Perceptions, experiences and expectations of physicians regarding the role of pharmacists in low-income and middle-income countries: the case of Tehran hospital settings

Fatemeh Alipour, Farzad Peiravian, Gholamhossein Mehralian

ABSTRACT
Objective Pharmacists play a pivotal role in the health continuum, and the perceptions and expectations of physicians towards pharmacists have been reported as a key factor in the interprofessional relationship. This study aimed to elicit the perceptions and expectations of physicians towards the role of pharmacists in hospitals to clarify the level of interaction between physicians and pharmacists in hospital settings.

Design A cross-sectional survey using a self-administered questionnaire was conducted to collect data in 2016.

Methods A cross-sectional study was carried out at 20 large government hospitals in Tehran Province. Data was collected using a questionnaire consisting of four sections. It includes a section on demographic profile and three sections to measure the perceptions of physicians towards pharmacists, the expectations of physicians and their experiences with pharmacists, respectively.

Results More than two-thirds of physicians (67.2%) agreed that pharmacists were a reliable source of general drug information. The majority of physicians (90.6%) expected pharmacists to advise their patients about the dose and administration of their medications. Almost half of the physicians (51.6%) agreed that they were usually informed by pharmacists regarding potential problems in their prescriptions. Both senior and junior residents had the view that pharmacists would inform them if any potential problems with their prescriptions were discovered (P=0.04).

Conclusion This study demonstrated that physicians had positive view on pharmacists’ clinically defined roles and also accepted pharmacists as a valuable source of general drug information. However, the perceptions and expectations of physicians towards pharmacists did not match their real experience. Therefore, improving the awareness of physicians about the benefits of interprofessional collaboration with pharmacists seems to be a key step towards the implementation of pharmaceutical care practices in Iran.

INTRODUCTION
Providing healthcare services is a dynamic business that has undergone numerous changes in the past few decades, among which interprofessional collaboration and education have been greatly encouraged to improve patients’ outcome.1 2 Traditionally, physicians diagnosed diseases and prescribed medications, while pharmacists compounded and dispensed them. In this regard, there are some reasons compelling mutual collaboration between physicians and pharmacists such as fast growth of medical as well as pharmaceutical sciences, interactions among medications and rapidly increasing costs of therapy.3 4 Hence, this traditional relationship between physicians and pharmacists can no longer ensure the safety, effectiveness and adherence to therapy. In other words, addressing increasingly diverse and complex problems requires an interdisciplinary collaboration in which pharmacists can play a major role in providing valuable contributions to patients’ care.5 6

The provision of pharmacotherapy for achieving definite outcomes (i.e., the patients’ quality of life) through preventing and
resolving drug-related problems is the heart of the pharmaceutical care philosophy. This philosophy is now well understood worldwide as the primary responsibility of pharmacists. Specifically, the successful implementation of pharmaceutical care, particularly in hospital settings, requires close cooperation between physicians and pharmacists. The more interaction physicians and pharmacists have, the more effective and less expensive pharmacotherapy will be. However, the recognition of pharmacists’ role in low-income and middle-income countries (LMICs), such as Iran, has not been achieved mainly because the perception of pharmacists, as one of health professional counsellors, is not fully comprehended yet, while within developed countries like UK and USA pharmacists play a crucial role particularly in hospital settings.

Although some previous studies on physicians–pharmacists collaboration have highlighted that this collaboration was not well matured, recent studies indicated that the role of pharmacists in improving patients’ care in both hospital and outpatient settings has significantly improved. Nevertheless, in LMICs, the pharmacy profession encounters some challenges such as the dire shortage of qualified pharmacists and lack of standard practice guidelines. In addition, it is generally perceived by pharmacists that physicians are one of the major obstacles for expanding the roles of pharmacists in those countries. From another perspective, the most common negative experiences of physicians with pharmacists were reported to be that pharmacists may provide inappropriate drug information, dispense unauthorised refills and/or make inappropriate comments for patients. All of the aforementioned issues underline the need for a better collaboration between physicians and pharmacists for achieving better outcomes.

