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ABSTRACT

Objectives To examine the impacts of the four episodes of industrial action by English junior doctors in early 2016. Design Descriptive retrospective study of admitted patient care, accident and emergency (A&E) and outpatient activity in English hospitals. Setting All hospitals across England. Participants All patients who attended A&E or outpatient appointments or those who were admitted to hospital during the 3-week period surrounding each of the four strikes (12 January, 10 February, 9–10 March and 26–27 April, excluding weekends). Main outcome measures Raw numbers and percentage changes of outpatient appointments and cancellations, A&E visits, admitted patients and all inhospital mortality on strike days compared with patient activity on the same weekday in the weeks before and after the strikes. Results There were 3.4 million admissions, 27 million outpatient appointments and 3.4 million A&E attendances over the four 3-week periods analysed. Across the four strikes, there were 31,651 fewer admissions (−9.1%), 23,895 fewer A&E attendances (−6.8%) and 173,462 fewer outpatient appointments (−6.0%) than expected. Additionally, 301,109 more outpatient appointments were cancelled by hospitals than expected (+52%). The 26–27 April strike, where emergency services were also affected, showed the largest impact on regular service. Mortality did not measurably increase on strike days. Regional analysis showed that services in the Yorkshire and the Humber region were disproportionately more affected by the industrial action. Conclusions Industrial action by junior doctors during early 2016 caused a significant impact on the provision of healthcare provided by English hospitals. We also observed regional variations in how these strikes affected providers.

INTRODUCTION

In each of the first 4 months of 2016, junior doctors from all specialties in England engaged in industrial action with a series of 24–48 hour strikes, culminating in a 2-day strike that included the withdrawal of emergency services.1 The purpose of the action was to protest new contractual changes for all junior doctors brought by the Department of Health (DH) regarding safe working hours and pay.2 Doctors’ strikes in the UK are very rare—before the 2016 strikes, there had been only one, much smaller strike in the previous 40 years (in 2012).3 4

Breaks from routine care patterns offer an important window into the effectiveness of currently established treatment services. During annual meetings of the American Heart Association and the American College of Cardiology, there are significant drops in 30-day mortality among high-risk patients admitted with heart attacks or cardiac failure.5 Therefore, the 2016 strikes provide an ideal opportunity to evaluate the effectiveness of current systems and locate weaknesses in national responses to staffing shortages. Metcalfe et al6 studied strikes among doctors in the USA, Israel, Spain, Croatia, South Africa, India and the UK. Almost all of the strikes they looked at showed little to no effect on patient mortality. In fact, only one (a 20-day long strike of all doctors in a single province in South Africa in 2010) reported increased mortality rates—patients who presented in emergency departments were 67% more likely to die than during a
normal period. Ruíz et al. analysed the 24-hour strike on 21 June 2012 in England, where approximately 8% of doctors in England took part. For their analysis, they used Hospital Episode Statistics (HES), the national hospital administrative database for England’s National Health Service (NHS), and compared the week of the strike with the week immediately following and preceding it. Their analysis found an increase in outpatient appointment cancellations, but no significant differences in mortality between strike and non-strike periods.

This current work aimed to examine the impact of the junior doctors’ strikes in early 2016 using HES, which contains data on NHS activity. This data set allowed us to investigate trends in the number of admissions (inpatients), outpatient appointments cancellations, accident and emergency (A&E) attendances, and inhospital deaths during strike periods and to compare these with the expected numbers based on an average non-strike period.

METHODS

HES includes details of all admissions to NHS hospitals in England and are collected by the DH. HES data covering all recorded episodes of admitted patient care, outpatient appointments and A&E attendances were extracted for the week of each strike. Strike action by English junior doctors took place on four occasions throughout early 2016—12 January, 10 February, 9–10 March and 26–27 April. For comparison with normal operations, we also extracted all data from the weeks immediately preceding and following each strike. For simplicity, weekends were excluded from our analysis.

Due to the impact on normal hospital operations and attendance due to the bank holiday on the week of 2 May, the second comparator week was replaced with the week of 9–13 May for the April strike.

Each hospital admission is recorded as a ‘spell’ consisting of a number of ‘consultant episodes’, which denotes period of care under different consultants during their hospital admission. If the patient admission includes transfer to other hospitals before they are discharged, the whole period of care is recorded as a ‘superspell’. For our analysis of admitted patient care, only the first ‘episode’ in a superspell of care was used to identify the date of initial admission, so as to avoid multiple counting.

The data from the comparator weeks were averaged into what was assumed to be a ‘normal’ week. This allowed for comparison with the strike data to provide an indication of the impacts of individual strikes.

Daily totals for A&E attendances, outpatient appointments and hospital admissions were calculated. Admitted patients were separated into elective and emergency categories using the ‘adimeth’ method of admission field in HES. Day surgery cases were extracted using the ‘CLASSPAT’ field.

