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ABSTRACT

Introduction To better reach youth in need of mental health and addiction (MHA) services, there is increasing emphasis on making MHA services ‘youth friendly.’ However, it is unclear what youth friendliness means on a practical level. This scoping review explores (1) how youth friendliness in mental health services is defined in the literature, (2) what characteristics make MHA services youth friendly and (3) how youth friendliness is expected to impact service use by young people.

Methods A search will be conducted of eight electronic bibliographic databases over the last 15 years (2002–2017) to identify literature on youth friendliness consistent with the modern youth experience. Grey literature will also be searched. The search and literature selection process will include all study designs, as well as non-research literature. Two independent raters will determine eligibility based on a review of the titles and abstracts of the identified literature, followed by full text reviews when required. Data will be extracted from the identified literature and then synthesised using qualitative and quantitative approaches. As a final step, we will conduct stakeholder consultations with youth, family members and service provider groups to validate the findings and identify any characteristics of youth friendliness that they deem important that were not reported in the findings.

Ethics and dissemination Results will be disseminated through a peer-reviewed publication, as well as international, national and local conference presentations. Agency reports will be developed to present the findings in a user-friendly format, including a youth-friendliness checklist for youth-serving organisations. Research ethics approval has been obtained for the consultation component of this study.

BACKGROUND

Adolescence is a key period for the development of mental health challenges and mental illness, with some 70% of mental disorders manifesting before adulthood.¹ In Canada, it is estimated that one in five youth experience at least one significant mental health or substance use challenge, while suicide is second leading cause of death among youth.² ⁴ Untreated mental health and addictions (MHA) problems in youth come at a high cost for the individual and society, yet most youth who would benefit from mental healthcare do not receive treatment.¹ ⁴–⁶

The youth and emerging adult period is a critical age range for development and the establishment of autonomy; however, there are many barriers to MHA treatment during this period. While the United Nations defines ‘youth’ as those between the ages of 15 and 24,⁷ definitions vary in terms of exact age given contextual, cultural and lifestyle issues. Barriers to service utilisation in this age range include inadequate screening and identification of those in need, system fragmentation, access barriers and a lack of evidence-based treatment for this developmental stage.⁸–¹² Additional treatment barriers include stigma, a lack of awareness of mental health challenges and services and a preference for self-management over clinical treatment.¹³ ¹⁴ Together, these numerous barriers make MHA services less accessible and appropriate for youth.

There is increasing emphasis on making mental healthcare for youth ‘youth friendly.’ The World Health Organization (WHO) has

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This scoping review will provide an enhanced understanding of the definition, characteristics and expected impacts of youth friendliness in mental/behavioural health and addiction care settings.
- The scoping review results will be strengthened by consultations with youth, family members and community service providers on the definition, characteristics and expected impacts of youth friendliness.
- A limitation of the scoping review methodology is that it does not thoroughly assess the quality of the work included.
- This review will include only English language work with a relatively narrow search focus; the grey literature search will be representative rather than exhaustive.
underlined the importance of youth friendliness in health services as a whole around the world and undertaken efforts to increase the youth focus of such services. Their recommendations include ensuring that services are effective, safe, community-based, confidential and accessible. It is expected that by making services more youth friendly, youth will be more likely to use those services, engage more fully with them and be satisfied with the services they receive. However, this framework was developed for health services as a whole, and with a global perspective, including issues such as sexual health in developing countries, which may or may not transfer to MHA services. While some literature is available on youth friendliness in MHA services, the definitions and characteristics of youth friendliness specific to the MHA sector are unclear.

In the mental health and addictions sector, what does ‘youth friendliness’ mean on a practical level? How is youth friendliness defined? What characteristics should an MHA service organisation embody to maximise youth friendliness? What are the necessary characteristics of the organisations, the services, the staff and the environment? How is youth friendliness expected to impact young people’s use of MHA services? Without a more fulsome understanding of these issues, it is difficult for youth-serving MHA organisations to determine the degree of youth friendliness of their services and identify areas for potential improvement. The lack of a clear framework also impedes research on understanding the effect that MHA youth friendliness has on treatment and service outcomes for youth.

**OBJECTIVE**

This scoping review will examine the state of the literature on youth friendliness in MHA services from youth, family member and service provider perspectives consistent with the modern youth experience; the focus will be to establish a definition, identify the characteristics and summarise suggested impacts of youth friendliness in youth MHA services.

**METHOD**

The methodology for this scoping review will reflect established guidelines. There will be six stages to the methodological approach. We will first define the research question. We will then identify relevant studies through a broad-based search of literature, including both academic and grey literature. We will then select the appropriate studies and extract the data from them, which will be synthesised and reported. Lastly, we will conduct stakeholder consultations with youth, family members and service providers to confirm the findings and identify any missing elements they may suggest, with a view to generating a list of key elements of youth friendliness and identifying directions for future research. For the purposes of this study, ‘youth’ will refer to young people aged approximately 15–24 based on the United Nations definition, while allowing flexibility based on the age ranges and definitions of ‘youth’ used in the literature identified.

**Defining the research questions**

This scoping review has three complementary research questions:

- How is youth friendliness in mental health and addiction services defined?
- What characteristics make mental health services youth friendly?
- How does the literature posit that youth friendliness would impact service use by young people?

