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ABSTRACT

Objective To explore the trend of hypertension prevalence and related factors in Yi people from 1996 to 2015.

Methods Three successive cross-sectional surveys were conducted in Liangshan Yi Autonomous Prefecture in 1996, 2007 and 2015, respectively. A total of 8448 participants aged 20–80 years (5040 Yi farmers, 3408 Yi migrants) were included in final analysis.

Results Overall, the age-standardised prevalence of hypertension in migrants was significantly higher than in farmers. Furthermore, the age-standardised prevalence rates increased from 10.1% to 15.3% to 19.6% in Yi migrants and from 4.0% to 6.3% to 13.1% in Yi farmers during 1996 to 2007 to 2015. The highest 2015-to-1996 ratio of age-standardised hypertension prevalence was in male farmers (ratio=4.30), whereas despite the highest prevalence of hypertension, the equivalent figure in male migrants was 1.57. The older age, overweight and obesity were persistent risk factors of hypertension in three periods. After adjusted for age and body mass index, the difference of hypertension prevalence between 1996 and 2015 then vanished in male migrants (OR=1.335; 95% CI: 0.884 to 2.015) and female farmers (OR=1.267; 95% CI: 0.884 to 2.719). The disparities of hypertension prevalence between Yi migrants and farmers were not statistically significant in all subgroups when adjusted for age, body mass index and education.

Conclusions Over the past two decades, the hypertension prevalence in Yi people has significantly increased. Yi migrants were more likely to be hypertensive than Yi farmers which was predominantly driven by the discrepancy of body mass index between them.

INTRODUCTION

Hypertension, also known as high or raised blood pressure (BP), is the predominant risk factor for heart disease, stroke and renal failure which are leading causes of death.1–5 The increasing trends of hypertension prevalence can be observed both in high-income countries,4 5 as well as in low-income and middle-income countries.6–8

Strengths and limitations of this study

The present study was first designed to explore the hypertension prevalence trend of Yi people during last two decades.

These three population-based successive Yi migrant studies were implemented by identical team and followed the same protocol.

Under the context of rapid urbanisation, the rural–urban migration effect on health was estimated by comparing hypertension prevalence between Yi migrants and Yi farmers.

The unclear temporal relationship between body mass index and hypertension is due to inherent weakness of cross-sectional studies, and have been heatedly debated in epidemiological studies.

Furthermore, important confounding factors possibly associated with the increasing trend in hypertension prevalence in Yi people, such as nutrition and environmental changes, were not evaluated in the present study.

We live in a rapidly changing environment. Throughout the world, human health is being shaped by the same powerful forces: demographic ageing, rapid urbanisation and the globalisation of unhealthy lifestyles. In general, rural–urban migration, as part of urbanisation, is considered a promoting factor for chronic diseases,9 and evidence shows that urbanisation is estimated to raise the BP of residents.10 In contemporary China, socioeconomic transformation at the beginning of the 21st century has led to rapid urbanisation and accelerated rural–urban migration11 along with the phenomenon of increased mean BP that has been described among Chinese population.12–14

It is reported that hypertension prevalence in ethnic minorities is significantly higher than in Han.15 However, Yi people resided in Liangshan Yi Autonomous Prefecture located...
in Sichuan Province, Southwestern China used to be renowned for its low mean BP and prevalence of hypertension but had been undergoing immense scale rural–urban migration. Although a host of investigations about hypertension prevalence of Han people have been carried out, the data about trend of hypertension prevalence in Yi people is insufficient. Therefore, it is of great necessity to conduct relevant research to acknowledge the situation of hypertension in Yi people. Additionally, as the dramatical health transition resulted from rapid rural–urban migration, Yi people may encounter higher hypertension prevalence than before.

Accordingly, we conducted the Yi migrant study to assess the prevalence of hypertension by migration status and period; the association of hypertension with individual characteristics; the related factors that accounted for the discrepancy of hypertension prevalence among subgroups and periods.