Pharmacy practice in LMICs

One of the main goals of pharmacy practice is to improve the appropriate medications use. In comparison with developed countries, the healthcare systems and pharmaceutical sectors of LMICs are still unstable and in some countries they are backward. This might be owing to several underlying factors, and among which lack of effective health and pharmaceutical policies, lack of trained personnel and lack of financial support and resources are more important compared with others. Therefore, it is important to monitor these faults and the strategies taken by the country’s authorities to enhance the situation. More details about pharmacy practice in Iran, as the context of this study, are outlined hereunder.

Iran is a large country, with more than 80 million people, its population ageing has become a serious concern of the country within the last decades. The Ministry of Health plays a stewardship role in providing health services to the society through public and private sectors. Moreover, the Ministry of Health has recently experienced a great reform so as to increase the level of such services for individuals, particularly those who are socioeconomically frail. To this end, the government has made a great deal of effort to enhance the access of people to needed health services in right time with minimum cost. Among these efforts, providing pharmaceutical products available at affordable price is of great importance, which has indeed substantially reduced the patients’ out-of-pocket expenses and increased their satisfaction. There is a consensus that pharmacists, as trustful specialists in pharmaceutical services, have a great potential to affect the outcome of treatment in either community pharmacies or hospital ones.

With regard to pharmacy programme, pharmacy schools provide two degrees for students, including Pharm-D and PhD programmes. Within the former programme, the students mostly are trained to provide pharmaceutical care for societies, while in the latter programme the students are expected to be research oriented. This is mainly because the students who graduate from PhD programme have less interest to work in pharmacies, resulting in authorities allocating more resources to training undergraduate students. It is worth mentioning that, thanks to local scientists, particularly in the field of pharmaceutical sciences, Iran has shown a remarkable contribution to the production of knowledge over the world. Statistically speaking, it has been well documented that over 85% of graduated students select pharmacies for their future jobs; hence, a major reform regarding pharmacy curriculum has occurred in the last years in order to provide more opportunities for students to be trained more socially regarding pharmaceutical care services. Specifically, this reform helps students to be well qualified, which means how appropriately they can communicate with patients, how they can influence the quality of pharmacotherapy as well as related services and how students would be clinically oriented in a hospital setting. With respect to hospital pharmacy, students have to experience clinical practice, particularly in communicating with both physicians and patients as to how they can play their role to increase the outcome of physicians’ intervention. It should be stated that this reform is not well matured and takes time so that we can observe the effect of this acclaimed reform in the future. Nowadays, there are two types of pharmacists involving in Iranian hospital settings namely, hospital pharmacists and clinical pharmacists. In practice, hospital pharmacists mostly focus on providing pharmaceutical products rather than pharmaceutical care, while clinical pharmacists have been simply providing pharmaceutical care services for physicians during the last decade, and such qualified pharmacists have been undoubtedly influential in proposing cost-effective alternatives. It is expected that in the upcoming years, thanks to the mentioned reform, the hospital pharmacists (Pharm-D) would be able to join clinical pharmacists for increasing the powerful presence of pharmacists in clinical practice.

Finally, the main aim of this study was to elucidate the perceptions and expectations of physicians towards the role of pharmacists in hospital settings as well as the level
of interactions between physicians and pharmacists in such settings.

METHODS
A cross-sectional survey was conducted at 20 large, government hospitals in Tehran between October 2015 and August 2016. Data was collected from residents and specialist physicians working at such hospital settings.

Questionnaire development
The self-administrated questionnaire used in this study was adopted from previously published studies with minor modifications. There were four sections in the questionnaire. Section 1 depicted the participants’ demographic profile such as their current area of practice, current position, the country where qualification was obtained, the frequency of interacting with pharmacists and a description of the reason of this interaction. Section 2 measured the perceptions of physicians towards pharmacists. Sections 3 and 4 assessed the expectations of physicians and their experiences with pharmacists, respectively. Taking face and content validity of the questionnaire into account, we asked a group of five pharmacists and five specialist physicians to scrutinize the initial version of the questionnaire and judge face as well as content validity of each item. In order to improve clarity and limit response bias, the questionnaire was piloted before the study. Within this phase, 50 physicians who were practising in government hospitals were invited, and the results of analysis indicated that the survey questionnaire was appropriate enough for the next step.

