

PEER REVIEW HISTORY

BMJ Open publishes all reviews undertaken for accepted manuscripts. Reviewers are asked to complete a checklist review form (<http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/resources/checklist.pdf>) and are provided with free text boxes to elaborate on their assessment. These free text comments are reproduced below.

ARTICLE DETAILS

TITLE (PROVISIONAL)	Exploring the views of female genital mutilation survivors, their male partners and healthcare professionals on the timing of deinfibulation surgery and NHS FGM care provision (the FGM Sister Study): protocol for a qualitative study
AUTHORS	Jones, Laura; Danks, Emma; Clarke, Joanne; Alidu, Lailah; Costello, Benjamin; Jolly, Kate; Byrne, Alison; Fassam-Wright, Meg; Latthe, Pallavi; Taylor, Julie

This article was not externally reviewed at BMJ Open. Protocols that have been independently assessed prior to submission to BMJ Open are usually fast-tracked to publication on the grounds that further substantial changes will not be possible. This independent assessment will usually be external, independent review for both a substantial grant award from a non-commercial or government funder and ethics approval.