. The highest difference between the groups was seen in satisfaction
7 with care at the postnatal ward and could be explained by the high number who met their
8 midwife from pregnancy there. The difference between the group's satisfaction with care in
9 this study seems to be less prominent compared to studies of satisfaction with continuity
10 models of care in high income countries.¹⁹ Nevertheless, this study confirms the general
11 findings of improved satisfaction with midwife-led continuity models of care.^{8,19,23-25}

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19 The results from this study also demonstrate an association between receiving the midwife-led
20 model of care and increased duration of exclusive breastfeeding. The midwife-led model
21 provided continuity with breastfeeding information and support during pregnancy and after
22 birth in hospital and home-visits. McFadden *et al.* concluded in a systematic review that
23 predictable, standard breastfeeding support during antenatal and/or postnatal care, tailored to
24 women's needs and given face to face, seem to increase duration of exclusive breastfeeding.²⁶
25 Continuous postnatal breastfeeding support is also recommended.²⁷ Exclusive breastfeeding
26 up to six month in life is considered an important protection against infections, malocclusions,
27 and breastfeeding have in general several long term health benefits both for women and their
28 children.²⁸

29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36 Although midwives were prevented from being on call, a high number of women receiving
37 the midwife-led model were cared for during labour and at the postnatal ward by the midwife
38 they knew. The high rate of continuity was possible because all midwives worked full time at
39 the hospital beside their outreaching program once a week.

40
41
42
43
44 This study implies that midwife-led continuity contributes to sustainable improvements within
45 a system with limited resources, enabling midwives to improve quality of care to vulnerable
46 women in their own population. The experience and findings from this implementation are an
47 important contribution to reach the UN sustainable development goal number three towards
48 2030, promising good health and wellbeing for all.²⁹

49 50 51 52 53 **Limitations and strengths**

54
55
56 The main limitation of this study is the observational, retrospective design comparing groups
57 with potential unmeasured confounders. Because the model had already been implemented
58 randomization was not possible. It would have been an advantage to know village of origin
59
60

1
2
3 and in which governmental hospital the women gave birth, as it could represent potential bias.
4 However, the women in both groups represented a quite similar rural population from villages
5 in different regions in the West Bank.
6
7

8
9 Investigating such complex and sensitive outcomes of an implementation in a low-middle
10 income setting is the main strength of this study. The pragmatic and novel approach, adapting
11 the model to the Palestinian context and implementing it within the public health system
12 provided a unique experience of how midwife-led continuity of care can work in a low-
13 middle income setting. Engagement from local midwives, nurses and doctors who have been
14 deeply involved in developing and adapting the model to the context, facilitated anchoring the
15 model in the Palestinian public health system. The model was implemented with Norwegian
16 funding in six governmental hospitals and 37 villages in the West Bank, but since February
17 2017 it has been administrated and sustained by the Palestinian Ministry of Health.³⁰ A
18 strength of the study is the focus on satisfaction with care provided to the poorer part of the
19 population, who are in most need of quality improvements. Another strength is the
20 comprehensive questionnaire with a Likert scale used in previous studies that measured
21 satisfaction with midwife-led continuity models, using the recommended focus on women's
22 satisfaction with process of care and interpersonal behaviour throughout the
23 continuum.^{5,13,19,23}
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

35 **Conclusion**

36
37
38 This study has investigated a midwife-led continuity model of care that has been adapted to a
39 low-middle-income setting under long-term military occupation. The findings indicate that
40 midwife-led continuity of care is associated with improved satisfaction with care also in such
41 settings. There are increased user expectations for qualitative and safe care in low and middle-
42 income countries, including respectful and sensitive care.^{9,31} Further qualitative research
43 could investigate how and why women find this model useful. There is a high potential to
44 improve quality of maternal care in Palestine, by increasing number of midwives, by
45 introducing more privacy in the labour ward to facilitate that women can experience labour
46 with a companion of their choice, and by introducing midwife-led continuity of care to more
47 women.
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

55 **Acknowledgements**

56
57
58 First and foremost, we want to thank all the women who participated in this study and gave us
59 valuable insight in their perception of the care they received. We thank Arsan Aghazarian for
60

1
2
3 translating the questionnaire, and the midwives who gave contextual advice in the translation.
4 We finally want to thank the Palestinian Ministry of Health for implementing the model and
5 facilitating the study, the clinic's nurses and doctors for their collaboration, and especially the
6 courageous midwives who reach out to provide care to women and babies in occupied
7
8 Palestine.
9
10

11 **Contributors**

12
13
14
15 BM was involved with the Implementation, study design, preparation of data collection, data
16 analysis, data interpretation and writing. LMD was involved with study design, data analysis
17 and writing. MiL was involved with study design, data interpretation and writing. MaL was
18 involved with study design, data interpretation and writing. ID and DE were involved with the
19 data collection and data interpretation. EF was involved in study design, data collection, data
20 analysis, data interpretation and writing. BM drafted the article and tables. All authors have
21 reviewed and approved the final manuscript. The corresponding author had full access to all
22 the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 **Funding**

30
31
32 This work was partly supported by the Research Council of Norway through the Global
33 Health and Vaccination Program (GLOBVAC), project number 243706. The implementation
34 of the midwife-led continuity model of care received public funding through the
35 humanitarian, non-profit organization Norwegian Aid Committee (NORWAC).
36
37
38

39 **Competing interests** EF is director of NORWAC. BM were partly employed by NORWAC
40 until February 2017 as project manager for implementing the model.
41
42

43 **Ethics approval**

44
45
46 The study was approved by the Norwegian Regional Committee for Medical Health research
47 Ethics South East (REK) id number: 2015/1235. It was also approved by the Palestinian
48 Ministry of Health.
49
50

51 **Data sharing statement**

52
53
54 Data can be shared upon request to the first author
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

1. Miller S, Abalos E, Chamillard M, et al. Beyond too little, too late and too much, too soon: a pathway towards evidence-based, respectful maternity care worldwide. *Lancet* 2016; **388**(10056): 2176-92.
2. Geller SE, Koch AR, Garland CE, MacDonald EJ, Storey F, Lawton B. A global view of severe maternal morbidity: moving beyond maternal mortality. *Reprod Health* 2018; **15**(Suppl 1): 98.
3. Bohren MA, Vogel JP, Hunter EC, et al. The mistreatment of women during childbirth in health facilities globally: a mixed-methods systematic review. *PLoS Med* 2015; **12**.
4. Lukasse M, Schroll AM, Karro H, et al. Prevalence of experienced abuse in healthcare and associated obstetric characteristics in six European countries. *Acta Obstet Gyn Scan* 2015; **94**(5): 508-17.
5. Srivastava A, Avan BI, Rajbangshi P, Bhattacharyya S. Determinants of women's satisfaction with maternal health care: a review of literature from developing countries. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2015; **15**: 97.
6. Sando D, Abuya T, Asefa A, et al. Methods used in prevalence studies of disrespect and abuse during facility based childbirth: lessons learned. *Reprod Health* 2017; **14**(1): 127.
7. World Health Organisation. WHO recommendations on antenatal care for a positive pregnancy experience. <http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/250796/1/9789241549912-eng.pdf?ua=1> World Health Organization; 2016. p. 152.
8. Sandall J, Soltani H, Gates S, Shennan A, Devane D. Midwife-led continuity models versus other models of care for childbearing women. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2016; **4**: CD004667.
9. Van Lerberghe W, Matthews Z, Achadi E, et al. Country experience with strengthening of health systems and deployment of midwives in countries with high maternal mortality. *Lancet* 2014; **384**(9949): 1215-25.
10. Nair M, Yoshida S, Lambrechts T, et al. Facilitators and barriers to quality of care in maternal, newborn and child health: a global situational analysis through metareview. *Bmj Open* 2014; **4**(5): e004749.
11. Homer CS, Friberg IK, Dias MA, et al. The projected effect of scaling up midwifery. *Lancet* 2014; **384**(9948): 1146-57.
12. Homer C, Brodie P, Leap N. Midwifery continuity of care : a practical guide. Sydney ; New York: Churchill Livingstone/Elsevier; 2008.
13. Perriman N, Davis D. Measuring maternal satisfaction with maternity care: A systematic integrative review: What is the most appropriate, reliable and valid tool that can be used to measure maternal satisfaction with continuity of maternity care? *Women Birth* 2016; **29**(3): 293-9.
14. Wick L, Mikki N, Giacaman R, Abdul-Rahim H. Childbirth in Palestine. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* 2005; **89**(2): 174-8.
15. Rahim HF, Wick L, Halileh S, et al. Maternal and child health in the occupied Palestinian territory. *Lancet* 2009; **373**(9667): 967-77.
16. Giacaman R, Abu-Rmeileh NM, Wick L. The limitations on choice: Palestinian women's childbirth location, dissatisfaction with the place of birth and determinants. *Eur J Public Health* 2007; **17**(1): 86-91.
17. Mortensen B, Lukasse M, Diep LM, et al. Can a midwife-led continuity model improve maternal services in a low-resource setting? A non-randomised cluster intervention study in Palestine. *Bmj Open* 2018; **8**(3): e019568.

18. Mortensen B. To be veiled or not to be - what unites is the question, Experiences from a continuity of Midwifery Care Model in Palestine and Norway. Master's thesis. Bodø, Norway: University of Nordland; 2011. p. 121.
19. Forster DA, McLachlan HL, Davey MA, et al. Continuity of care by a primary midwife (caseload midwifery) increases women's satisfaction with antenatal, intrapartum and postpartum care: results from the COSMOS randomised controlled trial. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2016; **16**: 28.
20. Waldenstrom U, Rudman A. Satisfaction with maternity care: how to measure and what to do. *Womens Health (Lond)* 2008; **4**(3): 211-4.
21. Bohren MA, Hofmeyr GJ, Sakala C, Fukuzawa RK, Cuthbert A. Continuous support for women during childbirth. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2017; **7**: CD003766.
22. World Health Organisation. Standards for improving quality of maternal and newborn care in health facilities. <http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/249155/1/9789241511216-eng.pdf?ua=1> WHO; 2016.
23. Waldenstrom U, Brown S, McLachlan H, Forster D, Brennecke S. Does team midwife care increase satisfaction with antenatal, intrapartum, and postpartum care? A randomized controlled trial. *Birth* 2000; **27**(3): 156-67.
24. Fereday J, Collins C, Turnbull D, Pincombe J, Oster C. An evaluation of Midwifery Group Practice. Part II: women's satisfaction. *Women Birth* 2009; **22**(1): 11-6.
25. Harvey S, Rach D, Stainton MC, Jarrell J, Brant R. Evaluation of satisfaction with midwifery care. *Midwifery* 2002; **18**(4): 260-7.
26. McFadden A, Gavine A, Renfrew MJ, et al. Support for healthy breastfeeding mothers with healthy term babies. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2017; **2**: CD001141.
27. Zhang Z, Zhu Y, Zhang L, Wan H. What factors influence exclusive breastfeeding based on the theory of planned behaviour. *Midwifery* 2018; **62**: 177-82.
28. Victora CG, Bahl R, Barros AJ, et al. Breastfeeding in the 21st century: epidemiology, mechanisms, and lifelong effect. *Lancet* 2016; **387**(10017): 475-90.
29. ten Hoop-Bender P, Lopes ST, Nove A, et al. Midwifery 2030: a woman's pathway to health. What does this mean? *Midwifery* 2016; **32**: 1-6.
30. Mortensen B. Palestinian Midwives on the Front Line. *Journal of Middle East Women's Studies* 2018; **14**(3): 379-83.
31. ten Hoop-Bender P, de Bernis L, Campbell J, et al. Improvement of maternal and newborn health through midwifery. *Lancet* 2014; **384**(9949): 1226-35.

