ABSTRACT

Objectives Fatigue is an often mentioned symptom by patients with irreversible visual impairment. This study explored the patient perspective of fatigue in visually impaired adults with a focus on symptoms of fatigue, causes, consequences and coping strategies.

Setting Two large Dutch low vision multidisciplinary rehabilitation organisations.

Participants 16 visually impaired adults with severe symptoms of fatigue selected by purposive sampling.

Methods A qualitative study involving semistructured interviews. A total of four first-level codes were top–down predetermined in correspondence with the topics of the research question. Verbatim transcribed interviews were analysed with a combination of a deductive and inductive approach using open and axial coding.

Results Participants often described the symptoms of fatigue as a mental, daily and physical experience. The most often mentioned causes of fatigue were a high cognitive load, the intensity and amount of activities, the high effort necessary to establish visual perception, difficulty with light intensity and negative cognitions. Fatigue had the greatest impact on the ability to carry out social roles and participation, emotional functioning and physical exercise and the acceptance of fatigue.

Conclusions Our results indicate that low vision-related fatigue is mainly caused by population specific determinants that seem different from the fatigue experience described in studies with other patient populations. Fatigue may be central to the way patients react, adapt and compensate to the consequences of vision loss. These findings indicate a need for future research aimed at interventions specifically tailored to the unique aspects of fatigue related to vision loss.

INTRODUCTION

Fatigue is an often mentioned complaint by patients with irreversible visual impairment. In fact, they have prioritised fatigue in the top five of problems with the highest rehabilitation urgency.1 However, low-vision professionals are at a loss on how to deal effectively with fatigue because currently, no evidence-based treatment options are available for the visually impaired population. Cognitive behavioural therapy has proven to be an effective treatment option for fatigue in patients with cancer,2 chronic fatigue syndrome3 and Q fever fatigue syndrome4 but has not been evaluated in patients with visual impairment. To the best of our knowledge, there seem to be no studies available which address the prevalence or determinants of fatigue in visually impaired persons. Previous research on fatigue in visually impaired patients is often limited to patient populations in which fatigue or feelings of exhaustion is a known symptom.5–7 In only a few studies, the relation between fatigue and visual impairment has been assessed. Mojon-Azzi et al found that a greater impairment of vision was associated with a higher probability...
of feeling fatigued. Similar results have been reported in The Blue Mountains Eye Study by Chia et al. In their population-based study, irreversible visual impairment (due to various eye conditions) was associated with increased fatigue when compared with participants without visual impairment and correctable visual impairment.

Symptoms of fatigue have been found to have a negative impact on psychological well-being, quality of life, employment and work-related activities among patients with chronic disease. Similar results have been reported with respect to the consequences of fatigue in patients with vision loss. In the study of Bruijning et al., consequences of fatigue mentioned by visually impaired patients included difficulty maintaining energy to endure daily activities (eg, running errands, cooking and work), difficulty concentrating and processing or memorising information, crossing one’s personal boundaries regarding energy balance and requiring extra effort to perceive and process visual stimuli.

Studies have shown that fatigue is related specifically to underlying disease mechanisms in different patient populations. Fatigue in visual impairment might be related to the difficulty of processing visual stimuli which may require more concentration and may result in eye strain. An association between fatigue-related and sleep-related problems has been mentioned in visually impaired patients with some remaining vision and in blind patients because of the disturbance in circadian rhythms. The positive effect of physical activity on reduction of fatigue may be difficult to achieve in visually impaired adults because they engage in less physical activity compared with persons without vision loss. Moreover, fatigue can be a symptom of depression, a psychological (sub)clinical disorder which is highly prevalent in persons with visual impairment. Therefore, fatigue may also be related to psychological factors.

To our knowledge, coping strategies to deal with fatigue have not yet been studied in visually impaired adults. In rheumatoid arthritis, it was shown that patients managed fatigue alone without support from healthcare professionals by pacing and rest, relaxation and accepting fatigue as part of the disease. Rest and sleep were also described to relieve fatigue for patients with ankylosing spondylitis, fibromyalgia, stroke and multiple sclerosis. In addition, these patients tried to avoid stress and adjusted their activity levels to fit with their reduced capacity.