METHODS

Study design and participants

The Yi people is a minority in China living in Liangshan Yi Autonomous Prefecture in Southern China, an area that covers about 60,000 km and has roughly 5 million residents in which 52.5% are Yi people. The Yi people usually live in remote mountain districts at or 1500 m above sea level. Their main occupation is agriculture, and they are one of the most primitive societies in China. The Yi Migrant Study includes three cross-sectional studies conducted in Liangshan Yi Autonomous Prefecture in 1996, 2007 and 2015, respectively. The sampling procedures have been published previously in detail. In the two previous periods, stratified cluster sampling was used to select participants from Xichang city, Butuo, Zhaojue, Jinyang, Puge and Xide counties. Due to the inevitably restrained accessibility, we only conducted our survey in Xichang city and Puge county in 2015.

The Yi farmers were defined as those whose parents are Yi people and had been lived in the countryside since birth. There are one to four county seats in each county. The Yi farmers were selected by stratified cluster sampling from areas around each county seat. Four villages were randomly selected from each area. In the last sampling stage, all the Yi farmers aged 20 years or over in the selected villages were surveyed. There are two types of Yi migrants, one are those who have been born in countryside and then have migrated into county or city for more than 1 year, the other are Yi people who have been born in county or city and have lived there until the survey. All Yi migrants’ parents are Yi people. And because the number of the Yi migrants was relatively small, all of the Yi migrants (20 years of age and over) found in the selected counties and Xichang city were enrolled in the study.

Isolated from the outside world, the Yi farmers who live in high-mountain areas and mountainside areas have their own language and primitive lifestyle. There are only extremely steep and narrow paths leading to these villages which are several hours walking distance apart. Their main nutritional source are staple food such as potato, oat and buckwheats, and the living conditions are greatly backward. There is even no table for eating; almost all Yi farmers squat down to eat in 2015. The Yi migrants who live in the county or city with Han people have a much more western lifestyle. It is relatively convenient for them to acquire meat, fresh fruits and vegetables. And there is a vast extent of improvement in their living conditions compared with Yi farmers. As described He et al in their study, the Yi farmers began to migrate to counties and cities from remote mountain districts since the 1950s.

As the involvement of participants, local centres for disease control and prevention were responsible for the propagation and local governments took charge of the recruitment. In these three periods, the number of participants were 1664, 3768 and 3317, respectively. After excluded those who were out of the age bracket or lacking key variables such as BP and migration status, there were a total of 8448 Yi people in the final analysis (figure 1).

Data were collected by trained medical staff using standardised methods and identical examinations in 1996–2015, including a questionnaire for assessment of demographic characteristics and anthropometric measurements. In order to reduce the information bias, we conducted a two-stage questionnaire survey. First, participants completed the questionnaire with a trained medical staff. Then, there were several group leaders audit each questionnaire by randomly extracting some items.

Measurements

Both body height and weight were measured with the participants in light clothing and without shoes after an overnight fast. Body mass index (BMI) was defined as measured weight in kilograms divided by squared height in metres. There were four BMI categories: underweight defined as a BMI <18.5 kg/m²; normal weight, 18.5–23.9 kg/m²; overweight, 24–27.9 kg/m²; and obese, >28 kg/m².

Education was categorised as low (received only primary education or no education), middle (finished secondary school or high school) and high (graduated from college or university).

Physical activity was divided into three categories: (1) light: for example, office worker, salesperson and house worker; (2) middle: for example, driver, electrician and latheman; (3) heavy: for example, manual worker, steel worker and mineworker.

Smoking status was classified as never-smokers and ever-smokers which included current smokers (having been regularly smoking at least one cigarette per day during the previous 6 months) and ex-smokers (once smoked but had quit smoking for 6 months or longer). Alcohol consumption was divided into never-drinker and ever-drinker those who drank at least twice per month (more than 640 mL beer or 100 mL Chinese liquor, about 57 g alcohol) and had lasted for at least 6 months or stopped drinking.
After an overnight fast, BP were measured by trained physicians using mercury sphygmomanometer in 1996 and Omron automatic digital BP measuring device (HEM-907) in 2007 and 2015. Appropriate BP cuff sizes were used for participants based on measurement of mid-arm circumference. BP was measured in the sitting position and on the right arm after a rest of at least 10 min and had not smoked, exercised or eaten. Both systolic BP (SBP) and diastolic BP (DBP) were recorded. The mean of three measurements was used for all analyses. The definition for hypertension was as follows: individuals who reported having diagnosed hypertension, receiving blood pressure (BP)-lowering treatment or had an average measured systolic BP at least 140 mm Hg, diastolic BP at least 90 mm Hg or both.24

