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ABSTRACT

Objectives To provide a comprehensive systematic overview of current evidence from pooled analyses/meta-analyses and systematic reviews (PMASRs) pertaining to dairy consumption and incident cancer and/or all-cause or cancer-specific mortality.

Design Overview of reviews.

Setting Community setting.

Participants The unit of analysis is PMASRs. A total of 42 PMASRs was included in this overview of reviews.

Interventions/exposures Any dairy product consumption (eg, milk, yogurt, etc).

Primary and secondary outcomes measures Primary outcome measure is development of any type of cancer. Secondary outcome measures are all-cause mortality and cancer-specific mortality.

Results From 9693 citations identified, we included 42 PMASRs (52 study reports) published between 1991 and 2017. Thirty-one (74%) of these were pooled analyses/meta-analyses, and only 11 (26%) were systematic reviews and meta-analyses. There was a wide variability in the type of study designs included within the other PMASRs, thus contributing to variable and, in instances, divergent estimates of cancer risk for several cancer subtypes. For example, only one systematic review and meta-analysis exclusively included prospective study designs. Most PMASRs were of low to moderate quality based on the Assessing the Methodological Quality of Systematic Reviews (AMSTAR) scores. The median AMSTAR score was 5 (IQR 2–7). Our overview identified conflicting evidence from PMASRs on association between dairy consumption and incident cancers or mortality. Heterogeneity in summary estimates reflected the inclusion of variable study designs and overall low methodological quality of individual PMASRs.

Conclusions The association between dairy consumption and cancer risk has been explored in PMASRs with a variety of study designs and of low to moderate quality. To fully characterise valid associations between dairy consumption and risk of cancer and/or mortality rigorously conducted, PMASRs including only high-quality prospective study designs are required.

Trial registration number CRD42017078463.

INTRODUCTION

Cancer is a major public health issue in North America and worldwide,1 with a global burden that is expected to grow to 21.7 million new cases and 13 million cancer deaths by 2030.2,3 Cancer is the leading cause of mortality in the USA (30.2%)4 and the second leading cause of mortality in Canada (30.2%).3 The second leading cause of mortality in the USA.1 Many risk factors such as genetic inheritance, diet, physical inactivity, smoking, alcohol consumption and exposure to environmental pollution and radiation have been associated with the development of cancer.5 Only 5%–10% of cancers are attributed to inherited genetic defects,5 while the remaining 90%–95% of all cancers are attributed to either environmental or lifestyle factors.5 A direct relationship between diet and an increased risk of cancer has been well described. It is estimated that diet accounts for 35% of all cancer risk.6

Milk and dairy products from various animal sources are considered well-balanced nutritive foods,7 and dietary guidelines recommend a daily consumption of low-fat dairy products for optimal health.8 Recently, however, the assumed beneficial effects of dairy consumption have been questioned and potential risks have been identified by nutrition scientists9.
and authors. While dairy products are believed to be rich in bioactive compounds that may be beneficial to health, studies have also suggested that dairy may contain harmful reproductive and cancer-causing hormones and that regular dairy consumption may promote cancer by increasing insulin-like growth factor levels. Several epidemiological studies have explored the association between dairy consumption and risk of various cancers but the evidence from primary research remains inconclusive and controversial.

Numerous pooled analyses/meta-analyses and systematic reviews (PMASRs) have synthesised a variety of data-exploring associations between dairy products consumption and cancer incidence. The scope, objectives, outcomes and the methodological quality of these PMASRs are diverse. In order to inform clinical decision-making and to guide future research, we conducted an overview of reviews to identify the totality of evidence currently available from PMASRs. Our objective is to provide a systematic and comprehensive critical appraisal of synthesised evidence evaluating the association of dairy consumption and incident cancer and all-cause or cancer-specific mortality.

**METHODS**

We conducted this overview of reviews using an a priori protocol (CRD42017078463) (online supplementary file) and reported it according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA). The eligibility criteria are reported in online supplementary table 1.