Women`s satisfaction of care through the continuum of pregnancy, birth and postnatal period

Side 1

Consent and general information

- **I read the information sheet about the study, I registered at a Governmental clinic during pregnancy, my last pregnancy was singleton and I wish to participate ***

- Yes
 No

Dette elementet vises dersom et av følgende alternativer er valgt på spørsmål «I read the information sheet about the study, I registered at a Governmental clinic during pregnancy, my last pregnancy was singleton and I wish to participate»: Yes

- **What type of care were you offered at the local Governmental clinic? ***

- Intervention: Continuity of Midwifery Care Model: care from a midwife also employed at the local hospital.
 Control: Regular care from staff employed at the clinic

Dette elementet vises dersom et av følgende alternativer er valgt på spørsmål «I read the information sheet about the study, I registered at a Governmental clinic during pregnancy, my last pregnancy was singleton and I wish to participate»: Yes

- **If you had regular care, who provided care for you?**

- Staff nurse
 Practical nurse
 Health worker
 Male doctor
 Female doctor
 Midwife
 I don`t know
 Other

Dette elementet vises dersom et av følgende alternativer er valgt på spørsmål «I read the information sheet about the study, I registered at a Governmental clinic during pregnancy, my last pregnancy was singleton and I wish to participate»: Yes

1
2
3 • **Where did you receive care during pregnancy from others than**
4 **governmental facilities? ***
5

- 6
7 UNRWA
8 Private doctor
9 NGO
10 Only Governmental
11 Other
12
13
14

15
16 **Demographic and social information**
17

18 Dette elementet vises dersom et av følgende alternativer er valgt på spørsmål «I read the information sheet
19 about the study, I registered at a Governmental clinic during pregnancy, my last pregnancy was singleton
20 and I wish to participate»: Yes
21

22 • **How old are you? ***

23

24 Dette elementet vises dersom et av følgende alternativer er valgt på spørsmål «I read the information sheet
25 about the study, I registered at a Governmental clinic during pregnancy, my last pregnancy was singleton
26 and I wish to participate»: Yes
27

28 • **What was your age when you got married? ***

29

30 Dette elementet vises dersom et av følgende alternativer er valgt på spørsmål «I read the information sheet
31 about the study, I registered at a Governmental clinic during pregnancy, my last pregnancy was singleton
32 and I wish to participate»: Yes
33

34 • **What was your age first time you gave birth? ***

35

36 Dette elementet vises dersom et av følgende alternativer er valgt på spørsmål «I read the information sheet
37 about the study, I registered at a Governmental clinic during pregnancy, my last pregnancy was singleton
38 and I wish to participate»: Yes
39

40
41
42
43 • **What is the highest level of education you have completed? ***
44

- 45 Primary school
46 High School
47 Diploma 2 years after High school
48 Bachelor
49 Master
50 Phd
51 Other

52 • **If other, what kind of education?**

53

1
2
3 Dette elementet vises dersom et av følgende alternativer er valgt på spørsmål «I read the information sheet
4 about the study, I registered at a Governmental clinic during pregnancy, my last pregnancy was singleton
5 and I wish to participate»: Yes
6
7

8 • **Are you a paid employee? ***

- 9
10
11 Yes, full time
12 Yes, part time
13 No
14
15

16 • **Does your husband have a paid work? ***

- 17
18
19 Yes, regularly
20 Yes, now and then
21 No
22
23

24 • **Does your husband have a job requiring living outside home for longer
25 periods?**

- 26
27
28 Yes
29 No
30
31

32 • **Where does your parents live? ***

- 33
34
35 In the same village/town as me
36 In another neighboring village
37 In another town in the West Bank
38 Outside West Bank
39
40
41

42 **Reproductive information**

43
44 • **How many pregnancies did you have that went beyond 6 months? ***

45

46
47 • **How many live born children do you have? ***

48

49
50 • **If you experienced stillbirth, how many times? ***

51

52
53 • **How many pregnancies did you have without pregnancy care at all? ***

54

55
56
57 **Health information about you last pregnancy, birth and postnatal period**

58
59 • **How many weeks is it since your last birth? ***

60

- 1
2
3
4
5 • At which pregnancy week did you register at the Governmental clinic? *

6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 6 • How many pregnancy-visits did you have at the Governmental clinic last pregnancy? *

- **Do you smoke** *

- No, never
 Yes, cigarettes now and then
 Yes, cigarettes daily
 Yes, Argile (water-pipe) now and then
 Yes, Argile (Water-pipe) daily

- **Mark if you experience any of the following complications during last pregnancy?** *

- Anemia Hb 9 or less
 Pre-eclampsia
 Eclampsia
 Placenta Previa
 Vaginal bleeding
 Reduced fetal growth
 Gestational diabetes
 Previous cesarean section
 Pelvic pain
 Violations in the home
 Violations from occupation soldiers/settlers
 Rhesus negative blood type.
 Vomiting causing hospitalization
 Other
 I had had no complications during pregnancy

- If other, describe short what kind of pregnancy complications?

- How often did a doctor do the pregnancy check-ups in the governmental clinic? *

- How many pregnancy-visits did you have to a private doctor during last pregnancy? *

- If you used private doctor in addition to Governmental clinic, describe short why you choose to use both:

1
2
3 • **Where you referred to high risk care clinic, hospital or specialist doctor**
4 **during pregnancy? ***
5
6

- 7 Yes, once
8 Yes, more than once
9 Yes, I was referred but I was not able to go
10 No, I was not referred
11
12
13

14 • **Mark if you experience any of the following complications during last**
15 **birth? ***
16

- 17 Birth during transportation
18 Instrumental delivery: vacuum
19 Instrumental delivery: forceps
20 Hemorrhage - severe bleeding
21 Elective cesarean section
22 Eclampsia
23 Acute cesarean section
24 Premature birth before 37 weeks` pregnancy
25 Premature birth before 34 weeks` pregnancy
26 Premature birth before 30 weeks` pregnancy
27 other
28 I had no medical complications during birth
29
30
31
32
33
34

35 • If other, describe short what, And/or why cesarean section:
36
37

38
39 • **Did you experience any of the following complications related to**
40 **YOURSELF after last birth? ***
41
42

- 43 I had anemia, 9 g/dl or less
44 I had Infection treated with antibiotics
45 Eclampsia
46 Perineal tears that caused much pain
47 Perineal tears causing infection and fever
48 Perineal tears that caused incontinence of faeces
49 Problems with breasts causing problems with breastfeeding
50 I had painful infection or problems with my breasts
51 Feeling so unhappy that I for days cried most of the time
52 Feeling so sad that harming myself sometimes occurred to me
53 other
54 No I had no complications after last birth
55
56
57
58
59

60 • If other explain in few words

1
2
3
4
5
6 • **Mark if your CHILD have any of the following complications after last birth? ***
7
8
9

10 You can choose more than one alternative:

- 11 My child was transferred to intensive care after birth
12 My child had problems breathing that needed treatment
13 My child had problem sucking the breast
14 My child had jaundice that needed treatment
15 My child got infection treated with antibiotics
16 My child re-hospitalized after going home
17 My child had problems gaining weight
18 Other
19 My child had no complications

20 • If other, explain in few words:

21
22
23
24
25
26

27
28
29 • **Duration of breastfeeding your last child ***
30

- 31 I never breastfed my last child
32 I still breastfeed my child, without giving additional food/milk
33 I still breastfeed daily and also give additional food/milk
34 I stopped breastfeeding

35
36
37 • If you stopped breastfeeding, how many weeks did you breastfed your last child without giving additional
38 food.

39
40

41
42
43 • **How often did you meet the same healthprovider from the Governmental
44 clinic during the whole period of pregnancy, birth and postnatal
45 period? ***
46

- 47 Two times
48 Three times
49 Four times
50 Five times
51 Six times
52 Seven times
53 Eight times
54 Nine times
55 More than nine times
56
57
58
59
60

I met different people each time

- **If you met the same Governmental health provider more than once, please explain: ***

- I met the health provider from pregnancy during labour
- I met the health provider from pregnancy in postnatal ward at hospital
- I met the health provider from pregnancy postnatal home visit
- The person I met most times was the nurse
- The person I met most times was the Midwife
- The person I met most times was the doctor
- I don't know the profession of the person I met most times

- **If you used the Governmental service less than four times during pregnancy, why?**

- No female doctor
- No midwife
- No regularity
- No ultrasound
- Bad quality
- Complicated to reach the clinic
- I don't know
- Other

- If other, explain shortly:

Your satisfaction of care during pregnancy

Describe at what degree you were satisfied with the care you received from the Governmental clinic during pregnancy by choosing between 1 meaning that you totally disagree and 7 totally agree in the following statements:

1 **Totally disagree** 2 3 4 5 6 7 **Totally agree**

At my pregnancy check-ups I was always asked whether I had any questions

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

	1 Totally disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 Totally agree
The midwives/nurses always kept me informed about what was happening related to my pregnancy	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The doctor always kept me informed about what was happening related to my pregnancy	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I was always given an active say in decisions about my care in pregnancy	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I always felt my worries, anxieties or concerns about the pregnancy and the baby were taken seriously by the midwives/nurses	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I always felt my worries, anxieties or concerns about the pregnancy and the baby were taken seriously by the doctors	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
At my check-ups the midwives/nurses often seemed rushed and busy	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
At my check-ups the doctors often seemed rushed and busy	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Care in pregnancy was provided in a competent way	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I was happy with the emotional support I received in in	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	Totally						Totally
	disagree						agree
pregnancy from midwives/nurses							
I was happy with the emotional support I received in pregnancy from doctors	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I was happy with the physical care I received in pregnancy from midwives/nurses	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I was happy with the physical care I received in pregnancy from doctors	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
My privacy was very well respected and taken care of from midwives/nurses	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I was afraid that I would have problems to reach pregnancy care because of occupation soldiers or settlers	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Describe your overall satisfaction with the care you received during last pregnancy at the MOH clinic (1 is very bad and 7 in very good)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Your satisfaction of care during birth

• **Where did you give birth? ***

- Governmental hospital

- Private hospital
- UNRWA hospital
- PRCS hospital
- Israeli hospital
- Under transportation (car)
- Ambulance
- Other

• If other, where?

Describe at what degree you were satisfied with the care you received at hospital during labour and birth by choosing between 1 meaning that you totally disagree and 7 totally agree in the following statements:

	1 I totally disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 I totally agree
The midwives always kept me informed about what was happening during birth	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The doctors always kept me informed about what was happening during birth	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I was always given an active say in decisions about my care during labour and birth	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The midwives were encouraging	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The doctors were encouraging	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The midwives provided reassurance if I needed it	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The doctors provided reassurance if I needed it	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

	1 I totally disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 I totally agree
I felt nobody really cared for me during labour and birth	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I was happy with the emotional support I received from the midwives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I was happy with the emotional support I received from the doctors	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
	1 I totally disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 I totally agree
Care during labour and birth was provided in a professional way	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I wish someone from my family could accompany me during labour and birth	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
My privacy was well respected during labour and birth	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I felt badly treated by the midwives during labour and birth	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I felt badly treated by the doctors during labour and birth	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
When labour started I was afraid that I would not reach hospital because of the military checkpoints and occupation soldiers or settlers	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 | totally disagree 2 3 4 5 6 7 | totally agree

Overall, how would you describe the care you received in labour and birth (1 very poor, 7 very good)

Your satisfaction with the care you received after birth

- How many hours did you spend in hospital after your last birth? *
- What was the birth-weight of your last child? *

Describe at what degree you were satisfied with the care you received after birth in the hospital choosing between 1 meaning you totally disagree and 7 totally agree in the following statements:

1 | Totally disagree 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Totally agree

I was given the advice I needed with breastfeeding at hospital

I was given the advice I needed about how to handle, settle or look after my baby in the hospital

I was given the advice I needed about any problems with the baby's health and progress in the hospital

I was given the advice I needed in hospital about my

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

	1 Totally disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 Totally agree
own health and recovery in after birth							
Care after birth in hospital was provided in a competent way	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Midwives in hospital were supportive after birth	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Doctors in hospital were supportive after birth	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I was happy by the emotional support from midwives after birth in hospital	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
My privacy was taken good care of at the hospital after birth	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Overall, how would you describe the care you received in hospital after birth (1 is very poor and 7 is very good)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

• **From where did you receive care for yourself and your baby after leaving hospital? ***

You can choose more than one alternative:

- Governmental clinic
- Governmental home-visit
- UNRWA clinic
- Private doctor
- NGO clinic
- Only family cared for me, the baby got vaccination
- No one cared for me, they only cared for the baby
- Home-visit from UNRWA/NGO

Other

- If other, from whom did you receive care?

• **Who did the home-visit after birth? ***

- My midwife from pregnancy care
- The nurse from the clinic
- The doctor
- My midwife from pregnancy and the nurse from the clinic
- Other
- I had no home visit

- If other, who did the home visit?

- How many home visits did you receive?

- How many days after birth did you receive home visit?

If you received home visit after birth:

Describe at what degree you were satisfied with the care you received after birth in your home choose between 1 meaning you totally disagree and 7 totally agree in the following statements:

Dette elementet vises dersom et av følgende alternativer er valgt på spørsmål «From where did you receive care for yourself and your baby after leaving hospital?»: Governmental homevisit

1 Totally disagree 2 3 4 5 6 **7 Totally agree**

During the home visit the midwife/nurse gave me the advice I needed with breastfeeding

During home visit I was given the advice I needed to handle and look after my baby

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	Totally disagree						Totally agree
During the home visit I was given the advice I needed to look after my own health and recovery after birth	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I got enough time to ask all the questions I had during home visit	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I receive helpful information about family planning during the home visit	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I was happy for the emotional support I received from the midwife/nurse during home visit	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Overall, how would you describe the care you received for yourself at home visit (1 means very bad and 7 means very good)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Overall, how would you describe the care your baby received at home visit (1 means very bad and 7 means very good)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
					yes	no	I don't know
If you did not receive home visit after birth, would you like to have had the possibility				<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	

Describe at what degree you were satisfied with the care you received after birth in the Governmental clinic, choose between 1 meaning you totally disagree and 7 totally agree in the following statements:

	1 Totally disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 Totally agree
I was given the advice I needed at the clinic about how to handle, settle or look after my baby	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
At the clinic I was given the advice I needed about any problems with the baby's health and progress	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
At the clinic I was given the advice I needed about my own health and recovery after the birth	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
At the clinic, the nurse only had time to vaccinate the baby, no time for individual information	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
My privacy was taken good care of at the clinic	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I was happy for emotional support I received at the clinic after birth	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I received good advice regarding family planning and contraceptives at the clinic	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Overall, how would you describe the care your baby received at the clinic after birth	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 Totally disagree 2 3 4 5 6 **7 Totally agree**

(1 is very bad and 7 is very good)

Overall, how would you describe the care you received for yourself at the clinic after birth (1 is very bad and 7 is very good)

1 Very bad 2 3 4 5 6 **7 Very good**

Overall how satisfied were you with all care after birth that you received from Government services on a scale from 1 (Very bad) to 7 (very good)?

