BMJ Open Prevalence and risk factors of uncorrected refractive error among an elderly Chinese population in urban China: a cross-sectional study

Hehua Ye,¹ Yiyong Qian,² Qi Zhang,¹ Xiaohong Liu,³ Xuan Cai,³ Wenjing Yu,¹ Xiang Li,¹ Peiquan Zhao¹

To cite: Ye H, Qian Y, Zhang Q, *et al.* Prevalence and risk factors of uncorrected refractive error among an elderly Chinese population in urban China: a cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open* 2018;**8**:e021325. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2017-021325

► Prepublication history for this paper is available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-021325>).

HY and YQ contributed equally.

Received 21 December 2017
Revised 28 January 2018
Accepted 9 February 2018

¹Department of Ophthalmology, Xinhua Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China

²Department of Ophthalmology, Shanghai Tenth People's Hospital, Tongji University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China

³Shanghai Jiao Tong University Affiliated Sixth People's Hospital, Department of Ophthalmology, Shanghai, China

Correspondence to

Dr Peiquan Zhao;
zhaopeiquan@126.com

ABSTRACT

Objectives To investigate the prevalence and risk factors of uncorrected refractive error (URE) in an elderly urban Chinese population in China.

Design A population-based cross-sectional study.

Methods The study was conducted using a cluster random sample of residents aged 50 years or older living in the Jiangning Road subdistrict, Shanghai, China. All participants underwent a standardised interview and eye examinations, including presenting visual acuity (PVA) and best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) between November 2012 and February 2013. URE was defined as an improvement of two lines or more in the BCVA compared with the PVA in the better eye of <20/40.

Results A total of 1999 subjects (an 82.5% response rate) completed both the questionnaire and ophthalmic examination. The prevalence of URE was 20.1% (95% CI 18.0% to 22.2%) in the study sample. After age standardisation, the prevalence of URE in Chinese people aged 50 years or older was 18.7% (95% CI 17.0% to 20.4%). Under multiple logistic regression analysis, older age (per 1-year increase, OR 1.04, 95% CI 1.03 to 1.05) and a lower level of education (OR 1.34, 95% CI 1.07 to 1.69) were significantly related to URE. A history of ocular diseases (OR 0.71, 95% CI 0.55 to 0.92) was a protective factor for URE.

Conclusions URE is highly prevalent among the elderly urban Chinese population, which should raise awareness of the URE burden in China to meet the Vision 2020 goal to eliminate preventable blindness.

INTRODUCTION

Uncorrected refractive error (URE) is the most common cause of vision impairment and the secondary cause of blindness worldwide.¹ It has been estimated that URE accounts for 153 million individuals of visual impairment globally, and the WHO identified URE as one of the priorities for the programme of Vision 2020.¹

URE is associated with limitations in vision-related tasks and decreased quality of life.^{2 3} Despite the relatively easy intervention for refractive error, many people still suffer

Strengths and limitations of this study

- A high response rate in a large population-based sample.
- Standardised protocols based on the typical definition of uncorrected refractive error (URE).
- Uncorrected near vision, that is, presbyopia, has not been evaluated in this population.
- The underlying reasons of URE highly prevalent among elderly urban Chinese remains unknown.

from vision impairment due to URE, especially older persons. Improvement in the vision-dependent quality of life of older persons has been demonstrated when URE is corrected.^{4 5}

A wide variation in the prevalence of URE worldwide has been reported.⁶ In East Asian countries, the prevalence of URE is potentially higher due to a higher prevalence of refractive errors.⁷ Mainland China comprises one-fifth of the world's population with 78 million people aged 60 years and above, and a substantial increase in the number of older persons is expected in the next few decades.⁸ Despite the potential magnitude of this problem, there have been few population-based studies on URE in older persons in mainland China.^{9 10}

The purpose of the present study was to describe the prevalence and risk factors of URE in an elderly population in Shanghai, which is the largest city by population in China. The findings of this study may be helpful in determining the strategy to meet the Vision 2020 goal to eliminate preventable blindness.