Given the high rehabilitation urgency of fatigue in low vision and the expected increase in the prevalence of people with visual impairment due to an ageing society, further research is crucial to better understand the aetiology of fatigue in this population to develop new treatments. In this context, it is important to understand the concept of fatigue as experienced by the patient because of its subjective and multifactorial nature. According to Leventhal’s theory of self-regulation, patients have beliefs and cognitive representations about their illness through illness perceptions. These representations are an important determinant of behaviour and can have an impact on the way patients cope with their illness. Understanding these cognitive representations can give insights into the emotional responses to illness which could influence the perceived severity or consequences of fatigue in people with low vision. Qualitative research methods allow an in-depth exploration of subjective symptoms such as the experience of fatigue. The aim of this qualitative study is, therefore, to explore the patient perspective of perceived symptoms, causes, consequences and coping strategies to deal with fatigue in a sample of Dutch patients with visual impairment (≥18 years).

**METHODS**

**Design and participants**

Patients aged 18 years or older registered at two large Dutch low vision rehabilitation organisations were invited to participate in this study. Patients can be referred to these centres based on criteria described in the Dutch guideline ‘Vision disorders, rehabilitation and referral’ that mainly follow the WHO criteria, where low vision is defined as the best-corrected visual acuity in the better eye of <0.3, but ≥0.05 (Snellen notation) and/or visual field of <20° around the central fixation point, or other severe visual field defects. Blindness is defined as the best-corrected visual acuity in the better eye of <0.05 and/or a visual field of <10° around the central fixation point. Selection criteria for the study were: (1) sufficient mastery of the Dutch language and (2) having severe symptoms of fatigue as assessed by professionals of the centres (based on their observations of the patient and patient’s self-reported fatigue). By purposive sampling, participants were selected to reflect a mix of ophthalmic diagnosis, disease duration, sex, age and working status. Interested patients received an information letter about the study and were informed by telephone by the executive researcher. Our primary interest was fatigue related to visual impairment; therefore, we excluded patients who were treated in the past year for comorbid conditions known for fatigue symptomatology: (1) cancer; (2) multiple sclerosis; (3) chronic fatigue syndrome and (4) psychiatric disorders. Treatment of psychiatric comorbidity, as defined by the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition, was screened with the following question: “Are you currently being treated for a psychiatric disorder, or did you receive treatment for a psychiatric disorder in the past year?”.

The interviews were conducted at the patients’ homes by the first author (WS, psychologist), taking about 90 min. We developed and adapted an interview scheme for our patient population (see online supplementary appendix 1) based on a qualitative study about fatigue in rheumatoid arthritis. The interview was semistructured in a hierarchical way and consisted of an introduction, open-ended questions and prompts to encourage further discussion or more detailed responses.
Measurements
Sociodemographic data and ophthalmic data were collected during the interview. Fatigue severity and vision-related fatigue problems were assessed with the Fatigue Assessment Scale (FAS) and the ‘feeling-fit’ scale of the Dutch ICF Activity Inventory to adequately describe the sample and as a validity check (ie, to check whether participants indeed had severe symptoms of fatigue according to quantitative measures). In addition, symptoms of depression were screened with the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) because of the strong association between fatigue and depression.

Analyses
All interviews were recorded by a digital voice recorder and transcribed verbatim using F4 software. For one participant, a descriptive report of the interview was used for analysis due to loss of the recorded audio file. The qualitative data were analysed using Atlas.Ti V.7.5 software. We used an approach similar to the ‘framework method’ which combines deductive and inductive forms of analysis and is most commonly used for the thematic analysis of semistructured interview transcripts. A total of four first-level codes were top-down predetermined and in correspondence with the research questions (table 2). No additional first-level codes were created after a careful examination of all the interviews. After reading all the phrases of four interviews, second-level codes were created using a bottom-up approach through open coding. Categories were created by axial coding followed by a consensus-based evaluation of these codes in discussion with a second researcher. Subsequently, the adjusted coding rules and categories were applied to the remaining interviews. New codes could be added to the coding structure during the coding process, but additions were minimal. Hence, it was concluded that saturation had been reached, a point at which no new concepts emerged from new interviews. A second researcher independently matched 10% of the citations to the existing coding scheme to estimate inter-rater reliability. Percentage agreement was found for 84% of the categorisations, 16% was solved by discussion.

RESULTS
Sample
A total of 21 patients were interested to participate in the study of whom 17 participated. Three patients could not be reached after multiple attempts, one person refrained from participation because of personal problems. Data of one participant were excluded from analyses because he was being treated for a psychiatric disorder in the past year, resulting in data of 16 patients. At this point, no further interviews were conducted because of data saturation. The characteristics of the 16 participants are shown in table 1.