1 Very bad 2 3 4 5 6 **7 Very good**

Overall how satisfied were you with the total Governmental services on a scale from 1 (very bad) to 7 (very good)

- Do you have any recommendations to improve the Governmental service?

Thank you very much for your participation, your answers will guide us to develop the future services.

Nettskjema v81.1

Supplementary file 2 Original Likert scales
Satisfaction with care

	Midwife-led care	Regular care	Adj. Mean difference	95%CI	adj.p value
Satisfaction with care during pregnancy					
At my pregnancy check-ups I was always asked whether I had any questions	5.61(1.54)	4.55(2.19)	1.06	0.54 to 1.59	<0.001
The midwives/nurses always kept me informed about what was happening related to my pregnancy	6.10(1.24)	5.53(1.77)	0.54	0.12 to 0.95	0.014
The doctor always kept me informed about what was happening related to my pregnancy	5.13(1.67)	5.06(1.90)	-0.004	-0.52 to 0.48	0.982
I was always given an active say in decisions about my care in pregnancy	4.40(1.84)	4.31(2.06)	0.08	-0.45 to 0.65	0.768
I always felt my worries, anxieties or concerns about the pregnancy and the baby were taken seriously by the midwives/nurses	5.90(1.44)	5.57(1.59)	0.34	-0.10 to 0.76	0.123
I always felt my worries, anxieties or concerns about the pregnancy and the baby were taken seriously by the doctors	5.36(1.69)	5.15(1.87)	0.20	-0.34 to 0.69	0.461
At my check-ups the midwives/nurses often seemed rushed and busy	1.30(1.02)	2.18(1.89)	-0.88	-1.32 to -0.47	<0.001
At my check-ups the doctors often seemed rushed and busy	2.03(1.90)	2.38(2.10)	-0.33	-0.90 to 0.25	0.246
Care in pregnancy was provided in a competent way	5.24(1.33)	5.42(1.49)	-0.19	-0.58 to 0.21	0.336
I was happy with the emotional support I received in in pregnancy from midwives/nurses	6.11(1.20)	5.19(1.84)	0.92	0.46 to 1.33	<0.001
I was happy with the emotional support I received in in pregnancy from doctors	5.22(1.64)	4.76(2.1)	0.40	-0.17 to 0.93	0.154
I was happy with the physical care I received in pregnancy from midwives/nurses	5.98(1.30)	5.72(1.77)	0.26	-0.17 to 0.67	0.234
I was happy with the physical care I received in pregnancy from doctors	5.45(1.74)	5.36(2.01)	0.03	-0.56 to 0.53	0.906

1						
2						
3						
4	My privacy was very well respected and taken	6,58(0.89)	6.43(1.01)	0.26	-0.17 to	0.234
5	care of from midwives/nurses				0.67	
6						
7	I was afraid that I would have problems to reach	1.03(0,30)	1.14(0,87)	-0.10	-0.31 to	0.275
8	pregnancy care because of occupation soldiers or				0.06	
9	settlers					
10						
11	Describe your overall satisfaction with the care	5.57	5.38	0.16	-0.18 to	0.335
12	you received during last pregnancy at the MOH				0.46	
13	clinic					
14						
15	Satisfaction with care during labour and birth					
16						
17	The midwives always kept me informed about	5.29(1.89)	4.84(2.04)	0.62	0.06 to	0.030
18	what was happening during labour and birth				1.18	
19						
20						
21	The doctors always kept me informed about what	4.60(1.93)	4.29(1.89)	0.52	-0.09 to	0.099
22	was happening during labour and birth				1.10	
23						
24						
25	I was always given an active say in decisions	3.91(2.05)	3.8(2.24)	0.49	-0.11 to	0.103
26	about my care during labour and birth				1.07	
27						
28						
29	The midwives were encouraging	5.27(1.99)	4.94(1.14)	0.56	-0.05 to	0.067
30					1.15	
31						
32						
33	The doctors were encouraging	4.70(2.02)	4.44(2.35)	0.46	-0.18 to	0.166
34					1.12	
35						
36						
37	The midwives provided reassurance if I needed it	5.41(2.13)	4.85(2.12)	0.79	0.19 to	0.010
38					1.39	
39						
40						
41	The doctors provided reassurance if I needed it	4.79(2.18)	4.32(2.36)	0.73	0.10 to	0.027
42					1.37	
43						
44	I felt nobody really cared for me during labour	2.51(2.24)	2.54(2.22)	-0.29	-0.93 to	0.363
45	and birth				0.33	
46						
47						
48	I was happy with the emotional support I	5.19(2.14)	4.67(2.22)	0.79	0.18 to	0.013
49	received from the midwives				1.39	
50						
51						
52	I was happy with the emotional support I	4.52(2.08)	4.32(2.36)	0.47	-0.17 to	0.158
53	received from the doctors				1.11	
54						
55						
56	Care during labour and birth was provided in a	4.72(1.85)	4.83(1.94)	0.10	-0.43 to	0.704
57	professional way				0.64	
58						
59	I wish someone from my family could accompany	6.05(1.82)	5.99(2.19)	0.03	-0.56 to	0.914
60	me during labour and birth				0.64	

My privacy was well respected during labour and birth	6.00(1.49)	5.23(1.96)	1.00	0.52 to 1.50	<0.001
I felt abused from the midwives during labour and birth	1.55(1.55)	1.91(1.89)	-0.56	-1.08 to -0.07	0.031
I felt abused from the doctors during labour and birth	1.51(1.47)	1.68(1.72)	-0.33	-0.85 to 0.13	0.168
When labour started I was afraid that I would not reach hospital because of the military checkpoints and occupation soldiers or settlers	1.36(1.36)	2.24(2.15)	-0.79	-1.34 to -0.24	0.008
Overall, how would you describe the care you received in labour and birth (1 very poor, 7 very good)	5.14(1.53)	4.88(1.75)	0.51	0.06 to 0.98	0.028
Satisfaction during postnatal hospital stay					
I was given the advice I needed with breast feeding at hospital	4.48(2.24)	3.19(2.30)	1.35	0.69 to 2.19	<0.001
I was given the advice I needed about how to handle, settle or look after my baby in the hospital	4.28(2.19)	2.68(2.27)	1.68	1.03 to 2.43	<0.001
I was given the advice I needed about any problems with the baby's health and progress in the hospital	4.45(2.24)	2.83(2.29)	1.72	1.02 to 2.53	<0.001
I was given the advice I needed in hospital about my own health and recovery in after birth	4.37(2.33)	3.03(2.20)	1.42	0.78 to 2.11	<0.001
Care after birth in hospital was provided in a competent way	4.81(1.87)	3.69(1.99)	1.20	0.61 to 1.88	<0.001
Midwives in hospital were supportive after birth	5.48(1.85)	4.05(2.12)	1.52	0.92 to 2.17	<0.001
Doctors in hospital were supportive after birth	4.70(1.87)	3.25(2.30)	1.53	0.90 to 2.26	<0.001
I was happy by the emotional support from midwives after birth in hospital	5.42(1.95)	3.68(2.16)	1.81	1.19 to 2.47	<0.001
My privacy was taken good care of at the hospital after birth	6.21(1.16)	4.89(2.03)	1.38	0.89 to 1.99	<0.001

Overall, how would you describe the care you received in hospital after birth (1 is very poor and 7 is very good)

5.01(1.52) 4.1(1.85) 0.98 0.49 to 1.57 <0.001

Satisfaction with care received from Governmental clinic after birth

I was given the advice I needed at the clinic about how to handle, settle or look after my baby

4.83(1.84) 4.37(2.21) 0.49 -0.10 to 1.04 0.097

At the clinic I was given the advice I needed about any problems with the baby's health and progress

5.06(1.58) 4.61(2.04) 0.49 -0.03 to 1.05 0.060

At the clinic I was given the advice I needed about my own health and recovery after the birth

4.38(2.00) 4.03(2.27) 0.35 -0.25 to 0.94 0.244

At the clinic the nurse only had time to vaccinate the baby, no time for individual information

2.54(2.07) 2.10(1.93) 0.83 -0.18 to 0.90 0.185

My privacy was taken good care of at the clinic

5.98(1.12) 6.03(1.14) -0.04 -0.38 to 0.32 0.803

I was happy for emotional support I received at the clinic after birth

4.95(1.83) 5.09(1.72) -0.12 -0.63 to 0.37 0.641

I received good advice regarding family planning and contraceptives at the clinic

4.51(2.05) 3.74(2.21) 0.76 0.18 to 1.32 0.012

Overall, how would you describe the care your baby received at the clinic after birth (1 is very bad and 7 is very good)

5.43(1.2) 5.80(1.01) -0.34 -0.67 to -0.02 0.032

Overall, how would you describe the care you received for yourself at the clinic after birth (1 is very bad and 7 is very good)

4.61(1.44) 4.79(1.15) -0.17 -0.60 to 0.24 0.447

Overall how satisfied were you with all care after birth that you received from Government services

4.79(1.15) 4.93(1.14) -0.12 -0.46 to 0.19 0.460

Overall how satisfied were you with the total Governmental services on a scale from 1 (very bad) to 7 (very good)

5.04(1.35) 4.88(1.15) 0.16 -0.19 to 0.51 0.366

Satisfaction during postnatal home visit

During the home visit the midwife/nurse gave me the advice I needed with breastfeeding

5.91(1.42)

During home visit I was given the advice I needed to handle and look after my baby

5.63(1.57)

During the home visit I was given the advice I needed to look after my own health and recovery after birth

6.01(1.54)

1		
2		
3		
4	I got enough time to ask all the questions I had during home visit	5.51(1.37)
5		
6	I receive helpful information about family planning during the home visit	5.26(2.04)
7		
8		
9	I was happy for the emotional support I received from the midwife/nurse during home visit	6.50(0.87)
10		
11	Overall, how would you describe the care you received for yourself at home visit (1 means very bad and 7 means very good)	6.05(0.98)
12		
13	Overall, how would you describe the care your baby received at home visit (1 means very bad and 7 means very good)	5.83(1.18)
14		
15		

For peer review only

STROBE 2007 (v4) Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of case-control studies

Section/Topic	Item #	Recommendation	Reported on page #
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study’s design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	3
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	3
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	4 & 5
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	6
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	3 & 6
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	6,7 & 8
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls	7
		(b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case	
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	8
Data sources/measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	7 & 8
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	7
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	6
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	8
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	8 & 9
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	8
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	-
		(d) If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed	-
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	-
Results			

Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage (c) Consider use of a flow diagram	9 & 10 - -
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders (b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	9 & 10 9 & 10
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure	
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	11 & 12 - -
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	12 & 13
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	14 & 15
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	16
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	15 & 16
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	16 & 17
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	17

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at <http://www.plosmedicine.org/>, Annals of Internal Medicine at <http://www.annals.org/>, and Epidemiology at <http://www.epidem.com/>). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

BMJ Open

WOMEN'S SATISFACTION WITH MIDWIFE-LED CONTINUITY OF CARE – AN OBSERVATIONAL STUDY IN PALESTINE

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2019-030324.R1
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	08-Jul-2019
Complete List of Authors:	Mortensen, Berit; Oslo University Hospital The Intervention Centre; University of Oslo, Faculty of Medicine Diep, Lien; University of Oslo, Oslo Centre for Biostatistics and Epidemiology Lukasse, Mirjam; Oslo Metropolitan University, Faculty of Health Sciences; University of Southeast Norway, Oslo, Norway, Faculty of Health and Social Sciences Lieng, Marit; Oslo University Hospital, Ullevål, Department of Obstetrics; Oslo University, Faculty of Medicine Dwekat, Ibtesam; Al Quds University, Faculty of Health Professions Elias, Dalia; Bethlehem University, Faculty of Nursing and Health Sciences Fosse, Erik; Oslo University Hospital The Intervention Centre; Oslo University, Faculty of Medicine
Primary Subject Heading:	Obstetrics and gynaecology
Secondary Subject Heading:	Sexual health, Global health, Health services research, Patient-centred medicine, Public health
Keywords:	Case-load Midwifery, Satisfaction with care, Experience, Continuity with care, Maternal medicine < OBSTETRICS, Developing country

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3 **Title page**
4
5
6

7 **WOMEN'S SATISFACTION WITH MIDWIFE-LED CONTINUITY OF CARE –**
8 **AN OBSERVATIONAL STUDY IN PALESTINE**
9

10 *Berit Mortensen MSc^{a,b}, Lien My Diep MSc^c, Mirjam Lukasse PhD^{d,e}, Marit Lieng PhD^{b,g},*
11 *Ibtesam Dwekat MS^h, Dalia Elias MScⁱ, Erik Fosse PhD^{a,b}*
12
13

14
15 ^a The Intervention Centre, Rikshospitalet, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway, ^b Institute
16 for Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway, ^c Oslo Centre
17 for Biostatistics and Epidemiology, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway, ^d Faculty of
18 Health Sciences, Oslo Metropolitan University, Oslo, Norway, ^e Faculty of Health and Social
19 Sciences, University of Southeast Norway, Oslo, Norway, ^g Department of Gynaecology, Oslo
20 University Hospital, Oslo, Norway, ^h Faculty of Health Professions, Al Quds University,
21 Jerusalem, Palestine, ⁱ Faculty of Nursing and Health Sciences, Bethlehem University,
22 Bethlehem, Palestine.
23
24
25
26
27
28

29
30 Corresponding author: Berit Mortensen, Oslo University Hospital, Rikshospitalet, The
31 Intervention Centre, Sognsvannsveien 20, 0372 Oslo, e-mail: beritmor@me.com phone
32 number: 0047-93266113
33
34
35

36
37 **Word count** Abstract: 299 words, Article 4203 words (4520 words including
38 acknowledgements and required statements)
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

ABSTRACT

Objectives: A midwife-led continuity model of care had been implemented in the Palestinian governmental health system to improve maternal services in several rural areas. This study investigated if the model influenced women's satisfaction with care, during antenatal-, intrapartum- and postnatal period.

