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ABSTRACT

Introduction Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is a substantial health problem worldwide. Pre-diabetic state is associated with increased risk for the development of diabetes. There are various pharmacological therapies with glucose-lowering activity for diabetes prevention. Of those, most are being compared with placebo instead of active agents. The relative effects and safety of different glucose-lowering drugs still remain uncertain. To address this gap, we will conduct a systematic review and network meta-analysis (NMA) to evaluate comparative efficacy and safety of glucose-lowering agents for T2DM prevention in patients with pre-diabetes.

Methods and analysis PubMed, the Cochrane library and Embase will be searched from inception to December 2019 for relevant randomised controlled trials (RCTs) that examined anti-diabetic drugs for diabetes prevention in patients with pre-diabetes. Two reviewers working independently will screen titles, abstracts and full papers. Data extraction will also be completed by two independent authors. The primary outcome will be the incidence of T2DM in patients with pre-diabetes at baseline. Secondary outcomes will include the achievement of normoglycaemia, all-cause mortality, cardiovascular mortality and hypoglycaemic event. Pairwise meta-analysis and NMA will be conducted for each outcome using a frequentist random-effects model. Additionally, subgroup analyses will also be performed. The comparison-adjusted funnel plot will be used to assess publication bias. The overall quality of evidence will be rated with the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation framework. Data analysis will be conducted using Stata V.14.0.

Ethics and dissemination Ethics approval is not required. We plan to submit the results of this study to a peer-review journal.

PROSPERO registration number CRD42019119157.

INTRODUCTION

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is a chronic and complex disease, related to insulin secretory defects frequently on the background of insulin resistance; the progression of the disease is associated with genetic factors, metabolic stress and inflammation.1 The global prevalence of T2DM was estimated to be 463 million people in 2017.5 People with T2DM are at elevated risk for chronic kidney disease, heart failure, atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease, polyneuropathy, cognitive impairment, anxiety disorder and depression.3–5 The term pre-diabetes is used to describe a blood glucose level higher than the normal range but below the cut-off value for T2DM.6 Different glycaemic measurements to define the pre-diabetic stage exist, including impaired fasting glucose (IFG), impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) and elevated glycosylated haemoglobin A1c.1 The International Diabetes Federation estimated that, in 2017, approximately 352 million persons globally had IGT, which is projected to exceed half a billion people before 2045.7 Hyperglycaemia is a well-described risk factor for all-cause mortality, total number of all-age deaths attributable to high fasting plasma was 6.5 million people in 2017,8 with T2DM accounting for 1 million deaths.9 Moreover, the economic burden of diabetes is large; in 2017, the American Diabetes
Association (ADA) estimated the total economic costs attributable to diabetes in the USA to be $327 billion. Thus, there is an urgent need to address huge burden of this worldwide disease with a growing number of suffers. Early interventions for preventing T2DM are warranted. Persons diagnosed with pre-diabetes are thought to be at increased risk for developing T2DM, the estimated incidence rate of diabetes among patients with pre-diabetes in the following 10 years exceeds one-third. These people are ideal candidates for diabetes prevention efforts.

To prevent the progression of pre-diabetes to T2DM, an intensive behavioural lifestyle intervention programme (eg, medical nutrition therapy and physical activity) is recommended in the ADA guidelines. Besides lifestyle modification, a variety of anti-diabetic agents (eg, glucagon-likepeptide (GLP)-1 analogues, metformin and thiazolidinediones) have been investigated in clinical trials for diabetes prevention. These pharmacological approaches with intrinsic glucose-lowering activity (eg, improve the insulin resistance and preserve pancreatic β-cell function) are recommended for glycaemic treatment in patients with T2DM. Of these medications, only metformin therapy for diabetes prevention is recommended as an option for patients with pre-diabetes. However, to date, whether other glucose-lowering agents should be considered in those patients or not has not yet to be clarified clearly, even though some findings of recent studies have demonstrated that these pharmacological agents could also exert benefits to prevent or delay the progression to T2DM. In addition, head-to-head comparisons of different anti-diabetic agents have rarely been performed by previous clinical trials. A network meta-analysis (NMA) method is able to combine direct and indirect evidence and assess comparative efficacy and safety of various interventions. Therefore, we plan to conduct the systematic review and NMA to assess comparative effects and safety of various anti-diabetic medications in preventing T2DM in patients with pre-diabetes.