Statistical analysis
Summary results are presented as percentages (with numbers) for categorical variables and mean (and SD) for the continuous variables. As for trend analysis, simple linear regression model and Cochran-Armitage trend test were used to explore trends of age and male percentage during 1996–2015, respectively. For continuous variable, BMI, using multiple linear regression model to adjust for age and sex. In this model, BMI was the dependent variable and age, sex and period were independent variables. For binary variable, hypertension, we fitted a binary logistic regression model, in which hypertension was the dependent variable and age, sex and period were independent variables, to conduct the trend analysis. All generalised linear models identify the period as continuous variable instead of dummy variables, and its p value in the model represents the result of trend analysis. Direct standardisation was performed using China population age structure from the Sixth National Population Census in 2010 by SAS V.9.4 using ‘stdrate’ commend, and the geometric progression method was used to predict the age-standardised prevalence of hypertension in Yi people by 2030.

To explore the related factors of hypertension in each period, we used multiple logistic regression model to calculate the OR and the 95% CI; in which age was the continuous variable while sex, BMI groups, education, activities, smoking and drinking were categorical variables.

Finally, we developed a set of binary logistic regression models to identify the reasons behind the disparities of hypertension risk between different periods and different migration status. In period models, period was treated as a categorical variable.
Table 1  Characteristics of participants by migration status and period, Yi migrant study, 1996–2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age, years (SD)</td>
<td>35.0 (12.7)</td>
<td>39.4 (12.0)</td>
<td>45.3 (12.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001*</td>
<td>37.9 (11.2)</td>
<td>39.6 (12.0)</td>
<td>48.3 (14.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean BMI, kg/m² (SD)</td>
<td>20.3 (2.1)</td>
<td>21.4 (2.6)</td>
<td>22.3 (3.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001†</td>
<td>22.4 (3.0)</td>
<td>23.5 (3.7)</td>
<td>24.4 (3.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, % (N)</td>
<td>63.5 (459)</td>
<td>47.1 (1107)</td>
<td>33.8 (664)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001†</td>
<td>57.1 (388)</td>
<td>57.3 (798)</td>
<td>33.1 (442)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI categories, % (N)§</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.0001†</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underweight (BMI &lt;18.5)</td>
<td>15.3 (110)</td>
<td>9.4 (220)</td>
<td>12.8 (249)</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.3 (56)</td>
<td>7.2 (100)</td>
<td>5.0 (66)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal weight (BMI 18.5–23.9)</td>
<td>81.8 (688)</td>
<td>78.4 (1837)</td>
<td>58.3 (1133)</td>
<td></td>
<td>63.4 (428)</td>
<td>51.6 (718)</td>
<td>42.3 (560)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight (BMI 24–27.9)</td>
<td>2.5 (18)</td>
<td>10.6 (248)</td>
<td>21.8 (423)</td>
<td></td>
<td>23.7 (160)</td>
<td>30.1 (418)</td>
<td>35.4 (468)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity (BMI ≥28)</td>
<td>0.4 (3)</td>
<td>1.7 (39)</td>
<td>7.1 (138)</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.6 (31)</td>
<td>11.1 (155)</td>
<td>17.4 (230)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, % (N)§</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.0001†</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>92.3 (658)</td>
<td>91.5 (2109)</td>
<td>88.4 (1738)</td>
<td></td>
<td>19.2 (130)</td>
<td>24.2 (329)</td>
<td>49.3 (658)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>7.0 (50)</td>
<td>7.6 (175)</td>
<td>10.4 (204)</td>
<td></td>
<td>62.3 (421)</td>
<td>38.6 (524)</td>
<td>32.4 (432)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>0.7 (5)</td>
<td>0.9 (21)</td>
<td>1.2 (24)</td>
<td></td>
<td>18.5 (125)</td>
<td>37.1 (504)</td>
<td>18.4 (245)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension, % (N)</td>
<td>3.5 (25)</td>
<td>5.5 (130)</td>
<td>13.0 (255)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001**</td>
<td>8.0 (54)</td>
<td>14.8 (206)</td>
<td>23.7 (316)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P values were calculated by simple linear regression model in which age was the dependent variable and period was the independent variable.
†P values were calculated by multiple linear regression model in which BMI was the dependent variable and age, sex and period were independent variables.
‡P values were calculated by Cochran-Armitage trend test.
§Numbers do not sum up to the total due to missing data.
¶P values were calculated by cumulative logistic model in which BMI categories or education was the dependent variable and age, sex and period were independent variables.
**P values were calculated by binary logistic regression model in which hypertension was the dependent variable and age, sex and period were independent variables.
BMI, body mass index.

