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ABSTRACT

Introduction There is some evidence that non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), in particular celecoxib, might possess not only a symptomatic efficacy but also disease-modifying properties in ankylosing spondylitis (AS), retarding the progression of structural damage in the spine if taken continuously. In contrast, this remains controversial for tumour necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) inhibitors, despite their good clinical efficacy. The impact of a combined therapy (a TNF inhibitor plus an NSAID) on radiographic spinal progression in AS is unclear.

Methods and analysis The aim of this study is to evaluate the impact of treatment with an NSAID (celecoxib) when added to a TNF inhibitor (golimumab) compared with TNF inhibitor (golimumab) alone on progression of structural damage in the spine over 2 years in patients with AS. The study consists of a 6-week screening period, a 12-week period (phase I: run-in phase) of treatment with golimumab for all subjects followed by a 96-week controlled treatment period (phase II: core phase) with golimumab plus celecoxib versus golimumab alone, and a safety follow-up period of 4 weeks. At week 108, the primary study endpoint radiographic spinal progression (as assessed by the change in the modified Stoke Ankylosing Spondylitis Spine Score after 2 years) will be evaluated.

Ethics and dissemination The study will be performed according to the principles of good clinical practice and the German drug law. The written approval of the independent ethics committee and of the German federal authority have been obtained. On study completion, results are expected to be published in a peer-reviewed journal.

Strengths and limitations of this study

► This is the first prospective randomised controlled multicentre trial with the objective to investigate the effect of a combination of a tumour necrosis factor (TNF) inhibitor with a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory disease (NSAID) on radiographic spinal progression in ankylosing spondylitis.

► The primary outcome measure (radiographic spinal progression) will be evaluated by two independent readers blinded for the time-point and all clinical data including treatment allocation, and is therefore, not affected by the open-label study design.

► Patient population consists of patients at high risk of radiographic spinal progression.

► Study is conducted only in one country (Germany).

► The intervention is not masked/blinded.

► Highly selected patient population.

► Assumptions made for the sample size calculation are based on data obtained separately for TNF inhibitors and NSAIDs.

Trial registration number ClinicalTrials.gov register (NCT02758782) and European Union Clinical Trials Register (EudraCT No 2016-000615-33).

