Study protocol for a single-blind, randomised controlled, non-inferiority trial of internet-based versus face-to-face cognitive behaviour therapy for obsessive–compulsive disorder
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ABSTRACT

Introduction Expert guidelines recommend cognitive–behavioural therapy (CBT) as a first-line treatment for obsessive–compulsive disorder (OCD), but the majority of patients with OCD do not have access to CBT. Internet-delivered CBT (ICBT) has the potential to make this evidence-based treatment more accessible while requiring less therapist time than traditional face-to-face (f2f) CBT. Data from six clinical trials suggest that ICBT for OCD is both efficacious and cost-effective, but whether ICBT is non-inferior to traditional f2f CBT for OCD is yet unknown.

Methods and analysis A single-blind, randomised, controlled, non-inferiority trial comparing therapist-guided ICBT, unguided ICBT and individual (f2f) CBT for adult OCD patients. The primary objective is to investigate whether ICBT is non-inferior to gold standard f2f CBT. Secondary objectives are to investigate if ICBT is equally effective when delivered unguided, to establish the cost-effectiveness of ICBT and to investigate if the treatment outcome differs between self-referred and clinically referred patients. Participants will be recruited at two specialist OCD clinics in Stockholm and also through online self-referral. Participants will be randomised to one of three treatment conditions: F2f CBT, ICBT with therapist support or unguided ICBT. The total number of participants will be 120, and masked assessments will be administered at baseline, biweekly during treatment, at post-treatment and at 3-month and 12-month follow-ups. The main outcome measure is the clinician-rated Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale (Y-BOCS) at 3-month follow-up. The margin of non-inferiority is set to 3 points on the Y-BOCS using a 90% CI.

Ethics and dissemination The study has been approved by the Regional Ethics Board of Stockholm (REPN 2015/1099-31/2) and registered at Clinicaltrials.gov (NCT02541968). The study will be reported in accordance with the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials statement for non-pharmacological trials. The results will be published in peer-reviewed academic journals and disseminated to patient organisations and media.

Trial registration number NCT02541968; Pre-results.

Strength and limitations of this study

► First study evaluating if two modalities of internet-delivered cognitive–behavioural therapy (ICBT) are non-inferior to the gold standard face-to-face (f2f) cognitive–behavioural therapy (CBT) for obsessive–compulsive disorder (OCD).

► Full health economic evaluation of therapist-guided ICBT, unguided ICBT and f2f CBT for OCD.

► Recruitment of both clinic-referred and self-referred patients, which will help generalise the results to more typical OCD cases.

► The exclusion of participants with certain diagnoses, for example, people with autism spectrum disorder, limits the generalisability.

INTRODUCTION

Obsessive–compulsive disorder (OCD) is a mental disorder characterised by obsessions (eg, ‘did I really lock that door?’) and compulsions (eg, repeatedly checking that a door is locked). OCD affects ~2% of the general population and is associated with poor quality of life, functional impairment across multiple life domains, high suicide risk and a large societal economic burden. The disorder usually onsets before the age of 25 years and has a low probability of remission if left untreated.

Cognitive–behavioural therapy (CBT) is currently recommended by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence guidelines as a first-line treatment for OCD. Unfortunately, there is a gap between supply and demand of CBT for OCD; barriers to treatment access include a shortage of trained CBT therapists, costs associated with treatment, geographical barriers and embarrassment to openly disclose one’s OCD symptoms. Specialised CBT for OCD...
is therefore not accessible for most patients, and only a minority of sufferers (5%–10%) receive this evidence-based treatment.8

Internet-delivered cognitive–behavioural therapy (ICBT) has the advantage of being more accessible and requiring less therapist time than face-to-face (f2f) CBT, potentially resulting in savings for the healthcare system. In therapist-guided ICBT, the patient logs on to a secure website and works with written self-help materials and homework assignments. During the treatment, the patient receives asynchronous online support by an identified therapist who motivates the patient and troubleshoots any problems that may occur during the treatment. Therapist-guided ICBT has the potential to increase access to evidence-based care, and there is a substantial body of work demonstrating that therapist-guided ICBT can increase access to treatment for several mental disorders without impairing efficacy. In a recent meta-analysis where therapist-guided ICBT was compared with f2f CBT for both somatic and psychiatric disorders, therapist-guided ICBT was shown to have comparable efficacy with traditional f2f CBT treatment.9 At the internet psychiatry unit in Stockholm (www.internetpsykiatri.se), the effectiveness of therapist-guided ICBT for psychiatric disorders within clinical psychiatric care has been evaluated with positive long-term effects.10–12