Outpatient appointments were analysed using the ‘attended’ field, which includes the following categories:

- 0—not applicable—appointment occurs in the future.
- 2=appointment cancelled by, or on behalf of, the patient.
- 3=did not attend—no advance warning given.
- 4=appointment cancelled or postponed by the healthcare provider.
- 5=seen, having attended on time or, if late, before the relevant care professional was ready to see the patient.
- 6=arrived late, after the relevant care professional was ready to see the patient, but was seen.
- 7=did not attend—patient arrived late and could not be seen.
- 9=not known.

This analysis, as with Ruíz et al’s analysis of the June 2012 strike, focused primarily on category 4 for cancellations, and categories 5 and 6 to denote actual attendance of appointments.

To obtain death counts, the discharge method field (‘dismeth’) was used to capture deaths in hospital. The A&E attendance disposal field (‘aeattenddisp’) was used to determine which patients died within the A&E department. HES outpatient data do not have the capability to record deaths during appointments, so these data were not used for this outcome.

Finally, regional analyses were performed on all outpatient, A&E and admitted patient data using provider code data (‘procde’).

For our analysis, it was assumed that patient counts were described by a Poisson distribution, as all values are discrete non-negative integers. A $\chi^2$ test was used to evaluate significance for proportions. All P values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Patient involvement

No patients were involved in setting the research question or the outcome measures, nor were they involved in the design and implementation of the study. There are no plans to involve patients in the dissemination of results.

RESULTS

In total, this study involved the extraction and analysis of 3.4 million admissions, 27 million outpatient appointments and 3.4 million A&E attendances over 12 weeks.

Table 1 shows the impacts of the industrial action of early 2016 on admitted patient care, outpatient appointments and A&E.

Figure 1 shows the percentage change in A&E visits, admitted patients and outpatient appointments across all four strikes against the average of the chosen comparator weeks.

The largest impacts on normal operations were seen in the April strike. This is to be expected, as it lasted 48 hours and was also the only instance where emergency care was also withheld.
During the April strike, there was a decrease in total admissions of 18,194 patients compared with the expected volume for that period, which comprised a 7.8% decrease in emergency admissions and a 19.9% decrease in elective admissions. Day cases showed a reduction of 9,846 patients (18.7%) compared with the comparator weeks. Furthermore, 109,915 (11.1%) fewer outpatient appointments were scheduled during the strike period than usual, and 134,711 (17.1%) fewer outpatient appointments were attended during strike days. This was paired with an increase in provider cancellations of outpatient appointments of 43,823 (+66.8%). Additionally, fewer patients attended A&E during this period, with 17,325 (−14.7%) fewer attendees than expected.

The first strike that occurred (Tuesday, 12 January 2016) also showed a large and significant (9.6%) decrease in A&E attendance, despite A&E services operating normally during this time. This may be due to significant media attention for this particular strike, due to its historic significance. Additionally, some providers warned patients to avoid hospitals "unless absolutely necessary."
necessary. This effect diminished during the February and March strikes.

Cancellations
Figure 2 shows the impacts of strikes on the numbers of appointments cancelled or postponed by healthcare providers. The largest impacts were seen during the January and April strikes, which showed increases of 54.5% and 66.8% compared with expected cancellations. Our analysis found that 101,109 outpatient appointments were cancelled due to strike action in 2016.

Regional analysis
Table 2 shows the differential regional impacts of the strikes on both outpatient appointments and cancellations. This analysis shows particularly large increases in outpatient cancellations by healthcare providers in London, the South East Coast and Yorkshire and the Humber. These areas also showed large decreases in the number of overall outpatient appointments attended. The East Midlands also showed a large decrease in overall appointment attendance. The south central region showed a much smaller increase in cancellations than others.

The table also shows the regional variation in admitted patient care during strike periods, separated by elective admissions (including day cases) and non-elective emergency admissions. As with outpatient appointments, the most prominently affected regions by the strikes for elective admissions were Yorkshire and the Humber, London and the East Midlands, which all showed sizeable drops in recorded elective admissions. For emergency (ie, non-elective) admissions, recorded impacts were smaller and seemed to affect different areas, such as the South West and West Midlands.

Our analysis found an average reduction in A&E patient volume of 7.09% across all strike days. The largest regional drops in volume were found in Yorkshire and the Humber (8.05%) and the North East (7.8%). Impacts were more limited in the East of England (5.93%) and the North West (6.24%).

Discussion
We found that industrial action by junior doctors in 2016 resulted in a total of 31,651 fewer admissions, 173,462 fewer outpatient appointments and 25,895 fewer A&E attendances compared with expected volumes from similar weeks. Large effects were seen in the numbers of cancelled outpatient appointments by healthcare providers—providers cancelled a total of 294,844 appointments, a
Figure 2  Volume of provider cancellations by day during the week in which each of the four strikes occurred. Vertical grey lines on the graph represent strike days.