METHODS

Study population

The Jiangning Eye Study, a population-based cross-sectional study of urban Chinese elders aged 50 years and older living in the Jiangning Road, Jing'an District, Shanghai, was conducted

to assess the prevalence and risk factors of ocular diseases. The study design and details of population sampling have been described elsewhere.^{11 12} The study followed the guidelines in the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed written consent was obtained from each participant.

Study procedures

An interviewer-administrated questionnaire was conducted to gather information about each participant's demographics, lifestyle (eg, cigarette smoking and alcohol consumption), socioeconomic status factors (eg, marital status, income level and final education level), medical history and history of ocular diseases. The ocular examination was conducted according to a standardised protocol included the presenting visual acuity (PVA) and best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA), autorefraction and subjective refraction, non-contact tonometry, slit-lamp biomicroscopy and indirect ophthalmoscopy. Distance visual acuity was assessed using Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study charts and was recorded separately for each eye. All participants were asked to bring their spectacles before performing ocular examinations. The PVA was measured with the subject's spectacles. If the participants did not wear spectacles or did not bring their spectacles, the PVA was measured without spectacles. If the PVA was $<20/20$, the BCVA was assessed with subjective refraction.

Definitions

URE was defined as an improvement of two lines (0.2 logMAR) or more in the BCVA compared with the PVA in the better eye of $<20/40$.^{13 14} Refractive status was expressed using the spherical equivalent (SE; sphere +1/2 cylinder) calculated from the BCVA. An SE between -1.0 and $+1.0$ D was defined as emmetropia, an SE <-1.0 D as myopia and an SE $>+1.0$ as hyperopia.

Statistical analysis

The overall prevalence (%) of URE was calculated. The age-standardised prevalence was calculated using direct standardisation of the study samples to the 2010 Chinese population census.⁸ The correlation between the refractive status of the right and left eyes was calculated using the Spearman correlation coefficient. A multiple logistic regression analysis was assessed with URE as the dependent variable. The relevant predictors were used as the covariates. Statistical analysis was performed with the Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS V.15.0) software. A P value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Participants and descriptive data

Of 2478 eligible participants identified for the Jiangning Eye Study, 2044 (82.5% response rate) underwent ocular examinations in a temporary clinic. Data from 1999 subjects who completed both the questionnaire and

ophthalmic examination were included and analysed in the present study.

The mean age ($\pm$ SD) was 64.7 ($\pm$ 9.9) years, and 56.2% were women. The age distribution of the population was 50–59, 757 (37.9%); 60–69, 672 (33.6%); 70–79, 352 (17.6%); and 80 years or older, 218 (10.9%). The high correlation was found between the right and left eyes of refractive status ($r=0.83$; $P<0.001$). Of the participants, 30.6% were myopic and 39.6% were hyperopic in the right eye.

The prevalence of URE

The prevalence rate of URE in the Jiangning Eye Study is summarised in table 1. The crude prevalence rate of URE in the entire study sample was 20.1% (95% CI 18.0% to 22.2%). After age standardisation to the 2010 Chinese population census, the prevalence of URE in Chinese people aged 50 years or older was estimated to be 18.7% (95% CI 17.0% to 20.4%). There was a significant age-related trend in the prevalence of URE in the entire study sample (P value for the trend was <0.001). No significant difference was found between men (19.3%) and women (20.7%) in the prevalence rate of URE ($X^2=0.613$, $P=0.434$). Among those who wore spectacles or contact lenses (only two participants wore contact lenses), 16.5% were still uncorrected (ie, a gain of two or more lines). Among the 101 participants with prior cataract surgery (at least one eye), 17.8% were uncorrected.

