Injury			Numb	Specific						Perfor	mance	Cali	bration
severity measure	Country	Year	er of hospit al	injury mechanis m	Study population	Age included	Sample size	% mortality	Missing data %	AUROC	95% CI	H-L	p-value
	Turkey[1]	2011	1	All injuries	Injury patients admitted to ICU in a tertiary referral hospital	>=15	100	14%	NR*	0.92	NA‡	NA	NA
APACHE II	Thailand[2]	2012	1	All injuries	Injury patients admitted to surgical ICU, neurosurgical ICU or burn unit in a tertiary referral hospital	Adult (not specified)	132	20%	NR	0.89	NA	NA	NA
	Iran[3]	2012	1	Head injuries	Head injury associated with systemic trauma admitted to neurosurgical ICU	>=14	93	19.5%	NR	0.892	NA	NA	NA
	Morocco[4]	2014	1	Moderate and severe traumatic brain injuries	Injury patients admitted to medical and surgical ICU due to TBI	>=16	225	40.0%	7.6%	0.92	(0.837- 0.982)	NA	NA

Supplemental file 2: Validations studies describing performance of Injury severity measures to predict mortality

	Brazil[5]	2014	1	All injuries	Injury patients admitted for more than 24 hours in ER or ICU at a regional reference hospital	>12	163	10.4%	15.5%	0.777	(0.705- 0.838)	NA	NA
	China[6]	2014	1	All injuries	Emergency ICU patients arrived within 24 h of injury, with ISS >16 in a university hospital	>18	81	30.9%	NR	Day 1: 0.926; day 3: 0.967; day 7: 0.936	NA	NA	NA
APACHE III	Iran[7]	2016	2	Multiple injuries from road traffic injuries	Injury patients admitted to ICU and survived for at least 4 hours upon arrival in ICU in two hospitals	>14	152	31.6%	NR	0.797	(0.652- 0.901)	NA	NA
EISS	China[8]	2014	2	Moderate and severe injuries	Injury patients admitted to two tertiary hospitals	>=15	8040	6.2%	Excluded from analysis	Zhejiang: 0.949; Liaoning: 0.942	Zhejiang: (0.937- 0.961); Liaoning: (0.930- 0.955)	Zhejiang : 13.52; Liaoning: 15.55	Zhejiang: 0.0604; Liaoning: 0.0164

GAP	India[9]	2015	1	life- or limb- threatenin g injuries	Severely injured presented at the urban Level I trauma center	All	1117	32%	27%	0.85	(0.80- 0.90)	NA	NA
	Turkey[10]	2006	1	Falls	Injury pediatric patients due to specified mechanism admitted to ER in a university hospital	<14	749	3.6%	1.74%	0.975	(0.961- 0.985)	NA	NA
GCS	Indonesia[11]	2009	1	Traumatic brain injury with severe or multi- trauma	Injury patients of specified mechanism with severe or multi-trauma to the university hospital (level 2 trauma center)	>=12	48	37.0%	Excluded from analysis	0.756	NA	NA	NA

Brazil[12]	2011	1	Blunt traumatic brain injury	Injury patients of specified mechanism hospitalized at a trauma referral center	>14	277	19.1%	NR	First care: 0.747; best score: 0.791; worst score: 0.782	First care: (0.675- 0.819); best score: (0.735- 0.848); worst score: (0.724- 0.839)	NA	NA
Iran[3]	2012	1	Head injuries	Head injury associated with systemic trauma admitted to neurosurgical ICU	>=14	93	19.5%	NR	0.621	NA	NA	N
Morocco[4]	2014	1	Moderate and severe traumatic brain injuries	Injury patients admitted to medical and surgical ICU due to TBI	>=16	225	40.0%	7.6%	0.862	(0.823- 0.893)	NA	NA
Cameroon[13]	2014	1	All injuries (separate analysis for severe injuries)	Injury patients admitted to ER at the teaching and referral hospital	All	All injuries: 2855; severe injuries: 244	0.6%	13.4%	All injuries: 0.7525; severe injuries: 0.9658	All injuries: (0.6184- 0.8866); severe injuries: (0.9301- 1.000)	NA	N