**Search methods**

We searched for PMASRs on dairy consumption and cancer using a peer-reviewed comprehensive search strategy of the following bibliographic databases: PubMed (National Library of Medicine), Medline (Ovid), EMBASE (Ovid), Cochrane Library (Wiley), Centre for Agriculture and Biosciences (CAB) abstracts (Ovid), Food, Science & Technology (Ovid) and Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL) (EbscoHost) from inception to July 2017, irrespective of the publication status or publication year. We also searched Web of Science-Science Citation Index (Clarivate Analytics), Web of Science-Conference Abstracts (Clarivate Analytics) and Scopus (Elsevier). To identify additional potentially relevant studies, we performed forward searches in Scopus (Elsevier) and Web of Science (Clarivate Analytics). We hand-searched conference proceedings (2014–2017) for the following conferences: American Society of Clinical Oncology’s annual meeting (ASCO), American Association for Cancer Research (AACR) Annual Meeting, and European Society for Medical Oncology (ESMO). We contacted experts in the field and searched Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO) for relevant unpublished reviews. We used EndNote (V.X7, Thomson Reuters) for reference management. Our search strategy for Medline is reported in online supplementary table 2.

We used the study design filter for systematic reviews and meta-analysis in our search strategies formatted for the various databases.

**Study selection process**

Two reviewers (MJ and one of LG, JL or AS) independently screened the titles and abstracts of citations identified by our search strategy. Each screened abstract was marked as either included, excluded or unsure. Conflicts were resolved using consensus or third-party adjudication. Full texts were retrieved for the citations marked as either included or unsure. Full-text screening was performed by two reviewers (LG and either FF or LC) and conflicts were again resolved either through consensus or third-party adjudication. A PRISMA flow diagram illustrating the number of studies either included or excluded at each step of the study selection process is reported in figure 1.

**Dealing with companion publications**

In the event of companion reports of an included PMASR, we used the publication that contained the most complete information relevant to our overview of reviews as the primary report and listed all other companion publications as secondary reports under the primary reference of the included PMASR.

**Assessment of methodological quality of included reviews**

We used the Assessing the Methodological Quality of Systematic Reviews (AMSTAR) tool to assess the methodological quality/internal validity of each of the included PMASRs. Two reviewers (LG and either of FF or LC) independently assessed the quality of the included PMASRs and resolved conflicts either through consensus or third party adjudication (figure 2).

**Data extraction and analysis**

We developed, piloted and used customised data extraction forms to extract data from included PMASRs. Two review authors (LG and either of FF or LC) independently extracted the characteristics and the summary effect estimates and CIs with conflicts resolved through consensus or third-party adjudication. The individual study characteristics extracted from the included PMASRs are itemised in table 1 and online supplementary table 3. We analysed the extracted study characteristics using Microsoft Excel (Excel V. 14, Microsoft, Redmond, Washington, USA). Categorical and continuous data from included PMASRs are reported as proportions or medians/means with accompanying measures of variance where appropriate. The summary effect estimates from 156 meta-analyses (comparing highest vs lowest dairy intake) reported by the included PMASRs were extracted and are reported in online supplementary tables 4, 5 and 6. We assessed the nature of association for each of the meta-analysis reported in the PMASRs based on the extracted summary effect estimates and the CIs.

The number of studies reporting the nature of association between dairy consumption and each type of cancer...
outcome has been reported using radar plot in figure 3. The number of studies reporting the nature of association between various dairy products and specific gastrointestinal or hormone dependent cancer is reported using radar plot in figures 4 and 5.

Patient involvement
Patients were not involved in this overview of reviews.

RESULTS
Search results
We identified 9693 unique records from searching electronic databases and 3 additional records through hand-searching; 42 PMASRs (52 reports) met our inclusion criteria and were included in this overview of reviews (figure 1).