Design: An observational case-control design was used to compare the midwife-led continuity model of care with regular maternity care.

Participants and setting: Women with singleton pregnancies, who had registered for antenatal care at a rural governmental clinic in the West Bank, were between one to six months after birth invited to answer a questionnaire rating satisfaction with care in 7-point Likert scales.

Primary outcome was the mean sum-score of satisfaction with care through the continuum of antenatal, intrapartum and postnatal period, where mean sum-scores range from 1 (lowest) to 7 (highest). Secondary outcome was exclusive breastfeeding.

Results: Two hundred women answered the questionnaire, one hundred who received the midwife-led model and one hundred who received regular care. The median timepoint of interview were 16 weeks postpartum in both groups. The midwife-led model was associated with a statistically significant higher satisfaction with care during antenatal, intrapartum and postnatal period, with a mean sum-score of 5.2, versus 4.8 in the group receiving regular care. The adjusted mean difference between the groups' sum-score of satisfaction with care was 0.6 (95% CI 0.35 to 0.85) $p < 0.0001$. A statistically significant higher proportion of women who received the midwife-led continuity model of care were still exclusively breastfeeding at the timepoint of interview, 67% versus 46% in the group receiving regular care, an adjusted odds ratio of 2.56 (1.35 – 4.89) $p = 0.004$.

Conclusions: There is an association between receiving midwife-led continuity of care and increased satisfaction with care through the continuum of pregnancy, intrapartum and postpartum period, and an increased duration of exclusive breastfeeding.

Trial registration number NCT03863600

Key words: Case-load Midwifery, Satisfaction with care, Experience, Continuity of care, Maternal care, Developing country

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

- The study adds new information from a low-middle income country to existing evidence on midwife-led continuity of care
- The study's complete data obtained from face to face interviews brings information on satisfaction with care from a marginalized group of women
- The study investigated to what extent a pragmatic implementation could improve continuity with care in a low resource setting
- The main limitation of this study is the observational, retrospective design comparing groups with potential unmeasured confounders.
- Not knowing the woman's village of origin and in which governmental hospital the women gave birth, could represent potential bias. However, the women in both groups represented a quite similar rural population from villages in different regions in the West Bank.

BACKGROUND

Yearly, more than 300 000 women die from preventable causes related to pregnancy and childbirth, and 99% of them are from low-and middle-income countries¹ It is estimated that in the shadow of each maternal death, between 50 and 100 women suffer severe maternal morbidity.^{1,2} A new-born child's prospects of survival, good health, and wellbeing is closely linked to their mother's survival, health and wellbeing.² Several studies investigating disrespectful and abusive treatment of women in maternity care, suggest this may explain why many women choose not to use available services.^{3,4} In a literature review from developing countries in 2015, Srivastava *et al.* investigated what determines women's satisfaction with maternal health care.⁵ They found that being treated respectfully, in terms of courtesy and non-abuse, irrespective of socio-cultural or economic context, is especially important to women.⁵ Interpersonal behaviour was the most prominent reported determinant of maternal satisfaction, more than structural factors as cleanliness and physical environment.⁵ Around the world women seek dignity, empathy and respect while obtaining maternal care and women's experience with disrespectful care and abuse in health care has been investigated in both low-and high-income settings.^{4,6} Based on the research evidence, the World Health Organization (WHO) has recommended interventions that scales up midwifery and facilitate continuity with care to enhance respectful relations in maternal care.^{1,7-11}

1
2
3 Midwife-led continuity of care described in the literature, can be organized as *case-load-* or
4 *team-midwifery* models.¹² In the case-load model one designated midwife cares for a group of
5 up to 45 women, while in team-midwifery four to six midwives share the care of a group of
6 up to 360 women. In both models, women are followed up through the continuum of
7 pregnancy, intrapartum- and postnatal period. The case-load model facilitates an individual
8 relationship between the woman and her midwife. Ideally, in both models, women will be
9 cared for during labour by a midwife they know from antenatal care.^{7,12} A Cochrane review
10 on continuity of midwifery care models, conducted by Sandal *et al.* in 2016, reported
11 improved health outcomes for women and babies. Several studies in the review also confirm
12 satisfaction with midwife-led continuity models of care, but the studies lacked consistency in
13 how satisfaction with continuity of care was measured.⁸ Perriman and Davis identified in a
14 systematic integrative review from 2015, four suitable instruments to measure satisfaction
15 with continuity of care through the continuum of pregnancy, birth and the early postpartum
16 period.¹³

27 **Palestinian context**

28
29
30 According to Ministry of Health's 2016 report there were 208 midwives employed at the
31 West Bank's governmental hospitals covering 36 050 births and care in postnatal wards.
32
33 Palestinian midwives worked in an overcrowded, understaffed and fragmented governmental
34 maternity care system.^{14,15} Midwives scope of practice within the governmental system was
35 limited to labour and postnatal care in hospitals. If midwives provided antenatal care, they
36 were in an assisting role.¹⁵ In such environment it was challenging to establish good relations
37 and to meet each woman's individual needs. In a study from 2006, Giacaman *et al.* identified
38 that Palestinian women were not satisfied with the place they gave birth, and that their choice
39 were constrained by availability, affordability and limited access due to Israeli military
40 closures and sieges.¹⁶ To address the challenge faced by Palestinian women living under
41 Israeli occupation in rural areas in the West Bank, the Palestinian Ministry of Health
42 implemented a modified midwife-led case-load model of care, in cooperation with a
43 Norwegian humanitarian organization, The Norwegian Aid Committee (NORWAC). The
44 model was implemented between 2013 to 2016 in six governmental hospitals from where
45 midwives provided outreaching antenatal and postnatal care in 37 rural villages. The
46 implementation was associated with increased number of antenatal visits, number of detected
47 pregnancy complications referred to higher level of care, and number of postnatal home-
48 visits.¹⁷ It was further associated with reduced unplanned caesarean sections and induced
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 labour, and improved important maternal and neonatal outcomes.¹⁸ When the midwife-led
4 model was tested in the region of Ramallah between 2007 and 2011, the midwives described
5 in a qualitative study, how the model enabled them to provide personalized care related to the
6 individual woman's needs and how the broad scope of practice gave them new and important
7 experience and knowledge.¹⁹
8
9

10
11
12 The aim of this study was to investigate if and how a modified case load midwife-led
13 continuity model of care, in the governmental system in Palestine, influenced rural women's
14 satisfaction with care, through the continuum of antenatal, intrapartum and postnatal period. A
15 secondary aim was to explore the association between the model and duration of exclusive
16 breastfeeding.
17
18
19
20

21 **METHODS**

22 **Study design**

23
24
25 An observational case-control design was used to compare satisfaction with care. The cases
26 were women who had received the midwife-led continuity model and controls were women
27 who had received regular maternity care, through the continuum of antenatal, intrapartum and
28 postnatal period. Common inclusion criteria for cases and controls were having a singleton
29 pregnancy, having registered for antenatal care at a rural governmental clinic in the West
30 Bank in the regions where the midwife-led model of care had been implemented, and having
31 given birth between the last one to six months.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 **Power and sample size**

41
42 The power calculations were based on the results from a recent study in Australia, as we
43 found no available studies on satisfaction with midwife-led continuity models of care in low –
44 middle income countries.²⁰ A sample of 164 to 186 (82 to 93 in each group) was required to
45 detect a difference of 20% between the control and intervention group's proportions of
46 satisfaction, given a significance level of 0.05 and 80% power. Considering the novel context,
47 we decided to collect answers from two-hundred women, 100 in each group, to assure enough
48 power.
49
50
51
52
53

54 **Models of care**

55
56
57 The midwife-led continuity of care model, modified to the Palestinian setting, implies that
58 midwives who work in governmental hospitals was assigned to weekly visits to rural areas.
59
60

1
2
3 Midwives drove from their base at their governmental hospitals in designated marked cars, to
4 provide antenatal care in rural clinics and postnatal home-visits. Each midwife visited the
5 same area and clinic each week, thereby following up the same case-load of between 30 to
6 100 women to enhance relational continuity. The midwife from the regional hospital had an
7 autonomous role and relieved the regular nurses and doctors at the rural governmental clinics
8 from antenatal care. She involved physicians when needed and referred to higher level of care
9 when complications occurred. The obligation to work full time and the heavy workload at the
10 hospital prevented the midwives from being on call to attend labour and birth, as such the
11 women were not assured having a known midwife during labour. A more detailed framework
12 of the model is described elsewhere.^{17,18}

21 Regular maternal care for women living in rural villages was offered from the governmental
22 clinics and/or private medical doctors. Around 70% of the rural women registered for
23 antenatal care in governmental clinics, where regular care providers were nurses or midwives
24 and medical doctors.¹⁷ Besides maternal care, governmental providers in regular care were
25 also responsible for general patient treatment, vaccinations and minor emergency cases. The
26 nurse or midwife in regular care would assist the physician by doing necessary tests, before
27 the pregnant woman consulted the physician. Physicians alternated between clinics, while
28 nurses were mainly permanent staff. Healthcare providers in community clinics offering
29 regular care had no working relation to the hospitals. Women receiving private antenatal care
30 could potentially meet their doctor if they gave birth at a private hospital.

39 **Participants and data-collection**

41 Women were asked to participate when they came with their child for vaccination at the same
42 governmental clinic where they received antenatal care. Two midwives, who were not
43 working with governmental primary health care, nor in the midwife-led continuity model,
44 were trained in data collection. The research midwives travelled to rural villages scattered in
45 different regions of the West Bank, that either offered the midwife-led continuity model or
46 regular care. They invited eligible women to participate after providing them an information
47 and consent form in Arabic, explaining the study. Women were assured anonymity if they
48 participated, and that they would not be affected negatively if they did not accept to
49 participate. To assure anonymity, the women were informed that neither their identity, village,
50 clinic, nor birth facility could be traced. Their consent was given orally by accepting to
51 answer the questionnaire by an interview. The research midwives collected the data in the
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 women's homes or in a private place in the clinic. Each woman was given an Arabic version
4 of the questionnaire. The research midwife then filled the questionnaire forms while
5 interviewing the women to assure they understood the questions. The research midwives
6 tested how long time the interviews took and how to approach the women, by conducting five
7 test-interviews each before starting the data-collection. These interviews did not result in
8 adjustments of the questionnaires and were not included in the study. The interview was
9 estimated to take 30 minutes. The research midwives transferred the women's responses to
10 the University of Oslo via the web-form, "nettskjema.no".
11
12
13
14
15
16

17 **The questionnaire**

18
19
20 The questionnaire (supplementary file1) was based on previous studies measuring satisfaction
21 with midwife-led continuity and evaluated as suitable for this purpose.^{20,21,13} The
22 questionnaire included 62 questions measuring women's satisfaction with antenatal,
23 intrapartum and postpartum care using a 7-point Likert scale, where usually 1 signified
24 "disagree strongly" and 7 signified "agree strongly". Women were further asked to what
25 extent they received care during intrapartum and postpartum period from the provider they
26 knew from antenatal care, and they were asked about their breastfeeding practice. The
27 participants were invited to add recommendations to improve governmental services, in an
28 open text section in the questionnaire. The content of the final questionnaire was tested for
29 contextual and cultural sensitivity with a group of five Palestinian midwives. After minor
30 adjustments the questionnaire was translated to Arabic by a professional translator, retested
31 and adjusted for accuracy.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 **Outcomes**

42
43
44 Primary outcome was the mean sum-score of satisfaction with care through the continuum of
45 antenatal, intrapartum and postpartum period. Secondary outcomes were satisfaction with care
46 related to the different episodes of care, and proportion of women that still practiced exclusive
47 breastfeeding at timepoint of interview. Grade of continuity was measured by number of
48 women who received care from their antenatal midwife during labour, at postnatal hospital
49 ward and/or at home-visits.
50
51
52
53
54

55 **Statistical analysis**

1
2
3 Difference in characteristics between the intervention and control groups were analysed
4 by two independent samples t tests, Mann-Whitney U tests, chi-squared or Fisher's exact
5 tests, as appropriate.
6
7

8
9 The Likert scale ordinal variables were highly skewed and first analysed by conducting
10 ordinal regression because this method had been used in previous studies using similar Likert
11 scales.¹⁹ After fitting the ordinal regression, the proportional odds assumption was inspected
12 by a Brant test, using brant command in Stata/SE, version 14. Results from the test showed
13 that proportional odds assumption was violated for several ordinal outcomes.
14
15
16

17
18 Therefore, we summarized the answers, and the groups' mean sum-scores of satisfaction were
19 compared by bootstrapping linear regression. The primary outcome, mean sum-score of
20 satisfaction through the continuum of antenatal, intrapartum and postnatal care, included 53
21 different questions of satisfaction. Negative questions, such as: *I felt that nobody really cared*
22 *for me during labour and birth*, were turned positive so that satisfaction could be interpreted
23 equally in all questions and the mean sum-scores thereby read as 1 (lowest) and 7 (highest).
24
25

26
27 One question from the antenatal period was not included, as it investigated if occupation
28 soldiers or settlers limited women's access to the clinic and not satisfaction with care. Neither
29 were eight questions involving satisfaction with care during home-visits, as it only applied to
30 the group receiving the midwife-led model. The questions of satisfaction included in the mean
31 sum-score variables were assessed for internal consistency and Cronbach's Alpha was
32 between 0.90 and 0.95.
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 Factors which could influence the difference between groups were included for adjusting.
40 Adjusted bias-corrected and accelerated bootstrap estimates (BCa) with 95% confidence
41 intervals were given for non-normally distributed ordinal outcomes and based on 10000
42 bootstraps.
43
44
45

46 For breastfeeding practice as binary outcome, multiple logistic regression analyses were used
47 to test the difference between the groups and adjusting for possible confounding variables.
48
49

50 Significance level was set at 0.05. The analyses were performed with IBM SPSS 25.
51

52 **Patient and public involvement**

53
54 Participants were not directly involved in the planning of the study, but in testing the
55 feasibility of the questionnaire. The results will be disseminated in scientific publications, in
56 public media and in local and international conferences.
57
58
59
60

Ethical considerations

The Palestinian Ministry of Health approved the study and the research assistants' access to the health facilities, allowing them to contact women who had registered at the governmental clinic to ask them for consent to participate in the study. There was no research ethic committee established in the West Bank that could grant local ethical approval. Ethical approval for the study was granted from the Norwegian Regional Committee for Medical Health Research Ethics South East (REK) with id number: 2015/1235.

