

Supplementary Appendix Table 1: Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative studies (COREQ)
32-item check-list

Domain 1: Research team and reflexivity		
Personal Characteristics		
1	Interviewer/facilitator	The interviewer was the first author (MS) (p.10).
2	Credentials	We wrote the credentials for all authors in the title page (p.1-2).
3	Occupation	We wrote the occupation for all authors in the title page (p.1-2).
4	Gender	We did not write female or male in the manuscript, however, HO and RT are male and others are female.
5	Experience and training relationship with participants	We explained the experience and training relationship with participants in p.10.
6	Relationship established	We wrote the relationship established in p.10.
7	Participant knowledge of the interviewer	We wrote participant knowledge of the interviewer in p.11.
8	Interview characteristics	We explained the interview characteristics in p.10.
Domain 2: study design		
Theoretical framework		
9	Methodological orientation and theory	We used the Theory of Planned Behaviour (p.7).
Participants selection		
10	Sampling	We use the purposive sampling method (p. 9).
11	Method of approach	We conducted a face-to-face interviews (p.10).
12	Sample size	Oriniginal sample size (14) (p.9).
13	Non-participation setting	Two participants were excluded since they were not available as one went away to work in the capital city of Manila and another was divorced and living apart with the child before the interview (p.9).
14	Setting of data collection	All interviews were conducted at the participants' house (p.10).
15	Presence of non-participants	Sometimes, participants' small children interrupted the interview (p.10).
16	Description of sample data collection	We showed the important characteristics of the sample in Table 1 (p.13).
17	Interview guide	We wrote the interview questions in p.10.
18	Repeat interviews	We conducted the interview once per a participant (p.11).

19	Audio/visual recording	The interviews were audio recorded (p.11).
20	Filed notes	The assistant interpreter recorded filed notes (p.10).
21	Duration	The interviews lasted for 22-54min (p.11).
22	Data saturation	We explained about the data saturation in p.11.
23	Transcripts returned	We did not return the transcripts to participants. However, we tried to summarize the findings with each participant at the end of the interviews and confirmed reliability. We wrote about it in limitation.
Domain3: analysis and findings		
Data analysis		
24	Number of data codes	Four researchers coded the data (p.11).
25	Description of the coding tree	We did not construct the coding tree, however, we showed themes and categories in table 2 and 3 (p.14 and 17).
26	Derivation of themes	Themes were derived from the data.
27	Software	We did not use any software for the analysis, Instead, we discussed during analyzing process through e-mail.
28	Participant checking reporting	We could not receive feedback on the finding. Reasons included; most participants were not at home most of time because of work, and we wrote it in the limitations.
Reporting		
29	Quotations presented	Quotations presented in the results (p.14-20).
30	Data and findings consistent	We have included the following sentence in the limitations. 'Second, this study was conducted in just one rural municipality of the Philippines which is located in a remote island, and the interviews were conducted with only 12 fathers' (p.25).
31	Clarity of major themes	We showed major themes in the results (p.14-20).
32	Clarity of minor themes	We wrote categories in the results (p.14-20).