	Turkey[10]	2006	1	Falls	Injury pediatric patients due to specified mechanism admitted to ER in a university hospital	<14	749	3.6%	1.74%	0.962	(0.945- 0.974)	NA	0.37
	Iran[14]	2007	3	All injuries	Injury patients admitted to the hospitals	All	4096	6%	NR	>0.93	NA	NA	NA
ISS	Lebanon[15]	2008	1	All injuries	Injury patients admitted in a major tertiary care referral hospital	All	891	3.6%	NR	0.881	(0.816- 0.945)	2.97	0.70
	China[16]	2008	1	All injuries	Patients with multiple injuries admitted to Emergency ICU in a university hospital	>=16	2110	NR	NR	0.943	(0.922- 0.949)	6.25	Compared with NISS: 0.052
	Turkey[17]	2009	1	Firearm injury	Firearm Injury patients admitted to ER in a level-1 trauma center	All	135	12.6%	NR	0.964	NA	1.908	0.965

Brazil[18]	2009	1	All injuries	Injury patients hospitalized at ICU for >24 hours and within 48 hours in a university hospital	>=18	185	21.1%	NR	0.63	(0.52- 0.72)	NA	0.636
Turkey[1]	2011	1	All injuries	Injury patients admitted to ICU in a tertiary referral hospital	>=15	100	14%	NR	0.878	NA	NA	NA
China[6]	2014	1	All injuries	Emergency ICU patients arrived within 24 h of injury, with ISS >16 in a university hospital	>18	81	30.9%	NR	0.804	NA	NA	NA
Cameroon[13]	2014	1	All injuries (separate analysis for severe injuries)	Injury patients admitted to ER at the teaching and referral hospital	All	All injuries: 2855; severe injuries: 244	0.6%	13.4%	All injuries: 0.7183; severe injuries: 0.7521	All injuries: (0.5491- 0.8885); Severe injuries: (0.4925- 1.000)	NA	NA

Pakistan[19]	2015	1	Abdominal gunshot injury	Injury patients admitted to a university hospital with the specified mechanism	>=16	70	15.7%	Excluded from analysis	0.952	(0.902- 1.000)	NA	NA
India[9]	2015	1	life- or limb- threatenin g injuries	Severely injured presented at the urban Level I trauma center	All	1117	32%	27%	0.69	(0.62- 0.76)	NA	NA
Cameroon[13]	2014	1	All injuries (separate analysis for severe injuries)	Injury patients admitted to ER at the teaching and referral hospital	All	All injuries: 2855; severe injuries: 244	0.6%	13.4%	All injuries: 0.7784; severe injuries: 0.9820	All injuries: (0.6285- 0.9212), severe injuries: (0.9585- 1.000)	NA	NA
India[9]	2015	1	life- or limb- threatenin g injuries	Severely injured presented at the urban Level I trauma center	All	1117	32%	27%	0.86	(0.81- 0.91)	NA	NA
Malawi[20]	2015	1	All injuries	Injury patients treated in a tertiary care referral hospital	Adults (not specified)	All: 15617; admitte d:2811	5%	Excluded from analysis	All patients: 0.6904; admitted patients: 0.5929;	NA	NA	NA

MGAP	India[9]	2015	1	life- or limb- threatenin g injuries	Severely injured presented at the urban Level I trauma center	All	1117	32%	27%	0.84	(0.79- 0.89)	NA	NA
LISS	China[21]	2012	3	Major injuries	Patients with major injury (AIS>3 in a single body region) admitted in tertiary hospitals	>=15	12238 (3,784, 4,436, and 4,018 patient s)	5.9%	NR	Hangzhou : 0.949; Zhejiang: 0.935; Shenyang : 0.936	Hangzhou : (0.938- 0.959); Zhejiang: (0.921- 0.953); Shenyang : (0.921- 0.953)	Hangzho u: 13.79; Zhejiang : 18.43; Shenyan g: 17.45	Hangzhou: 0.055; Zhejiang: 0.005; Shenyang: 0.008
LODS	Brazil[18]	2009	1	All injuries	Injury patients hospitalized at ICU for >24 hours and within 48 hours in a university hospital	>=18	185	21.1%	NR	0.83	(0.72- 0.89)	NA	0.0596
NISS	China[16]	2008	1	All injuries	Patients with multiple injuries admitted to Emergency ICU in a university hospital	>=16	2110	NR	NR	0.938	(0.922- 0.949)	7.36	Compared with ISS: 0.052