Analysis of associated factors

Table 2 summarises the age-adjusted and multivariate-adjusted logistic regression model of the predictors for URE. URE was significantly associated with older age (per 1-year increase, OR 1.05, 95% CI 1.04 to 1.06). After adjusting for age, a lower level of education (OR 1.36, 95% CI 1.09 to 1.70) was a significant risk factor for URE. On the other hand, a history of ocular disease (OR 0.71, 95% CI 0.55 to 0.91) was a significant protective factor for URE. In the final multiple logistic regression analysis, older age (per 1-year increase, OR 1.04, 95% CI 1.03 to 1.05) and a lower level of education (OR 1.34, 95% CI 1.07 to 1.69) were still significantly associated with URE, whereas a history of ocular disease (OR 0.71, 95% CI 0.55 to 0.92) was negatively associated with URE.

DISCUSSION

Key results

This population-based study provides novel data on the prevalence of URE in an elderly urban Chinese population in China. URE was present in 20.1% of the study sample, defining URE as an improvement of two lines (0.2 logMAR) or more in the BCVA compared with the PVA in the better eye of $<20/40$. Older age and a lower level of education were significantly related to URE, whereas a history of ocular diseases was a protective factor.

Table 1 Prevalence rates of uncorrected refractive error by gender and age in the Jiangning Eye Study

Age group (years)	All			Spectacle wearers			Non-spectacle wearers		
	N	n	Prevalence rate (%)	N	n	Prevalence rate (%)	N	n	Prevalence rate (%)
Men									
50–59	297	35	11.8	81	8	9.9	216	27	12.5
60–69	321	47	14.6	120	14	11.7	201	33	16.4
70–79	158	46	29.1	48	11	22.9	110	35	31.8
80–95	99	41	41.4	28	7	25.0	71	34	47.9
Total population	875	169	19.3	277	40	14.4	598	129	21.6
Age-standardised prevalence (%)*			17.0 (14.5 to 19.5)			13.3 (9.3 to 17.3)			18.7 (15.6 to 21.8)
P value for trend†			P<0.001			P=0.013			P<0.001
Women									
50–59	460	82	17.8	115	18	15.7	345	64	18.6
60–69	351	53	15.1	128	20	15.6	223	33	14.8
70–79	194	59	30.4	50	14	28.0	144	45	31.3
80–95	119	39	32.8	19	5	26.3	100	34	34.0
Total population	1124	233	20.7	312	57	18.3	812	176	21.7
Age-standardised prevalence (%)*			20.3 (17.9 to 22.6)			18.5 (14.2 to 22.9)			20.8 (18.0 to 23.6)
P value for trend†			P<0.001			P=0.079			P<0.001
Both genders									
50–59	757	117	15.5	196	26	13.3	561	91	16.2
60–69	672	100	14.9	248	34	13.7	424	66	15.6
70–79	352	105	29.8	98	25	25.5	254	80	31.5
80–95	218	80	36.7	47	12	25.5	171	68	39.8
Total population	1999	402	20.1	589	97	16.5	1410	305	21.6
Age-standardised prevalence (%)*			18.7 (17.0 to 20.4)			15.9 (13.0 to 18.9)			19.7 (17.7 to 21.8)
P value for trend†			P<0.001			P=0.004			P<0.001

*Estimated prevalence (95% CI) for projection by age-standardised to 2010 Chinese population census.

†P value for test of trend for age.

Prevalence of URE

Visual impairment in the elderly is of increasing importance with longer life expectancy and the resultant growing senior population.¹⁵ Refractive error is the most common cause of vision impairment when people age.¹⁶ Since Schwab and Tielsch drew attention to the importance of correctable vision impairment, URE has gained increasing attention in recent years as a major cause of avoidable blindness and visual impairment.^{17,18}

Given that refractive error is more common in East Asia, a great number of individuals with URE would be expected among the older Chinese population.⁷ However, a great disparity in the prevalence of URE in older Chinese has been presented in previous population-based studies (table 3).^{9,10,14,19,20} Our prevalence rate of URE is markedly higher than the rates in the Liwan Eye Study (7.0%; URE was defined as an improvement to 20/40 or better with automated refraction),⁹ the Shihpai Eye Study (9.6%;