INTRODUCTION

Ankylosing spondylitis (AS) is a chronic inflammatory disease of unknown aetiology with primary involvement of the axial skeleton.
(sacroiliac joint (SIJ) and spine), starting in most of the cases in subjects under 45 years of age (mean age onset about 20 years), with a strong association with the major histocompatibility complex class I antigen HLA-B27, which is positive in 80%–90% of the patients. Patients with AS can develop peripheral arthritis and enthesitis, as well as extra-articular manifestations such as anterior uveitis, psoriasis and inflammatory bowel disease. The prevalence of AS is estimated to be between 0.1% and 1.4%. The disease is characterised by the presence of active inflammation in the SIJ and the spine, which manifests as pain and stiffness, and by excessive new bone formation (leading to the development of syndesmophytes and ankylosis in the same areas). This results in a significant functional impairment in up to 40% of the patients. Given the young age at disease onset in the majority of patients, impairment of the functional status in AS causing disability has a relevant socioeconomic impact. Reduction of clinical burden and prevention of disability can probably be best achieved by early and adequate treatment targeting both inflammation and new bone formation. According to the Assessment of SpondyloArthritis international Society (ASAS) and European League Against Rheumatism recommendations, the first-line therapy for patients with AS are non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), including selective cyclo-oxygenase-2 (COX-2) antagonists, along with education and continuous exercise/physiotherapy. Therapy with conventional disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs) such as sulfasalazine or methotrexate may have some beneficial effect in patients with peripheral joint involvement, but in general is not effective for the treatment of axial involvement. For those patients who have a poor response to NSAIDs, contraindications or intolerance for NSAIDs, the only effective treatment currently available is the therapy targeting both inflammation and new bone formation. It is crucial to clarify whether adding an NSAID (especially a COX-2 selective one) to TNF inhibitor treatment is able to stop or reduce radiographic progression, especially in patients at high risk (ie, with elevated CRP and/or with already present syndesmophytes). Recently, results of an observational study indicating inhibition of radiographic spinal progression with a combination of a TNF inhibitor with a high-dose NSAID were presented stressing a need for a prospective interventional trial. The aim of this prospective study is to evaluate the impact of treatment with an NSAID celecoxib added to a TNF inhibitor golimumab compared with golimumab alone on progression of structural damage in the spine over 2 years in patients with AS.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS
Study design
This study is a randomised, controlled, multicentre, open-label clinical trial. The study consists of a 6-week screening period, a 12-week period (phase I: run-in phase) of treatment with golimumab 50 mg subcutaneously every 4 weeks for all subjects followed by a 96-week controlled treatment period (phase II: core phase) with golimumab plus celecoxib versus golimumab alone, and a safety follow-up period of 4 weeks (figure 1). Only subjects with a good clinical response to golimumab in the phase I (improvement of the Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index (BASDAI) score by ≥2 absolute points on a 0–10 scale response at week 12) will be eligible for phase II and will be randomised based on a 1:1 ratio to receive golimumab 50 mg subcutaneously every 4 weeks plus celecoxib (in a daily dose of 400 mg/day) or golimumab 50 mg subcutaneously every 4 weeks alone for another 96 weeks. At week 108, the primary study endpoint (radiographic spinal progression defined as the change in the modified Stoke Ankylosing Spondylitis Spine Score (mSASSS) after 2 years) will be evaluated. MRI of the spine and sacroiliac joints will be performed at baseline (week 0), and at week 108 (or in case of early termination at week 84 or later) in a substudy of approximately 60 patients with no contraindication for this investigation.
Patients
Included patients will be 18 years and older with AS fulfilling the modified New York Criteria\textsuperscript{28} with an active disease (BASDAI ≥4), history of an inadequate response to at least two NSAIDs and at least one of the two following risk factors for radiographic spinal progression: elevated CRP or already present syndesmophyte(s) at screening and give written informed consent. Key inclusion and exclusion criteria are shown in table 1. Study participants will be recruited from 21 rheumatological centres throughout Germany between September 2016 and presumably February 2018. One hundred and ninety patients shall be assessed for eligibility (assuming a 10% screening failure rate), so that 170 patients with active AS despite treatment with NSAIDs alone will be included in the phase I of the trial and treated with golimumab. Only patients with good clinical response (BASDAI reduction by ≥2 absolute points (on a 0–10 scale) after 12 weeks of golimumab treatment will be enrolled in the phase II (core phase) of the trial. Based on the efficacy results from a phase III study with golimumab in AS,\textsuperscript{29} we assume that approximately 60% (n=100) of the patients included in phase I will continue in to phase II of the study. Thus, 100 patients (n=50 in each group) will enter phase II of the trial (intention-to-treat population (ITT) after 1:1 randomisation and will be analysed for the primary and secondary outcome parameters.

Outcome parameters
The study outcome parameters are summarised in table 2. The primary outcome parameter of the study is radiographic spinal progression measured by the change in the mSASSS after 2 years of treatment (primary endpoint). Secondary outcome parameters include new

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion criteria</th>
<th>Exclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age ≥18 years</td>
<td>Presence of total spinal ankylosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definite diagnosis of AS according to the modified New York criteria</td>
<td>History of primary non-response to previous anti-TNF therapy (if any)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active disease (defined as BASDAI≥4)</td>
<td>Contraindications for the treatment with golimumab and/or celecoxib</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of an inadequate response to a therapeutic trials of at least two NSAIDs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk factors for radiographic spinal progression (defined as elevated CRP or existing syndesmophytes) at screening</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{AS, ankylosing spondylitis; BASDAI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index; CRP, C reactive protein; NSAIDs, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; TNF, tumour necrosis factor.}
syndesmophyte formation or progression of existing syndesmophytes after 2 years of treatment, change of the bone and cartilage biomarkers serum levels change of the enteric microbiome profile, change of osteitis score and scores for the chronic postinflammatory changes in the spine and sacroiliac joints on MRI by Berlin MRI scoring method (in the MRI substudy only) and improvement of disease activity, function, axial mobility and quality of life. Safety outcome parameters include evaluation of adverse events (AEs), serious AE and AEs of interest: infections, malignancies, gastrointestinal events (ulceration, bleeding, perforation, gastric outlet obstruction), cardiovascular events (myocardial infarction, stroke, pulmonary artery embolism, peripheral arterial or venous thrombosis), renal impairment (creatinine >1.8 mg/dL or increase >0.5 mg/dL vs baseline) and substantial (more than fivefold) liver enzyme elevation.

**Data analysis plan**

The study consists of a 12-week run-in period, 96-week core period (randomised treatment) and 4-week follow-up period. The primary efficacy endpoint will be the absolute progression of the mSASSS score after 2 years of therapy in both treatment groups. The primary analysis will be based on the mean of the mSASSS scores obtained by two trained readers for the spinal X-rays performed at inclusion in the study and at week 108 in the ITT population. For the purposes of the efficacy and safety analysis, a database lock will occur, once all data up to week 112 has been collected and cleaned.