Description of included PMASRs
The included PMASRs were published between 1991 and 2017. In total, 31 (74%) were pooled analyses/meta-analyses and 11 (26%) were systematic reviews and meta-analyses. The 11 systematic reviews and meta-analysis reported on the risks of colorectal cancer,27 31 prostate cancer,20 lung cancer,19 breast cancer,28 35 gastric cancer,32 34 ovarian cancer29 or oesophageal cancer.30 One systematic review33 was included as three separate PMASRs because the data were reported separately for three different patient populations based on their ability to digest lactose. The PMASRs included many study designs such as cohort, case–control, nested-case–control and case-cohorts (online supplementary table 3). Only 1 (2%) of the 11 systematic reviews and meta-analysis exclusively included prospective cohort studies. The PMASRs included primary studies that were conducted in various continents (online supplementary table 3). The number (%) of PMASRs that included primary studies from different continents is as follows: Europe (n=39 (93%)), North America (n=35 (83%)), Asia (n=27 (64%)), South America (n=13 (31%)) or Oceania (n=9 (21%)). Most PMASRs (n=26 (62%)) were

---

**Figure 1** Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses flow diagram depicting study selection process.
funded by non-industry sources; few (n=2 (5%)) were industry sponsored and the remaining (n=14 (33%)) did not report a source of funding. Informative subgroup analyses, evaluation of publication bias and meta-regression based on important potential confounders were performed in 62%, 55% and 33% of PMASRs, respectively. Most of the PMASRs reported using random-effects model (60%) for their analyses; 7% used fixed-effects models and 19% reported using both models. The key features and the individual characteristics of the included PMASRs are summarised in table 1 and online supplementary table 3.

Methodological quality of included reviews
The AMSTAR scores ranged from 1 to 8 out of a possible maximum score of 11. The median score and the IQR were 5 and (2.25–6.75), respectively. Thirty-eight per cent (16/42) of the PMASRs had a score of 1–3 (low quality), and 55% (23/42) had a score of 4–7 (moderate quality). Only 7% (3/42) of PMASRs had a high score of 8 (high quality), with none reaching the maximum score of 11 (figure 2 and online supplementary table 7). The 11 included systematic reviews and meta-analyses were mostly of moderate quality with 2 that were low and high quality. The domains of the AMSTAR tool in which most PMASRs scored the lowest were for search of ‘grey literature’ or ‘unpublished literature’, providing a list of included and excluded studies, and providing source of funding for each of the included primary studies in the PMASR. The domains of the AMSTAR tool in which most PMASRs scored the highest were for providing characteristics of included studies and using appropriate methods for pooling data from primary studies. The relationship between AMSTAR scores and the publication year of the included PMASRs is reported using a line plot in online supplementary figure 1.

Primary outcome
Nature of association between dairy consumption and risk of cancer
The various dairy products (n=19) reported as exposures in the PMASRs were all-dairy products, whole milk, milk, fermented milk, non-fermented milk, low-fat milk, skim milk, yogurt, cheese, hard cheese, cottage cheese, butter, solid cheese, dairy calcium, ice cream, fermented dairy, low-fat dairy, high-fat dairy and lactose. We grouped the reported cancer outcomes (n=14) in the PMASRs into gastrointestinal cancers (oesophageal, gastric, pancreatic and colorectal cancers); hormone-dependent cancers (prostate, ovarian, endometrial and breast cancers); other cancers (bladder cancer, renal cell cancer, lung cancer, thyroid cancer, non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma and multiple myeloma).

Out of 153 reported meta-analyses (comparing highest vs lowest dairy consumption) in the 42 PMASRs, 109 (71%) showed no evidence of a statistically significant
association between dairy consumption and incidence of cancers, 20 (13%) showed decreased risk of cancers with dairy consumption and 24 (16%) showed increased risk of cancers with dairy consumption. The nature of associations between various dairy product exposures and the risk of cancer is depicted in Table 2 and Fig 3.

**Nature of association between dietary consumption and gastrointestinal cancers**

The nature of association between various dairy exposures and the risk of gastrointestinal cancers is depicted in Fig 4. Out of 14 cancer outcomes reported in the included PMASRs, the following 4 were gastrointestinal cancers.