Our research group has previously developed and tested therapist-guided ICBT for adults with OCD.13–16 In a first pilot study of therapist-guided ICBT for OCD (n=23), large within-group effects (d=1.56) were found for ICBT.15 In a subsequent randomised controlled trial (RCT) (n=101), therapist-guided ICBT was superior to an attention control condition with a large between-group effect size (d=1.12).13 The treatment effects were sustained up to 2 years after treatment.16 In a third study (n=128), therapist-guided ICBT for OCD, with or without the addition of the partial NMDA-agonist d-cycloserine, was investigated. Although no significant effect of d-cycloserine was found, large within-group improvements were observed for both groups: d-cycloserine (d=1.82) and placebo (d=2.20).14 Therapist-guided ICBT for OCD has also shown positive results across cultures and age groups. In Australia, Wootton and colleagues and Mahoney and colleagues have both shown therapist-guided ICBT for OCD to be effective in randomised controlled trials.17 18 In Germany, Herbst and colleagues have tested therapist-guided ICBT for OCD with positive long-term effects.19 ICBT is also efficacious and cost-effective in adolescents with OCD.20–21

There is some evidence to suggest that ICBT can be delivered without any therapist involvement.18 22–24 However, this contradicts earlier literature suggesting that OCD patients receiving therapist support have lower attrition and fare better in treatment.25 If ICBT could be entirely unguided, even more patients could receive help at a minimal cost.

Remaining evidence gaps that need to be closed

Although multiple research groups have found that therapist-guided ICBT is a promising approach for treating OCD, there are several critical issues that need to be addressed before the implementation of ICBT in a regular healthcare context can be recommended. First, it is unclear if ICBT is non-inferior to gold standard f2f CBT. Second, we do not know if our ICBT treatment is equally effective when delivered unguided. Third, there are no high-quality cost-effectiveness studies on ICBT for OCD, and it is crucial to make a full health economical evaluation of ICBT versus the gold standard f2f CBT. Fourth, the existing studies supporting the efficacy of ICBT in OCD have all relied on self-referred subjects. Self-referred subjects may be less complex, have better insight into their difficulties and be more motivated for treatment and therefore potentially affecting the generalisability of previous findings.26 Fifth, since we do not yet know for whom ICBT is particularly suitable, the identification of reliable predictors and moderators of treatment outcome aid in choosing the right treatment from the start.

Aims and objectives

Primary objective

1. Our primary objective is to establish whether ICBT is non-inferior to f2f CBT with regard to OCD symptoms (measured with the masked clinician-rated Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale (Y-BOCS)).

Secondary objectives

2. To investigate if ICBT for OCD can be delivered without therapist support without impairing efficacy.
3. To determine if ICBT, compared with f2f CBT, is a cost-effective treatment for OCD.
4. To examine if there is a difference in treatment outcome between self-referred and clinically referred patients.
5. To explore predictors and moderators of treatment outcome as a first step towards personalised treatment selection.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS

Study design

Single-blind, randomised, controlled, non-inferiority trial comparing therapist-guided ICBT, unguided ICBT without therapist support and individual f2f CBT for OCD in adults. The total number of participants will be 120 (40 per group), with stratification according to source of referral (self-referred vs clinic-referred patients). Block randomisation will be performed within each stratum to ensure all participants are equally represented across treatment conditions. Participants will be assessed at baseline, biweekly during treatment, at post-treatment and at 3-month and 12-month follow-ups. The Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) flow chart of the trial is depicted in figure 1.
Sample selection

Regular patients referred to two OCD specialist clinics in Stockholm will be assessed for eligibility. The trial will also be advertised online so that interested participants can self-refer by registering on the trial’s secure webpage and completing a screening questionnaire. People living in Stockholm, Södermanland or Uppsala County are eligible to participate in the study (these counties are within 1–2 hours travel distance to Stockholm).

After completing an online screening, a clinical psychologist will contact potentially suitable participants by telephone for a brief screening interview. They will then be offered an appointment with a psychiatrist at one of the two OCD specialist clinics for a full psychiatric assessment.

The psychiatrist will administer the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview27 and The Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-5 (SCID-5)28 to confirm the diagnosis of OCD, document psychiatric comorbidities, administer baseline instruments and decide on inclusion/exclusion. Table 1 lists inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Randomisation and concealment

The randomisation sequence will be generated by Karolinska Trial Alliance (KTA; https://karolinskatriala. nse, an independent entity not involved in the study) before inclusion of the first participant, using masked block randomisation. Patients will receive their randomisation number based on the order of their first
participants receive a response within 24 hours (08:00–17:00) on weekdays, in order to ensure that patients receive a response within 24 hours. Each participant’s response rate at the 3-month follow-up will be calculated and monitored by the project leaders. The participants who are non-responders (defined as Y-BOCS reduction <35% and Clinical Global Impression-Improvement Scale (CGI-I) >2) at the 3-month follow-up will be contacted by telephone and offered f2f CBT for 14 weeks.