---

### Table 2 Main outcome parameters of the CONSUL study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Efficacy</th>
<th>Safety</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary endpoint</strong></td>
<td>AEs, serious AE and AE of interest until week 112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>► Radiographic spinal progression measured by the change in the mSASSS after 2 years of treatment.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Secondary endpoints</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>► New syndesmophyte formation or progression of existing syndesmophytes after 2 years of treatment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>► Improvement of disease activity, function, axial mobility and quality of life measures at week 12 and week 108 in comparison to baseline according to:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>► BASDAI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>► ASDAS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>► CRP and ESR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>► BASFI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>► BASMI and chest expansion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>► Global assessment (patient/physician), general pain and nocturnal pain on the NRS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>► ASAS Health Index</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>► PhASS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>► PASS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>► Percentage of subjects who achieve an ASAS20, ASAS40, ASAS partial remission, BASDAI50 responses and ASDAS inactive disease state in comparison to baseline</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>► Change of the bone and cartilage biomarkers serum levels at week 108 in comparison to baseline and their relevance for the prediction of radiographic progression</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>► Change of the enteric microbiome profile at week 108 in comparison to baseline</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>► Change of osteitis score and scores for the chronic postinflammatory changes in the spine and sacroiliac joints on MRI by Berlin MRI scoring method at week 12 and week 108 in comparison to baseline (MRI substudy only)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AEs, adverse events; ASDAS, Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score; ASAS, Assessment of SpondyloArthritis International Society; BASDAI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index; BASFI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index; BASMI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Metrology Index; CRP, C-reactive protein; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; mSASSS, modified Stoke Ankylosing Spondylitis Spine Score; NRS, numeric rating scale; PASS, Patient Acceptable Symptom State; PhASS, Physician Acceptable Symptom State.
Statistical analysis
The primary analysis will be based on all patients who entered phase II of the trial (ITT population). Multiple imputation methods with mSASSS score at baseline as covariate will be applied to deal with missing radiographs in the primary analysis. The Mann-Whitney test will be used to compare the primary outcome (change in the mSASSS score) between the treatment groups. Cumulative probability plots will be built to visualise the finding. In a secondary analysis, the Mann-Whitney test will be used to compare radiographic progression in the subgroup of patients with complete sets of radiographs. A likelihood approach will be applied to deal with missing data in secondary outcome parameters assessed at multiple time points. For that reason, linear mixed models will be applied to compare means of secondary outcome parameters over time. A non-responder imputation for missing response data and χ² tests will additionally be applied to compare response rates. Two-sided p values <0.05 will be considered to be statistically significant.

Sample size justification
The sample size calculation is based on the findings of Kroon et al.,13 our own results from GESPIC Cohort16 and those of Braun et al.21 We assume a worsening in the mSASSS score of 1.7±2.8 in patients of the control group and of 0.2±1.6 in patients with continuous NSAIDs intake. We considered such a difference of 1.5 mSASSS units as clinically relevant and planned the sample size of this study by means of a two-sided (α=0.05) Welch-Satterthwaite t-test accordingly. To detect the mentioned difference with an 80% power, the sample size of n=38 in each group is needed in the phase II of the trial. This is also true if the Mann-Whitney test is applied. However, the power will decrease by the application of multiple imputations to deal with missing radiographs of dropouts in the ITT population. Considering that all subjects enrolled in the phase II will be responders to golimumab therapy receiving this same treatment for the whole period of the trial, along with our experience in conducting randomised controlled trials, we presume dropout rates of less than 20% during phase II. For this reason and based on our own mSASSS data, we expect an increase in the variance of mSASSS progression because of multiple imputation by less than 25%. Considering this possible increase, a sample size of n=100 patients is needed for phase II of the trial to detect the expected and clinically relevant difference of an average 1.5 mSASSS points with an 80% power in the ITT population. In addition, we assumed that 60% of the subjects enrolled in phase I of the study would be eligible for randomisation and participation in phase II (based on the rate of the BASDAI50 response in patients with AS with elevated CRP), giving a total n=170 subjects to be included in the phase I of the trial.

Ethics and dissemination
The study will be performed according to the International Conference of Harmonisation Good Clinical Practice guidelines and the German drug law (Arzneimittelgesetz). The written approval of the central independent ethics committee (Ethics Committee of the Federal State Berlin), of the German federal authority (Paul-Ehrlich-Institut, Langen, Germany) and of the local ethics committees of the study centres have been obtained. On study completion, results are expected to be published in a peer-reviewed journal.
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