URE was defined as improving to better than 20/40 on refraction)¹⁹ and the Hong Kong Study (13.4%; URE was defined as improving with pinhole to better than 20/60).²⁰ Although potential sources of errors exist in the recruitment ages and sampling methods, the discrepancies may be mainly due to differences in the definition of URE. Accordingly, the prevalence of URE in our study is similar to that in Singaporean-Chinese elders (21.7% in the Tanjong Pagar Study),¹⁴ when the definition of URE is same. A previous study investigated in a rural block of Shanghai also showed a similar prevalence rate of URE (24.8% in the Baoshan Study) using a similar definition of URE.¹⁰ Our finding expands the data suggesting that URE is a significant problem among older Chinese.

Factors associated with URE

In the risk factor analysis, older age has been shown to be significantly associated with increasing risk of URE.

Table 2 Logistic regression model of the predictors of uncorrected refractive error

Variable	N	n (%)	Age OR (95% CI)*	P	Multivariate OR (95% CI)†	P
Age (per 1 year)	1999	402 (20.1)	1.05 (1.04 to 1.06)	<0.001	1.04 (1.03 to 1.05)	<0.001
Gender				0.295		0.675
Male	875	169 (19.3)	1.0		1.0	
Female	1124	233 (20.7)	1.13 (0.90 to 1.41)		1.05 (0.84 to 1.32)	
Education				0.008		0.019
Secondary school and lower	902	217 (24.1)	1.36 (1.09 to 1.70)		1.34 (1.07 to 1.69)	
High school and above	1097	185 (16.9)	1.0		1.0	
Income				0.050		0.156
<5000	1327	292 (21.5)	1.28 (1.00 to 1.64)		1.20 (0.93 to 1.55)	
5000 and above	672	110 (16.4)	1.0		1.0	
History of ocular diseases				0.007		0.009
No	1500	315 (21.0)	1.0		1.0	
Yes	499	87 (17.4)	0.71 (0.55 to 0.91)		0.71 (0.55 to 0.92)	

*Adjusted for age.

†Adjusted for age, gender, education, income and history of ocular diseases.

Bold values indicate statistically significant differences with a P-value less than 0.05.

In this study, the prevalence rate of URE increased with age from 15.5% in the 50–59 range to 36.7% in participants older than 80 years. This age-related trend was in accordance with previous population-based studies.^{13 14 19 21–23} In addition, people with a low level of education were associated with URE. This is probably because a lower educational level may result in a

lower socioeconomic status and a lack of awareness of refractive errors.^{13 14 19 21 23} On the other hand, people with a history of ocular diseases were negatively associated with URE, which may be due to more ophthalmic services accessed than those without a history of ocular diseases. However, we could not confirm the previous association of URE with women.¹³

Table 3 Prevalence rates and risk factors of uncorrected refractive error among Chinese population from the Jiangning and other eye studies

Study (year of study)	Country/region	N	Age (years)	URE in study population (%)	Definitions (visual acuity (VA))	Multivariate risk factors
Tanjong Pagar Survey ¹⁴ (1997–1998)	Singapore	1152	40–79	21.7	BCVA - PVA≥2 lines in the better eye of <20/40	Older age, fewer years of education, not wearing spectacles, cataracts
Hong Kong Study ²⁰ (1998)	Hong Kong	3441	60+	13.4	Improving with pinhole to better than 20/60	NA
Shihpai Eye Study ¹⁹ (1999–2000)	Taiwan	1361	65+	9.6	Improving to better than 20/40 on refraction	Older age, non-emmetropic eye, not wearing spectacles, lower level of education
Liwan Eye Study ⁹ (2003–2004)	Guangzhou	1399	50+	7.0	Improvement to 20/40 or better with automated refraction	NA
Baoshan Study ¹⁰ (2009)	Shanghai	4545	60+	24.8	Improvement of two or more lines in VA in the better eye after refraction	NA
Jiangning Eye Study (2012–2013) (current)	Shanghai	1999	50+	20.1	BCVA - PVA≥2 lines in the better eye of <20/40	Older age, secondary school and lower of education, no history of ocular diseases

BCVA, best-corrected visual acuity; NA, not applicable; PVA, presenting visual acuity.