The majority of participants were male, lived together with a partner and had no comorbidity. The average age of the participants reflected a middle-aged sample, but only a minority was still employed. Vision loss was caused by relatively rare eye diseases, with retinitis pigmentosa as the most frequently reported primary diagnosis. Cataract was present in two participants in addition to their primary cause of visual impairment. The FAS questionnaire showed a fatigue prevalence of 88% (FAS≥22), indicating that the inclusion criterion of self-reported

Table 1 Demographic characteristics of the study population (n=16)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age in years (mean ± SD)</strong></td>
<td>51±13</td>
<td>30–77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Work status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational disability</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voluntary work</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marital status, living together</strong></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary cause of visual impairment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinitis pigmentosa</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glaucoma</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ablatio retinae</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurosarcoidosis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congenital cataract</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usher syndrome</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocular albinism</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinoblastoma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leber congenital amaurosis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choroideremia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic retinopathy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Time since diagnosis in years (mean ± SD)</strong></td>
<td>18±15</td>
<td>1–49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comorbid diseases</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fatigue severity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16–46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAS (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>29±7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigued (FAS≥22)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vision-related fatigue (mean ± SD)</strong></td>
<td>15±5</td>
<td>9–28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Depressive symptoms</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3–17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHQ-9 (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>8±4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate/severe symptoms (PHQ-9≥9≥10)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FAS, Fatigue Assessment scale; PHQ-9, Patient Health Questionnaire.
Fatigue is an intense feeling with a heavy sensation in my head. As if I’m no longer able to cope with anything. (Male, 30, congenital cataract)

Symptoms of fatigue were reported to be ‘present on a daily basis’ by more than half of the participants. Several participants also described fatigue as an ‘uncontrollable and unpredictable’ experience. Almost a third of the participants described their fatigue as ‘a need to sleep’.

A great variability existed with regard to fatigue expectations, patterns, frequency and severity.

**Perceived causes of fatigue**

Almost all participants considered ‘a high cognitive load’ as a result of their visual impairment, the cause of their fatigue. A variety of cognitive processes that require more energy or resources due to the loss of vision were reported, such as memorising information, processing (auditory) information, paying attention to the environment and orientation in the surrounding environment.

You’re getting fatigued because you’re in a constant state of processing stimuli, that’s pretty exhausting. It’s really difficult to filter out the right kind of information, sometimes everything is crowding in on you. (Female, 58, Leber congenital amaurosis)

For the majority of the participants, fatigue depended on the ‘amount and intensity of actions and activities’.

Well, performing a daily activity that doesn’t go as smoothly as you want, because you aren’t able to see, really makes you tired. (Male, 49, choroideremia)

More than half of the participants with some remaining vision related the cause of their fatigue to the ‘high effort to establish visual perception’. For these participants, more energy is required for focus and accommodation of the eye to perceive visual stimuli.

So your eye is constantly trying to focus, trying to get a sharp image which cannot be obtained. That’s really tiresome, it’s like a camera making the sssss noise when it can’t find a point of focus. (Female, 41, ocular albinism)

The ‘intensity of light’ was also considered as a contributing cause to their fatigue by more than half of the participants. The majority of these participants experienced severe symptoms of fatigue in the absence of light (eg, at dusk), as opposed to some participants who felt more fatigued when exposed to too much light.

For me it’s also exhausting whenever it gets dark. It’s as if you end up in an introverted state which requires more energy to snap out of. (Female, 41, ocular albinism)

Fatigue was related to negative cognitions for half of the participants. Descriptions included negative thoughts about vision loss and the opinions of others, crossing boundaries in energy levels because of upward comparisons, feelings of inferiority and thoughts and expectations about developing fatigue.

---

**Qualitative findings**

First-level codes and common categories of the experience of fatigue related to visual impairment can be found in table 2. Supporting citations for the larger common categories (n ≥10) are provided in the paragraphs below.

**Experienced symptoms of fatigue**

The majority of the participants described fatigue as both a ‘mental and physical experience’, with feelings of heaviness, languidness and inertia.

You get a little cranky when you’re tired, a little apathetic and all, but I feel it in my eyes, and yes, sometimes also in my body. It’s just everywhere. (Female, 41, ocular albinism)

Only a few participants reported solely ‘physical’ or ‘mental’ elements of fatigue.