as dummy variable and period=1996 was the reference group; in migration status models, Yi farmers was the reference group. The trend analyses were realised by identifying period as continuous variable in binary logistic regression models. P values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were conducted using SAS software, V.9.4 (SAS Institute).

Patient and public involvement

Patients were not involved in the study design or conduct of the study. The results of the survey were disseminated to study participants by physical examination reports in 1996 and 2007, and in 2015 we developed an application which could allow participants to search their results at any time by using mobile phones.

RESULTS

Study population characteristics

Table 1 displays the characteristics of Yi people and the unadjusted prevalence of hypertension within time periods by migration status. Over the three study periods, the prevalence of obesity rose from 0.4% to 7.1% in Yi farmers, and from 4.6% to 17.4% in Yi migrants. By 2015, the crude prevalence of hypertension had increased by 9.5% in farmers, and by almost 16% in migrants.

Age-standardised prevalence of hypertension

Figure 2A shows the trends of age-standardised hypertension prevalence, and figure 2B is the predicted prevalence of hypertension using geometric progression method. It is noticeable that the prevalence of hypertension in male migrants was by far the highest among these four groups in each period, from 14.3% to 19.2% to 22.5% (for all comparison, p<0.0001), while the equivalent figure for female farmers was from 4.4% to 3.1% to 10.2% (for 1996 vs 2015, and 2007 vs 2015, p<0.0001; for 1996 vs 2007, p=0.4792) which almost the lowest hypertension prevalence in each period (figure 2A). Overall, from 1996 to 2015, these four groups saw a statistical increase in prevalence of hypertension (p<0.0001 for all groups).

And the biggest 2015-to-1996 ratio of age-standardised prevalence of hypertension was in male farmers (ratio=4.30) which meant this group had the fastest pace of hypertension increase, followed by female migrants (ratio=2.81) and female farmers (ratio=2.32). Interestingly, despite the highest prevalence of hypertension, the 2015-to-1996 ratio of age-standardised prevalence of hypertension in male migrants was 1.57, and it was the lowest growth rate. Accordingly, we predicted that, if the growth rates stayed steadily, male farmers would overtake male migrants to become the most prevalent hypertensive group in 2021, and female migrants would overtake...
male migrants in 2025 to become the second most prevalent hypertensive group (figure 2B).

**Related factors of hypertension and hypertensive discrepancy**

Figure 3 provides ORs for hypertension-related factors in each period. Across three periods, the higher hypertensive share traits such as much older and had higher BMI, but only in 2015 those who acquired high school education or higher were more likely to have hypertension than those whose education level were maximum to primary school (OR=1.524, 95% CI: 1.038 to 2.236).

Table 2 shows ORs of the time period by different models. In model 1, the unadjusted results showed that both 2007 and 2015 had a significantly higher risk of hypertension versus 1996 among all subgroups. Model 2 in table 2 adjusted for age and BMI, the effect of period turned out to be not significant in male migrants (2007: OR=1.350, 95% CI: 0.923 to 1.974; 2015: OR=1.335, 95% CI: 0.884 to 2.015) and female farmers (2007: OR=0.544, 95% CI: 0.245 to 1.210; 2015: OR=1.267, 95% CI: 0.590 to 2.719), indicating that the increase of hypertension prevalence in these two groups over the 20-year period could be totally explained by the population ageing and upward trend of BMI, while the residual significant difference among period in female migrants (2007: OR=2.661, 95% CI: 1.205 to 5.874; 2015: OR=3.158, 95% CI: 1.474 to 6.765) and male farmers (2007: OR=1.763, 95% CI: 1.025 to 3.032; 2015: OR=2.287, 95% CI: 1.307 to 4.000) suggested that ageing and increasing BMI just accounted for a portion of hypertension prevalence rise.

