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ABSTRACT

Introduction Female genital fistula is a debilitating traumatic injury, largely birth-associated, globally affecting up to 2 million women, mostly in sub-Saharan Africa. Fistula has significant physical, psychological and economic consequences. Women often face challenges in reintegrating and resuming prior roles despite successful surgery. Synthesising the evidence on services adjunct to fistula surgery and their outcomes is important for developing the evidence base for best practices and identifying research priorities. This scoping review seeks to examine the range of rehabilitation and reintegration services provided as adjunct to genital fistula surgery, map the existing programming and outcomes, and identify areas for additional research.

Methods and analysis Our scoping review is informed by existing methodological frameworks and will be conducted in accordance with Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses-ScR guidelines. The search strategy will be applied to nine biomedical, public health and social science databases. The initial search was completed on 27 September 2018. Grey literature will be identified through targeted Google searches and from organisational websites identified as relevant by the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA) Campaign to End Fistula. We will iteratively build our search strategy through term harvesting and review, and search reference lists of reports and articles to identify additional studies. Two reviewers will independently screen titles and abstracts, followed by full-text screening of all potentially relevant articles and standardised data extraction. Articles eligible for inclusion will discuss research or programmatic efforts around service provision in adjunct to surgery among females with genital fistula. Data will be presented in summary tables accompanied by narrative description.

Ethics and dissemination Ethics approval is not required for a scoping review. Our results can be used to inform policy, serve as support for funding and development of reintegration programmes and highlight areas for subsequent research. Results will be disseminated at relevant conferences and published in a peer-reviewed journal.

INTRODUCTION

Female genital fistula is a debilitating and traumatic injury that affects an estimated 2 million women, mostly in sub-Saharan Africa.1 Primarily due to prolonged and neglected obstructed labour from cephalopelvic disproportion or malpresentation combined with delays in accessing comprehensive emergency obstetric care, up to 100,000 new cases occur each year globally. During the obstructed labour, ischaemia from compression of soft vaginal, bladder or rectal tissue between the fetal head and pelvic bone results in ischaemic necrosis, and a fistula is formed on sloughing of this tissue.2 Women with fistula experience uncontrollable leakage of urine and/or faeces, which contributes to the development of genital sores and infection.3 4 In addition to pain and general weakness,5 women may experience nerve damage, uterine cervix injuries and...
pelvic bone trauma which present as secondary infertility and gait disorders. For most deliveries resulting in fistula, the baby does not survive. Women with fistula are often stigmatised and marginalised from their families and communities and live in isolation, unable to participate in social, economic, food preparation or religious activities, and report high psychiatric morbidity including depression, which may persist after surgery.

Access to obstetric fistula surgery has improved in sub-Saharan Africa overall; however, despite having undergone surgical repair, women may continue to face myriad physical and psychological challenges to resuming their previous roles or adjusting to new circumstances. They may also require further medical care depending on the severity of their injuries and surgical outcomes and require healthcare access for subsequent pregnancies and births. Longitudinal studies from sub-Saharan Africa have identified risks of adverse outcome following fistula surgery, including fistula recurrence, persistent fistula-related symptoms, subsequent fertility challenges and adverse perinatal outcomes. In Guinea, for example, the cumulative incidence of fistula recurrence was 16% at 24 months. In Uganda, by 12 months postsurgery, one-third of women continued to experience urinary incontinence, 17% reported weakness and 9% reported general pain. In Malawi, only one-fifth of women with reproductive potential were able to become pregnant in the year following surgery. Furthermore, experience of persistent physical symptoms or adversity is associated with substantially lower psychosocial health. All of these factors limit women’s ability to resume their previous roles despite successful surgery, particularly in the context of economic hardship. A systematic review of 10 qualitative studies from sub-Saharan Africa identified various reintegration needs from the perspectives of both women affected by fistula and health professionals working with this patient population, including health education and counselling.

This literature highlights the need for postsurgical reintegration and rehabilitation services; however, there remains a knowledge and practice gap around programming to best assist women to reintegrate into their families and communities after surgery. Preliminary evidence from Nigeria and Eritrea supports short-term facility-based psychological intervention for improving women’s mental health, and programming supported by non-governmental organisations often includes counselling, physical therapy and skills training. Synthesising research on the reintegration process, evaluation and service provision is of paramount importance to develop a strong evidence base, which can guide prioritisation of service provision to meeting the future health needs of women who have experienced obstetric fistula.

Thus, the objective of this scoping review is to examine the range of rehabilitation and reintegration services provided as adjunct to genital fistula surgery, map the existing programming and outcomes, and identify areas where additional research is necessary.