Specifically, the perception levels of physicians towards pharmacists’ contributions were measured by using uncomfortable, moderately comfortable or comfortable for each item. Items on expectation and experience were self-reported on a four-point Likert scale (strongly disagree, disagree, agree or strongly agree). A three-point and four-point Likert scale was used in order to avoid confusion with the ‘neutral’ responses. All questions were closed-ended ones.

Sampling and data collection
A sample of 500 physicians was randomly selected from 20 large, government hospitals. These hospitals are educational centres with a great number of physicians who are interested in participating in such surveys. These circumstances assured us that finding a suitable sample for further analysis would be possible. With respect to sample size, our assumption was that the suitable percentage of physicians who were communicating with pharmacists is 50% (95% CI and 5% accuracy). As a result, using Raosoft software (http://raosoft.com/samplesize.html) a sample size of 385 was proposed for this study. To this end, first of all a list covering all physicians working at studied hospitals was prepared, then the simple probabilistic approach was used to select physicians. Finally, 500 physicians were invited to this study. The questionnaires were distributed by two pharmacy students in a face-to-face manner and were collected by them. In some cases, those pharmacists had to refer physicians later, and in other cases the physicians were not keen on participating in the study mainly because they were so busy. It is worth mentioning that the questionnaires were distributed to the physicians whose names were identified and delivered by the head of research team. Regarding non-response bias, although the demographic profile of those physicians who were not willing to participate in this study was not collected by research team, it was clear for us that those physicians were too busy to participate in such studies.

The hospitals were not equally represented in that the total number of selected physicians in each hospital was different. Before the initiation of the survey, the physicians were presented with an explanation of the research purpose, and their verbal consent to participate in the study was obtained. The SPSS V.18 was used to analyse the data. The frequency values and cross-analysis between different variables were performed. \( \chi^2 \) tests were used to determine if there is any significant association among nominal variables in order to analyse the relationship between perception as well as experience of physicians with their demographic profile.

RESULTS
Out of the 500 questionnaires distributed, 415 were completed by the end of the study. Around half of physicians were women (54.7%) with a mean age of 36 years. The majority of physicians obtained their medical degree from local universities. Less than half of the physicians were junior residents (first-year or second-year residents; 43.6%), while the remainder were senior residents (third-year or fourth-year residents; 31.4%) or professors (25.1%). Table 1 shows the demographics and other characteristics of the physicians.

About three-quarters (76.3%) of the physicians declared that they rarely interacted with the pharmacists (table 2). The physicians who had interactions with the pharmacists stated that their interactions were related to queries about drug availability (81.9%), medicine substitution (44.3%), side effects (41.1%), drug interactions (37.6%) and drug dosage (28.2%). Table 3 shows the perception level of physicians interacting with the pharmacists who were performing specific tasks; the majority of physicians were comfortable (60.3%) with the pharmacists providing patient education. With respect to providing patient education, there was a significant association between age and area of practice (\( P=0.001^* \) and \( P=0.003^* \), respectively). In regard to this association, physicians between the ages of 36 and 46 years, and dermatologists were more comfortable with pharmacists providing patient education. Three-fifths (63.1%) of the physicians believed that pharmacists should not be given the right to provide repeat-medication independently. A significant association was found in regard to the current position and physicians’ opinion...
about pharmacists repeating medication independently; senior residents were more likely than others to believe that pharmacists should be allowed to provide repeat-medications independently (P=0.04*). Nearly three-quarters (71.4%) of the physicians were comfortable with pharmacists identifying and preventing prescription errors, and this finding was statistically significant (P=0.02*) with respect to the area of practice. Dermatologists were more comfortable with pharmacists identifying and preventing prescription errors. About half of the physicians were comfortable (54.0%) with pharmacists suggesting medications appropriate for pharmacotherapy. Nearly half of the physicians (54.0%) believed that patients could attain a better outcome and that the physicians’ workload could be minimised if pharmacists contribute to all aspects of pharmacotherapy. In addition, there is a significant difference (P=0.04*) as to their area of practice; surgeons were more likely to believe this opinion.