RESULTS

Participants characteristics

Between May 1st, 2017 to May 31st, 2018, 200 women from 20 villages answered the questionnaire, 100 who received the midwife-led continuity model and 100 who received regular care. There were 26 women who abstained from participating, of them 22 received regular care and 4 received midwife-led care. Groups characteristics, presented in table 1, were mainly homogenous. The time point of interview was median 16 weeks postpartum in both groups, with no statistically significant differences related to age, education, employment or parity. Less women who received the midwife-led model of care had parents living in the same village as themselves.

Table1 Participants characteristics

Characteristics	Midwife-led care (n=100)	Regular care (n=100)	p-value ****
Timepoint of interview/weeks since birth*	16.0 (11.0-18.8)	16.0 (8.0-22.8)	0.499
Age**	26.6 (5.6)	26.3 (5.6)	0.688
Age at marriage*	20.3 (18.0-22.0)	20.7 (18.0-22.8)	0.812
Age at first birth*	21.5 (19.0-23.0)	21.8 (19.3-23.0)	0.997
Nulliparous***	32	38	0.459
Multiparous***	68	62	0.459
Number of previous pregnancies*	2.0 (1.0-3.0)	2.0 (1.0-3.0)	0.125
Number of live born children*	2.0 (1.0-3.0)	2.0 (1.0-3.0)	0.104
Education level***			
Up to master's degree after high school	46	37	0.251
High school	54	63	0.251
Employment***			
Woman has employment (full- or part-time)	15	10	0.393
Woman not employed	85	90	0.393
Husband has regular employment	64	49	0.020
Husband employed now and then	32	50	0.014
Husband not employed	4	1	0.369
Social***			

Husband must live outside home to work	9	15	0.119
Women's parents live in same village	34	63	0.001
Not Smoking ***	94	86	0.097

n=number of women, no missing, *Median(IQR), **Mean(SD), *** % ****Mann-Whitney U tests, independent samples *t*- or chi-squared tests

Characteristics of obtained care

Women who received the midwife-led continuity model of care booked significantly earlier for antenatal care at the governmental clinic, reporting a gestational age of median 6.5 weeks, compared to median ten weeks gestation for the group who received regular care (table 2). The group receiving the midwife-led model of care had median nine antenatal visits, and only two women reported less than four visits, while the group receiving regular care had median six antenatal visits and 28 women reported having less than four visits at the governmental clinic. While 42% in the midwife-led group, received antenatal care exclusively from the governmental clinic, only 8% in the regular care group reported the same. Subsequently, women who had regular care received more additional care from private doctors and 33% gave birth at a private hospital, compare to only 11% of women who received the midwife-led care. There were no missing data except two women in the group receiving midwife-led care, who gave birth under transportation and therefore did not report satisfaction with intrapartum care. Only women who had received the midwife-led continuity model of care received home-visit after birth.

Table 2 Characteristics of obtained care

Characteristics	Midwife-led care (n=100)	Regular care (n=100)	p-value ***
Antenatal care (ANC)			
Gestation at booking visit*	6.5 (4.0-11.8)	10.0 (5.0-19.5)	0.003
Number of ANC visits at government clinic*	9.0 (8.0-10.0)	6.0 (3.0-9.0)	0.001
Less than 4 ANC visits at government clinic**	2	28	0.0001
Number of ANC visits with doctor at government clinic*	4.0 (3.0-5.0)	5.0(2.0-8.0)	0.066
Number of ANC visits at private doctor*	2.0 (0.0-3.0)	6.0 (3.0-10.0)	0.0001
ANC care only from governmental clinic**	42	8	0.0001
Referred once or more to high risk care**	36	22	0.004
Place of birth of last child**			0.035
Governmental hospital	87	67	0.0001
Private hospital	11	33	0.0001
Under transportation	2	0	
Hours spent at postnatal ward postpartum*	24.0 (18.0-24.0)	15.0 (8.5-24.0)	0.0001
Number receiving postnatal home-visits	76	0	0.0001

n=number of women, *Median(IQR), **% ***Mann-Whitney U or chi-squared tests

Satisfaction with care

The groups' mean sum-scores, including crude and adjusted mean differences in satisfaction with care, are given in table 3. For the primary outcome, a statistically significant higher satisfaction with care was observed in favour of the group receiving the midwife-led care, through the continuum of pregnancy, intrapartum and postnatal period, with a crude mean sum-score of 5.2 (SD 0.86) versus 4.8 (SD 0.96) in the group receiving regular care. The adjusted mean difference between the groups was 0.6 (95% CI 0.35 to 0.83) $p < 0.0001$. The statistically significant difference in favour of the midwife-led model persisted during the various periods of care. The adjusted mean difference in satisfaction with care during pregnancy was 0.4 (0.06 to 0.65) $p = 0.021$ and with care during labour and birth 0.5 (0.14 to 0.87) $p = 0.008$. The highest difference in satisfaction was with postpartum care, an adjusted mean difference of 0.8 (0.53 to 1.16) $p < 0.0001$. Adjusting for the number of women who had given birth in private hospitals, influenced, but did not significantly change the primary outcome. Neither did it change satisfaction with care during pregnancy or postnatal period. However, a significant higher proportion of women who received regular care gave birth in private hospitals and adjusting for this factor significantly changed the difference in satisfaction with intrapartum care in governmental hospitals, in favour of the midwife-led model. We did not adjust for age, parity, employment, time since birth, or if the parents lived in the same village, as we found no significant influence from these covariates in univariate analyses. The satisfaction with care during home-visits was generally high. However, it only applied to the group receiving the midwife-led continuity model of care. The detailed results in the full scales are presented in supplementary file 2 and shows which aspects of care that influenced the difference between the groups. This scale also reveal that both groups scored equally high in wishing that someone from their family could accompany them during birth.

Table 3 Satisfaction with antenatal, intrapartum and postpartum care

	Mean sum-scores**		Crude difference***	Adjusted difference***	
	Midwife-led care*	Regular care*	Mean (95%CI)	Adjusted mean(95%CI)	Adj. p-value
Primary outcome					
Satisfaction with all care through the whole continuum (53)	5.2 (0.86)	4.8 (0.96)	0.5(0.25 to 0.73)	0.6(0.37 to 0.81)	<0.0001
Descriptive outcomes					

Satisfaction with care from midwives/nurses during pregnancy (6)	6.2 (0.92)	5.7 (1.22)	0.6(0.25 to 0.84)	0.6(0.22 to 0.82)	<0.001
Satisfaction with pregnancy care from doctors (5)	5.4 (1.50)	5.2 (1.47)	0.2(-0.18 to 0.66)	0.2(-0.23 to 0.55)	0.351
Satisfaction with all care during pregnancy (15)	5.7 (0.99)	5.3 (1.19)	0.4(0.08 to 0.68)	0.4(0.06 to 0.64)	0.021
Satisfaction with midwives' care during labour and birth (5)	5.5 (1.75)	5.1 (1.79)	0.5(-0.04 to 0.93)	0.7(0.21 to 1.13)	0.008
Satisfaction with doctor's care during labour and birth (3)	5.0 (1.69)	4.7 (1.87)	0.3(-0.20 to 0.78)	0.5(0.06 to 0.95)	0.038
Satisfaction with all care during labour and birth (17)	5.1 (1.29)	4.7 (1.34)	0.3(-0.04 to 0.68)	0.5(0.18 to 0.83)	0.006
Satisfaction with care and advice related to baby after birth (5)	4.8 (1.23)	4.1 (1.44)	0.7(0.41 to 1.01)	0.8(0.44 to 1.21)	<0.0001
Satisfaction with care related to yourself after birth (9)	5.0 (1.07)	4.3 (1.1)	0.8(0.37 to 1.11)	0.8(0.44 to 1.08)	<0.0001
Satisfaction with all care after birth (21)	5.0 (1.04)	4.2 (1.14)	0.8(0.46 to 1.08)	0.8(0.50 to 1.19)	<0.0001

*100 women in each group, no missing except two women who gave birth under transportation in the group receiving midwife led care did not report satisfaction with care during labour and birth ** Mean(SD) sum-score is calculated from the 1-7 likert scale where 1 means very low satisfaction and 7 means very high ***BCa estimates with 95% confidence intervals, analysed by bootstrapping linear regression, adjusted for place of birth (private or governmental hospital), Number in brackets reflects the number of questions included in the sum-score.

Breastfeeding

As the interview was done at an approximately equal timepoint of median 16 weeks after birth in both groups we compared the proportion of women who were still breastfeeding. Most women were still breastfeeding at this timepoint, respectively 96% receiving midwife-led care and 88% receiving regular care (table 4). Of these a statistically significant higher rate of women receiving midwife-led care were still exclusively breastfeeding, 67% versus 46%. After adjusting for age, parity and number of weeks since birth the difference was still statistically significant with an adjusted odds ratio of 2.56 (95% CI 1.35 – 4.89) $p=0.004$. Only three women in the control group had never breastfed, and none in the midwife-led group.

Table 4 Breastfeeding practice

	Midwife-led care*	Regular care*	Difference between groups**		
			OR(95%CI)	Adj. OR(95%CI)	Adj. p-value
Still exclusively breastfeeding	67%	46%	2.38(1.34 to 4.23)	2.56(1.35 - 4.88)	0.004
Still breastfeeding (exclusively and partly)	96%	88%	3.27(1.02 to 10.52)	2.76(0.84 - 9.09)	0.096

Never breastfed	0	3%	0.246
-----------------	---	----	-------

*100 women answered, no missing ** Odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence intervals from binary logistic regression analysis, adjusted for age, parity and timepoint of interview/weeks since birth, regular care was set as reference

Continuity measures

Women who received regular care reported they often met the same provider during antenatal care, none in the control group reported they met the healthcare provider again during hospital or postnatal care. While investigating the midwife-led model's actual continuity with care from the same midwife through the continuum (table 5), we found that 23% of the women received care from their antenatal-midwife during labour, and 34% received care from her at the hospital's postnatal ward. Of the 100 women, 69% received home-visit from their antenatal-midwife, while 7% received home-visits from the nurse who they also knew from the clinic. As many as 17% met their antenatal-midwife through the whole continuum of antenatal, intrapartum and postnatal period, while 8% did not receive care from their antenatal-midwife elsewhere.

Table 5 Continuity measures (n=100)	%
Number who met their ANC-midwife during labour	23
Number who met their ANC-midwife at hospital's postnatal ward	34
Number who met their ANC-midwife at home-visit	69
Number who met their ANC-midwife through the whole continuum	17
Number who only met their midwife in ANC	8
Numbers of meetings with the same provider	8 (7-9)*

*n=number of women, only from the group receiving midwife led care, *median (IQR)*

Women's recommendations

Free text recommendations to improve governmental services were recorded from 101 women, 76 from the group receiving regular care and 24 from the group receiving midwife-led care. The recommendations were organized in 13 themes and coded in an excel sheet where their frequencies were calculated. The most prominent recommendation, expressed from 38 women were to allow bringing a companion to join them during labour and birth, 35 women recommended more human, respectful and sensitive care during labour and birth, while 24 women recommended to implement an appointment system for the antenatal visits.

DISCUSSION

1
2
3 Compared with regular care, the midwife-led model was associated with a higher sum-score
4 of satisfaction with care through the continuum of antenatal, intrapartum and postpartum
5 period. The highest satisfaction reported in both groups, were with care during pregnancy,
6 where the mean sum-score differed least. The difference between groups during pregnancy
7 was most prominent related to satisfaction with being involved and the emotional support
8 from the midwives. The general high satisfaction with pregnancy care could be explained by
9 that this period is less demanding and stressful for most women and recall bias might have
10 influenced.

