

Screening Tool and Eligibility Protocol
Meta-Integration study

Reviewer:

Date:

Reference No.:

Phase one and two eligibility criteria

References excluded if answer No (N) to any of the first four criteria.

- | | | |
|--|----------|----------|
| 1. Is the article available in English? | Y | N |
| 2. Does the article pertain to informal caregivers of person diagnosed with dementia or mild cognitive impairment? | Y | N |
| 3. Does the article address positive aspects of caregiving, or non-negative caregiver experience? | Y | N |
| 4. Is the article considered to be peer reviewed? | Y | N |
| 5. Is the article considered to be published dissertation or thesis? | Y | N |
| 6. All dates of publication accepted. | | |
| 7. All geographical location of study accepted. | | |

Final phase eligibility criteria

Exclude if answered No (N) to any of the following:

Sample:

- | | | |
|---|----------|----------|
| 1. Is the reference a study/investigation using a sample (i.e., not a theoretical work)? | Y | N |
| 2. Is caregiver data analyzed and discussed separately, if study included caregiver dyads, or mixed (i.e., caregivers of persons with cancer) caregiver population? | Y | N |

Phenomenon of Interest: Pertains to the concept of ‘positive aspects,’ how it is defined and measured.

- | | | |
|---|-----------|----------|
| 3. Does the study address and report on caregivers’ positive experience/outcome, as identified by positive aspects label or a synonym? | Y | N |
| 4. Is the positive experience/outcome defined/described? | Y | N |
| 5. For quantitative studies, is the positive experience/outcome measured? | Y | N |
| | NA | |
| 6. If measure of positive experience/outcome is embedded within a broader measure, (e.g., resilience, or satisfaction), is the positive aspects factor of the measure analyzed and discussed separate from the greater construct? | Y | N |
| | NA | |