**Oesophageal cancer**

Six meta-analyses explored associations between various dairy products consumption and risk of oesophageal cancer. Four meta-analyses showed non-significant associations between ‘all-dairy products’, milk, cheese or butter consumption and risk of oesophageal cancer. Two meta-analyses showed decreased risk of oesophageal cancer with higher yogurt or ‘all-dairy products’ consumption.

**Gastric cancer**

A total of 11 meta-analyses explored associations between dietary consumption and risk of gastric cancer. Ten showed non-significant associations between ‘all-dairy products’, milk, yogurt, cheese or butter consumption and risk of gastric cancer. One meta-analysis showed decreased risk of gastric cancer with higher milk consumption.

**Pancreatic cancer**

Eight meta-analyses showed non-significant associations between whole milk, milk, low-fat milk, skim milk, yogurt, cheese, cottage cheese or ice cream consumption and risk of pancreatic cancer. None of the dairy products was shown to either decrease or increase the risk of pancreatic cancer.

**Colorectal cancer**

Twenty-nine meta-analyses explored associations between various dairy products and risk of colorectal cancer. Twenty meta-analyses showed non-significant associations between ‘all-dairy products’, milk, fermented milk, yogurt, cheese, cottage cheese, butter, solid cheese, fermented dairy, low-fat dairy or

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Included PMASRs</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Included PMASRs, n</td>
<td>42 (100)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Included meta-analysis, n</td>
<td>31 (74)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Included systematic review and meta-analysis, n</td>
<td>11 (26)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systematic review and meta-analysis that only included prospective cohorts, n</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMASRs that only included prospective cohorts, n</td>
<td>7 (17)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publication year</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1991</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding (industry-funded PMASRs)</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding (non-industry-funded PMASRs)</td>
<td>26 (62)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding (no funding/not reported)</td>
<td>14 (33)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>107</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMASRs that used random-effects model, n</td>
<td>25 (60)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMASRs that used fixed-effects model, n</td>
<td>3 (7)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMASRs that used random-effects and fixed-effects models, n</td>
<td>8 (19)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMASRs that reported subgroup analysis, n</td>
<td>26 (62)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMASRs that reported publication bias, n</td>
<td>23 (55)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMASRs that reported meta-regression, n</td>
<td>14 (33)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMASRs that reported Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE), n</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMASRs that reported risk of cancer as outcome, n</td>
<td>40 (95)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMASRs that reported all-cause mortality as outcome, n</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMASRs that reported cancer-specific mortality as outcome, n</td>
<td>3 (7)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMSTAR scores of PMASRs (out of 11)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMSTAR, Assessing the Methodological Quality of Systematic Reviews.</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Open access**

high-fat dairy consumption and risk of colorectal cancer. Nine meta-analyses showed decreased risk of colorectal cancer with higher consumption of milk, non-fermented milk or ‘all-dairy products’.

**Evidence from systematic reviews and meta-analysis**

Evidence from available systematic reviews and meta-analyses on oesophageal, gastric or colorectal cancer showed either a non-significant association or decreased risk with higher dairy products intake (table 3 and online supplementary table 8).

**Nature of association between dairy consumption and hormone-dependent cancers**

The nature of associations between various dairy exposures and the risk of hormone-dependent cancers is depicted in figure 5. Out of 14 cancer outcomes reported in the included PMASRs, the following 4 were hormone-dependent cancers.

**Prostate cancer**

Twenty-eight meta-analyses explored associations between various dairy products consumption and risk of prostate cancer. Thirteen meta-analyses showed non-significant association between ‘all-dairy products’, milk, skim milk, yogurt, cheese, butter or ice cream consumption and risk of prostate cancer. Two meta-analyses showed decreased risk of prostate cancer with higher whole milk and cheese consumption. Thirteen meta-analyses showed increased risk of prostate cancer with higher consumption of ‘all-dairy products’, milk, low-fat milk, cheese or dairy calcium.