11
12 Care during labour and birth was presented with the lowest satisfaction scores in both groups.
13 This is not surprising considering the overcrowded and understaffed environment in the
14 government hospitals labour wards, as previously described by other studies from
15 Palestine.^{15,16} Another important explanation could be the statement from a clear majority of
16 women in both groups: “I wish someone from my family could accompany me during labour
17 and birth”. The request of having a companion during labour was confirmed by the women’s
18 main recommendation. The value of a companion is important to improve birth outcomes and
19 improve women’s birth experiences.²² WHO recommends that health facilities gives every
20 woman the option to experience labour with a companion of her choice.²³ Nevertheless,
21 knowing a midwife at the labour ward seemed to influence the difference between the two
22 groups’ satisfaction with care during labour and birth, a difference that increased after
23 adjusting for the subgroup of women who gave birth in private hospitals. Interestingly, the
24 difference in satisfaction with care from doctors also increased to a significant level after this
25 adjustment. This suggests that the enhanced relation between the woman and her midwife also
26 seemed to reduce the alienation to doctors. An important contextual question revealed that
27 women receiving the midwife-led model were less afraid of being stopped at Israeli military
28 checkpoints on their way from the village to hospital. This reduced anxiety could be related to
29 that women’s relation with their midwife made them feel safer, also knowing they could call
30 their midwife in an emergency. The increased satisfaction with care during the intrapartum
31 period among women receiving midwife-led care, could reasonably be explained by that
32 nearly a quarter was cared for during labour by the midwife they knew. The relational
33 continuity seemed to enhance women’s perception of receiving respectful care during labour
34 and birth. The most prominent difference between the two groups’ satisfaction was with care
35 during postpartum period, despite the exclusion of the high score of satisfaction with care
36 related to home-visits. The highest difference between the groups was seen in satisfaction
37 with care at the postnatal ward and could be explained by the high number who met their
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 midwife from pregnancy there. The difference between the group's satisfaction with care in
4 this study seems to be less prominent compared to studies of satisfaction with continuity
5 models of care in high income countries.²⁰ Nevertheless, this study confirms the general
6 findings of improved satisfaction with midwife-led continuity models of care.^{8,20,24-26}
7
8
9

10 The results from this study also demonstrate an association between receiving the midwife-led
11 model of care and increased duration of exclusive breastfeeding. The midwife-led model
12 provided continuity with breastfeeding information and support during pregnancy and after
13 birth in hospital and home-visits. McFadden *et al.* concluded in a systematic review that
14 predictable, standard breastfeeding support during antenatal and/or postnatal care, tailored to
15 women's needs and given face to face, seem to increase duration of exclusive breastfeeding.²⁷
16 Continuous postnatal breastfeeding support is also recommended.²⁸ Exclusive breastfeeding
17 up to six month in life is considered an important protection against infections, malocclusions,
18 and breastfeeding have in general several long term health benefits both for women and their
19 children.²⁹
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 Although midwives were prevented from being on call, a high number of women receiving
29 the midwife-led model were cared for during labour and at the postnatal ward by the midwife
30 they knew. The high rate of continuity was possible because all midwives worked full time at
31 the hospital beside their outreaching program once a week.
32
33
34

35 This study implies that midwife-led continuity contributes to sustainable improvements within
36 a system with limited resources, enabling midwives to improve quality of care to vulnerable
37 women in their own population. The experience and findings from this implementation are an
38 important contribution to reach the UN sustainable development goal number three towards
39 2030, promising good health and wellbeing for all.³⁰
40
41
42
43
44

45 **Limitations and strengths**

46 The main limitation of this study is the observational, retrospective design comparing groups
47 with potential unmeasured confounders. Because the model had already been implemented
48 randomization was not possible. It would have been an advantage to know village of origin
49 and in which governmental hospital the women gave birth, as it could represent potential bias.
50 However, the women in both groups represented a quite similar rural population from villages
51 in different regions in the West Bank.
52
53
54
55
56
57

58 Investigating such complex and sensitive outcomes of an implementation in a low-middle
59 income setting is the main strength of this study. The pragmatic and novel approach, adapting
60

1
2
3 the model to the Palestinian context and implementing it within the public health system
4 provided a unique experience of how midwife-led continuity of care can work in a low-
5 middle income setting. Engagement from local midwives, nurses and doctors who have been
6 deeply involved in developing and adapting the model to the context, facilitated anchoring the
7 model in the Palestinian public health system. The model was implemented with Norwegian
8 funding in six governmental hospitals and 37 villages in the West Bank, but since February
9 2017 it has been administrated and sustained by the Palestinian Ministry of Health.³¹ A
10 strength of the study is the focus on satisfaction with care provided to the poorer part of the
11 population, who are in most need of quality improvements. Another strength is the
12 comprehensive questionnaire with a Likert scale used in previous studies that measured
13 satisfaction with midwife-led continuity models, using the recommended focus on women's
14 satisfaction with process of care and interpersonal behaviour throughout the
15 continuum.^{5,13,20,24}

26 **Conclusion**

27
28 This study has investigated a midwife-led continuity model of care that has been adapted to a
29 low-middle-income setting under long-term military occupation. The findings indicate that
30 midwife-led continuity of care is associated with improved satisfaction with care also in such
31 settings. There are increased user expectations for qualitative and safe care in low and middle-
32 income countries, including respectful and sensitive care.^{9,32} Further qualitative research
33 could investigate how and why women find this model useful. There is a high potential to
34 improve quality of maternal care in Palestine, by increasing number of midwives, by
35 introducing more privacy in the labour ward to facilitate that women can experience labour
36 with a companion of their choice, and by introducing midwife-led continuity of care to more
37 women.

46 **Acknowledgements**

47
48 First and foremost, we want to thank all the women who participated in this study and gave us
49 valuable insight in their perception of the care they received. We thank Arsan Aghazarian for
50 translating the questionnaire, and the midwives who gave contextual advice in the translation.
51 We finally want to thank the Palestinian Ministry of Health for implementing the model and
52 facilitating the study, the clinic's nurses and doctors for their collaboration, and especially the
53 courageous midwives who reach out to provide care to women and babies in occupied
54 Palestine.

Contributors

BM was involved with the Implementation, study design, preparation of data collection, data analysis, data interpretation and writing. LMD was involved with study design, data analysis and writing. MiL was involved with study design, data interpretation and writing. MaL was involved with study design, data interpretation and writing. ID and DE were involved with the data collection and data interpretation. EF was involved in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation and writing. BM drafted the article and tables. All authors have reviewed and approved the final manuscript. The corresponding author had full access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Funding

This work was partly supported by the Research Council of Norway through the Global Health and Vaccination Program (GLOBVAC), project number 243706. The implementation of the midwife-led continuity model of care received public funding through the humanitarian, non-profit organization Norwegian Aid Committee (NORWAC).

Competing interests EF is director of NORWAC. BM were partly employed by NORWAC until February 2017 as project manager for implementing the model.

Ethics approval

The study was approved by the Norwegian Regional Committee for Medical Health research Ethics South East (REK) id number: 2015/1235. It was also approved by the Palestinian Ministry of Health.

Data sharing statement

Data can be shared upon request to the first author

References

1. Miller S, Abalos E, Chamillard M, et al. Beyond too little, too late and too much, too soon: a pathway towards evidence-based, respectful maternity care worldwide. *Lancet* 2016; **388**(10056): 2176-92.
2. Geller SE, Koch AR, Garland CE, MacDonald EJ, Storey F, Lawton B. A global view of severe maternal morbidity: moving beyond maternal mortality. *Reprod Health* 2018; **15**(Suppl 1): 98.

3. Bohren MA, Vogel JP, Hunter EC, et al. The mistreatment of women during childbirth in health facilities globally: a mixed-methods systematic review. *PLoS Med* 2015; **12**.
4. Lukasse M, Schroll AM, Karro H, et al. Prevalence of experienced abuse in healthcare and associated obstetric characteristics in six European countries. *Acta Obstet Gyn Scan* 2015; **94**(5): 508-17.
5. Srivastava A, Avan BI, Rajbangshi P, Bhattacharyya S. Determinants of women's satisfaction with maternal health care: a review of literature from developing countries. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2015; **15**: 97.
6. Sando D, Abuya T, Asefa A, et al. Methods used in prevalence studies of disrespect and abuse during facility based childbirth: lessons learned. *Reprod Health* 2017; **14**(1): 127.
7. World Health Organisation. WHO recommendations on antenatal care for a positive pregnancy experience. <http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/250796/1/9789241549912-eng.pdf?ua=1> World Health Organization; 2016. p. 152.
8. Sandall J, Soltani H, Gates S, Shennan A, Devane D. Midwife-led continuity models versus other models of care for childbearing women. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2016; **4**: CD004667.
9. Van Lerberghe W, Matthews Z, Achadi E, et al. Country experience with strengthening of health systems and deployment of midwives in countries with high maternal mortality. *Lancet* 2014; **384**(9949): 1215-25.
10. Nair M, Yoshida S, Lambrechts T, et al. Facilitators and barriers to quality of care in maternal, newborn and child health: a global situational analysis through metareview. *Bmj Open* 2014; **4**(5): e004749.
11. Homer CS, Friberg IK, Dias MA, et al. The projected effect of scaling up midwifery. *Lancet* 2014; **384**(9948): 1146-57.
12. Homer C, Brodie P, Leap N. Midwifery continuity of care : a practical guide. Sydney ; New York: Churchill Livingstone/Elsevier; 2008.
13. Perriman N, Davis D. Measuring maternal satisfaction with maternity care: A systematic integrative review: What is the most appropriate, reliable and valid tool that can be used to measure maternal satisfaction with continuity of maternity care? *Women Birth* 2016; **29**(3): 293-9.
14. Wick L, Mikki N, Giacaman R, Abdul-Rahim H. Childbirth in Palestine. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* 2005; **89**(2): 174-8.
15. Rahim HF, Wick L, Halileh S, et al. Maternal and child health in the occupied Palestinian territory. *Lancet* 2009; **373**(9667): 967-77.
16. Giacaman R, Abu-Rmeileh NM, Wick L. The limitations on choice: Palestinian women's childbirth location, dissatisfaction with the place of birth and determinants. *Eur J Public Health* 2007; **17**(1): 86-91.
17. Mortensen B, Lukasse M, Diep LM, et al. Can a midwife-led continuity model improve maternal services in a low-resource setting? A non-randomised cluster intervention study in Palestine. *Bmj Open* 2018; **8**(3): e019568.
18. Mortensen B, Lieng M, Diep LM, Lukasse M, Atieh K, Fosse E. Improving Maternal and Neonatal Health by a Midwife-led Continuity Model of Care - An Observational Study in One Governmental Hospital in Palestine. *EClinicalMedicine*. 2019;10:84-91.
19. Mortensen B. To be veiled or not to be - what unites is the question, Experiences from a continuity of Midwifery Care Model in Palestine and Norway. Master's thesis. Bodø, Norway: University of Nordland; 2011. p. 121.
20. Forster DA, McLachlan HL, Davey MA, et al. Continuity of care by a primary midwife (caseload midwifery) increases women's satisfaction with antenatal,

- 1
2
3 intrapartum and postpartum care: results from the COSMOS randomised controlled
4 trial. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2016; **16**: 28.
- 5 21. Waldenstrom U, Rudman A. Satisfaction with maternity care: how to measure and
6 what to do. *Womens Health (Lond)* 2008; **4**(3): 211-4.
- 7 22. Bohren MA, Hofmeyr GJ, Sakala C, Fukuzawa RK, Cuthbert A. Continuous support
8 for women during childbirth. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2017; **7**: CD003766.
- 9 23. World Health Organisation. Standards for improving quality of maternal and newborn
10 care in health facilities.
11 <http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/249155/1/9789241511216-eng.pdf?ua=1>
12 WHO; 2016.
- 13 24. Waldenstrom U, Brown S, McLachlan H, Forster D, Brennecke S. Does team midwife
14 care increase satisfaction with antenatal, intrapartum, and postpartum care? A
15 randomized controlled trial. *Birth* 2000; **27**(3): 156-67.
- 16 25. Fereday J, Collins C, Turnbull D, Pincombe J, Oster C. An evaluation of Midwifery
17 Group Practice. Part II: women's satisfaction. *Women Birth* 2009; **22**(1): 11-6.
- 18 26. Harvey S, Rach D, Stainton MC, Jarrell J, Brant R. Evaluation of satisfaction with
19 midwifery care. *Midwifery* 2002; **18**(4): 260-7.
- 20 27. McFadden A, Gavine A, Renfrew MJ, et al. Support for healthy breastfeeding mothers
21 with healthy term babies. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2017; **2**: CD001141.
- 22 28. Zhang Z, Zhu Y, Zhang L, Wan H. What factors influence exclusive breastfeeding
23 based on the theory of planned behaviour. *Midwifery* 2018; **62**: 177-82.
- 24 29. Victora CG, Bahl R, Barros AJ, et al. Breastfeeding in the 21st century: epidemiology,
25 mechanisms, and lifelong effect. *Lancet* 2016; **387**(10017): 475-90.
- 26 30. ten Hoop-Bender P, Lopes ST, Nové A, et al. Midwifery 2030: a woman's pathway to
27 health. What does this mean? *Midwifery* 2016; **32**: 1-6.
- 28 31. Mortensen B. Palestinian Midwives on the Front Line. *Journal of Middle East
29 Women's Studies* 2018; **14**(3): 379-83.
- 30 32. ten Hoop-Bender P, de Bernis L, Campbell J, et al. Improvement of maternal and
31 newborn health through midwifery. *Lancet* 2014; **384**(9949): 1226-35.
- 32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Women`s satisfaction of care through the continuum of pregnancy, birth and postnatal period

