Appendix D. Details of the results

Objective/ Study	Patient outcome (PO)	PO collection	Duration of PO measurement	Results detail
Team communi	ication in gerneral			
Haig (2006)	Adverse patient events	Retrospective chart review (each month 20 randomly selected charts)	Pre: 1m	Decrease: 90 to 49 per 1,000 patient days#
	Adverse drug events		Post: 12m	Decrease: Events from 30 to 18 per 1,000 patient days*
Andreoli (2010)	Falls incidence	Retrospective chart review + "safety reporting" (by an online reporting system)	n.r.	Total falls showed an increasing trend on the study teams#
(2010)	Falls severity (4 levels)			Decreasing trend across major falls (4 vs. 2) both the
	Near-miss reporting			organization and the study units [#] Decreasing trend across both the organization and the study
			units#	
Patient hand-of	ff – nurses			
•	Inpatient Fall Rate	Retrospective chart review	n.r.	Reduction of 5%#
(2011)	Restrained Patients Rate			Reduction of 31%#
	Catheter Associated UTI			Reduction of 34%#
	Patient falls	n.s.	Pre: 1m	2 falls (pre) vs. 0 falls (post)#
(2015)			Post: 1m	
Patient hand-of	ff – physician			
Telem (2011)	Sentinel events Morbidity and mortality surgical database and hospital performance improvement initiative	1	Pre: 1m	No statistical significant difference in sentinel events, general surgical vs. surgical subspecialty interns (one sentinel even
		Post: 1m	Jourgical vo. Surgical Subspecialty Interno (one sentine event	
Patient hand-of	ff – physician and nurses			
Randmaa (2014)	CIRS events (communication errors)	Prospective analysis of "safety reports" (=CIRS)	Pre: 12m	Decrease from 31% to 11%, p<0.0001
			Post: 12m	
Christie (2009)	Hospital mortality	n.s.	n.r.	11% reduction in hospital mortality#
	Adverse events			65% reduction of adverse events [#]
	Cardiac arrests			8% reduction of cardiac arrests#
	MRSA bacteraemias			83% reduction of MRSA bacteraemia#

,	INR values within the	Quarterly reviews of		4.5% more time in the therapeutic range than in control			
	target range (2.0 - 3.0)	nursing home records by pairs of physician-	days (intervention	homes (95% CI: 0.3%-8.7%)			
	Preventable AE related to	reviewers	homes) vs.	Statistically non-significant reduction, odds ratio 0.9 (95% C			
	warfarin-therapy		53,601 (control)	0.5-1.4)			
elephone communication from nurse to doctor – Deteriorating/status change of a patient							
De Meester (2013)*	Unexpected death	Retrospective analysis of medical records and	Pre: 10m	Significant decrease from 0.99 to 0.34/1000 admissions (RRR = -227% , 95% CI = -793 to -20 ; p < 0.001)			
	ICU admission	internal emergency calls (performed by a trained	Post: 10m	Significant increase from 13.1 to 14.8/1000 admissions			
		expert)		(RRR = 50%, 95% CI: 30-64%, p=0.001)			
	Call of cardiac arrest team			No significant difference (p>0.05)			
Jarboe (2015)	Transfers to acute care hospitals	n.s.	Pre: 12m	No significant difference (p = 0.482)			
	Types of transfers by clinical condition criteria		Post: 8m	No significant difference in i) preventable transfer group, p=0.927 or ii) emergent transfer group, p=0.565			
	Transfers resulting in hospitalization			No significant difference (p = 0.662)			
Devereaux (2016)	30-day readmissions	n.s.	Pre: 3m	Significant reduction, 0.12 vs. 0.04, p=0.012			
	Transfers to hospital		Post: 3m	Significant reduction, 0.44 vs. 0.24, p<0.001			
	Avoidable hospitalisations			Significant reduction, 0.15 vs. 0.05, p=0.007			

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval, month(s), ICU: Intermediate Care Unit, n: number, n.r.: not reported, pre/post: duration of outcome measurement pre/post intervention, RRR: Relative Risk Reduction, SBAR: Subject Background Assessment Recommendation, vs.: versus