**Identifying relevant literature**

Keywords for the literature search will be developed in collaboration with our youth team members, that is, three Youth Engagement Facilitators who are under the age of 25 and who are staff members on our team, to ensure that the search reflects the concerns raised by youth themselves. Using these keywords, a broad range of literature will be identified, including formal academic literature and grey literature. A search will be conducted of eight electronic bibliographic databases over the last 15 years (2002–2017) to identify literature on youth friendliness consistent with the modern youth experience. We will include in our search all research designs, as well as non-research documents such as guidelines, narrative reviews, policy documents and agency reports. Literature examining youth friendliness in any mental or behavioural health or addiction services care setting will be included. Excluded will be literature focusing solely on physical health services, originating from developing countries or focusing on children or adults outside of the age range reasonably considered to encompass ‘youth’.

**Search strategy**

Searches, developed by a medical information specialist, will be conducted in the following electronic databases: Medline, EMBASE, PsycINFO (a database of peer-reviewed literature in psychology and related fields), CINAHL (a database of literature in the nursing, allied health, biomedicine and healthcare fields), Applied Social Sciences Index and Abstracts, Campbell Collaboration Library and Cochrane Library. The search will be developed in Medline and adapted to the other databases. The search combines text word and subject heading terms for the main concepts of ‘youth friendliness’ and adolescent or teen mental or behavioural health. Given the lack of specific subject headings for ‘youth friendly’ and our intention to focus relatively narrowly on the concept of friendliness, (e.g., we are not interested in the broader concept of ‘youth appropriateness’), the search will require that all citations retrieved include either the word ‘friendly’ or ‘welcoming’. In addition to the database search, a grey literature search will be undertaken following the methods outlined in Grey Matters:
a practical tool for searching health-related grey literature.\textsuperscript{23} Essentially, a focused search will be performed on targeted children/adolescent mental health sites for unpublished materials that meet the inclusion criteria. In addition, Google Advanced Search will be used to undertake a broader grey literature search. References of included studies will be checked for additional sources not found in the search.

**Study selection**

Two reviewers will independently review the titles and abstracts of the identified literature to determine eligibility based on an established checklist of eligibility criteria. Conflicts will be resolved by an independent third party. Following the title and abstract review stage, full texts of identified documents will be obtained for more thorough review. The two raters will then review these documents to identify those that meet the inclusion criteria. Any disagreements between the reviewers will be resolved through discussion with a third reviewer to achieve consensus. The review will be conducted in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA), including the production of a four-phase PRISMA diagram.\textsuperscript{24} The Covidence online software program for systematic reviews will be used to maximise the efficiency of the review process.\textsuperscript{25}

**Table 1.** Data to be extracted from identified studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Definitions</td>
<td>Service provider characteristics</td>
<td>Expected impact on treatment/service seeking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Organisational and policy characteristics</td>
<td>Expected impact on treatment/service seeking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ongoing youth engagement policies</td>
<td>Expected impact on treatment/service engagement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Environment characteristics</td>
<td>Expected impact on treatment/service satisfaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Treatment/service characteristics</td>
<td>Other expected impacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other characteristics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Charting the data**

The data will be extracted from the selected studies using a data extraction form and verified by an independent rater. As per the established methodology for scoping reviews, the quality of the evidence, study or document will not be directly assessed.\textsuperscript{19} However, descriptions of the type and characteristics of selected documents will allow for some discussion of the quality of the literature. Data to be extracted is summarized in **table 1**.

**Collating, summarising and reporting the results**

The information collected using the data extraction tool will be summarised either qualitatively or quantitatively, as appropriate. This stage will focus on identifying key concepts emerging from the literature. Any quantitative data will be summarised using SPSS V.24.\textsuperscript{50} A table will summarise the articles to describe the current status of work in this area.

**Stakeholder consultation**

After summarising the results, we will consult with stakeholder groups to present the results and glean their feedback on them. Our team has standing youth,\textsuperscript{27} family member and community service provider groups whom we regularly consult regarding MHA service provision and research issues; these groups will be leveraged...
DISCUSSION AND DISSEMINATION

Results of this scoping review will enable us to define and characterise youth friendliness in MHA services consistent with the current experience of youth. Of particular interest are the characteristics of the service, environment and service providers that make an environment youth friendly. This review will lead to the development of a definition of youth friendliness in the MHA sector and a series of recommendations for youth-serving MHA organisations. It is hoped that through the dissemination of the findings, youth-serving organisations will be spurred to reflect on ways in which they can increase the youth friendliness of their services to maximise their ability to engage young people in a meaningful way, breaking down some of the barriers that prevent youth from accessing and engaging in the MHA services they need.

Results of this review will be disseminated through academic channels in the form of a publication in a peer-reviewed journal in open-access format to ensure access to non-academic partners. International, national and local conference presentations will be planned to further disseminate the results. To maximise dissemination of the findings to youth-serving agencies, a report will be developed presenting the findings in a user-friendly format, including a checklist of youth-friendliness criteria to be considered by service providers. We will also develop a webinar to present our findings to community agencies via Evidence Exchange Network for Mental Health and Addictions (EENet),

An online webinar tool that enables sharing of mental health evidence with key stakeholders. Another key initiative in our dissemination strategy will be presentations to our broad network of community partners.
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