Turkey[17]	2009	1	Firearm injury	Firearm Injury patients admitted to ER in a level-1 trauma center university hospital	All	135	12.6%	NR	0.98	NA	1.4	0.994
Brazil[18]	2009	1	All injuries	Injury patients hospitalized at ICU for >24 hours and within 48 hours in a university hospital	>=18	185	21.1%	NR	0.58	(0.47- 0.67)	NA	0.1683
China[21]	2012	3	Major injuries	Patients with major injury (AIS>3 in a single body region) admitted in tertiary hospitals	>=15	12238 (3,784, 4,436, and 4,018 patient s)	5.9%	NR	Hangzhou : 0.931; Zhejiang: 0.911; Shenyang : 0.918	Hangzhou : (0.918- 0.944); Zhejiang: (0.890- 0.931); Shenyang : (0.904- 0.932)	Hangzho u: 15.76; Zhejiang : 22.70; Shenyan g: 28.97	Hangzhou: 0.027; Zhejiang: 0.001; Shenyang: <0.001
China[22]	2015	2	Serious injury (exclude isolated minor or moderate injuries to a single body region)	Injury patients except those with minor injury, admitted to two level-3 first-class hospitals	>18	8079	6%	NR	Hangzhou : 0.929; Shenyang : 0.924	Hangzhou : (0.916- 0.943); Shenyang : (0.910- 0.938)	Hangzho u: 29.71; Shenyan g: 33.49	Hangzhou: <0.001; Shenyang: <0.001

					laia.								
RAPS	Brazil[5]	2014	1	All injuries	Injury patients admitted for > 24 hours in ER or ICU at regional reference hospital	>12	163	10.4%	15.5%	0.806	(0.737- 0.864)	NA	NA
REMS	Brazil[5]	2014	1	All injuries	Injury patients admitted for more than 24 hours in ER or ICU at a regional reference hospital	>12	163	10.4%	15.5%	0.761	(0.688- 0.824)	NA	NA
RTS	Cameroon[13]	2014	1	All injuries (separate analysis for severe injuries)	Injury patients admitted to ER at the teaching and referral hospital	All	All injuries: 2855; severe injuries: 244	0.6%	13.4%	All injuries: 0.7341; severe injuries: 0.9674	All injuries: (0.5896- 0.8786); severe injuries: (0.9330- 1.000)	NA	NA
	India[9]	2015	1	life- or limb- threatenin g injuries	Severely injured presented at the urban Level I trauma center	All	1117	32%	27%	0.85	(0.80- 0.90)	NA	NA

-	Malawi[20]	2015	1	All injuries	Injury patients treated in a tertiary care referral hospital	Adults (age not specified)	All: 15617; admitte d:2811	5%	Excluded from analysis	All patients: 0.6703; admitted patients: 0.6371	NA	NA	NA
SAPS II	Brazil[18]	2009	1	All injuries	Injury patients hospitalized at ICU >24 hours and <48 hours in a university hospital	>=18	185	21.1%	NR	0.85	(0.76- 0.91)	NA	0.887
SAPS II	Morocco[4]	2014	1	Moderate and severe traumatic brain injuries	Injury patients admitted to medical and surgical ICU due to TBI	>=16	225	40.0%	7.6%	0.843	(0.795- 0.898)	NA	NA
s-APACHE II	Brazil[5]	2014	1	All injuries	Injury patients admitted for more than 24 hours in ER or ICU at a regional reference hospital	>12	163	10.4%	15.5%	0.788	(0.717- 0.848)	NA	NA

TISS	China[22]	2015	2	Serious injury (exclude isolated minor or moderate injuries to a single body region)	Injury patients except those with minor injury, admitted to two level-3 first-class hospitals	>18	8079	6%	NR	Hangzhou : 0.949; Shenyang : 0.942	Hangzhou : (0.939- 0.959); Shenyang : (0.931- 0.954)	Hangzho u: 19.59; Shenyan g: 21.19	Hangzhou: 0.003; Shenyang: 0.002
	Indonesia[11]	2009	1	Traumatic brain injury with severe or multi- trauma	Injury patients of specified mechanism with severe or multi-trauma to the university hospital (level 2 trauma center)	>=12	48	37.0%	Excluded from analysis	0.796	NA	NA	NA
TRISS	Trinidad[23]	2009	3	All injuries	Injury patients admitted in the three tertiary care teaching hospitals	All	326	4.30%	NR	0.82	(0.69- 0.96)	NA	NA
	Turkey[1]	2011	1	All injuries	Injury patients admitted to ICU in a tertiary referral hospital	>=15	100	14%	NR	0.926	NA	NA	NA