Side 1

Consent and general information

- **I read the information sheet about the study, I registered at a Governmental clinic during pregnancy, my last pregnancy was singleton and I wish to participate ***

- Yes
 No

Dette elementet vises dersom et av følgende alternativer er valgt på spørsmål «I read the information sheet about the study, I registered at a Governmental clinic during pregnancy, my last pregnancy was singleton and I wish to participate»: Yes

- **What type of care were you offered at the local Governmental clinic? ***

- Intervention: Continuity of Midwifery Care Model: care from a midwife also employed at the local hospital.
 Control: Regular care from staff employed at the clinic

Dette elementet vises dersom et av følgende alternativer er valgt på spørsmål «I read the information sheet about the study, I registered at a Governmental clinic during pregnancy, my last pregnancy was singleton and I wish to participate»: Yes

- **If you had regular care, who provided care for you?**

- Staff nurse
 Practical nurse
 Health worker
 Male doctor
 Female doctor
 Midwife
 I don`t know
 Other

Dette elementet vises dersom et av følgende alternativer er valgt på spørsmål «I read the information sheet about the study, I registered at a Governmental clinic during pregnancy, my last pregnancy was singleton and I wish to participate»: Yes

1
2
3 • **Where did you receive care during pregnancy from others than**
4 **governmental facilities? ***
5
6

- 7 UNRWA
8 Private doctor
9 NGO
10 Only Governmental
11 Other
12
13
14

15
16 **Demographic and social information**
17

18 Dette elementet vises dersom et av følgende alternativer er valgt på spørsmål «I read the information sheet
19 about the study, I registered at a Governmental clinic during pregnancy, my last pregnancy was singleton
20 and I wish to participate»: Yes
21

22 • **How old are you? ***

23
24

25 Dette elementet vises dersom et av følgende alternativer er valgt på spørsmål «I read the information sheet
26 about the study, I registered at a Governmental clinic during pregnancy, my last pregnancy was singleton
27 and I wish to participate»: Yes
28

29 • **What was your age when you got married? ***

30
31

32 Dette elementet vises dersom et av følgende alternativer er valgt på spørsmål «I read the information sheet
33 about the study, I registered at a Governmental clinic during pregnancy, my last pregnancy was singleton
34 and I wish to participate»: Yes
35

36 • **What was your age first time you gave birth? ***

37
38

39 Dette elementet vises dersom et av følgende alternativer er valgt på spørsmål «I read the information sheet
40 about the study, I registered at a Governmental clinic during pregnancy, my last pregnancy was singleton
41 and I wish to participate»: Yes
42

43 • **What is the highest level of education you have completed? ***
44

- 45 Primary school
46 High School
47 Diploma 2 years after High school
48 Bachelor
49 Master
50 Phd
51 Other
52

53 • **If other, what kind of education?**

54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Dette elementet vises dersom et av følgende alternativer er valgt på spørsmål «I read the information sheet
4 about the study, I registered at a Governmental clinic during pregnancy, my last pregnancy was singleton
5 and I wish to participate»: Yes
6
7

8 • **Are you a paid employee? ***
9

- 10
11 Yes, full time
12 Yes, part time
13 No
14
15

16 • **Does your husband have a paid work? ***
17

- 18
19 Yes, regularly
20 Yes, now and then
21 No
22
23

24 • **Does your husband have a job requiring living outside home for longer
25 periods?**
26

- 27
28 Yes
29 No
30
31

32 • **Where does your parents live? ***
33

- 34
35 In the same village/town as me
36 In another neighboring village
37 In another town in the West Bank
38 Outside West Bank
39
40
41

42 **Reproductive information**
43

44 • **How many pregnancies did you have that went beyond 6 months? ***
45

46
47 • **How many live born children do you have? ***
48

49
50 • **If you experienced stillbirth, how many times? ***
51

52
53 • **How many pregnancies did you have without pregnancy care at all? ***
54

55
56
57 **Health information about you last pregnancy, birth and postnatal period**
58

59 • **How many weeks is it since your last birth? ***
60

- 1
2
3
4
5 • At which pregnancy week did you register at the Governmental clinic? *

- 6
7
8 • How many pregnancy-visits did you have at the Governmental clinic last pregnancy? *

- 9
10
11 • **Do you smoke** *

- 12
13
14 No, never
15 Yes, cigarettes now and then
16 Yes, cigarettes daily
17 Yes, Argile (water-pipe) now and then
18 Yes, Argile (Water-pipe) daily
19
20
21

- 22 • **Mark if you experience any of the following complications during last pregnancy?** *

- 23
24
25
26 Anemia Hb 9 or less
27 Pre-eclampsia
28 Eclampsia
29 Placenta Previa
30 Vaginal bleeding
31 Reduced fetal growth
32 Gestational diabetes
33 Previous cesarean section
34 Pelvic pain
35 Violations in the home
36 Violations from occupation soldiers/settlers
37 Rhesus negative blood type.
38 Vomiting causing hospitalization
39 Other
40 I had had no complications during pregnancy

- 41
42
43
44
45
46
47 • If other, describe short what kind of pregnancy complications?

- 48
49
50
51 • How often did a doctor do the pregnancy check-ups in the governmental clinic? *

- 52
53
54 • How many pregnancy-visits did you have to a private doctor during last pregnancy? *

- 55
56
57 • If you used private doctor in addition to Governmental clinic, describe short why you choose to use both:

1
2
3 • **Where you referred to high risk care clinic, hospital or specialist doctor**
4 **during pregnancy? ***
5

- 6
7 Yes, once
8 Yes, more than once
9 Yes, I was referred but I was not able to go
10 No, I was not referred
11
12

13
14 • **Mark if you experience any of the following complications during last**
15 **birth? ***
16

- 17
18 Birth during transportation
19 Instrumental delivery: vacuum
20 Instrumental delivery: forceps
21 Hemorrhage - severe bleeding
22 Elective cesarean section
23 Eclampsia
24 Acute cesarean section
25 Premature birth before 37 weeks` pregnancy
26 Premature birth before 34 weeks` pregnancy
27 Premature birth before 30 weeks` pregnancy
28 other
29 I had no medical complications during birth
30
31

32
33 • If other, describe short what, And/or why cesarean section:
34

35
36
37
38

39 • **Did you experience any of the following complications related to**
40 **YOURSELF after last birth? ***
41

- 42
43 I had anemia, 9 g/dl or less
44 I had Infection treated with antibiotics
45 Eclampsia
46 Perineal tears that caused much pain
47 Perineal tears causing infection and fever
48 Perineal tears that caused incontinence of faeces
49 Problems with breasts causing problems with breastfeeding
50 I had painful infection or problems with my breasts
51 Feeling so unhappy that I for days cried most of the time
52 Feeling so sad that harming myself sometimes occurred to me
53 other
54 No I had no complications after last birth
55
56

57
58 • If other explain in few words
59
60

- 1
2
3
4
5
6 • **Mark if your CHILD have any of the following complications after last birth? ***

7
8
9
10 You can choose more than one alternative:

- 11 My child was transferred to intensive care after birth
- 12 My child had problems breathing that needed treatment
- 13 My child had problem sucking the breast
- 14 My child had jaundice that needed treatment
- 15 My child got infection treated with antibiotics
- 16 My child re-hospitalized after going home
- 17 My child had problems gaining weight
- 18 Other
- 19 My child had no complications
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25 • If other, explain in few words:

26

27

28

- 29 • **Duration of breastfeeding your last child ***

- 30
- 31 I never breastfed my last child
- 32 I still breastfeed my child, without giving additional food/milk
- 33 I still breastfeed daily and also give additional food/milk
- 34 I stopped breastfeeding
- 35
- 36
- 37 • If you stopped breastfeeding, how many weeks did you breastfed your last child without giving additional
- 38 food.

39

40

41

- 42 • **How often did you meet the same healthprovider from the Governmental**
- 43 **clinic during the whole period of pregnancy, birth and postnatal**
- 44 **period? ***

- 45
- 46
- 47
- 48 Two times
- 49 Three times
- 50 Four times
- 51 Five times
- 52 Six times
- 53 Seven times
- 54 Eight times
- 55 Nine times
- 56 More than nine times
- 57
- 58
- 59
- 60

I met different people each time

• If you met the same Governmental health provider more than once, please explain: *

- I met the health provider from pregnancy during labour
- I met the health provider from pregnancy in postnatal ward at hospital
- I met the health provider from pregnancy postnatal home visit
- The person I met most times was the nurse
- The person I met most times was the Midwife
- The person I met most times was the doctor
- I don't know the profession of the person I met most times

• If you used the Governmental service less than four times during pregnancy, why?

- No female doctor
- No midwife
- No regularity
- No ultrasound
- Bad quality
- Complicated to reach the clinic
- I don't know
- Other

• If other, explain shortly:

Your satisfaction of care during pregnancy

Describe at what degree you were satisfied with the care you received from the Governmental clinic during pregnancy by choosing between 1 meaning that you totally disagree and 7 totally agree in the following statements:

1 **Totally disagree** 2 3 4 5 6 7 **Totally agree**

At my pregnancy check-ups I was always asked whether I had any questions

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

	1 Totally disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 Totally agree
The midwives/nurses always kept me informed about what was happening related to my pregnancy	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The doctor always kept me informed about what was happening related to my pregnancy	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I was always given an active say in decisions about my care in pregnancy	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I always felt my worries, anxieties or concerns about the pregnancy and the baby were taken seriously by the midwives/nurses	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I always felt my worries, anxieties or concerns about the pregnancy and the baby were taken seriously by the doctors	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
At my check-ups the midwives/nurses often seemed rushed and busy	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
At my check-ups the doctors often seemed rushed and busy	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Care in pregnancy was provided in a competent way	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I was happy with the emotional support I received in in	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	Totally disagree						Totally agree
pregnancy from midwives/nurses							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	Totally disagree						Totally agree
I was happy with the emotional support I received in pregnancy from doctors	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I was happy with the physical care I received in pregnancy from midwives/nurses	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I was happy with the physical care I received in pregnancy from doctors	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
My privacy was very well respected and taken care of from midwives/nurses	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I was afraid that I would have problems to reach pregnancy care because of occupation soldiers or settlers	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Describe your overall satisfaction with the care you received during last pregnancy at the MOH clinic (1 is very bad and 7 in very good)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Your satisfaction of care during birth

• **Where did you give birth? ***

- Governmental hospital

- 1
- 2
- 3 Private hospital
- 4 UNRWA hospital
- 5 PRCS hospital
- 6 Israeli hospital
- 7 Under transportation (car)
- 8 Ambulance
- 9 Other

10 • If other, where?

11

12
13
14
15
16
17 **Describe at what degree you were satisfied with the care you received at hospital during**
18 **labour and birth by choosing between 1 meaning that you totally disagree and 7 totally**
19 **agree in the following statements:**

	1 I totally disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 I totally agree
The midwives always kept me informed about what was happening during birth	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The doctors always kept me informed about what was happening during birth	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I was always given an active say in decisions about my care during labour and birth	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The midwives were encouraging	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The doctors were encouraging	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The midwives provided reassurance if I needed it	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The doctors provided reassurance if I needed it	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

	1 I totally disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 I totally agree
I felt nobody really cared for me during labour and birth	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I was happy with the emotional support I received from the midwives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I was happy with the emotional support I received from the doctors	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
	1 I totally disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 I totally agree
Care during labour and birth was provided in a professional way	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I wish someone from my family could accompany me during labour and birth	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
My privacy was well respected during labour and birth	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I felt badly treated by the midwives during labour and birth	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I felt badly treated by the doctors during labour and birth	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
When labour started I was afraid that I would not reach hospital because of the military checkpoints and occupation soldiers or settlers	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 | totally disagree 2 3 4 5 6 7 | totally agree

Overall, how would you describe the care you received in labour and birth (1 very poor, 7 very good)

Your satisfaction with the care you received after birth

- How many hours did you spend in hospital after your last birth? *
- What was the birth-weight of your last child? *

Describe at what degree you were satisfied with the care you received after birth in the hospital choosing between 1 meaning you totally disagree and 7 totally agree in the following statements:

1 | Totally disagree 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Totally agree

I was given the advice I needed with breastfeeding at hospital

I was given the advice I needed about how to handle, settle or look after my baby in the hospital

I was given the advice I needed about any problems with the baby's health and progress in the hospital

I was given the advice I needed in hospital about my

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 | **Totally disagree** 2 3 4 5 6 7 | **Totally agree**

own health and recovery in after birth

Care after birth in hospital was provided in a competent way	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Midwives in hospital were supportive after birth	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Doctors in hospital were supportive after birth	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I was happy by the emotional support from midwives after birth in hospital	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
My privacy was taken good care of at the hospital after birth	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Overall, how would you describe the care you received in hospital after birth (1 is very poor and 7 is very good)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

• **From where did you receive care for yourself and your baby after leaving hospital? ***

You can choose more than one alternative:

- Governmental clinic
- Governmental home-visit
- UNRWA clinic
- Private doctor
- NGO clinic
- Only family cared for me, the baby got vaccination
- No one cared for me, they only cared for the baby
- Home-visit from UNRWA/NGO

Other

- If other, from whom did you receive care?

