randomisation and the funding of prehospital critical care for OHCA.

Method We aimed to answer the following questions: What are stakeholders’ priorities for prehospital research? What are stakeholders’ views on randomisation of prehospital critical care? How do stakeholders consider allocation of resources in prehospital care? We undertook a qualitative framework analysis of interviews and focus group with five key stakeholder groups: patients and public, air ambulance charities, ambulance service commissioners, prehospital researchers and prehospital critical care providers.

Results Despite sharing a common appreciation of the concepts of scientific enquiry, fairness, and beneficence, the five relevant stakeholder groups displayed divergent views of research and funding strategies regarding the intervention of prehospital critical care for the condition of OHCA. The reasons for this divergence could largely be explained through the different personal experiences and situational contexts of each stakeholder group. Many aspects of the strategies suggested by the stakeholder groups only partially aligned with principles of traditional evidence-based medicine, but were held with strong conviction.

Conclusion Analysis of the views of five stakeholder groups regarding research and the funding of prehospital critical care for OHCA revealed shared values, but a variety of different strategies to achieve these. This knowledge can help researchers in similar fields in the planning and presentation of their research, to maximise impact on decision making.
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expressed reluctance to compress deeply for fear of harming the victims.

Conclusion Training compressions were better quality. The results show the quality of chest compressions delivered by lay bystanders in actual cases, and highlights depth as an area of concern that could improve with training enhancement.
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12 EXPLAINING VARIATION IN RATES OF NON-TRANSPORT BETWEEN EMERGENCY AMBULANCE SERVICES

A O’ Cathain*, R Jacques, T Stone, E Knowles, L Bishop-Edwards. School of Health and Related Research (SHARR), University of Sheffield, UK

Aim In England in 2017 ambulance services responded to around 11 million calls. Half of patients were not transported to hospital. 11% received telephone advice only and 38% were discharged at scene. For the ten large regional ambulance services, rates of calls ending in telephone advice varied between 5% and 17%. Rates of discharge at scene varied between 23% and 51%. The aim was to explain variation in non-conveyance rates between ambulance services

Method A sequential mixed methods study: a qualitative interview study of managers and paramedics (totalling 49 interviews) followed by analysis of one month of routine data from each ambulance service (6 15 618 calls).

Results Interviewees identified factors they perceived affected non-transport. Rates of discharge at scene were associated with patient-level factors e.g. age, deprivation and skill level of attending crew. However, variation between ambulance services remained after adjustment for patient-level factors. Variation was explained by ambulance service-level factors: % of calls attended by paramedics with extended skills (odds ratio 1.05 (95% CI: 1.04 to 1.07)), the perception of ambulance service staff that paramedics with extended skills were established and valued within the workforce (odds ratio 1.84 (1.45, 2.33), and the perception of ambulance service staff that senior management was risk averse regarding non-conveyance within an ambulance service (odds ratio 0.78 (0.63, 0.98)).

Conclusion Ambulance service management can take actions to reduce a variation in practice.
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14 THE USE OF PREHOSPITAL 12-LEAD ELECTROCARDIOGRAMS IN ACUTE STROKE PATIENTS

1S Munro*, 1Debbie Cooke, 1Mark Joy, 1Tom Quinn. 1University of Surrey, Guildford, UK; 2South East Coast Ambulance Service NHS Foundation Trust, Crawley, UK; 3Kingston University and St George’s, University of London, London, UK

Aim Emergency medical services (EMS) play a vital role in the recognition, management and transportation of acute stroke patients. UK guidelines recommend clinicians consider performing a prehospital 12-lead electrocardiogram (PHECG) in patients with suspected stroke, but this recommendation is based on expert consensus, rather than robust evidence. The aim of this study was to investigate the association between PHECG and modified Rankin scale (mRS). Secondary outcomes included in-hospital mortality, EMS and in-hospital time intervals and rates of thrombolysis received.

Method A multicentre retrospective cohort study was undertaken. The data collection period spanned from 29/12/2013 – 30/01/2017. Participants were identified through secondary analysis of hospital data routinely collected as part of the Sentinel Stroke National Audit Programme (SSNAP) and linked to EMS clinical records (PCRs) via EMS incident number.

Results PHECG was performed in 558 (48%) of study patients. PHECG was associated with an increase in mRS (aOR 1.44, 95% CI: 1.14 to 1.82, p=0.002) and in-hospital mortality (aOR 2.07, 95% CI: 1.42 to 3.00, p=0.0001). There was no association between PHECG and administration of thrombolysis (aOR 0.92, 95% CI: 0.65 to 1.30, p=0.63). Patients who had a PHECG recorded spent longer under the care of EMS (median 49 vs 43 min, p=0.007). No difference in times to receiving brain scan (Median 28 with PHECG vs 29 min no PHECG, p=0.14) or thrombolysis (median 46 min vs 48 min, p=0.82) were observed.

Conclusion This is the first study of its kind to investigate the association between PHECG and functional outcome in stroke patients attended by EMS. Although there are limitations in