METHODS
Study design and registration
This systematic review protocol is reported in line with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (PRISMA-P) guidelines. This study will be performed in accordance with the PRISMA extension statements for NMA.

Eligibility criteria
Population
Adults (older than 18 years) who have pre-diabetes will be eligible for inclusion. In this study, pre-diabetic state involves separate IFG, separate IGT or both. Diagnostic criteria for pre-diabetes should be established and described in eligible trials.

Intervention and comparator
This study will investigate comparisons of anti-diabetic drugs versus another anti-diabetic agent, lifestyle interventions (diet, exercise or both), placebo or no intervention. Anti-diabetic agents include alpha-glucosidase inhibitors (eg, acarbose and voglibose), sulphonylureas (eg, glipizide and glimepiride), meglitinide analogues (eg, nateglinide), dipeptidyl-peptidase (DPP)-4 inhibitors (eg, linagliptin and vildagliptin), GLP-1 analogues (eg, exenatide and liraglutide), biguanides (eg, metformin), thiazolidinediones (eg, rosiglitazone and pioglitazone), alone or in combination. In addition, studies using vitamins, traditional Chinese medicines and alternative therapies will be excluded.

Outcomes
The primary outcome will be the incidence of T2DM in patients with pre-diabetes at baseline. Secondary outcomes will include the achievement of normoglycaemia, all-cause mortality, cardiovascular mortality and hypoglycaemic event. Classification and definition of T2DM could be based on any recognised standard diagnosis criteria (eg, the ADA guidelines).

Type of studies
All randomised controlled trials (RCTs) comparing anti-diabetic drugs with another anti-diabetic agent, lifestyle interventions, placebo or no intervention for T2DM prevention in patients with pre-diabetes will be included in this study. Duration of intervention has to be with a minimum of 12 weeks.

Search strategy
Several databases will be searched from inception to December 2019 for RCTs that investigated anti-diabetic agents for prevention of diabetes among patients with pre-diabetes. The databases will include PubMed, Embase and the Cochrane Library. In addition, the language of publication will be limited to English. Any potentially relevant article will be retrieved for review. Details of search strategy of PubMed database are shown in the supplemental material. The literature search will be conducted using the following keywords: alpha-glucosidase inhibitors, sulphonylureas, glinides, DPP-4 inhibitors, GLP-1 analogues, biguanides, thiazolidinediones, diabetes, T2DM, pre-diabetes, pre-diabetic state, glucose intolerance, impaired glucose, conversion, delay and prevent. Moreover, all drug names in each drug class will be included in key search terms, for instance, acarbose, voglibose, metformin, glipizide, glimepiride, linagliptin, vildagliptin, nateglinide, liraglutide, exenatide, rosiglitazone and pioglitazone. To identify other eligible studies, reference lists of relevant publications (including trials, reviews and meta-analyses) will be reviewed for a manual search.

Selection of studies
In accordance with the prespecified inclusion criteria, two reviewers working independently will evaluate all
titles and abstracts to eliminate papers that were deemed irrelevant. The remaining articles will be included in the further assessment. Reviewers will scrutinise full text for each potentially-relevant article. The study identification and exclusion process will be depicted using the PRISMA flow diagram. Discrepancies in study selection will be resolved by negotiation.

Data collection process
Two independent reviewers will use a standardised data form to extract trial information. All disagreements will be settled via discussion with the third reviewer. The data extracted will be as follows:
- Patient characteristics (age, gender, race and glycaemic parameters).
- Trial characteristics (author, year of publication, study design, number of participants, country setting and funding information).
- Details of intervention and control (dosage, frequency and treatment duration).
- Data on the outcomes mentioned above.

Assessment of methodological quality
The Cochrane risk of bias assessment tool will be used to assess risk of bias for individual studies. This method includes the following seven domains: random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants and personnel, blinding of outcome assessment, incomplete outcome data, selective reporting and other bias.