**METHODS AND ANALYSIS**

The purpose of this scoping review is to understand the extent to which rehabilitation and reintegration services have been provided to women in conjunction with female genital fistula surgery and the outcomes of such programming. The findings from this review will be used to support the evidence-based development of programming for women undergoing surgery for female genital fistula surgery and identify further research needs in this area. A preliminary search for existing reviews on this topic has been conducted in Joanna Briggs Institute Database of Systematic Reviews and Implementation Reports (JBI-SIR), PROSPERO, PubMed, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, EPPI and Epistemonikos, and no similar systematic or scoping reviews were identified. Given the lack of prior reviews, a scoping review is an appropriate first step to assessing the literature in this area. Our scoping review methodology is informed by the Arskey and O’Malley and Levac frameworks and will be conducted in accordance with Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA-ScR) guidelines.

**Patient and public involvement**

Patients and/or public were not involved in the design or development of this protocol and research questions. Results of this study will not be disseminated to study participants.

**Identifying the research question**

The objective of this scoping review is to examine the range of rehabilitation and reintegration services provided as adjunct to genital fistula surgery, map the existing programming and outcomes of programming, and identify areas where additional research is necessary. To achieve these objectives, our review targets the following research questions:

1. What rehabilitation and reintegration services are provided as adjunct to genital fistula surgery for women with obstetric, iatrogenic or traumatic fistula?
2. What are the components of each rehabilitation and reintegration intervention, and how are they delivered?
3. What is the impact of each rehabilitation and reintegration intervention on women’s physical, psychosocial and economic status?
4. What are the authors’ recommendations for rehabilitation and reintegration interventions and intervention delivery?
5. What are the areas of research gaps and what topics should future research focus on?

In this review, we include genital fistula from obstetric, iatrogenic and traumatic aetiologies. Obstetric refers to fistula resulting from pressure necrosis due to prolonged obstructed labour; iatrogenic refers to fistula resulting from surgical error, primarily occurring during caesarean section or hysterectomy and traumatic refers to those fistula resulting from sexual assault.

Figure 1  Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses flowchart for selection of eligible studies and programme reports.

Types of sources
Sources to be reviewed include biomedical, public health and social science databases, including PubMed, Embase, Popline, PsycINFO, Web of Science, Sociological Abstracts, Social Services Abstracts and African Journals Online. The grey literature identified within targeted Google searches and directly from organisation websites identified as being relevant by the Campaign to End Fistula Partners (UNFPA) (online supplementary appendix 1), and through personal queries with clinical and social service organisations working in fistula research. All data and articles will be managed within Endnote citation software.

Search strategy
We will employ a three-step search strategy for identifying published and unpublished studies for our scoping review. An initial search was performed on 27 September 2018.

We will begin by conducting a preliminary search of PubMed to identify key articles on our topic and begin the process of term harvesting, described herein. From these key articles, we will extract text words and MeSH terms from titles, abstracts and author-supplied keywords to build a comprehensive list of keywords and controlled vocabulary terms, to inform our search strategy development. Next, we will work collaboratively with a medical librarian (JB-W) with training and experience in systematic reviews to design our search strategy using an iterative process that follows an established search method. Each potential search term will be tested, with the two primary reviewers examining the first 60 unique results for each term in order to determine that term’s relevance and subsequent inclusion in the search strategy. The search strategy will be developed in PubMed and adapted to other databases accordingly, using controlled vocabulary (eg, MeSH, Entree, thesaurus terms) where databases allow. A second librarian will peer review the final search strategy using the Peer Review of Electronic Search Strategies guidelines. Third, the reference lists of all included reports and articles will be searched to identify any additional studies. The reviewers will contact authors of primary studies, reviews and grey literature if further information is desired.

The search strategy will combine two main concepts: obstetric fistula and social reintegration. Boolean logic will be applied by combining similar key words and controlled vocabulary (eg, MeSH and Entree terms) with OR and using AND between the two concepts, for example (“obstetric fistula” OR “vesicovaginal fistula”) AND (reintegration OR rehabilitation). During preliminary searching, we have found a large number of irrelevant articles related to cancer in two sets of database (31% of our results in Embase and 17% of our results in Web of Science); therefore, an additional concept using cancer-related terms will be added using the NOT term that will exclude these terms from our searches in those two databases. To ensure that this concept exclusion will not eliminate any relevant results, the primary reviewers evaluated the first 100 unique results that would be left out of searches that used this NOT concept. No relevant articles were discovered using this method; thus, we feel confident that this technique will only exclude irrelevant articles. The complete search strategy for all databases can be found in online supplementary appendix 2.

In accordance with PRISMA guidelines, no language limits will be used in the search. Date limits will be applied to identify relevant studies as social reintegration for obstetric fistula is a reasonably new area of study. To determine which date limits to use, primary reviewers tested searches from various publication date ranges, looking through the first 50 unique results of each time span to judge relevance of the studies included in these dates. Using this method, we chose to include articles from 2000 through 2018.