Table 2  Regional analyses of strike impacts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Change in elective admissions (%)</th>
<th>Change in non-elective admissions (%)</th>
<th>Change in outpatient appointments attended (%)</th>
<th>Change in outpatient cancellations (%)</th>
<th>Change in accident and emergency visits (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North East</td>
<td>−9.31*</td>
<td>−4.69*</td>
<td>−6.99*</td>
<td>+41.89*</td>
<td>−7.8*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North West</td>
<td>−13.3*</td>
<td>−1.61*</td>
<td>−13.35*</td>
<td>+52.85*</td>
<td>−6.24*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yorkshire and the Humber</td>
<td>−13.59*</td>
<td>−4.88*</td>
<td>−11.86*</td>
<td>+65.68*</td>
<td>−8.05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Midlands</td>
<td>−13.6*</td>
<td>−2.49*</td>
<td>−12.62*</td>
<td>+44.69*</td>
<td>−7.55*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Midlands</td>
<td>−8.37*</td>
<td>−5.24*</td>
<td>−8.39*</td>
<td>+45.41*</td>
<td>−6.53*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East of England</td>
<td>−9.81*</td>
<td>−3.76*</td>
<td>−9.43*</td>
<td>+44.89*</td>
<td>−5.93*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London</td>
<td>−17.08*</td>
<td>−3.61*</td>
<td>−13.39*</td>
<td>+68.2*</td>
<td>−6.79*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East Coast</td>
<td>−14.51*</td>
<td>−5.21*</td>
<td>−10.89*</td>
<td>+67.1*</td>
<td>−6.97*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Central</td>
<td>−10.15*</td>
<td>−2.56*</td>
<td>−7.31*</td>
<td>+22.63*</td>
<td>−7.74*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South West</td>
<td>−11.59*</td>
<td>−4.02*</td>
<td>−10.88*</td>
<td>+52.02*</td>
<td>−7.29*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Statistical significance at the 5% level as given by the χ² test.
52% increase compared with the expected volume during these periods. The most pronounced effects on NHS operations were seen during the first (12 January) and last (26–27 April) strikes. During all strike days, a total of 3209 patients died in the hospital during emergency admissions, 98 during elective admissions and 356 died in A&E. However, the numbers of recorded hospital deaths did not appear to change significantly during the strikes compared with the expected numbers for either admitted patients or A&E, which is in line with what has been seen in most other studies of striking doctors globally. We found no measurable effect on mortality within the dates analysed, although deaths due to poor care are likely to have an associated delay. Regional analysis showed that strikes disproportionately affected London, Yorkshire and the Humber and the East Midlands for outpatient appointments and elective admissions. Emergency admissions were most affected in the South West and West Midlands regions. A&E attendance was most affected in the North East, Yorkshire and the Humber and South Central England. The January 12 strike corresponded with a 9% drop in A&E admissions, despite industrial action not affecting emergency services. This is noteworthy as it implies many patients may have consciously avoided going to hospital during this period, perhaps due to intense media coverage of the event and explicit instructions from some providers to avoid all non-urgent hospital attendances.

Our analysis is broadly consistent with similar studies of this type. Prior work by Ruiz et al has shown the effects of striking doctors on outpatient cancellations by provider. This work replicates that effect—during the strike on 26–27 April 2016, there was an increase in cancellations of 67% when compared with average figures from the surrounding weeks. As with Ruiz et al and almost every previous study of this type both nationally and internationally, this work did not find a significant effect on mortality among either admitted or A&E patients during strike days. This could be either because there is no effect, or our study did not have enough power to demonstrate an effect, due to the small study period involved in strike days. It may be the case that during periods of industrial action, staffing priority is given to critical care, resulting in small differences in mortality but a poorer patient experience in non-vital care. This has previously been discussed by Metcalfe et al in their international comparison of the impacts of industrial action by doctors.

This is a large national study that was able to analyse the majority of admissions, outpatient appointments and A&E visits during the 2016 strikes. HES has previously been shown to have reasonable accuracy at both outpatient and inpatient levels. Furthermore, the strike on 26–27 April also demonstrates the first opportunity for researchers in the UK to investigate the effects of industrial action on emergency personnel—previous strikes did not withhold emergency care. During this period, there was a drop in the number of patients attending A&E of 17,925 (almost 15%) compared with the expected volume for this time period. We found no evidence of increased mortality during the study period.