Each item will be classified into one of three categories as follows: unclear, high or low risk. All discrepancies in quality assessment will be resolved after mutual agreement and discussion.

Data synthesis and statistical analysis
Initially, we will use a random-effects approach to pool effect estimates for all treatment comparisons in conventional pairwise meta-analyses. For categorical outcomes, the pooled estimates as risk ratios (RRs) with 95% CIs will be reported. When data is available, to observe whether the effects of medications on diabetes prevention remain after intervention withdrawn, the pooled RRs for diabetes of the intervention and wash-out or follow-up periods, respectively, will be estimated. Continuous data will be reported as mean differences with their respective 95% CIs. Statistical heterogeneity across trials will be examined using the $I^2$ statistic. The $I^2$ statistic of 75%, 50% or 25% indicates high, moderate or low heterogeneity, separately.

Then, an NMA will be conducted with a frequentist random-effects model. Local inconsistency between direct and indirect evidence within each closed loop will be assessed using a node-splitting test. In addition, a ‘design-by-treatment’ model will be applied to evaluate the assumption of consistency in the whole network. We will generate the surface under the cumulative ranking curve (SUCRA) to assess probabilities of interventions in superiority regarding efficacy and safety outcomes, with higher SUCRA values indicating better effects or safety.

The level of significance will be set at an alpha of 0.05. All analyses will be performed with Stata V.14.0.

Subgroup analyses
Where possible, analyses will be stratified by age (18–45 years and at least 45 years), gender, ethnicity and BMI (25–29.9 kg/m$^2$ and ≥30 kg/m$^2$). Moreover, we will also perform subgroup analyses according to diagnostic criteria of pre-diabetes (IFG and IGT).

Publication bias
We will use the comparison-adjusted funnel plot to assess small study effects including publication bias at the network level.

Quality of evidence
The quality of evidence of estimates derived from this study will be rated using the Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) framework. The GRADE approach characterises the quality of evidence according to publication bias, study limitations, inconsistency, imprecision, and indirectness. Evidence of efficacy outcomes will be rated from high quality to very low quality.

Patient and public involvement
No patients or public will participate in the study.

Ethics and dissemination
Since confidential patient data will not be involved in this study, formal ethics approval is not required. The framework of the PRISMA statements for NMA will be applied to guide review authors to perform this study. The results will be disseminated by a peer-reviewed publication.

DISCUSSION
This study is a comprehensive systematic review and NMA to compare a variety of anti-diabetic agents for preventing the development of T2DM in patients with pre-diabetes. Our study will provide a summary of available evidence concerning various anti-hyperglycaemia agents for T2DM prevention in patients with pre-diabetic state, benefiting for clinicians and guideline-makers. Previous relevant reviews and meta-analyses only included clinical trials published before 2015. Importantly, recent large-scale RCTs (eg, the ACE and IRIS trials) have provided substantial data with respect to this topic. Additionally, DPP-4 inhibitors and glucagon-like peptide (GLP-1) analogues are not involved in previous studies. It is essential to contain these commonly prescribed medications in multiple comparisons of glucose-lowering agents for the prevention of T2DM. Moreover, the influence of different diagnostic criteria for pre-diabetes (IFG and IGT) on the prevention efficacy of anti-diabetic agents remains uncertain. Thus, we plan to conduct this study to investigate various anti-diabetic agents for diabetes prevention. The findings of our study will generate high quality recommendations regarding the optimal anti-diabetic agent to
reduce risk of diabetes for patients with pre-diabetes. This study will combine data of all glucose-lowering drugs that have been tested for diabetes prevention by clinical trials. To develop better individualised strategies for diabetes prevention, intervention efficacy according to diagnostic criteria for pre-diabetes (IFG and IGT) will also be explored. However, our study may have several possible limitations. First, the different frequencies, dosages, and routes of administration of pharmacological therapies may result in considerable heterogeneity. Second, differences in the inclusion criteria of participants and definition of the primary end-point events may influence the quality of evidence. Finally, study level data will be used rather than data on individuals.
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