**Ovarian cancer**

A total of 29 meta-analyses explored associations between various dairy products consumption and risk of ovarian cancer. Twenty-six meta-analyses showed non-significant associations between ‘all-dairy products’, whole milk, milk, low-fat milk, skim milk, yogurt, cheese, hard cheese, cottage cheese, butter, ice cream or lactose, consumption and risk of ovarian cancer. Three meta-analyses showed increased risk of ovarian cancer with higher consumption of whole milk or lactose exposure.

**Endometrial cancer**

Only one meta-analysis explored the association between ‘all-dairy products’ consumption and risk of endometrial cancer. ‘All-dairy products’ consumption was shown to increase the risk of endometrial cancer in this meta-analysis.

**Breast cancer**

Thirteen meta-analyses explored associations between various dairy products and risk of breast cancer. Eight meta-analyses showed non-significant associations between ‘all-dairy products’, whole milk, milk, low-fat milk, cheese, dairy calcium or high-fat dairy consumption and the risk of breast cancer. Three meta-analyses showed decreased risk of breast cancer with higher consumption of ‘all-dairy products’, yogurt...
Evidence from systematic reviews and meta-analysis

Evidence from systematic reviews and meta-analysis on prostate cancer, breast cancer or ovarian cancer indicates heterogeneous estimates of cancer risk pertaining to dairy consumption (table 3 and online supplementary table 8).

Nature of association between dairy consumption and other reported cancers

Bladder cancer

Nine meta-analyses explored associations between various dairy products and risk of bladder cancer. Five meta-analyses showed non-significant associations between ‘all-dairy products’, milk, cheese or butter consumption and risk of bladder cancer. Three meta-analyses showed decreased risk of bladder cancer with higher consumption of milk, fermented milk or skim milk. One meta-analysis showed increased risk of bladder cancer with higher consumption of whole milk.

Renal cell carcinoma

Only one meta-analysis explored the association between milk consumption and risk of renal cell carcinoma. Milk consumption was non-significantly associated with risk of renal cell carcinoma in this meta-analysis.

Lung cancer

Seven meta-analyses explored associations between dairy products and risk of lung cancer. All seven meta-analyses showed non-significant associations between ‘all-dairy products’, milk, low-fat milk, yogurt or cheese consumption and risk of lung cancer.

Non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma

Nine meta-analyses explored associations between various dairy products and risk of non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma. Five meta-analyses showed non-significant associations between ‘all-dairy products’, milk, low-fat milk, yogurt or cheese consumption and risk of non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma.
yogurt or cheese consumption and risk of non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma. Four meta-analyses\(^\text{62}\) showed increased risk of non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma with consumption of ‘all-dairy products’, milk, butter or ice cream.

Multiple myeloma

Two meta-analyses\(^\text{61}\) explored associations between milk or cheese consumption and risk of multiple myeloma and showed non-significant associations.

Thyroid cancer

Only one PMASR\(^\text{63}\) explored three associations between milk, cheese or butter and risk of thyroid cancer. Because CIs for the summary effect estimates were not reported, the nature of these associations was not assessable.

Evidence from systematic reviews and meta-analysis

One systematic review on lung cancer\(^\text{19}\) showed non-significant association between dairy consumption and lung cancer risk.

Secondary outcomes

Nature of association between dairy consumption and the risk of mortality

All-cause mortality

Only one PMASR\(^\text{64}\) reported meta-analyses exploring associations between ‘all-dairy products’, milk, cheese or butter consumption and risk of all-cause mortality (online supplementary table 8). Non-significant associations were found between ‘all-dairy products’, milk, cheese or butter consumption and risk of all-cause mortality.