Novel insight into the public health strategy

Both URE and cataract have been included in the priority areas of the global initiative Vision 2020: The Right to Sight to eliminate preventable blindness.⁶ With more than 20% of the world's population residing in China alone, great efforts have been made in China to meet the goal of Vision 2020. According to the National Plan for the Prevention and Treatment of Blindness, the coverage of cataract surgery has increased significantly through projects such as Free Cataract Surgeries for A Million Poor Patients in China.²⁴ The cataract surgery rate in the Shanghai area increased from 1741 in 2006 to 4822 in 2016.^{25 26} However, the findings of our study demonstrate the important contribution of URE to avoidable visual impairment in elderly Chinese aside from cataract and should raise awareness of the URE burden in China. An appropriate and cost-effective intervention for URE in older population should be considered during national health policy-making.

Strengths and limitations of the study

The strengths of the present study include a high response rate in a large population-based sample, and standardised protocols based on the typical definition of URE. However, this study has several limitations. First, refractive error that impairs near vision, that is, presbyopia, was not assessed. Second, the gross domestic product per capita in Shanghai has approached the level of developed countries. All the subjects in the present study have health insurance, and ophthalmic consultations are easily accessed in this metropolitan area. We did not ask the reasons why they remained uncorrected for those subjects with URE in the present study, considering that economic factors and ophthalmic services should no longer be barriers. Further studies are needed to assess these issues. Furthermore, the present study was conducted in an urban population, which may limit the generalisability of the study findings. These results might not be extended to rural Chinese populations due to significant differences in social and economic factors between the urban and rural areas in mainland China.

Conclusions

In summary, this study suggests that URE is highly prevalent among the elderly Chinese population in urban China. These data provide a novel insight into the public health strategy for the Vision 2020 initiative to prevent avoidable blindness and visual impairment in the world's most populous nation. Further investigation is needed to identify the effects of URE on the quality of life of the elderly and the underlying reasons why they remain uncorrected.

Acknowledgements The authors thank Jian Zhang (Zhongshan Ophthalmic Center, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China) for providing suggestions on study sampling and statistical analysis.

Contributors HY and PZ conceived and designed the study. HY, YQ, XLi, XC, WY and XLi performed the study. HY, YQ and QZ analysed the data. HY, YQ and PZ

wrote the paper. All the authors read and approved the submitted version of the manuscript.

Funding This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent Obtained.

Ethics approval Medical Ethics Committee of the Xinhua Hospital Affiliated to Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine (XHEC-C-2012-014).

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data sharing statement No additional data are available.

Open Access This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt and build upon this work, for commercial use, provided the original work is properly cited. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

© Article author(s) (or their employer(s) unless otherwise stated in the text of the article) 2018. All rights reserved. No commercial use is permitted unless otherwise expressly granted.

