

Reviewers' perceptions of supplementary materials survey

Welcome

Thank you for participating in this short collaborative research survey about the role of supplementary material in journal articles.

All responses will be treated confidentially.

Reviewers' perceptions of supplementary materials survey

1. How frequently do articles that you peer review have the following supplementary material accompanying the manuscript?

	Never	Almost never	Sometimes	Almost every time	Every time	Not applicable
Study protocol	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Data collection or extraction forms (including questionnaires, interview topic guides, etc)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Additional tables of data	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Additional figures	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Completed checklists for the relevant reporting guidelines (e.g. CONSORT, STROBE, PRISMA, STARD, etc.)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Flow diagrams for the relevant reporting guideline	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Interview transcripts	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Raw study data	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Other (please specify)

Reviewers' perceptions of supplementary materials survey

2. How often is the following supplementary material useful in assisting you in the peer review of manuscripts?

	Never	Almost never	Sometimes	Almost every time	Every time	Not applicable / not received this material
Study protocol	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Data collection or extraction forms (including questionnaires, interview topic guides, etc)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Additional tables of data	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Additional figures	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Completed checklists for the relevant reporting guidelines (e.g. CONSORT, STROBE, PRISMA, STARD, etc.)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Flow diagrams for the relevant reporting guideline	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Interview transcripts	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Raw study data	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Other (please specify)

Reviewers' perceptions of supplementary materials survey

3. Which is your preferred option for receiving the following types of supplementary material?

	As a supplementary file	Included within the main text of the manuscript	Included as a link within the manuscript to another website (e.g. the author's own website)	Would prefer not to receive it
Study protocol	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Data collection or extraction forms (including questionnaires, interview topic guides, etc)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Additional tables of data	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Additional figures	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Completed checklists for the relevant reporting guidelines (e.g. CONSORT, STROBE, PRISMA, STARD, etc.)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Flow diagrams for the relevant reporting guideline	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Interview transcripts	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Raw study data	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Other (please specify)

Reviewers' perceptions of supplementary materials survey

4. From the perspective of a peer reviewer, who is the supplementary material most useful to?

	Journal editors	Peer reviewers	Readers
Study protocol	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Data collection or extraction forms (including questionnaires, interview topic guides, etc)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Additional tables of data	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Additional figures	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Completed checklists for the relevant reporting guidelines (e.g. CONSORT, STROBE, PRISMA, STARD, etc.)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Flow diagrams for the relevant reporting guideline	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Interview transcripts	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Raw study data	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Other (please specify)

Reviewers' perceptions of supplementary materials survey

5. What do you think journal editors expect peer reviewers to do with this supplementary material?

	Read all of it routinely	Read some of it	Ignore it	It depends on the manuscript
Study protocol	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Data collection or extraction forms (including questionnaires, interview topic guides, etc)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Additional tables of data	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Additional figures	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Completed checklists for the relevant reporting guidelines (e.g. CONSORT, STROBE, PRISMA, STARD, etc.)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Flow diagrams for the relevant reporting guideline	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Interview transcripts	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Raw study data	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Other (please specify)

Reviewers' perceptions of supplementary materials survey

6. What do you think peer reviewers should do with the supplementary material?

	Read all of it routinely	Read some of it	Ignore it	It depends on the manuscript
Study protocol	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Data collection or extraction forms (including questionnaires, interview topic guides, etc)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Additional tables of data	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Additional figures	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Completed checklists for the relevant reporting guidelines (e.g. CONSORT, STROBE, PRISMA, STARD, etc.)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Flow diagrams for the relevant reporting guideline	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Interview transcripts	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Raw study data	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Other (please specify)

Reviewers' perceptions of supplementary materials survey

7. When peer reviewing, what do you do with the supplementary material?

	Read all of it routinely	Read some of it	Ignore it	It depends on the manuscript	Not applicable
Study protocol	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Data collection or extraction forms (including questionnaires, interview topic guides, etc)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Additional tables of data	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Additional figures	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Completed checklists for the relevant reporting guidelines (e.g. CONSORT, STROBE, PRISMA, STARD, etc.)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Flow diagrams for the relevant reporting guideline	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Interview transcripts	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Raw study data	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Other (please specify)

Reviewers' perceptions of supplementary materials survey

8. From the perspective of a peer reviewer, what should happen to the following supplementary material when an article is published? (You may tick more than one box on each line).

	It should be published on the journal's website along with the article	It should be published on another website	It should be available by email from the authors	It doesn't need to be available
Study protocol	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Data collection or extraction forms (including questionnaires, interview topic guides, etc)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Additional tables of data	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Additional figures	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Completed checklists for the relevant reporting guidelines (e.g. CONSORT, STROBE, PRISMA, STARD, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Flow diagrams for the relevant reporting guideline	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Interview transcripts	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Raw study data	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Other (please specify)

Reviewers' perceptions of supplementary materials survey

9. Please provide any additional comments you have about the submission or publication of supplementary material:

Reviewers' perceptions of supplementary materials survey

Finally, a few questions about yourself

10. Approximately how many years have you been an active researcher?

Other (please specify)

11. Approximately how many research papers have you had published in a peer reviewed journal as either an author or a coauthor?

12. How frequently do you read articles in medical journals?

Very Frequently Frequently Occasionally Rarely Never

13. Would you like to receive a copy of the results of this study when it is complete?

Yes No

Reviewers' perceptions of supplementary materials survey

Thank you, please now submit your response

Please click on "**Submit**" below to send us your responses.

You do not need to inform us that you have completed the survey as your email address is tied to your survey response. All participants will automatically be entered into the prize draw. This link will be removed when we analyse the data.

Thank you for your help.