From 1996 to 2007 to 2015, there were significant increasing trends in the risk of hypertension among all groups when not adjusted for other variables (p<0.0001 for all groups), while after adjusted for age and BMI, only in male migrants the trend in risk of being hypertensive was insignificant (p=0.2277).

In order to investigate the reason behind the discrepancy in hypertension prevalence between migrants and farmers, table 3 shows the OR of migrant status (migrants vs farmers) by different models. For model 1, when not adjusted for other variables, we noticed that migrants were more likely had a higher risk of hypertension among all subgroups except the female in 1996 (OR=0.905, 95% CI: 0.335 to 2.445). There was no significant change after adjusting for age in model 2. Model 3 in table 3 adjusted for age and BMI, and there was no significantly different risk of hypertension between migrants and farmers for males in 2007 (OR=1.097, 95% CI: 0.782 to 1.539) and 2015 (OR=0.992, 95% CI: 0.674 to 1.460), and for females in 2015 (OR=1.126, 95% CI: 0.834 to 1.521). In model 4, after adjusted for age, BMI and education, the discrepancy between migrants and farmers in males in 1996 (OR=1.753, 95% CI: 0.729 to 4.217) and females in 2007 (OR=1.295, 95% CI: 0.711 to 2.357) had disappeared.

Therefore, we may conclude that for males in 2007 and 2015 and females in 2015, the higher risk of hypertension in migrants was resulted from ageing and increasing BMI, whereas for males in 1996 and females in 2007, not only these two factors mentioned above but also the education contributed to the remainder higher risk of hypertension in migrants.

**DISCUSSION**

Rising number of migrants, coupled with population ageing, have elicited major concern over the consequences of lifestyle changes for chronic diseases. Recent increases in longevity may not have been accompanied by a compression of morbidity, resulting in more years spent in an unhealthy state.25 Our prior work showed that the mean BP of Yi farmers did not rise or rarely rose with age after puberty, and essential hypertension was absent.16 In
this study, we have investigated whether this unusually hypertensive profile of Yi people has continued.

We found that the prevalence of hypertension in Yi people had seen a dramatic increase over the past 20 years, but was still lower than the whole country when compared with studies which used the same standardised population structure.26–29 What is noteworthy is that Yi migrants have had higher risk of hypertension than Yi farmers in each period, while the discrepancy in prevalence of hypertension between migrants and farmers is