Cancer-specific mortality

Three PMASRs\(^\text{19, 64, 65}\) reported non-significant association between milk consumption and cancer-specific mortality (online supplementary table 8). Two PMASRs\(^\text{64, 65}\) reported non-significant association between yogurt, milk, cheese, all-dairy products or butter consumption and risk of cancer-specific mortality. One PMASR\(^\text{66}\) reported a significant decrease in risk of lung cancer-specific mortality with higher consumption of cheese and a non-significant
Table 2  Nature of association between dairy consumption and risk of cancer reported in included pooled analyses / meta-analyses and systematic reviews

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of dairy</th>
<th>CR</th>
<th>PR</th>
<th>OV</th>
<th>BR</th>
<th>GS</th>
<th>EP</th>
<th>NHL</th>
<th>Lung</th>
<th>EM</th>
<th>BL</th>
<th>PN</th>
<th>TD</th>
<th>Renal cell</th>
<th>MM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All dairy products</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole milk</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fermented milk</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-fermented milk</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-fat milk</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skim milk</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yogurt</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheese</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hard cheese</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cottage cheese</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butter</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid cheese</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dairy calcium</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued
association between milk consumption and lung cancer mortality.

**DISCUSSION**

In this overview of reviews, we have provided an up-to-date comprehensive critical appraisal of 42 PMASRs (52 reports) that synthesised evidence on dairy consumption and risk of cancer. The current analyses revealed discrepant associations between dairy product consumption and risk of cancer when comparing highest versus the lowest levels of dairy consumption. None of the included primary studies in the PMASRs were randomised controlled trials (RCTs); thus we are only able to infer associations and not causality. In the context of variable and often discordant PMASRs, our study suggests that higher consumption of dairy products may be associated with decreased risk of gastrointestinal cancer and an uncertain or no established cancer risk associated with hormone-dependent or other hormone-independent cancers. Limited data precluded our ability to evaluate the association of dairy consumption on either all-cause mortality or cancer-specific mortality.

**Discussion of discrepant results**

Data suggested an inconsistent but perhaps increased risk of some hormone-dependent cancers with higher dairy consumption, but also suggested some conflicting associations for breast and prostate cancer. Exogenous oestrogens from milk products consumed today may explain the potential increased risk in hormone-dependent cancers. The evidence pertaining to hormone-dependent cancers reported in this overview was synthesised mostly by meta-analyses performed on diverse study types (including case reports, uncontrolled cohort studies and controlled cohort studies, either retrospective or prospective) of generally low to moderate methodological quality and thus could explain the conflicting associations reported. In contrast to the hormone-dependent cancers, data suggested an inconsistent but perhaps decreased risk of gastrointestinal cancers with higher dairy consumption. In many parts of the world, dairy products consumed today are fortified with vitamin D and also are a rich source of calcium, conjugated linoleic acid and sphingolipids. Studies have suggested that high intake of dietary calcium and vitamin D binds to free fatty acids and secondary bile acids in the digestive tract, reducing their toxic effects on gut epithelial cells and inhibiting proliferation of intestinal mucosa and epithelial cells. Thus the presence of these protective factors in dairy products may contribute to the decreased risk of gastrointestinal cancers associated with higher dairy consumption. Evidence report based on systematic literature review by the World Cancer Research Fund (WCRF)/American Institute for Cancer Research (AICR) reported that higher consumption of dairy products might increase risk of prostate cancer and decrease the risk of premenopausal breast cancer (limited evidence), whereas dairy products might decrease the risk of colorectal cancer (strong but probable evidence). These findings are in agreement with the conclusions of our overview of reviews for the above three cancers. In addition, in our study we have also provided evidence for the association between dairy intake and other types of cancers not addressed by the WCRF/AICR report.

Comparisons between ‘no dairy consumption’ and ‘high/low dairy consumption’ (compared with low dairy vs high dairy) may have more clearly defined the association between dairy intake and risks of hormone-dependent and gastrointestinal cancers as it is possible that the levels of reproductive hormones or protective factors in the dairy products may not have been sufficiently different between study populations consuming low dairy versus high dairy to see a significant impact of dairy on risk of cancer.

