## Article:

Exercise for patients with major depression: A systematic review with meta-analysis and trial sequential-analysis

## **Supplementary Table**

**Table S3**. Heterogeneity of effect estimates for trials assessing the effect of exercise for patients diagnosed with depression on lack of remission.

Subgroups	Number of Trials (participants)	Random effects meta-analysis RR (95% Cl., p, l²)	Subgroup explains heterogeneity P value
Risk of bias			
Less than high risk of bias <sup>1,2</sup>	2 (165)	0.95 (0.74 to 1.23; p = 0.70; l <sup>2</sup> = 20%)	0.18
High risk of bias	17 (1474)	$0.77 (0.64 \text{ to } 0.92; p = 0.003; l^2 = 75\%)$	
Age			
Old (>59 years)	3 (299)	0.61 (0.21 to 1.02; p = 0.37; l <sup>2</sup> = 91%)	0.62
Young (<59 years)	16 (1340)	$0.81 (0.70 \text{ to } 0.93; p = 0.003; l^2 = 64\%)$	
Exercise context			
Group exercise	14 (1156)	0.80 (0.66 to 0.96; p = 0.02; l <sup>2</sup> = 72%)	0.69
Individual exercise	5 (483)	$0.74 (0.52 \text{ to } 1.04; p = 0.08; l^2 = 77\%)$	
Duration			
Less than 10 weeks	8 (393)	0.63 (0.51 to 0.77; p < 0.001; $I^2 = 40\%$ )	0.004
10 weeks or more	11 (1246)	0.93 (0.78 to 1.10; $p = 0.39$ ; $I^2 = 69\%$ )	
Attention control			
Attention control	4 (364)	0.91 (0.73 to 1.12; p = 0.38; $I^2$ = 42%)	0.07
Waitlist	1 (25)	0.44 (0.21 to 0.93; p = 0.03; $I^2 = 0\%$ )	
Pharmacotherapy			
Add-on	7 (540)	$0.72 (0.54 \text{ to } 0.96; p = 0.03; I^2 = 69\%)$	0.62
No medication	4 (252)	$0.75 (0.52 \text{ to } 1.09; p = 0.13; l^2 = 66\%)$	
Somatic comorbidity			
Somatic co-morbidity	0	N/A	
No co-morbidity	19 (1639)	N/A	
Minor depression			
Incl. minor depression	3 (203)	0.63 (0.21 to 1.89; p = 0.41; $I^2$ = 87%)	0.69
No minor depression	16 (1436)	$0.79 (0.68 \text{ to } 0.92; p = 0.002; l^2 = 69\%)$	
Patient setting		·	
Inpatients	6 (322)	0.71 (0.60 to 0.84; p < 0.001; $I^2 = 0\%$ )	0.21
Outpatients	13 (1317)	0.84 (0.69 to 1.01; p = 0.07; l <sup>2</sup> = 77%)	
Trial size		·	
Trials n ≤ 52	9 (358)	0.62 (0.50 to 0.76; p < 0.001; $I^2 = 45\%$ )	0.002
Trials n > 52	10 (1281)	0.95 (0.80 to 1.12; p = 0.52; l <sup>2</sup> = 68%)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Trials potentially having less bias than trials with high risk of bias.