Brazil[24]	2011	1	All injuries	Injury patients admitted to ER at the level I trauma center	>=18	533	24.1%	2.8%	TRISS: 0.9; NTRISS: 0.92	NA	0.0000 for the TRISS; 0.0002 for NTRISS.	0.0012
Thailand[25]	2012	1	Blunt injuries	Injury patients registered in the Regional Hospital	All	6411	4.1%	Excluded from analysis	Modified version 1: 0.9619, version 2: 0.9601, version 3: 0.9115	NA	NA	NA
Thailand[2]	2012	1	All injuries	Injury patients admitted to surgical ICU, neurosurgical ICU or burn unit in tertiary referral hospital	Adult (not specified)	132	20%	NR	0.83	NA	NA	NA
China[6]	2014	1	All injuries	Emergency ICU patients arrived within 24 h of injury, with ISS >16 in a university hospital	>18	81	30.9%	NR	0.974	NA	NA	NA

Cameroon[13]	2014	1	All injuries (separate analysis for severe injuries)	Injury patients admitted to ER at the teaching and referral hospital	All	All injuries: 2855; severe injuries: 244	0.6%	13.4%	All injuries: 0.7117; severe injuries: 0.9386	All injuries: (0.5346- 0.8888); severe injuries: (0.8566- 1.000)	6.17	0.62
Iran[7]	2016	2	Multiple injuries from road traffic injuries	Injury patients admitted to ICU and survived for at least 4 hours upon arrival in ICU in two hospitals	>14	152	31.6%	NR	0.806	(0.663- 0.908)	NA	NA

*Not reported; ‡Not applicable

References

1 Köseoğlu Z, Kuvvetli A, Kösenli O, et al. Increased nutritional risk in major trauma: correlation with complications and prolonged length of stay. *Ulus Travma Acil Cerrahi Derg* 2011;17:521-4 doi:10.5505/tjtes.2011.28582.

2 Thanapaisal C, Saksaen P. A comparison of the Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE) II score and the Trauma-Injury Severity Score (TRISS) for outcome assessment in Srinagarind Intensive Care Unit trauma patients. *J Med Assoc Thai* 2012;95 Suppl 11:25.

3 Zali AR, Seddighi AS, Seddighi A, et al. Comparison of the acute physiology and chronic health evaluation score (APACHE) II with GCS in predicting hospital mortality of neurosurgical intensive care unit patients. *Glob J Health Sci* 2012;4:179-84 doi:10.5539/gjhs.v4n3p179 [doi].

4 Nejmi H, Rebahi H, Ejlaidi A, et al. The ability of two scoring systems to predict in-hospital mortality of patients with moderate and severe traumatic brain injuries in a Moroccan intensive care unit. *Indian J Crit Care Med* 2014;18:369-75 doi:10.4103/0972-5229.133895 [doi].

5 Polita JR, Gomez J, Friedman G, et al. Comparison of APACHE II and three abbreviated APACHE II scores for predicting outcome among emergency trauma patients. *Rev Assoc Med Bras (1992)* 2014;60:381-6 doi:S0104-42302014000400381 [pii].

6 Ba L, Wu DQ, Qian AY, et al. Dynamic changes of serum cholinesterase activity after severe trauma. *J Zhejiang Univ Sci B* 2014;15:1023-31 doi:10.1631/jzus.B1400129 [doi].

7 Darbandsar Mazandarani P, Heydari K, Hatamabadi H, et al. Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE) III Score compared to Trauma-Injury Severity Score (TRISS) in Predicting Mortality of Trauma Patients. *Emerg (Tehran)* 2016;4:88-91.

8 Wang MD, Fan WH, Qiu WS, et al. The exponential function transforms the Abbreviated Injury Scale, which both improves accuracy and simplifies scoring. *Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg* 2014;40:287-94 doi:10.1007/s00068-013-0331-1 [doi].

