19

## PRE-HOSPITAL OXYGEN THERAPY AND $\mathrm{CO}_2$ RETENTION IN PATIENTS ADMITTED THROUGH THE EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT

M Lumholdt\*, E Cresciolo, A Monti, LR Sørensen, KA Damgaard. *Vendsyssel Hospital, Denmark* 

10.1136/bmjopen-2017-EMSabstracts.19

Aim Patients with severe COPD are at risk of  $CO_2$  retention, due to uncontrolled oxygen administration. In Denmark, emergency medical service (EMS) care only offers respiratory support with 100% oxygen and treatment with fractionated oxygen is limited. In this retrospective study we sought to clarify the extend at which patients with hypercapnic acidosis, due to excessive pre-hospital oxygen therapy, were admitted through the emergency department (ED).

Methods Patients admitted through the ED were divided according to triage score. Venous blood samples were collected from all patients and arterialised using the venous to arterial conversion (v-TAC) software. All admissions of patients with CO<sub>2</sub> retention (pCO<sub>2</sub>>6.0 kPa) and acidosis (pH<7.35) were identified. Patient records and EMS journals were reviewed to determine out-of-hospital saturation and vital signs.

Results 125 admissions were registered concerning respiratory issues. 11 patients had CO<sub>2</sub> retention and acidosis. Mean prehospital saturation was 83.6% at first patient contact. Saturation increased to 95.0% upon arrival at the ED, and when triage took place in-hospital, saturation decreased to 91,1%. Blood gas revealed mean pH 7.29, pCO<sub>2</sub> 8.72 kPa, and pO<sub>2</sub> 10.2 kPa. But pCO<sub>2</sub> values as high as 11 kPa were registered. All blood samples were drawn as part of the triage.

Conclusion Although few patients were identified with CO<sub>2</sub> retention, results indicated that the cause most likely was high oxygenation pre-hospital. Studies exploring optimised pre-hospital oxygen therapy for patients with CO<sub>2</sub> retention tendencies are required.

Conflict of interest None declared.

Funding None declared.



### HYGIENE IN THE EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES (EMS) CALLS FOR ATTENTION

<sup>1,2</sup>HS Vikke\*, <sup>2</sup>M Giebner, <sup>1,3</sup>HJ Kolmos. <sup>1</sup>Department of Clinical Research, University of Southern, Odense University Hospital, Denmark; <sup>2</sup>Falck Denmark A/S; <sup>3</sup>Department of Clinical Microbiology, University of Southern Denmark, Odense University Hospital, Denmark

10.1136/bmjopen-2017-EMSabstracts.20

Aim Contaminated environmental surfaces are known to provide an important potential source for transmission of health-care associated pathogens<sup>1</sup> and prehospital treatment appears related to increased risk of infection.<sup>2</sup> Nevertheless, few studies present and discuss prehospital hygiene, resulting in limited knowledge and understanding of related challenges. Our aim was to assess microbial contamination and influencing factors. Methods A nationwide, semi-blinded, cross-sectional study conducted in Denmark from August to November 2016. Using a combined swab/agar method, samples from environment, equipment and personnel were randomly collected from 80 ambulances and crew, in-between patient courses, after cleaning. Focus was colony forming units (cfu) and healthcare associated pathogens. In addition, explanatory variables e.g. hours from last thorough cleaning, area of service (rural/city) and

number of patient courses within the shift, were collected and used in bivariate analyses.

Results 800 sites, showed an average of 11.3 cfu/cm<sup>2</sup> (environmental sites 5.01 cfu/cm<sup>2</sup>, hands 11.1 cfu/cm<sup>2</sup> and uniforms 30.6 cfu/cm<sup>2</sup>). Staphylococcus aureus, Enterococcus and Enterobacteriaceae were found on 10, 3.4 and 0.5% of the imprints, respectively. One imprint was MRSA, two were VRE but none was ESBL, and we found no correlation between the explanatory variables and the degree of microbial burden. Conclusion Microbial contamination and related challenges in the EMS calls for further attention. Several sites were contaminated with healthcare associated pathogens, but neither time from cleaning, number of patients nor area of service were of influence on the degree of contamination. Future research on hygienic challenges and routes of transmission recommended.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Dancer SJ. Controlling hospital-acquired infection: focus on the role of the environment and new technologies for decontamination. *Clinical microbiology* reviews. 2014;27(4):665–90.
- Alter SM, Merlin MA. Nosocomial and community-acquired infection rates of patients treated by prehospital advanced life support compared with other admitted patients. The American journal of emergency medicine. 2011;29(1):57–64.