REFERENCES

1. Resnikoff S, Pascolini D, Mariotti SP, *et al.* Global magnitude of visual impairment caused by uncorrected refractive errors in 2004. *Bull World Health Organ* 2008;86:63–70.
2. Smith TS, Frick KD, Holden BA, *et al.* Potential lost productivity resulting from the global burden of uncorrected refractive error. *Bull World Health Organ* 2009;87:431–7.
3. Dandona R, Dandona L. Refractive error blindness. *Bull World Health Organ* 2001;79:237–43.
4. Owsley C, McGwin G, Scilley K, *et al.* Effect of refractive error correction on health-related quality of life and depression in older nursing home residents. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2007;125:1471–7.
5. Coleman AL, Yu F, Keeler E, *et al.* Treatment of uncorrected refractive error improves vision-specific quality of life. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2006;54:883–90.
6. Schneider J, Leeder SR, Gopinath B, *et al.* Frequency, course, and impact of correctable visual impairment (uncorrected refractive error). *Surv Ophthalmol* 2010;55:539–60.
7. McCarty CA. Uncorrected refractive error. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2006;90:521–2.
8. Tabulation on the 2010 Population Census of the People's Republic of China. <http://www.stats.gov.cn/english/Statisticaldata/CensusData/rkpc2010/index.htm> (accessed 6 Dec 2017).
9. Huang S, Zheng Y, Foster PJ, *et al.* Prevalence and causes of visual impairment in Chinese adults in urban southern China. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2009;127:1362–7.
10. Zhu M, Tong X, Zhao R, *et al.* Visual impairment and spectacle coverage rate in Baoshan district, China: population-based study. *BMC Public Health* 2013;13:311.
11. Ye H, Zhang Q, Liu X, *et al.* Prevalence and associations of epiretinal membrane in an elderly urban Chinese population in China: the Jiangning Eye Study. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2015;99:1594–7.
12. Ye H, Zhang Q, Liu X, *et al.* Prevalence of age-related macular degeneration in an elderly urban Chinese population in China: the Jiangning Eye Study. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2014;55:6374–80.
13. Rosman M, Wong TY, Tay WT, *et al.* Prevalence and risk factors of undercorrected refractive errors among Singaporean Malay adults: the Singapore Malay Eye Study. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2009;50:3621–8.
14. Saw SM, Foster PJ, Gazzard G, *et al.* Undercorrected refractive error in Singaporean Chinese adults: the Tanjong Pagar survey. *Ophthalmology* 2004;111:2168–74.
15. Olshansky SJ, Carnes BA, Cassel CK. The aging of the human species. *Sci Am* 1993;268:46–52.
16. Attebo K, Ivers RQ, Mitchell P. Refractive errors in an older population: the Blue Mountains Eye Study. *Ophthalmology* 1999;106:1066–72.
17. Tielsch JM, Sommer A, Witt K, *et al.* Blindness and visual impairment in an American urban population. The Baltimore Eye Survey. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1990;108:286–90.
18. Schwab L, Steinkuller PG. Visual disability and blindness secondary to refractive errors in Africa. *Soc Sci Med* 1983;17:1751–4.

19. Kuang TM, Tsai SY, Hsu WM, *et al.* Correctable visual impairment in an elderly Chinese population in Taiwan: the Shihpai Eye Study. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2007;48:1032–7.
20. Michon JJ, Lau J, Chan WS, *et al.* Prevalence of visual impairment, blindness, and cataract surgery in the Hong Kong elderly. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2002;86:133–9.
21. Foran S, Rose K, Wang JJ, *et al.* Correctable visual impairment in an older population: the blue mountains eye study. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2002;134:712–9.
22. Dimitrov PN, Mukesh BN, McCarty CA, *et al.* Five-year incidence of bilateral cause-specific visual impairment in the Melbourne Visual Impairment Project. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2003;44:5075–81.
23. Varma R, Wang MY, Ying-Lai M, *et al.* The prevalence and risk indicators of uncorrected refractive error and unmet refractive need in Latinos: the Los Angeles Latino Eye Study. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2008;49:5264–73.
24. Lin H, Lin D, Long E, *et al.* Patient participation in free cataract surgery: a cross-sectional study of the low-income elderly in urban China. *BMJ Open* 2016;6:e011061.
25. Zhu M, Zhu J, Lu L, *et al.* Four-year analysis of cataract surgery rates in Shanghai, China: a retrospective cross-sectional study. *BMC Ophthalmol* 2014;14:3.
26. THE LASTEST: Ranking of Chinese cataract surgery reporting situation in 2016. [http://www.moheyes.com/\(S\(iwltcfbybpjl1x45sww14wjm\)\)/News/Details/f5334a53-dd23-4bbe-a959-96c542b7262c](http://www.moheyes.com/(S(iwltcfbybpjl1x45sww14wjm))/News/Details/f5334a53-dd23-4bbe-a959-96c542b7262c) (accessed 6 Dec 2017).