Supplementary material BMJ Open

Appendix 2: Reported percentages of diagnostic tests and urological referrals for patients with hematuria

Study	Type of hematuria*	No evaluation (%)	Frequency of different evaluations and referrals performed (%)								
			History/ Exam	Urine Culture	Urine Cytology	Imaging	Cystoscopy	Both Imaging and cystoscopy	Referral	Guideline concordant evaluation	
				Po	erformed within	30 days					
Matulewicz	NVH					7.7	3.6	1.4			
				Po	erformed within	60 days					
Richards 2018	NVH	66									
Richards 2018	VH	67			-						
Murphy	VH ⁺	47									
Neider	NVH			57-60	6-11	20-25			36		
				Po	erformed within	90 days					
Richards 2018	NVH	66									
Richards 2018	VH	47							<u> </u>		
Neider	VH			40-56	5-13	38-41			69-77		
				Pe	rformed within	180 days			d		
Bassett	NVH	65						14	23		
Matulewicz	NVH					14.3	8.9	5.1			
Ark	Either	54				44	20	18	35		
Shinagare	Either	36			43	76	35	64	<u> </u>	36 [‡]	
Friedlander	Either					13.9	13.7	5.7	4		
		. 1	.1	Pe	rformed within	365 days	ăă		å		
Matulewicz	NVH					16.5	9.8	5.9			
		·iaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa		Performed wit	hin unspecified	timescale (1-3 y	ears)		å		
Elias	NVH	42		15	10	23			21		
Buteau	Either	16		63	7.3						
Bradley	NVH		63	25						89 [§]	

(secondary								
care)								
Buteau	NVH		59	5	34	6	5	
Buteau	VH		84	20	42	26	25	
Matulewicz	NVH				6.2	3.0	2.5	

^{*}NVH: non-visible hematuria; VH: visible hematuria

[†]Murphy included patients with 50 red blood cell per high power field (RBC/HPF), equivalent to very high risk and VH group Definition of guideline concordant evaluation:

[‡] Shinagare: upper renal tract imaging, urine cytology and cystoscopy for high risk patients and 1 urinalysis with >3 RBC/HPF; or upper renal tract imaging followed by either urine cytology or cystoscopy for patients with no risk factors and 2 of 3 urinalysis with >3RBC/HPF.

[§] Bradley: cystoscopy and upper tract imaging using CT urography