

Supplementary file 8: Brief overview of Competency Assessment Tool for Voting or CAT-V[a]

The Competency Assessment Tool for Voting (CAT-V)[a] is a 6-items structured questionnaire build like other capacity Mac Arthur Competency Assessment Tools (Mac CAT), Mac CAT-Research[b] and Mac CAT-Treatment.[c] For the group *Mac-Arthur*, the capacity – for example to consent to treatment or to research- is the resultant of four sub-capacities: capacity to understand, to reason, to appreciate and communicate a choice.

The first 3 items of the CAT-V called *Doe standard* are based on a definition of the capacity to vote put forward by the Federal Court of Maine: being able to understand the nature and effects of voting. During the CAT-V interview, by imagining to be the Election Day (Election of the Governor of the State), the person being assessed has to indicate (adapted from [a]):

- how the people are going to choose the new governor (response: by going to the polling station and by voting, item1, which explores the capacity to understand the nature of vote),
- at the end of Election Day, how will be decided who is the winner (response: the candidate who collects most vote, item 2, which explores the capacity to understand the effect of vote),
- after the presentation of the program of 2 candidates (A and B), for which candidate he would like to vote (response: A or B, item 3n which explores the capacity to communicate a choice).

The items 4 and 5 explore the capacity to reason and the item 6 the capacity to appreciate.[a]

Each item of CAT-V is scored from 0 (inappropriate response) to 2 (appropriate response). The addition of the scores of the first 3 items allows to calculate the *Doe standard* score (0-6) and similarly, the addition of the score of all the items, the total score (0-12).

For Appelbaum et al.,[a] the CAT-V cannot “determine which scores represent adequate capacity”, except in case of extreme scores.

The capacity to vote has been studied in various categories of people: persons with Alzheimer’ disease,[a, d] persons with psychiatric disease,[e, f] persons living in a nursing home,[d] inpatients.[g]

Various versions of the CAT-V are available: in English,[a] in Spanish,[d] in Hebrew[e] and in French.[g]

[a] Appelbaum PS, Bonnie RJ, Karlawish JH. The capacity to vote of persons with Alzheimer’s disease. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2005;162:2094-100. doi/abs/10.1176/appi.ajp.162.11.2094

[b] Appelbaum PS, Grisso T. *The MacArthur Competence Assessment Tool-Clinical Research*. Sarasota. Fla. Professional Resources Press. 2000.

[c] Appelbaum PS, Grisso T. *The MacArthur Competence Assessment Tool-Treatment*. Sarasota. Fla. Professional Resources Press. 1998.

[d] Irastorza LJ, Corujo P, Banuelos P. Capacity to vote in persons with dementia. *Revista de Neurologia*. 2007;44:321-25. Spanish.

[e] Doron A, Kurs R, Stolovy T, Secker-Einbinder A, Raba A. Voting Rights for Psychiatric patients: compromise of the integrity of elections, or Empowerment and integration into the community? *Is J Psychiatry Relat Sci*. 2014;51(3):169-74.

[f] Saad R, Karlawish J, Appelbaum PS. The capacity to vote of persons with serious mental illness. *Psych Serv*. 2009;60:624-28.

[g] Bosquet A, Medjkane A, Affo L, Charru P, Vinceneux P, Mahé I. Voting and proxy voting appointment capacities in internal medicine inpatients and two populations of geriatric subjects. *Alzheimers Dement*. 2009;5(4):141. doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2009.05.671