Conflict of interest H. S. Vikke and M. Giebner, is employed by Falck Denmark A/S H. J. Kolmos has no conflicts of interest

Funding The project is partly fundet by Falck A/S and Innovation fund DK

21

## PREHOSPITAL NATIONAL EARLY WARNING SCORE (NEWS) DOES NOT PREDICT ONE DAY MORTALITY

J Pirneskoski\*, J Nurmi, K Olkkola, M Kuisma. Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki, Finland

10.1136/bmjopen-2017-EMSabstracts.21

Aim National Early Warning Score (NEWS)<sup>1</sup> has been shown to be the best early warning score to predict in-hospital mortality.<sup>2</sup> A single study also supports it's use in a prehospital setting.<sup>3</sup> The aim of the current retrospective cohort study was to investigate the association of prehospital NEWS and mortality using large population based databases.

Methods We analysed prehospital electronic patient record data to calculate NEWS values and compared these to national mortality data. We included all patient records for patients 18 years or older with sufficient prehospital data to calculate NEWS from 17 August 2008 to 18 December 2015 encountered by the emergency medical services (EMS) in the Hospital District of Helsinki and Uusimaa, Finland. The primary outcome measure was death within one day of EMS dispatch.

Results 35 845 cases were included. Mean age of patients was 65.8 years (SD 19.9 years). 47.5% of patients were male. Median NEWS was 3 (IQR 1–5). The primary outcome of death within one day of EMS dispatch occurred in 441 (1.2%) cases. The AUROC for primary outcome of death within one day was 0.502.

Conclusion In our retrospective cohort study, prehospital NEWS did not predict mortality within one day of EMS dispatch.

#### REFERENCES

- Williams B, Alberti G, Ball C, Bell D, Binks R, Durham L. National Early Warning Score (NEWS): Standardising the assessment of acute-illness severity in the NHS. London: The Royal College of Physicians; 2012
- Smith GB, Prytherch DR, Meredith P, Schmidt PE, Featherstone PI. The ability of the National Early Warning Score (NEWS) to discriminate patients at risk of early cardiac arrest, unanticipated intensive care unit admission, and death. Resuscitation 2013;84:465–70.
- Silcock DJ, Corfield AR, Gowens PA, Rooney KD. Validation of the National Early Warning Score in the prehospital setting. Resuscitation 2015;89:31–5.

Conflict of interest None declared. Funding None declared.

22

#### OUT-OF-HOSPITAL CARDIAC ARREST AS A MANDATORY REPORTABLE DISEASE – FIRST EXPERIENCES FROM NORWAY

<sup>1,2</sup>J Kramer-Johansen\*, <sup>2</sup>I Tjelmeland, <sup>3</sup>J Langørgen, <sup>4</sup>E Søreide. <sup>1</sup>Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway; <sup>2</sup>University of Oslo, Norway; <sup>3</sup>Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway; <sup>4</sup>Stavanger University Hospital, Stavanger, Norway

10.1136/bmjopen-2017-EMSabstracts.22

Aim Survival after cardiac arrest (CA) depends on the time-critical interventions summarised in the chain of survival – identification and alarming, cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR), defibrillation (if appropriate), and standardised post-arrest care. Voluntary, population based CA-registries have indicated significant improvements in survival associated with improved performance. Systematic improvement is based on repeated cycles of; measure to identify weakness, interventions to improve, and measure again to verify changes and effects. Strengthening CA-registries by making CA a mandatory reportable disease enables implementation.

Methods Norway has a population of  $\sim 5.2$  million. The Norwegian Cardiac Arrest Registry (NorCAR) restarted in 2013 with mandatory reporting in collaboration with Norwegian Cardiovascular Disease Registry. We measured "coverage" as the percentage of the Norwegian population served by the reporting EMS, and report incidence and survival rates per 100 000 person-years.