9 Laytin AD, Kumar V, Juillard CJ, et al. Choice of injury scoring system in low- and middle-income countries: Lessons from Mumbai. *Injury* 2015;46:2491-7 doi:10.1016/j.injury.2015.06.029 [doi].

10 Bulut M, Koksal O, Korkmaz A, et al. Childhood falls: characteristics, outcome, and comparison of the Injury Severity Score and New Injury Severity Score. *Emergency Medicine Journal : EMJ* 2006;23:540-5 doi:10.1136/emj.2005.029439.

11 Joosse P, Smit G, Arendshorst RJ, et al. Outcome and prognostic factors of traumatic brain injury: a prospective evaluation in a Jakarta University hospital. *J Clin Neurosci* 2009;16:925-8 doi:10.1016/j.jocn.2008.06.014 [doi].

12 Settervall CH, de Sousa RM, Furbringer e Silva, S C. In-hospital mortality and the Glasgow Coma Scale in the first 72 hours after traumatic brain injury. *Rev Lat Am Enfermagem* 2011;19:1337-43 doi:S0104-11692011000600009 [pii].

13 Weeks SR, Juillard CJ, Monono ME, et al. Is the Kampala trauma score an effective predictor of mortality in low-resource settings? A comparison of multiple trauma severity scores. *World J Surg* 2014;38:1905-11 doi:10.1007/s00268-014-2496-0 [doi].

14 Rabbani A, Moini M. Application of "Trauma and Injury Severity Score" and "A Severity Characterization of Trauma" score to trauma patients in a setting different from "Major Trauma Outcome Study". *Arch Iran Med* 2007;10:383-6 doi:0019 [pii].

15 Tamim H, Al Hazzouri AZ, Mahfoud Z, et al. The injury severity score or the new injury severity score for predicting mortality, intensive care unit admission and length of hospital stay: experience from a university hospital in a developing country. *Injury* 2008;39:115-20 doi:S0020-1383(07)00239-2 [pii].

16 Zhao XG, Ma YF, Zhang M, et al. Comparison of the new injury severity score and the injury severity score in multiple trauma patients. *Chin J Traumatol* 2008;11:368-71 doi:S1008-1275(08)60074-7 [pii].

17 Köksal Ö, Özdemir F, Bulut M, et al. Comparison of trauma scoring systems for predicting mortality in firearm injuries. *Ulus Travma Acil Cerrahi Derg* 2009;15:559-64.

18 Nogueira LdS, Sousa, Regina Marcia Cardoso de, Domingues CdA. Severity of trauma victims admitted in intensive care units: comparative study among different indexes. *Rev Lat Am* 2009;17:1037-42.

19 Shah AA, Rehman A, Shah SJ, et al. Abdominal gunshot wounds—a comparative assessment of severity measures. *J Surg Res*;198:334-9 doi:10.1016/j.jss.2015.03.061.

20 Haac B, Varela C, Geyer A, et al. The utility of the Kampala trauma score as a triage tool in a sub-Saharan African trauma cohort. *World J Surg* 2015;39:356-62 doi:10.1007/s00268-014-2830-6 [doi].

21 Wang X, Gu X, Zhang Z, et al. The natural logarithm transforms the abbreviated injury scale and improves accuracy scoring. *Ulus Travma Acil Cerrahi Derg* 2012;18:483-9 doi:10.5505/tjtes.2012.08522 [doi].

22 Wang M, Qiu W, Qiu F, et al. Tangent function transformation of the Abbreviated Injury Scale improves accuracy and simplifies scoring. *Arch Med Sci* 2015;11:130-6 doi:10.5114/aoms.2015.49209 [doi].

23 Hariharan S, Chen D, Parker K, et al. Evaluation of trauma care applying TRISS methodology in a Caribbean developing country. *J Emerg Med* 2009;37:85-90 doi:10.1016/j.jemermed.2007.09.051 [doi].

24 Domingues CdA, Sousa, Regina Marcia Cardoso de, Nogueira LdS, et al. The role of the New Trauma and Injury Severity Score (NTRISS) for survival prediction. *Revista da Escola de Enfermagem da USP* 2011;45:1353-8.

25 Kimura A, Chadbunchachai W, Nakahara S. Modification of the Trauma and Injury Severity Score (TRISS) method provides better survival prediction in Asian blunt trauma victims. *World J Surg* 2012;36:813-8 doi:10.1007/s00268-012-1498-z [doi].