

## Supplementary File

Supplement Table 1: PRISMA-P (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic review and Meta-Analysis Protocols) 2015 checklist: recommended items to address in a systematic review protocol\* <sup>(1)</sup>

Section and topic	Item No	Checklist item	Information reported
<b>ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION</b>			
Title:			
Identification	1a	Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Update	1b	If the protocol is for an update of a previous systematic review, identify as such	<input type="checkbox"/>
Registration	2	If registered, provide the name of the registry (such as PROSPERO) and registration number	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Authors:			
Contact	3a	Provide name, institutional affiliation, e-mail address of all protocol authors; provide physical mailing address of corresponding author	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Contributions	3b	Describe contributions of protocol authors and identify the guarantor of the review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Amendments	4	If the protocol represents an amendment of a previously completed or published protocol, identify as such and list changes; otherwise, state plan for documenting important protocol amendments	<input type="checkbox"/>
Support:			
Sources	5a	Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Sponsor	5b	Provide name for the review funder and/or sponsor	<input type="checkbox"/>
Role of sponsor or funder	5c	Describe roles of funder(s), sponsor(s), and/or institution(s), if any, in developing the protocol	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>INTRODUCTION</b>			
Rationale	6	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Objectives	7	Provide an explicit statement of the question(s) the review will address with reference to participants, interventions, comparators, outcomes and study characteristics (PICOS)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>METHODS</b>			
Eligibility criteria	8	Specify the study characteristics (such as PICOS, study design, setting, time frame) and report characteristics (such as years considered, language, publication status) to be used as criteria for eligibility for the review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Information sources	9	Describe all intended information sources (such as electronic databases, contact with study authors, trial registers or other grey literature sources) with planned dates of coverage	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Search strategy	10	Present draft of search strategy to be used for at least one electronic database, including planned limits, such that it could be repeated	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Study records:			
Data management	11a	Describe the mechanism(s) that will be used to manage records and data throughout the review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Selection process	11b	State the process that will be used for selecting studies (such as two independent reviewers) through each phase of the review (that is, screening, eligibility and inclusion in meta-analysis)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Data collection process	11c	Describe planned method of extracting data from reports (such as piloting forms, done independently, in duplicate), any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Data items	12	List and define all variables for which data will be sought (such as PICOS items, funding sources), any pre-planned data assumptions and simplifications	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Outcomes and prioritization	13	List and define all outcomes for which data will be sought, including prioritization of main and additional outcomes, with rationale	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Risk of bias in individual studies	14	Describe anticipated methods for assessing risk of bias of individual studies, including whether this will be done at the outcome or study level, or both; state how this information will be used in data synthesis	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Data synthesis	15a	Describe criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesised	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	15b	If data are appropriate for quantitative synthesis, describe planned summary measures, methods of handling data and methods of combining data from studies, including any planned exploration of consistency (such as I <sup>2</sup> , Kendall's $\tau$ )	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	15c	Describe any proposed additional analyses (such as sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression)	<input type="checkbox"/>
	15d	If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, describe the type of summary planned	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Meta-bias(es)	16	Specify any planned assessment of meta-bias(es) (such as publication bias across studies, selective reporting within studies)	<input type="checkbox"/>
Confidence in cumulative evidence	17	Describe how the strength of the body of evidence will be assessed (such as GRADE)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**\* It is strongly recommended that this checklist be read in conjunction with the PRISMA-P Explanation and Elaboration (cite when available) for important clarification on the items. Amendments to a review protocol should be tracked and dated. The copyright for PRISMA-P (including checklist) is held by the PRISMA-P Group and is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution Licence 4.0.**

#### Supplement Table 2: Search strategy for Medline

Search	Terms
1.	(alcohol OR binge OR binge drink* OR ethanol).mp
2.	(neuroimage* OR brain OR brain imag* OR magnetic resonance OR functional magnetic resonance OR diffusion tensor imag* OR MRI OR fMRI OR DTI OR MRS OR neuropsycholog* OR neurophysiolog* OR electrophysiolog* OR EEG OR ERP OR cogniti* OR verbal working memory OR episodic memory OR visuospatial working memory OR verbal fluency OR executive function OR digit symbol substitution OR reaction time OR attention).mp.
3.	(adol* OR youth OR emerging adult OR young adult OR teen*).mp.
5.	Limit 1-3 to human
6.	Limit 1-4 to English language
7.	Limit 1-5 to "Article" [Publication Type]

*[mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, keyword heading word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier, synonyms].*

#### Supplementary Reference:

1. Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: Elaboration and explanation. *BMJ*. 2015;349:g7647.