Results Out of the 19 EMS health trusts in Norway, the number reporting to NorCAR (coverage) increased from 8 (47%) in 2013, to 17 (92%) in 2015, and by 2017 all EMS health trust are reporting. Incidence rates of ambulance-treated CA have increased: 41, 44, 48, and 51. Thirty-day survival rates from all-cause out-of-hospital CA in 2013, 2014, and 2015 were: 7.7 (19%), 5.9 (14%), 7.3 (15%), respectively. For first 2/3 of 2016 numbers are 6.8 (13%).

Conclusion Establishing mandatory reporting is valuable when creating a population based registry. Regional variations inspire further work to improve reporting and quality. Close involvement of the local registrars and feeding back the results to local EMS are our main strategies.

Conflict of interest None declared.

Funding None declared.

### 23

#### HELICOPTER EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES IN MAJOR INCIDENT MANAGEMENT: NATIONAL NORWEGIAN CROSS-SECTIONAL SURVEY

1.2.3AS Johnsen\*, 1.2.4SJM Sollid, 1.5T Vigerust, 1.5M Jystad, 1.2.3M Rehn. <sup>1</sup>Department of Research and Development, Norwegian Air Ambulance Foundation, Drøbak, Norway; <sup>2</sup>Department of Health Studies, University of Stavanger, Stavanger, Norway; <sup>3</sup>Department of Anaesthesiology, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway; <sup>4</sup>Norway Air Ambulance Department, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway; <sup>5</sup>Norwegian Air Ambulance Ltd, Lørenskog/Dombås Base, Lørenskog/Dombås, Norway

10.1136/bmjopen-2017-EMSabstracts.23

Aim Helicopter Emergency Medical Services (HEMS) and Search and Rescue (SAR) helicopters are highly specialised, sparse resources that are used in major incidents (MI) to transport medical personnel to the scene for triage, treatment and transport. We aimed to collect data on experiences from Norwegian HEMS/SAR from the last five years to identify potential areas of improvement in preparedness for and management of MI.

Methods All Norwegian HEMS/SAR personnel were invited to participate in a cross-sectional survey. They were presented with questions regarding basic demographic data, experience from real incidents and training and equipment.

Results Of 329 invited, 229 (70%) responded. Rescue paramedics and pilots had experience from a median of three MI, doctors had experience from a median of one. Road traffic incidents were most common (n=61, 48%), blunt trauma the dominating injury (n=51, 59%). HEMS/SAR crewmembers mainly contributed with triage, treatment and transport. Multiple helicopters attended 83% of the incidents. Own or other HEMS/SAR unit coordinated on-scene helicopters in 71% of MI, only 41% of the pilots had guidelines for coordination. Communication with participating agencies was described as bad prior to arrival, but good to excellent on-scene. Among SAR pilots, 80% (n=20) reported lack of equipment for situational awareness, but only 9% (n=3) among the HEMS pilots. More interdisciplinary exercises were desirable.

Conclusion HEMS/SAR crewmembers have infrequent exposure to MI management. Communication remains a challenge. Training on frequent scenarios with other agencies is called for.

#### REFERENCE

 Johnsen AS, Fattah S, Sollid SJM, Rehn M. Utilisation of helicopter emergency medical services in the early medical response to major incidents: a systematic literature review. BMJ Open. 2016;6(2):e010307.

Conflict of interest None declared.

Funding All authors are employed by Norwegian Air Ambulance Foundation. However, the NAAF played no part in study design, data collection, analysis, writing or submitting to publication.



# CITIZENS' UTILISATION AND SATISFACTION WITH A NOVEL ORGANISATIONAL STRUCTURE OF PREHOSPITAL ACCESS TO HOSPITAL CARE

1.2H Gamst-Jensen\*, <sup>1</sup>N Blomberg, <sup>1</sup>F Folke, <sup>1</sup>F Lippert. <sup>1</sup>Emergency Medical Services Copenhagen, Ballerup, Denmark; <sup>2</sup>The National Institute for Public Health, University of Southern Denmark, Copenhagen, Denmark

10.1136/bmjopen-2017-EMSabstracts.24