The feeling that you don’t have muscles anymore, that it’s just pudding, just super weak. (Male, 55, glaucoma)

---

**Perceived causes of fatigue**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First level code</th>
<th>Common categories</th>
<th>n*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experienced symptoms of fatigue</td>
<td>Mental</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Everyday symptom</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Physical</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Uncontrollable and unpredictable</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Need to sleep</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived causes of fatigue</td>
<td>High cognitive load</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Activities; amount and intensity</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Effort for visual perception</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Difficulty with light intensity</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negative cognitions</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comorbidity</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mobility</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived consequences of fatigue</td>
<td>Emotional functioning</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social roles and participation</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cognitive functioning</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Daily activities</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Leisure activities</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Visual functioning</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coping strategies with fatigue</td>
<td>Relaxation</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>External support</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Socialising and physical exercise</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acceptance of fatigue</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Limiting visual perception</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Balancing activities and relaxation</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Number of participants to describe each common category.
What also comes into play for me are my negative thoughts about my visual impairment. Like what would other people think whenever I’m walking around with my white cane? Those thoughts take an awful lot of energy as well. (Male, 30, congenital cataract)

Some participants mentioned ‘mobility’ (eg, travelling, public transport) and ‘comorbidity’ as a possible cause of their fatigue.

**Experienced consequences of fatigue**

Almost all participants reported that fatigue had consequences on ‘emotional functioning’; they mentioned frustration, irritability, negative mood and personality changes.

Well, I become gloomy whenever I’m really tired and I start overestimating my own responsibilities, even though they aren’t my own responsibilities at all sometimes. (Female, 54, diabetic retinopathy)

In addition, some participants mentioned that fatigue diminishes their emotion regulating capacities.

The fact is, however, that you have less reserves when you’re tired, reserves for coping with certain emotions at that moment. (Female, 44, retinitis pigmentosa)

Negative consequences of fatigue on ‘social roles and participation’ was reported by almost all participants as well; it limits social activities and work and causes patients to feel excluded from society.

Because of my fatigue I’m no longer able to participate in society. (Female, 38, Usher syndrome)

The majority of the participants described a major influence of fatigue on ‘cognitive functioning’, particularly on concentration, attention and memory.

I find it extremely difficult to really focus on what another is saying in a company, I just let it slide when I’m tired. (Female, 77, retinitis pigmentosa)

Half of the participants described the consequences of fatigue on ‘daily activities’, such as household chores, cooking and administration. Some participants mentioned ‘withdrawing from leisure activities’ as a consequence of fatigue. Only a few participants reported reduced vision when experiencing fatigue.

**Coping strategies with fatigue**

Almost all participants mentioned ‘relaxation’ as a coping strategy to reduce fatigue. A variation of relaxation activities were reported, such as napping, listening to music, taking short breaks or going to bed early.

I take a nap almost every day. Well napping, you just want to rest, to close your eyes for a while. (Male, 42, glaucoma)

The majority of the participants reached out for ‘external support’ of family and friends and professional advice from low vision rehabilitation centres.

I’ve been to the rehabilitation centre for a couple of years at some time in the past, and this certainly contributed to the process of accepting my fatigue. (Female, 58, Leber congenital amaurosis)

More than half of the participants described the positive effect of ‘socialising and physical exercise’. Even though these kind of activities require energy, participants prioritise the positive feeling of achievement over fatigue.

Some activities require tons of energy, sports for example, or going with mates to the pub or a birthday party. But seeing my friends and family is way more valuable than the feeling of being tired. The fact I have to take it easy for a couple of days afterwards is something you’re happy to give in to. (Male, 39, retinitis pigmentosa)

Some participants also mentioned gaining energy by being active and participating in social activities.

I like to be physically active. I just like to go for a walk or go cycling, I also really enjoy drinking a beer with my friends, it gives me energy. (Female, 38, Usher syndrome)

More than half of the participants indicated they had accepted their fatigue.

Fatigue is just there, and at some point there is a lot of acceptance. You also adjust your life accordingly to it, you could view fatigue as an enemy but you can also choose to deal with it. That would make it more difficult because fighting against it won’t help me anyway. (Female, 58, Leber congenital amaurosis)

Less than half of the participants also described ‘limiting visual perception’ as a successful coping strategy to deal with fatigue, by using visual aids (eg, filter glasses, braille display, text to speech conversion), performing activities with closed eyes and limiting exposure to light. The same amount of the participants tried to ‘create a balance in activities and relaxation’ to cope with fatigue.

**DISCUSSION**

In this qualitative study, the patient’s perspective of fatigue was explored in visually impaired adults regarding symptoms of fatigue, causes, consequences and coping strategies. Fatigue is described as a mental, but also as a physical daily experience, that has consequences for social roles and participation, relationships and emotional and cognitive functioning. The most frequently reported coping strategies for fatigue are relaxation, seeking external support, socialising, physical activities and acceptance.