The weaknesses of this work are predominantly due to what was not investigated—for example, HES data alone do not allow the investigation of the effects of strikes on patients who did not attend A&E during this period. Furthermore, analysis focused only on the weeks when strikes occurred, which prevented the capture of lagged effects in the immediate aftermath of a strike. The design of the study ensured that no outcomes were measured at weekends—this is a limitation, partly due to the lack of measured outcomes but additionally due to the context of the debate over the existence of a ‘Weekend Effect’ in mortality. Outcomes (especially mortality) proved difficult to measure. Death counts during strike days were small, and hence lacking in statistical power, and many patients stayed in hospital for more than a single day. Importantly, other outcomes such as morbidity, direct financial costs and opportunity costs for both the NHS (through rescheduling elective operations and other procedures) and patients (taking time off work, childcare costs and so on) were uncaptured. This study also includes no qualitative element, which prevents us from capturing unrecorded outcomes of strikes, such as disappointment, inconvenience, stress and worry. Finally, the occurrence of a national bank holiday on the week of 2 May meant that patient profiles were likely to differ between strike and comparator weeks. As such, in our analysis the second comparator week for the April strike was replaced with data from the week of 9–13 May instead.

CONCLUSIONS

The four junior doctors’ strikes between January and April 2016 resulted in significant negative impacts on patient care as measured by hospital activity. Significant increases in outpatient appointment cancellations by hospitals were paired with decreases in admitted patients and A&E visits. The major outcome we investigated was mortality, which showed no measurable change. However, this is likely to be the least sensitive outcome for quality and safety concerns. These findings may also suggest that NHS Trusts responded effectively to the industrial action by cancelling outpatient appointments to protect higher risk services. Future work in this area should focus on how the strikes affected waiting times and similar quality outcomes. Strike-related morbidity (such as disease progression in the time between rescheduled operations/appointments) would likely be a fertile avenue for investigation. Delays will also be likely to have an associated cost burden in terms of worse patient outcomes and hence costlier treatment, which should be accounted for. Finally, it should be determined whether quality of care was negatively impacted in the period immediately following the strikes.
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2016 junior doctor strikes in England had ‘significant impact’ on healthcare provision

The 2016 junior doctors strikes in England had a ‘significant’ impact on the provision of healthcare, with thousands of appointments cancelled, and significantly fewer admissions and A&E attendances than expected, reveals research published in the online journal *BMJ Open*.

The effects were greatest during the last of the periods of industrial action, when junior doctors withdrew emergency care. But there was no obvious change in the death rate during any of the strikes.

In the first four months of 2016, junior doctors from all specialities across England went on strike in protest against contractual changes brought in by the government. Before these strikes there had been only one other strike in the previous 40 years--in 2012.

Each of the four strikes lasted 24-48 hours: 12 January; 10 February; 9-10 March; and 26-27 April. This last was the only strike that included withdrawal of emergency care.

Gaps in routine care offer an opportunity to assess the effectiveness of current systems and pinpoint weaknesses in response to staff shortages.

The researchers therefore compared hospital activity the week of each of the strikes with that from the preceding and following weeks. They focused on numbers of admissions, outpatient appointments, and A&E attendances.

During the 12 weeks of the study, there were 3.4 million admissions, 27 million outpatient appointments, and 3.4 million A&E attendances.

Compared with the weeks preceding and following the strikes, there were over 9 percent (31,651) fewer admissions, nearly 7 percent (23,895) fewer A&E attendances, and 6 percent (173,462) fewer outpatient appointments than expected.

April’s strike had the largest impact on services: there were over 15 percent (18,194) fewer admissions, including nearly 8 per cent (3383) fewer emergency admissions, and almost 20 percent fewer planned admissions.

Hospitals scheduled 11 percent (109,915) fewer outpatient appointments during this strike, while patients kept 134,711 (just over 17%) fewer of them. The number of outpatient appointments cancelled by hospitals also rose by almost 67 percent (43,823).

During all four strikes, hospitals cancelled nearly 300,000 outpatient appointments--52 percent higher than the volume expected for this period--possibly to protect more critical services, suggest the researchers.

Certain regions seemed to be disproportionately affected. The proportion of cancelled appointments increased to between 66 and 68 percent in Yorkshire and the Humber, South East Coast, and London. These regions also had the largest proportions of missed appointments.

The impact on emergency admissions was smaller, but greatest in the South West and the West Midlands.
The number of recorded deaths didn’t change significantly during the strikes and wasn’t higher than expected, due to relatively small numbers. But hospital mortality is likely to be the least sensitive outcome for quality and safety concerns in this context, the researchers point out.

This is because deaths caused by poor care aren’t likely to show up immediately, nor is it clear whether patient health might have worsened as a result of delayed appointments and procedures, they explain.

But these issues, along with the financial impact, might be fertile avenues to explore in future research, they suggest.

They accept that they didn’t assess the impact of the strikes on patients who didn’t attend A&E, or the potential impact on the so-called ‘weekend effect,’ or how patients felt about their delayed care.

But they nevertheless conclude: “Industrial action by junior doctors during early 2016 caused a significant impact on the provision of healthcare provided by English hospitals.”