Study selection
Two primary reviewers (AE and CP) will independently screen titles and abstracts of all articles, followed by full-text screening and data extraction. A third reviewer (AD) will be available to resolve discrepancies and will ultimately determine if the study meets inclusion criteria for data extraction. Study screening progress will be documented in a modified PRISMA flow chart (figure 1). In accordance with established scoping review frameworks, critical appraisal of study quality will not be performed owing to the heterogeneity of results and the multi-tiered aspect of the research question.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria
Inclusion and exclusion criteria for selection of eligible studies were developed using the population, concept,
context elements proposed for scoping reviews by the Joanna Briggs Institute (table 1). 24 We selected this approach in order to broadly identify all data that are potentially relevant to our objective.

**Types of participants**

Females diagnosed with genital fistula with obstetric, iatrogenic (non-cancer) or traumatic aetiology and accessing surgical treatment. No limitations on geography or age will be placed.

**Concept**

Research or service provision for all services provided in adjunct to surgery among females diagnosed with genital fistula of obstetric, iatrogenic (non-cancer) or traumatic aetiology. Interventions of interest are anticipated to include but will not be limited to the following: psychological therapy, physical therapy, skills training or other economic empowerment.

**Data synthesis**

The scoping review will be heavily focused on the services provided; however, we will capture any clinical or patient-reported outcomes beyond surgical success including but not limited to: persistent incontinence, pain, weakness, difficulty walking, quality of life, depressive symptoms, self-esteem, relationship stability and stigma.

**Context**

No contextual limitations will be placed on the scoping review; however, we are unable to review articles written in languages other than English and French. Due to the geographic distribution of female genital fistula, we anticipate that the majority of the evidence will originate in sub-Saharan Africa.

**Extraction of results**

We have developed a draft charting table (table 2) to record key information from each publication or report. The extraction form will be pilot tested by each of the two primary reviewers with several studies to ensure that all relevant data are extracted and will be updated if additional relevant variables are identified.

**Table 1** Population, concept and context for identification of eligible studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concept</td>
<td>Any research or service provision in addition to surgery; no limitations on intervention type. Clinical or patient-reported outcomes beyond surgical success. Studies or reports including original research or programme data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Context</td>
<td>All contexts; articles written in English or French.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The scoping review will be heavily focused on the services provided; however, we will capture any clinical or patient-reported outcomes beyond surgical success including but not limited to: persistent incontinence, pain, weakness, difficulty walking, quality of life, depressive symptoms, self-esteem, relationship stability and stigma.

**Context**

No contextual limitations will be placed on the scoping review; however, we are unable to review articles written in languages other than English and French. Due to the geographic distribution of female genital fistula, we anticipate that the majority of the evidence will originate in sub-Saharan Africa.

**Extraction of results**

We have developed a draft charting table (table 2) to record key information from each publication or report. The extraction form will be pilot tested by each of the two primary reviewers with several studies to ensure that all relevant data are extracted and will be updated if additional relevant variables are identified.

**Data synthesis**

We will present the search and screening flow process (figure 1). The findings from the scoping review will be presented in a table summarising the information of each of the included studies to highlight year of the literature, countries of origin, intervention component and research methods, following the general format of our charting table (table 2). Additionally, we will present participant outcomes by type of intervention component and by fistula aetiology, if possible. Narrative summaries of each of these findings will accompany the figures/tables. Two reviewers (AE and CP) will thematically analyse the
data within each of the subdomains outlined in table 2, working together to consolidate the findings through consensus. Additional content experts with knowledge of obstetric fistula in global contexts (AD, SO, JB, AK, JKB) will be available to provide guidance during this step. The text will describe how the findings of the scoping review relate to the review objective and respond to each of the specific research questions. We will then discuss our recommendations for future research and next steps.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

No ethical review is required for a scoping review of the literature; thus, we have not sought ethics approval for our review.

The proposed scoping review will help in understanding and describing what is known and unknown about rehabilitation and social reintegration of women following genital fistula surgery. We know that as a consequence of fistula, women are often stigmatised and marginalised from their community, with high rates of depression that persist even after surgical repair. While access to surgical care has improved, there is less known about the approach to postsurgical social services, including reintegration and rehabilitation. While there is a call in the literature for the need of postsurgical reintegration services, there is a dearth of information describing best practices or detailing the specific requirements needed for a successful programme.

Results from this scoping review will be useful in developing social reintegration projects in areas where fistula projects are ongoing. The review can be used to inform policy and serve as support for funding and development of reintegration programme. Furthermore, the results can highlight areas of future research to pursue and help build protocols for postsurgical rehabilitation and reintegration programme throughout contexts where fistula is prevalent.

The study may be limited by including only articles published in English and French as study eligibility criteria and the lack of published articles on this topic. However, our scoping review will also include a systematic approach to reviewing the grey literature, in hopes of capturing all relevant information. Even in finding a deficit of published information, this scoping review may be a call for future published research in the area of social reintegration and rehabilitation of women following genital fistula surgery.
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