• **Who did the home-visit after birth? ***

- My midwife from pregnancy care
- The nurse from the clinic
- The doctor
- My midwife from pregnancy and the nurse from the clinic
- Other
- I had no home visit

- If other, who did the home visit?

- How many home visits did you receive?

- How many days after birth did you receive home visit?

If you received home visit after birth:

Describe at what degree you were satisfied with the care you received after birth in your home choose between 1 meaning you totally disagree and 7 totally agree in the following statements:

Dette elementet vises dersom et av følgende alternativer er valgt på spørsmål «From where did you receive care for yourself and your baby after leaving hospital?»: Governmental homevisit

1 Totally disagree 2 3 4 5 6 **7 Totally agree**

During the home visit the midwife/nurse gave me the advice I needed with breastfeeding

During home visit I was given the advice I needed to handle and look after my baby

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 Totally disagree 2 3 4 5 6 **7** Totally agree

During the home visit I was given the advice I needed to look after my own health and recovery after birth

I got enough time to ask all the questions I had during home visit

I receive helpful information about family planning during the home visit

I was happy for the emotional support I received from the midwife/nurse during home visit

Overall, how would you describe the care you received for yourself at home visit (1 means very bad and 7 means very good)

Overall, how would you describe the care your baby received at home visit (1 means very bad and 7 means very good)

yes **no** **I don't know**

If you did not receive home visit after birth, would you like to have had the possibility

Describe at what degree you were satisfied with the care you received after birth in the Governmental clinic, choose between 1 meaning you totally disagree and 7 totally agree in the following statements:

	1 Totally disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 Totally agree
I was given the advice I needed at the clinic about how to handle, settle or look after my baby	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
At the clinic I was given the advice I needed about any problems with the baby's health and progress	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
At the clinic I was given the advice I needed about my own health and recovery after the birth	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
At the clinic, the nurse only had time to vaccinate the baby, no time for individual information	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
My privacy was taken good care of at the clinic	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I was happy for emotional support I received at the clinic after birth	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I received good advice regarding family planning and contraceptives at the clinic	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Overall, how would you describe the care your baby received at the clinic after birth	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 Totally disagree 2 3 4 5 6 **7 Totally agree**

(1 is very bad and 7 is very good)

Overall, how would you describe the care you received for yourself at the clinic after birth (1 is very bad and 7 is very good)

1 Very bad 2 3 4 5 6 **7 Very good**

Overall how satisfied were you with all care after birth that you received from Government services on a scale from 1 (Very bad) to 7 (very good)?

1 Very bad 2 3 4 5 6 **7 Very good**

Overall how satisfied were you with the total Governmental services on a scale from 1 (very bad) to 7 (very good)

- Do you have any recommendations to improve the Governmental service?

Thank you very much for your participation, your answers will guide us to develop the future services.

Nettskjema v81.1

Supplementary file 2 Original Likert scales
Satisfaction with care

	Midwife-led care	Regular care	Adj. Mean difference	95%CI	adj.p value
Satisfaction with care during pregnancy					
At my pregnancy check-ups I was always asked whether I had any questions	5.61(1.54)	4.55(2.19)	1.06	0.54 to 1.59	<0.001
The midwives/nurses always kept me informed about what was happening related to my pregnancy	6.10(1.24)	5.53(1.77)	0.54	0.12 to 0.95	0.014
The doctor always kept me informed about what was happening related to my pregnancy	5.13(1.67)	5.06(1.90)	-0.004	-0.52 to 0.48	0.982
I was always given an active say in decisions about my care in pregnancy	4.40(1.84)	4.31(2.06)	0.08	-0.45 to 0.65	0.768
I always felt my worries, anxieties or concerns about the pregnancy and the baby were taken seriously by the midwives/nurses	5.90(1.44)	5.57(1.59)	0.34	-0.10 to 0.76	0.123
I always felt my worries, anxieties or concerns about the pregnancy and the baby were taken seriously by the doctors	5.36(1.69)	5.15(1.87)	0.20	-0.34 to 0.69	0.461
At my check-ups the midwives/nurses often seemed rushed and busy	1.30(1.02)	2.18(1.89)	-0.88	-1.32 to -0.47	<0.001
At my check-ups the doctors often seemed rushed and busy	2.03(1.90)	2.38(2.10)	-0.33	-0.90 to 0.25	0.246
Care in pregnancy was provided in a competent way	5.24(1.33)	5.42(1.49)	-0.19	-0.58 to 0.21	0.336
I was happy with the emotional support I received in in pregnancy from midwives/nurses	6.11(1.20)	5.19(1.84)	0.92	0.46 to 1.33	<0.001
I was happy with the emotional support I received in in pregnancy from doctors	5.22(1.64)	4.76(2.1)	0.40	-0.17 to 0.93	0.154
I was happy with the physical care I received in pregnancy from midwives/nurses	5.98(1.30)	5.72(1.77)	0.26	-0.17 to 0.67	0.234
I was happy with the physical care I received in pregnancy from doctors	5.45(1.74)	5.36(2.01)	0.03	-0.56 to 0.53	0.906

My privacy was very well respected and taken care of from midwives/nurses	6,58(0.89)	6.43(1.01)	0.26	-0.17 to 0.67	0.234
I was afraid that I would have problems to reach pregnancy care because of occupation soldiers or settlers	1.03(0,30)	1.14(0,87)	-0.10	-0.31 to 0.06	0.275
Describe your overall satisfaction with the care you received during last pregnancy at the MOH clinic	5.57	5.38	0.16	-0.18 to 0.46	0.335
Satisfaction with care during labour and birth					
The midwives always kept me informed about what was happening during labour and birth	5.29(1.89)	4.84(2.04)	0.62	0.06 to 1.18	0.030
The doctors always kept me informed about what was happening during labour and birth	4.60(1.93)	4.29(1.89)	0.52	-0.09 to 1.10	0.099
I was always given an active say in decisions about my care during labour and birth	3.91(2.05)	3.8(2.24)	0.49	-0.11 to 1.07	0.103
The midwives were encouraging	5.27(1.99)	4.94(1.14)	0.56	-0.05 to 1.15	0.067
The doctors were encouraging	4.70(2.02)	4.44(2.35)	0.46	-0.18 to 1.12	0.166
The midwives provided reassurance if I needed it	5.41(2.13)	4.85(2.12)	0.79	0.19 to 1.39	0.010
The doctors provided reassurance if I needed it	4.79(2.18)	4.32(2.36)	0.73	0.10 to 1.37	0.027
I felt nobody really cared for me during labour and birth	2.51(2.24)	2.54(2.22)	-0.29	-0.93 to 0.33	0.363
I was happy with the emotional support I received from the midwives	5.19(2.14)	4.67(2.22)	0.79	0.18 to 1.39	0.013
I was happy with the emotional support I received from the doctors	4.52(2.08)	4.32(2.36)	0.47	-0.17 to 1.11	0.158
Care during labour and birth was provided in a professional way	4.72(1.85)	4.83(1.94)	0.10	-0.43 to 0.64	0.704
I wish someone from my family could accompany me during labour and birth	6.05(1.82)	5.99(2.19)	0.03	-0.56 to 0.64	0.914

1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6	My privacy was well respected during labour and birth	6.00(1.49)	5.23(1.96)	1.00	0.52 to 1.50	<0.001
7						
8						
9						
10	I felt abused from the midwives during labour and birth	1.55(1.55)	1.91(1.89)	-0.56	-1.08 to -0.07	0.031
11						
12						
13						
14	I felt abused from the doctors during labour and birth	1.51(1.47)	1.68(1.72)	-0.33	-0.85 to 0.13	0.168
15						
16						
17	When labour started I was afraid that I would not reach hospital because of the military checkpoints and occupation soldiers or settlers	1.36(1.36)	2.24(2.15)	-0.79	-1.34 to -0.24	0.008
18						
19						
20						
21	Overall, how would you describe the care you received in labour and birth (1 very poor, 7 very good)	5.14(1.53)	4.88(1.75)	0.51	0.06 to 0.98	0.028
22						
23						
24						
25						
26	Satisfaction during postnatal hospital stay					
27						
28	I was given the advice I needed with breast feeding at hospital	4.48(2.24)	3.19(2.30)	1.35	0.69 to 2.19	<0.001
29						
30						
31						
32	I was given the advice I needed about how to handle, settle or look after my baby in the hospital	4.28(2.19)	2.68(2.27)	1.68	1.03 to 2.43	<0.001
33						
34						
35						
36	I was given the advice I needed about any problems with the baby's health and progress in the hospital	4.45(2.24)	2.83(2.29)	1.72	1.02 to 2.53	<0.001
37						
38						
39						
40	I was given the advice I needed in hospital about my own health and recovery in after birth	4.37(2.33)	3.03(2.20)	1.42	0.78 to 2.11	<0.001
41						
42						
43	Care after birth in hospital was provided in a competent way	4.81(1.87)	3.69(1.99)	1.20	0.61 to 1.88	<0.001
44						
45						
46						
47	Midwives in hospital were supportive after birth	5.48(1.85)	4.05(2.12)	1.52	0.92 to 2.17	<0.001
48						
49						
50						
51	Doctors in hospital were supportive after birth	4.70(1.87)	3.25(2.30)	1.53	0.90 to 2.26	<0.001
52						
53						
54						
55	I was happy by the emotional support from midwives after birth in hospital	5.42(1.95)	3.68(2.16)	1.81	1.19 to 2.47	<0.001
56						
57						
58	My privacy was taken good care of at the hospital after birth	6.21(1.16)	4.89(2.03)	1.38	0.89 to 1.99	<0.001
59						
60						

Overall, how would you describe the care you received in hospital after birth (1 is very poor and 7 is very good)

5.01(1.52) 4.1(1.85) 0.98 0.49 to 1.57 <0.001

Satisfaction with care received from Governmental clinic after birth

I was given the advice I needed at the clinic about how to handle, settle or look after my baby

4.83(1.84) 4.37(2.21) 0.49 -0.10 to 1.04 0.097

At the clinic I was given the advice I needed about any problems with the baby's health and progress

5.06(1.58) 4.61(2.04) 0.49 -0.03 to 1.05 0.060

At the clinic I was given the advice I needed about my own health and recovery after the birth

4.38(2.00) 4.03(2.27) 0.35 -0.25 to 0.94 0.244

At the clinic the nurse only had time to vaccinate the baby, no time for individual information

2.54(2.07) 2.10(1.93) 0.83 -0.18 to 0.90 0.185

My privacy was taken good care of at the clinic

5.98(1.12) 6.03(1.14) -0.04 -0.38 to 0.32 0.803

I was happy for emotional support I received at the clinic after birth

4.95(1.83) 5.09(1.72) -0.12 -0.63 to 0.37 0.641

I received good advice regarding family planning and contraceptives at the clinic

4.51(2.05) 3.74(2.21) 0.76 0.18 to 1.32 0.012

Overall, how would you describe the care your baby received at the clinic after birth (1 is very bad and 7 is very good)

5.43(1.2) 5.80(1.01) -0.34 -0.67 to -0.02 0.032

Overall, how would you describe the care you received for yourself at the clinic after birth (1 is very bad and 7 is very good)

4.61(1.44) 4.79(1.15) -0.17 -0.60 to 0.24 0.447

Overall how satisfied were you with all care after birth that you received from Government services

4.79(1.15) 4.93(1.14) -0.12 -0.46 to 0.19 0.460

Overall how satisfied were you with the total Governmental services on a scale from 1 (very bad) to 7 (very good)

5.04(1.35) 4.88(1.15) 0.16 -0.19 to 0.51 0.366

Satisfaction during postnatal home visit

During the home visit the midwife/nurse gave me the advice I needed with breastfeeding

5.91(1.42)

During home visit I was given the advice I needed to handle and look after my baby

5.63(1.57)

During the home visit I was given the advice I needed to look after my own health and recovery after birth

6.01(1.54)

1		
2		
3		
4	I got enough time to ask all the questions I had during home visit	5.51(1.37)
5		
6	I receive helpful information about family planning during the home visit	5.26(2.04)
7		
8	I was happy for the emotional support I received from the midwife/nurse during home visit	6.50(0.87)
9		
10	Overall, how would you describe the care you received for yourself at home visit (1 means very bad and 7 means very good)	6.05(0.98)
11		
12	Overall, how would you describe the care your baby received at home visit (1 means very bad and 7 means very good)	5.83(1.18)
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
29		
30		
31		
32		
33		
34		
35		
36		
37		
38		
39		
40		
41		
42		
43		
44		
45		
46		
47		
48		
49		
50		
51		
52		
53		
54		
55		
56		
57		
58		
59		
60		

For peer review only

STROBE 2007 (v4) Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of case-control studies

Section/Topic	Item #	Recommendation	Reported on page #
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	3
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	3
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	4 & 5
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	6
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	3 & 6
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	6,7 & 8
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls	7
		(b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case	
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	8
Data sources/measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	7 & 8
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	7
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	6
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	8
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	8 & 9
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	8
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	-
		(d) If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed	-
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	-
Results			

Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage (c) Consider use of a flow diagram	9 & 10 - -
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders (b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	9 & 10 9 & 10
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure	
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	11 & 12 - -
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	12 & 13
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	14 & 15
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	16
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	15 & 16
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	16 & 17
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	17

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at <http://www.plosmedicine.org/>, Annals of Internal Medicine at <http://www.annals.org/>, and Epidemiology at <http://www.epidem.com/>). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.