Unguided ICBT
This arm will be identical to the ICBT described above but without any online therapist support. If participants experience any technical problems with the online platform during the treatment, they can contact project leaders for help. In the internet platform, patients will have detailed contact information in case of emergency. Participants in this group who are non-responders at 3-month follow-up will be offered up to 14 weeks of f2f CBT according to the same procedure explained in the previous section.

Individual f2f CBT
Patients receive 16 sessions of individual f2f CBT for OCD delivered over a time period of 14 weeks, according to a validated protocol. Sessions will be held twice weekly during the first 2 weeks and once a week for the remaining 12 weeks. The therapists will be licenced psychologists with expertise in treating patients with OCD. The content of the f2f CBT is the same as in the ICBT arms. Sessions will be audiotaped in order to ensure that the therapists adhere to the treatment protocol. Adherence to protocol will be independently rated by two psychologists (not otherwise involved in the study) specialised in CBT treatment for OCD.

Sample size calculation
In order to provide accurate estimates for the power calculation in the current trial, we used individual-level data from a previous study of therapist-guided ICBT with identical Y-BOCS assessments by blinded raters and six repeated observations. To calculate the required sample size, we used a bootstrap simulation with 1000 samples using the following assumptions, based on data from the previous trial: a variance of the random intercept of 10.5, a variance for the random slope of 0.04 and a within-individual residual variance of 20.4. With three treatment groups and eight observations (Y-BOCS) per patient, we estimated that a total of 120 participants would be needed to detect a slope difference between two groups (ie, group 1 vs group 2 and group 1 vs group 3) of 3 points at 3-month follow-up with over 90% power. We will request an interim power analysis by the KTA to test these assumptions, using data from 80 individuals, and adjust sample size if power is lower than anticipated (see online supplementary file 1 for a detailed description).

Interventions
Therapist-guided ICBT
Patients will receive 14 weeks of ICBT for OCD using a validated treatment protocol. As in regular CBT for OCD, the main treatment component is exposure and response prevention (ERP). The therapists will be licenced psychologists with expertise in treating patients with OCD. Therapists will respond to messages encrypted in the internet platform at a set time during office hours (08:00–17:00) on weekdays, in order to ensure that participants receive a response within 24 hours. Each

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Overview of inclusion and exclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inclusion criteria</strong></td>
<td>≥18 years of age.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary diagnosis of OCD according to DSM-5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Internet access.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Written consent of participation in the study.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exclusion criteria</strong></td>
<td>Other psychological treatment for OCD during the treatment period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Completed CBT for OCD in the last 12 months.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Changes in psychotropic medication within the last 2 months.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bipolar disorder.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Psychosis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alcohol or substance dependence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Autism spectrum disorder.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Organic brain disorder.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hoarding disorder or OCD with primary hoarding symptoms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Suicidal ideation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subjects that lack the ability to read written Swedish or lack the cognitive ability to assimilate the written material.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CBT, cognitive–behavioural therapy; DSM-5, The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition; OCD, obsessive–compulsive disorder.

Assessors will be blind to group assignment up to the 12-month follow-up. To ensure that the blinding is maintained, patients will be given clear instructions not to disclose which treatment they have been randomised to while being interviewed by the blind assessors. Where blindness is inadvertently broken, raters will be immediately replaced, and the participant will be reassessed by another rater. Blind raters will be asked to guess each patient’s group allocation at each assessment point. This will establish if the blind raters’ guesses regarding treatment allocation were better than chance.

Interventions
Therapist-guided ICBT
Patients will receive 14 weeks of ICBT for OCD using a validated treatment protocol. As in regular CBT for OCD, the main treatment component is exposure and response prevention (ERP). The therapists will be licenced psychologists with expertise in treating patients with OCD. Therapists will respond to messages encrypted in the internet platform at a set time during office hours (08:00–17:00) on weekdays, in order to ensure that participants receive a response within 24 hours. Each

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Clinician-rated assessments at the different time points.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Measurements</strong></td>
<td>The primary outcome measure is the clinician-rated Y-BOCS, which is the gold standard for assessing the severity of OCD symptoms. Clinicians in this trial will</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
practice together on case examples to establish high interrater reliability. The Y-BOCS will be administered by blind raters at baseline, at weeks 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 and 12 during treatment, at post-treatment (week 15) and at 3-month and 12-month follow-ups. The primary endpoint is the 3-month follow-up.