---

**Table 2** Odds for hypertension in 2015, 2007 relative to odds of hypertension in 1996, by migration status and gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P for trend</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P for trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Migrants</td>
<td>Model 1*</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001†</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>1.749</td>
<td>1.227 to 2.495</td>
<td>3.530</td>
<td>1.657 to 7.520</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>3.411</td>
<td>2.362 to 4.925</td>
<td>8.731</td>
<td>4.243 to 17.966</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Model 2‡</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2277§</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0048§</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>1.350</td>
<td>0.923 to 1.974</td>
<td>2.661</td>
<td>1.205 to 5.874</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>1.335</td>
<td>0.884 to 2.015</td>
<td>3.158</td>
<td>1.474 to 6.765</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmers</td>
<td>Model 1*</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001†</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>2.385</td>
<td>1.405 to 4.047</td>
<td>0.981</td>
<td>0.452 to 2.131</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5.561</td>
<td>3.293 to 9.391</td>
<td>3.793</td>
<td>1.836 to 7.835</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Model 2‡</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0033§</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0007§</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>1.763</td>
<td>1.025 to 3.032</td>
<td>0.544</td>
<td>0.245 to 1.210</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>2.287</td>
<td>1.307 to 4.000</td>
<td>1.267</td>
<td>0.590 to 2.719</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Model 1 did not adjust for other variables.
†P values were calculated by binary logistic regression model in which hypertension was the dependent variable and period was the independent variable.
‡Model 2 adjusted for age and BMI.
§P values were calculated by binary logistic regression model in which hypertension was the dependent variable and age, BMI and period were independent variables.
BMI, body mass index.
narrowing. Li et al had found that the urban–rural gap in hypertension prevalence gradually narrowed during the period 1993–2011 which might be attributed to the suboptimal hypertension detection and preventive care service utilisation in rural adults. The Third National Health Services Survey in China indicates that rural minority Chinese use significantly less health services, including visiting physicians and hospital utilisation, than urban minority Chinese, and the result that hypertension is much more prevalent in Yi migrants than Yi farmers is also consistent with many other research. The Kenyan Luo migration study conducted by Poulter et al confirmed that the BP of migrants who left a traditional rural community to settle in an urban one were significantly higher than that of control. In addition, our country has witnessed numerous rural–urban migration, and the disparity in the prevalence of overweight/obesity between urban and rural areas was narrowing since 2000 which could also interpret the declining trend of gap in hypertension between Yi migrants and Yi farmers. However, even though adjusted for age and BMI, there still has significant difference of hypertension prevalence between 2015 and 1996 in female migrants and male farmers. It might seem that some uninvolved factors, such as diet and economy, are responsible for the remaining risk of hypertension in these two groups. China Health and Nutrition Survey indicated that there was a dramatic change in dietary pattern in the past two decades, especially the steep increase of the ‘Modern’ pattern, while the ‘Traditional’ pattern was stable over the study period, which meant that nowadays people were more likely to consume processed food with refined carbohydrates, added salt and sweetener, edible oils, animal-resource foods, while the average intake of cereal, fresh fruits and vegetables had decreased. Yi farmers who have preserved their own language and primitive lifestyle rarely eat meat except during the Yi New Year in December, and their main crops are potatoes, oats and buckwheats. With economic growth and large-scale migration, Yi people consume more modern foods which contain high levels of sugar, salt and fat, especially in Yi migrants. Therefore, we suppose that changes in Yi people’s diet would probably account for a part of hypertension risk.

In our study, we detected that ageing and increasing BMI could largely account for the rise in prevalence of hypertension both between different periods and different migration status. Higher BMI definitely increases the risk of hypertension, and even the impact of overweight and obesity on hypertension has risen significantly over time. The crude prevalence of overweight and obesity had undoubtedly increased across the 20-year period in Yi people which was consistent with Shan et al’s study, and had revealed that both Yi migrants and Yi farmers had a distinct increase in prevalence of overweight and obesity during 1996–2007. A cross-sectional study indicated that Yi people in China exhibited a strikingly lower prevalence of overweight and obesity than that observed in populations of Western countries, and overweight and obesity figures were 21.7% and 7.1%, respectively, in 2008. Additionally, the disparity in the prevalence of overweight/obesity between urban and rural areas was narrowing since 2000 which could also interpret the declining trend of gap in hypertension between Yi migrants and Yi farmers. However, even though adjusted for age and BMI, there still has significant difference of hypertension prevalence between 2015 and 1996 in female migrants and male farmers. It might seem that some uninvolved factors, such as diet and economy, are responsible for the remaining risk of hypertension in these two groups. China Health and Nutrition Survey indicated that there was a dramatic change in dietary pattern in the past two decades, especially the steep increase of the ‘Modern’ pattern, while the ‘Traditional’ pattern was stable over the study period, which meant that nowadays people were more likely to consume processed food with refined carbohydrates, added salt and sweetener, edible oils, animal-resource foods, while the average intake of cereal, fresh fruits and vegetables had decreased. Yi farmers who have preserved their own language and primitive lifestyle rarely eat meat except during the Yi New Year in December, and their main crops are potatoes, oats and buckwheats. With economic growth and large-scale migration, Yi people consume more modern foods which contain high levels of sugar, salt and fat, especially in Yi migrants. Therefore, we suppose that changes in Yi people’s diet would probably account for a part of hypertension risk.