---

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of dairy</th>
<th>CR</th>
<th>PR</th>
<th>OV</th>
<th>BR</th>
<th>GS</th>
<th>EP</th>
<th>NHL</th>
<th>Lung</th>
<th>EM</th>
<th>BL</th>
<th>PN</th>
<th>TD</th>
<th>Renal cell</th>
<th>MM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ice cream</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fermented dairy</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-fat dairy</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-fat dairy</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactose</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ø, no association; –, decreased risk of cancer (p<0.05); +, increased risk of cancer (p<0.05); BL, bladder; BR, breast; CR, colorectal cancer; EM, endometrial; EP, oesophageal; GS, gastric; MM, multiple myeloma; NHL, non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma; OV, ovary; PN, pancreas; PR, prostate; TD, thyroid.
Issues of representativeness
In this overview, among the 11 included systematic review and meta-analyses, only one provided evidence exclusively from prospective study designs. It is important for systematic reviews and meta-analysis to derive evidence from prospective study designs such as RCTs or prospective controlled cohort studies in order to make any inference on causal association. Thus, high-quality evidence from systematic reviews of prospective study designs at low risk of bias is needed to assess the risk of cancers associated with high dairy consumption.

Issues of mortality
The evidence of no clear association between dairy product consumption and all-cause mortality comes from one PMASR. Similarly, evidence for dairy consumption and cancer-specific mortality comes from only three PMASRs and requires further study. In one PMASR reporting significant reduction in lung cancer-specific mortality, evidence was only from three primary studies (n=42011 participants) reflecting a paucity of available data. Further primary studies and the completion of comprehensive high-quality systematic reviews are needed to explore the association between dairy consumption and risk of all-cause or cancer-specific mortality.

Strengths and limitations
This overview of reviews is the first to synthesise current evidence from PMASRs that evaluated associations between dairy consumption and risk of cancer and/or mortality. Our systematic review methods were comprehensive and our scientific protocol was established a priori and registered in PROSPERO. We included reviews of any date and type to provide an expansive summary of evidence on the topic. Our overview also has limitations. We only included English-language PMASRs. This may contribute to language bias and thus publication bias. The majority (12/14) of the excluded non-English

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
<th>Nature of association between dairy consumption and risk of cancer: evidence from systematic reviews and meta-analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type of dairy</td>
<td>Type of cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All-dairy products</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole milk</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fermented milk</td>
<td>ø</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-fermented milk</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-fat milk</td>
<td>ø</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skim milk</td>
<td>ø</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yogurt</td>
<td>ø</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheese</td>
<td>ø</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cottage cheese</td>
<td>ø</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butter</td>
<td>ø</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid cheese</td>
<td>ø</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dairy calcium</td>
<td>ø</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice cream</td>
<td>ø</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fermented dairy</td>
<td>ø</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-fat dairy</td>
<td>ø</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-fat dairy</td>
<td>ø</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ø, no association; -, decreased risk of cancer (p<0.05); +, increased risk of cancer (p<0.05); BL, bladder; BR, breast; CR, colorectal cancer; EM, endometrial; EP, oesophageal; GS, gastric; MM, multiple myeloma; NHL, non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma; OV, ovary; PN, pancreas; PR, prostate; TD, thyroid.
citations did not appear to be PMASRs. As with any non-primary research, the results of this overview need to be interpreted with caution due to issues of heterogeneity. It is also important to recognise the overlap of the primary studies included in the PMASRs, the marked heterogeneity among included PMASRs with respect to included effect estimates and quality, and the predominantly retrospective nature of primary studies included in the PMASRs.

CONCLUSIONS
The association between dairy consumption and cancer risk has been explored with a variety of study designs in PMASRs of low to moderate quality. Limitations in the currently published PMASRs reduce the validity of previously published associations and mitigate assessment of causal association. To fully characterise valid associations between dairy consumption and risk of and/or cancer-related mortality, rigorously conducted systematic reviews and meta-analysis including only high-quality prospective study designs are required.
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