Secondary clinician-administered outcome measures are the Clinical Global Impression-Severity Scale (CGI-S) and CGI-I,33 the SCID-5, OCD and related disorders26 and the Global Assessment of Functioning (GAF).34 Secondary self-rated outcome measures are the Obsessive-Compulsive Inventory-Revised (OCI-R),35 the self-rated Y-BOCS,32 the Montgomery-Åsberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS-S),36 Sheehan Disability Scale (SDS)37 and the EuroQol 5 Dimension Scale (EQ-5D).38 The Patient Exposure/Response Prevention Adherence Scale (PEAS)39 will be used to quantify compliance with ERP homework, and the Working Alliance Inventory – Short Form (WAI-SF)40 will be used to measure therapeutic alliance in the f2f CBT and ICBT with therapist support treatment conditions. The Insomnia Severity Index (ISI)41 will be used to measure participants sleep patterns, and the Treatment Credibility Scale (TCS)42 will be used to measure how credible participants perceive the treatment to be. Measurements will be administered before and after treatment as well as during 3-month and 12-month follow-ups. In order to increase participant retention at follow-up assessments, participants will be

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Assessments at different time points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Screening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinician-rated instruments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCID-5 (OCD)</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y-BOCS</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGI-S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGI-I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMURF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEAS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MADRS-S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-rated instruments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y-BOCS</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y-BOCS checklist</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCI-R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQ-5D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQ-5D index</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUDIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUDIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MADRS-S</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHQ9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASRS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISI</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIC-P</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAI-SF</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ASRS, Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale; AUDIT, Alcohol Use Disorder Identification Test; CGI-I, Clinical Global Impression-Improvement Scale; CGI-S, Clinical Global Impression-Severity Scale; DUDIT, Drug Use Disorders Identification Test; EQ-5D, EuroQol 5 Dimension Scale; GAF, Global Assessment of Functioning; ISI, Insomnia Severity Index; MADRS-S, Montgomery-Åsberg Depression Rating Scale; MINI, Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview; OCI-R, Obsessive–Compulsive Inventory-Revised; PEAS, Patient Exposure/Response Prevention Adherence Scale; PHQ9, Patient Health Questionnaire; SCID-5, Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-5 Disorders (OCD), obsessive–compulsive disorder; SCID-5, The Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-5; SDS, Sheehan Disability Scale; SMURF, Safety Monitoring Uniform Report Form; TCS, Treatment Credibility Scale; TIC-P, Treatment Inventory of Costs in Psychiatric Patients; WAI-SF, Working Alliance Inventory – Short Form; Y-BOCS, Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale.
notified via text message 48 hours prior to an appointment. Should a participant not attend a follow-up session, a psychiatrist will contact participants via telephone to perform the assessments.

Safety and adverse events
Data on adverse events and suicidal ideation will be collected by blinded independent raters biweekly during treatment, at post-treatment and at 3-month and 12-month follow-up. Adverse events will be collected using a standardised checklist, which is the Safety Monitoring Uniform Report Form.43 If a participant expresses suicidal ideation (ie, a score on item 9 of the MADRS-S ≥4), assessors will initiate a structured suicide risk assessment. If there is an urgent need for psychiatric care, a trial psychiatrist will contact participants to schedule an f2f appointment as soon as possible.

Statistical analysis
The main outcome analyses will be conducted according to the ‘intent-to-treat’ principle. Mixed-effects regression analyses for repeated measures with maximum likelihood estimation of parameters will be used with the assumption that data are missing at random. The latter assumption will be tested. For each outcome measure, the model will include fixed effects of time (baseline, midtreatment, post-treatment and 3-month follow-up (primary endpoint)), treatment group (guided ICBT, unguided ICBT and f2f CBT) and an interaction effect of treatment group × time to allow for the differential change between the three groups from baseline to the 3-month follow-up. The models will include individuals’ random intercept and random slope to account for variability between and within participants over time. Within-group and between-group effect sizes will be calculated with Cohen’s d.44 Numbers needed to treat will be calculated based on responder status.

Alpha for all analyses will be set at 0.05. Non-inferiority is established when the 90% Wald CI for the difference between treatment conditions excludes the prespecified margin of inferiority, which is set at three points on the Y-BOCS.45 46 This means that if the upper limit of the 90% CI is less than three points, we are 95% confident that ICBT will be non-inferior to f2f CBT. The non-inferiority hypothesis will be tested by either therapist-guided and self-guided ICBT against the f2f CBT. Additional analyses of the 12-month follow-up data will determine whether the treatment gains are maintained long term and whether ICBT is non-inferior to f2f CBT at follow-up.