The actual experience of physicians with pharmacists is shown in table 4. The majority of physicians (67.2%) indicated that pharmacists are a great source of general information. Nearly half of the physicians (53.6%) disagreed when they were asked about whether the pharmacists regularly inform them about more cost-effective alternatives. Half of the physicians (51.6%) stated that pharmacists usually informed them if they notice potential problems with their prescriptions. About one-third of physicians agreed that pharmacists frequently informed them that their patients had experienced some problems with their medications (33.5%). With respect to experience, no positive association was seen between this variable and demographic profile of the participants.

The results showing the expectations of physicians towards the roles of pharmacists are listed in table 5. The majority of physicians (90.6%) expected pharmacists to inform their patients about the dosage and administration of their medications. In addition, the majority of physicians (87.8%) also expected pharmacists to provide drug and health information to patients. A significant association was found between these two mentioned expectations and the age of physicians. In this regard, physicians between the ages of 35 and 46 years were more likely to agree with pharmacists informing their patients about the dosage and administration of their medications (P=0.03*) and providing drug and health information (P=0.02*).

**Table 1** Demographics and relevant characteristics of physicians (n=415)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>45.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>54.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;35 years</td>
<td>70.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36–46 years</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;47 years</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country where medical qualification was obtained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>98.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign countries</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area of practice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal medicine</td>
<td>26.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obstetrics and gynaecology</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ear, nose and throat</td>
<td>31.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dermatology</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paediatrics</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current position</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior (first-year and second-year resident)</td>
<td>43.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior (third-year and fourth-year resident)</td>
<td>31.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professor</td>
<td>25.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** Frequency and reasons for interactions between physicians and pharmacists

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency of interaction (%)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rarely</td>
<td>76.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Once a week</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Once a day/more</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reasons for interactions (%)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drug-availability enquiries</td>
<td>81.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side effects enquiries</td>
<td>41.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug-alternative enquiries</td>
<td>44.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug-dosage enquiries</td>
<td>28.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug-interaction enquiries</td>
<td>37.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>22.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DISCUSSION**

The future role of hospital pharmacists in healthcare system, particularly in Iran, depends on the inclination and the initiative of pharmacists to become more active members of the healthcare team as well as on the perception of physicians towards the new patient-oriented roles of pharmacists. Therefore, an understanding of the perceptions of physicians concerning their present experience with pharmacists’ services and their expectations is of great importance. This will contribute to developing different strategies to address major concerns and maximise benefiting from limited resources in healthcare system. Although similar studies have been thus far reported in this matter, the views and the results may be different according to local conditions and cultures.9 25–32