Compared with the experience of fatigue described in studies with other patient populations, our results show specific causes of fatigue that are related to vision loss. The most often reported cause of fatigue in our sample was high cognitive load; a finding similar to fatigue in hearing loss but not reported before in other patient populations. Therefore, a high cognitive load
may be a specific cause of fatigue for sensory impairments. Compensation for vision loss may result in a high cognitive load due to the necessary adjustments required to maintain daily functioning. In these patients’ experiences, processes or activities that are automatic or habitual, now require preparation, mental planning and attention. Patients also describe that they process information in a different way: the loss of visual information is compensated by visual imagery, auditory perception and the constant process of memorising information. This suggests that adaptation to vision loss can be an indirect cause of fatigue because practical solutions such as text-to-speech devices are highly demanding for other sensory modalities. Patients and low vision professionals should be aware of this, because fatigue can be a side-effect of rehabilitation interventions to optimise participation. Thus, low vision rehabilitation professionals should make sure that new practical interventions do not exceed the available energy capacity of the patient. Enforcing boundaries and adjusting pacing strategies may be key factors in this process.

The effort required for visual perception was another population-specific cause of fatigue commonly mentioned by respondents in this study. Patients described visual demanding tasks such as reading or display work are tiresome in particular. Possible explanations for this might be that persons with low vision require more energy necessary for focus, strain and accommodation of the eye to establish visual perception. These findings suggest that practical interventions limiting visual perception would be likely to reduce symptoms of fatigue among individuals with low vision. This is in line with our results regarding coping strategies, where some participants described the beneficial effect of performing activities with closed eyes. In addition, our study produced new insights in the association between fatigue and light sensitivity; a study among patients with stroke briefly mentioned this aspect before. Ameliorating lighting conditions for people with low vision17 and qualitative studies in other patient populations, we found that fatigue has a negative impact on emotional functioning, the ability to carry out roles, societal participation, cognition and daily activities. It is also noteworthy that patients seemed quite capable of accurately describing their different coping strategies to deal with fatigue. Relaxation, external support, socialising, physical exercise and acceptance of fatigue were identified as important coping strategies. They seemed well educated and actively used rehabilitation services and their own resources to move forward in their coping process with fatigue. However, until now these coping strategies do not seem to be sufficient because fatigue still remains a problem for these patients. Future research is needed to evaluate the effect of fatigue interventions in the visually impaired population. For example, physical exercise therapy, such as aerobic walking or cycling, has been proven to be successful in reducing fatigue and improving well-being for patients with cancer,51 multiple sclerosis52 and chronic fatigue syndrome.53

Strengths and limitations
Some strengths of the study are the use of a well-validated instrument to assess fatigue severity, which indicated that almost all participants in our sample can be categorised as severely fatigued. Furthermore, our sample consisted of a heterogeneous group with a great variation in gender, work status, visual acuity, visual field and disease duration. Percentage agreement was 84% for the matching of 10% of the citations to the existing coding scheme independently by another researcher. There are some limitations that should be mentioned. First of all, the study consisted of a relatively small sample size. However, these sample sizes are common in qualitative studies, and information saturation was reached. Second, participants from the present study were not examined for biological causes that could explain fatigue, for example anaemia. Last, our sample was relatively young and had relatively rare eye conditions if it were compared with a general population of visually impaired people. This could influence generalisability of the results, especially to older patients who are often faced with age-related causes of vision loss such as macular degeneration. However, the various eye diseases which were the causes of vision loss in the current sample did
not seem to influence the experience of fatigue. In future research, the possible relation between various eye conditions and fatigue could be examined in more detail by quantitative methods.

CONCLUSION

Our study showed that fatigue was mainly caused by specific factors related to vision loss according to patients with low vision and fatigue. Evaluation of the literature on the fatigue experience in other chronic illnesses demonstrated similar symptoms, consequences and coping strategies but different perceived causes. The population-specific determinants of fatigue in our study were a high cognitive load, the effort necessary for visual perception, difficulty with light intensity and negative cognitions. Fatigue may be an indirect result of compensation for vision loss because even in light of practical adjustments that are often made in daily life, they often do not fully compensate vision loss and many activities may still require a high cognitive investment. In addition, fatigue may be a result of negative cognitions due to a maladaptive reaction to vision loss or depressed mood. These findings indicate that fatigue in visually impaired adults may not be a direct result of underlying disease mechanisms, but central to the way patients react and adapt to the consequences of vision loss. Future research is warranted because evidence-based interventions to deal with fatigue have not been evaluated for people with visual impairment, and patient’s coping strategies appear to be insufficient to effectively deal with fatigue. Low vision professionals and patients should be aware that necessary activities for optimising participation can also have a negative impact on fatigue.
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