Distinguish from other research, these three successive Yi migrant studies were almost completed by one stable team, only a few students renewed, and this high consistency guarantees the comparability between different periods. Furthermore, it is the first time to explore the

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1996</td>
<td></td>
<td>2007</td>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1*</td>
<td>3.497</td>
<td>1.970 to 6.207</td>
<td>2.565</td>
<td>1.946 to 3.382</td>
<td>2.145</td>
<td>1.616 to 2.847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2†</td>
<td>3.027</td>
<td>1.694 to 5.410</td>
<td>2.486</td>
<td>1.874 to 3.296</td>
<td>1.729</td>
<td>1.283 to 2.329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3‡</td>
<td>1.944</td>
<td>1.026 to 3.684</td>
<td>1.097</td>
<td>0.782 to 1.539</td>
<td>1.151</td>
<td>0.828 to 1.600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 4§</td>
<td>1.753</td>
<td>0.729 to 4.217</td>
<td>0.807</td>
<td>0.513 to 1.271</td>
<td>0.992</td>
<td>0.674 to 1.460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1*</td>
<td>0.905</td>
<td>0.335 to 2.445</td>
<td>3.257</td>
<td>2.118 to 5.008</td>
<td>2.082</td>
<td>1.636 to 2.650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2†</td>
<td>1.048</td>
<td>0.375 to 2.931</td>
<td>3.637</td>
<td>2.342 to 5.647</td>
<td>1.869</td>
<td>1.447 to 2.414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3‡</td>
<td>0.505</td>
<td>0.142 to 1.799</td>
<td>2.359</td>
<td>1.473 to 3.778</td>
<td>1.222</td>
<td>0.927 to 1.611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 4§</td>
<td>0.829</td>
<td>0.195 to 3.518</td>
<td>1.295</td>
<td>0.711 to 2.357</td>
<td>1.126</td>
<td>0.834 to 1.521</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Model 1 did not adjust for other variables.
†Model 2 adjusted for age.
‡Model 3 adjusted for age and BMI.
§Model 4 adjusted for age, BMI and education.
BMI, body mass index.
hypertension prevalence trend of Yi people by such a long time span and in such a large scale. We also investigated the reasons of hypertension discrepancy both between different periods and different groups which would provide local government with relatively practical recommendations for the prevention and treatment of hypertension.

There are several limitations that merit comment. At first, our study did not analyse the Han people who resided at the same urban areas with Yi migrants in the same period. Thus, the comparison to determine which is relatively important between environment and gene is not able to be accomplished. Furthermore, the tool of measuring BP is not consistent among three periods. We used the mercury sphygmomanometer in 1996 and Omron automatic digital BP measuring device in 2007 and 2015. It might have slight bias among the measurements, but the automatic device had been calibrated with the mercury sphygmomanometer before measurements, and there are studies that have validated the accuracy and validation of Omron HEM-907.43–45 Lastly, our research belongs to cross-sectional study. It might seem unpersuasive to identify causal effect between BMI and hypertension, and selection bias probably decrease the comparability between different periods. Therefore, it is fairly necessary to conduct prospective cohort study in Yi people to investigate the association between increased BMI and the rise of hypertension. As for selection bias, we used standardised prevalence of hypertension and adjusted the ‘period’ by logistic regression model to eliminate the difference of demographic characteristics among three surveys and improve the comparability.

In conclusion, China has undergone unprecedented-scale urbanisation and rural–urban migration, which is accompanied by rigorous challenges of public health during the past two decades. Because of the rapid increase in hypertension prevalence both in Yi migrants and farmers, effective prevention, detection, treatment and control of hypertension continue to be important goals for health policy, public health and medical care decision-makers, as well as individuals who have higher risk of hypertension. It should be noted that although Yi migrants were more likely to be hypertensive than Yi farmers in each period, the growth rates of these two groups were exactly reverse. In general, ageing and increasing BMI could largely account for the rise in prevalence of hypertension both between different periods and different migration status. Nevertheless, it is necessary to conduct a cohort study in rural–urban migrants to explore the causal effect. These results put the onus on the governments and assist the government to tailor prevention and treatment programmes for high-risk population in Yi people.
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