This study illustrated the perceptions of physicians towards pharmacists being involved in patient education,
suggesting the use of prescription medication to physicians and patients, detecting and preventing prescription errors, and managing prescription side effects, which have been similarly identified in other studies. In this study, the responses of physicians were largely positive when they were asked about some of their perceptions towards the roles of pharmacists. Specifically, more than two-thirds of the physicians (67.2%) agreed that pharmacists were a reliable source of general drug information, while more than half of them had rare interaction with pharmacists. This finding is in line with a number of previous studies on this issue. Physicians agreed that patient counselling, such as informing about the dose and administration of their medication, possible adverse drug effects, and food and drug interactions, are very important services that should be provided by pharmacists. This is consistent with a study which concluded that physicians have appropriate attitudes towards pharmacists’ intervention in promoting the outcome of pharmacotherapy. In addition, more than half of the physicians in our sample (63.1%) were uncomfortable with pharmacists refilling medication independently. Previous studies have also reported the reluctance of physicians on pharmacists acting autonomously in regard to therapeutic choices. Some physicians apparently felt that this could be an incursion into their own realm and would damage the physician–patient relationship. Similar to some studies, for example, Yousefi et al, most of the physicians were dissatisfied with the pharmacists’ suggestion to generic substitutions. This was mainly because some physicians believe that these activities call into their autonomy and authority or likely impair the physician–patient relationship. However, pharmacist-led counselling was the only activity where physicians were not directly involved in the relationship, unless they were directly informed by patients as to whether they have been counselled or otherwise. As a consequence, physicians may simply have not been aware of this service, thereby mostly disagreeing with this point on counselling services by pharmacists.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duties of pharmacists</th>
<th>Comfortable (tolerant) (%)</th>
<th>Moderately comfortable, n (%)</th>
<th>Uncomfortable (non-tolerant) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“Pharmacists should contribute to managing medication side effects”</td>
<td>71.8</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Pharmacist should recognize and prevent prescription errors”</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Pharmacists should involve in medication insurance program”</td>
<td>64.8</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Pharmacists should monitor pharmacotherapeutic outcomes”</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Pharmacists should provide patient education”</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Pharmacists should help physicians for dosage adjustment”</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Pharmacists should advise patients as to drug interactions”</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Pharmacists should suggest physicians in selecting appropriate medicines”</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Pharmacists should recommend the use of non-prescription medications to patient”</td>
<td>47.7</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>17.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Physicians should contact pharmacists to find appropriate pharmacotherapy”</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>59.2</td>
<td>17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Pharmacists should play an important role in patient care”</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>26.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“A patient’s outcome could be better and physicians’ workload could be minimized if pharmacists are fully involved in all aspects of medication”</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>29.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Patients should have consultation with a pharmacist regarding their medications”</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>62.4</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Pharmacists should have the opportunity to suggest generic substitution”</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>41.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“A majority of patients would be more compliant with their medications if they have a consultation with a pharmacist”</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>26.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“I would accept to an expanded patient-centered role of the pharmacists in the clinical setting”</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Pharmacists significantly affect the use of medication”</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>55.7</td>
<td>31.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Pharmacists should have right to provide repeat-medication independently”</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>63.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
clinically defined roles of the pharmacists being involved in making decisions for patients independently.\textsuperscript{39, 40} In addition, the majority of physicians were comfortable with pharmacists suggesting the use of non-prescription medications to patients. This finding is in agreement with other studies which highlighted the increasing number of medications that have been deregulated to over-the-counter status worldwide, thereby reducing costs and physicians’ workload.\textsuperscript{25–29, 32} It is obvious that most LMICs face with lack of qualified pharmacists in terms of proposing cost-effective alternatives as well as how to deal with these issues in practice; hence, physicians are not willing to interact with such pharmacists in order to find a cost-effective alternative, resulting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiences of physicians</th>
<th>Strongly agree (%)</th>
<th>Agree (%)</th>
<th>Disagree (%)</th>
<th>Strongly disagree (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“Pharmacists routinely inform me if they notice potential problems in my prescriptions”</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Pharmacists routinely inform me about more cost-effective alternatives”</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“In my experience, pharmacists are a trustworthy source of general drug information”</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>59.9</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Pharmacists routinely advise my patients as to the safe and the appropriate use of their medications”</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“I create a relationship with pharmacists when the pharmacist try to adjust my patients’ medication”</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Pharmacists routinely let me know that my patients have encountered some problems with their medications”</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“In my experience, pharmacists tend to take personal responsibility for managing any drug-related problems”</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>50.5</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Pharmacists regularly inquire me to clarify for them the pharmacotherapy objectives that I have in my mind”</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 Expectations of physicians towards the roles of pharmacists