Cost-effectiveness analysis
Health economic data will be collected using the Treatment Inventory of Costs in Psychiatric Patients47 and the Swedish National Patient Register, the Swedish Prescribed Drugs Register and the longitudinal integrated database for health insurance and work-related research (LISA). Costs will be analysed using a societal perspective, that is, including both sick leave, hospitalisations, service use, medication and so on and analysed in relation to outcome (ie, OCD symptoms and quality-adjusted life years using the Y-BOCS and EQ-5D, respectively). National tariffs will be used to estimate costs from healthcare visits. Productivity losses will be estimated using gross earnings data from each patient.48 Treatment costs, that is, therapist support time per patient logged on the platform and time spent on f2f sessions, will be included in the cost estimation.

Cost-effectiveness comparisons will be analysed using incremental cost-effectiveness ratios. The "net benefit approach” will also be used. This approach estimates the cost-effectiveness depending on different societal willingness-to-pay values for one unit of improvement.48 Non-parametric bootstrapping (one thousand replications) will be used to estimate the difference between ICBT (guided or unguided) and gold standard f2f CBT.

Analysis of predictors and moderators
We will analyse predictors and moderators of response and remission status at 3-month and 12-month follow-up using repeated k-fold cross validation with 10 folds and 20 repeats to reduce the risk of model instability.49 50 We then average model performance over the repeats using area under the receiver operating characteristic curve of sensitivity and specificity to distinguish between responders/remitters and non-responders/non-remitters.51

Limitations
There are several potential threats to the validity and generalisability of the current trial results, some of which apply to most clinical trials. First, the trial was designed to maximise the chances of the results being as generalisable as possible. However, despite the best of our efforts to recruit both clinic-referred and self-referred individuals, it will be difficult to confidently claim that our participants will be representative of the entire population of patients with OCD in Sweden. For example, we will not know if our results are generalisable to patients with comorbid autism spectrum disorder or to patients who are too ill to seek help and participate in clinical studies. Second, it is impossible to conduct double-blinded clinical trials of behavioural interventions. In an effort to increase transparency, our design includes careful checks of the extent to which raters are blind to the group allocation. Third, while our study is well powered to test the non-inferiority hypothesis, it may not be powered to test the same hypothesis for all secondary measures or for the cost-effectiveness calculations. Fourth, patients in the unguided ICBT arm still have contact with healthcare professionals at baseline, during treatment and after treatment (eg, biweekly telephone assessments, post-treatment and follow-up appointments). An entirely unguided treatment would involve limited or no contact with healthcare professionals.
Patient and public involvement
We received input from patients from three previous OCD internet CBT trials, which guided the design of the current study. In the current trial, no patients were involved in the design of the study or in the decision of outcome measures. Neither will patients be involved in the recruitment of participants or in the decision of the research question. A patient organisation for OCD and related disorders (the Swedish OCD Foundation) will be involved in the recruitment of participants by informing their members about the study. We will assess the burden of the trial interventions on the patients by collecting information about adverse events, quality of life and time spent on the treatment. We will gather information about the patients satisfaction with treatment through an online self-rating questionnaire at the end of treatment. We plan to disseminate the results of the research to study participants and to the Swedish OCD Foundation.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION
The trial will be conducted in compliance with this study protocol, the Declaration of Helsinki and Good Clinical Practice (GCP). KTA is an external party that will monitor the study every 6 months and ensure that the study follows GCP, that is, that all participants give informed written consent and that study related materials are handled correctly. All professionals involved in the study will attend a course in GCP and get certified by the KTA.

This study will be reported in accordance with the CONSORT statement for non-pharmacological trials. Ethical risks are deemed minimal and both f2f CBT and ICBT have well-documented efficacy.

Current trial status
Recruitment of participants started in September 2015, and the last participant is expected to reach the primary endpoint (3-month follow-up) in February 2019. Primary data analysis will begin in April 2019. The naturalistic follow-up phase of the trial will continue until November 2019.

CONCLUSION
OCD is associated with significant suffering, loss of function across multiple life domains, high suicide risk and large societal costs. ICBT has great potential to increase access to evidence-based care for a large group of sufferers that normally do not receive evidence-based psychological treatments. The study outlined in this protocol is the first direct comparison of ICBT and gold standard f2f CBT and is a crucial step before ICBT can be recommended for use within the regular healthcare system. The study will provide new insights into the effectiveness of different treatment modalities for OCD, and the health economic evaluation will help decision makers to rationally allocate available resources. Implementation of ICBT in regular healthcare would dramatically increase the availability of effective treatment to those suffering from OCD.
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