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expectations of physicians</th>
<th>Strongly agree (%)</th>
<th>Agree (%)</th>
<th>Disagree (%)</th>
<th>Strongly disagree (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“I expect pharmacists to inform my patients about probable adverse drug reactions”</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“I expect pharmacists to inform my patients about probable interactions”</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“I expect pharmacists to inform my patients about the dosage and administration of their medications”</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“I expect pharmacists to prepare a drug and health information pamphlet to patients”</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“I expect pharmacists to provide a drug information pamphlet with me”</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>63.1</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“I expect pharmacists to collect report adverse drug reactions to collect and report adverse drug reactions”</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>64.8</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“I expect pharmacists to be responsible for ensuring that my patients have refilled their medicines on time”</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“I expect pharmacists to help me prescribe cost-effective medicines”</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“I expect pharmacists to commence a therapeutic committee”</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>61.3</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“I expect pharmacists to be available for consultation when I see patients”</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“I expect pharmacists to monitor my patients’ pharmacotherapy and let me know if a patient faced any drug-related problem”</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“I expect pharmacists to discern the specific indication of each drug prescribed”</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>61.3</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“I expect pharmacists to work with my patients in selecting appropriate non-prescription medications”</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
in the rare contact of these two health professional in
pharmaco therapy.

According to $\chi^2$ test, findings show that physicians, espe-
cially senior residents, have high expectations regarding
the pharmacists’ clinically defined roles. This may reflect
that the level of pharmacy practice in the future should
change to a patient-oriented fashion in such settings. In
general, although physicians had a little experience about
certain activities of pharmacists, the findings regarding
the expectations of physicians on these new roles for pharc-
macists were promising. This is a promising insight in the
light of the ‘extended roles’ of pharmacists and the emer-
gency of such concepts as pharmaceutical care as well
as good pharmacy practice. More specifically, the defi-
ciency in the experience of physicians with pharmacists is
the consequence of the rare interactions and inadequate
number of pharmacists working in hospital settings.
Statistic shows that only a small percentage of pharma-
cists are working in Tehran’s hospitals and they are more
focused on drug-oriented rather than patient-oriented
pharmacy services in their practice. This approach causes
the majority of the physicians in this study not to believe
that pharmacists routinely counselled their patients with
regard to safety and appropriate use of their medications
and that these pharmacists were willing to take personal
responsibility to resolve any drug-related problems they
discover. These results were similar to a number of previ-
ously published studies. These low experiences
could be explained by the fact that most pharmacists
have low confidence in participating in clinical decision
processes. This can be due to a deficiency in the clinical
content of the pharmacy curriculum. Therefore, Iranian
pharmacists need to work more closely with physicians,
thereby providing the physicians with an opportunity to
observe pharmacists’ clinical capabilities, and they should
also make physicians more confident towards the roles of
pharmacists.

Limitation of study

The results of this study cannot be generalised to all
physicians as private hospitals and other non-educational
ones were not included in the study. The views may be
different among the physicians in hospital settings or in
private sectors where the experiences and contacts with
pharmacists may be different. A large study is recom-
mended to extrapolate and generalise the results. This
study investigated the perceptions, expectations and expe-
riences of physicians and not that of pharmacists. Hence,
a future study investigating the attitudes and experiences
of pharmacists will give a more comprehensive view.
Furthermore, the questionnaire consisted of only closed-
ended questions, while the inclusion of some open-ended
questions may help elucidate expectations or experi-
mental problems, which were not specifically concerned in
the questionnaire. Social desirability would not be a big
concern in this study as in the majority of cases, physi-
cians did not accept to answer the survey through a face-
to-face approach.

CONCLUSION

This survey demonstrates that physicians were interested in
developing pharmacists’ roles and also contemplated
pharmacists as a trustworthy source of drug information.
However, the expectations and perceptions of physicians
towards the roles of pharmacists did not match their
real experience tackling with the latter. Greater efforts
are required to increase the awareness of physicians
with regard to the importance of collaboration among
healthcare professionals in general and what benefits
can be gained from this on patients’ quality of life and
healthcare cost in particular. It is also important to incor-
porate direct patient care during clinical rotations with
pharmacists in the pharmacy educational programme.
Taking rare contact among health professionals into
account, collaborative learning between pharmacists
and physicians is highly recommended for consideration
within undergraduate and postgraduate educational
programmes. This will promote the improved under-
standing of clinical pharmacists’ roles by physicians and
support interprofessional collaboration in identifying the
shared goal of improving care for patients.
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