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ABSTRACT

Objectives: This systematic review aims to investigate the incidence and prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) in patients with HIV infection in African populations.

Setting: Only studies reporting data from Africa were included.

Participants: A systematic search was conducted using four databases for articles referring to HIV infection and antiretroviral therapy, and T2DM in Africa. Articles were excluded if they reported data on children, animals or type 1 diabetes exclusively.

Main outcome measures: Incidence of T2DM and prevalence of T2DM. Risk ratios were generated for pooled data using random effects models. Bias was assessed using an adapted Cochrane Collaboration bias assessment tool.

Results: Of 1056 references that were screened, only 20 were selected for inclusion. Seven reported the incidence of T2DM in patients with HIV infection, eight reported the prevalence of T2DM in HIV-infected versus uninfected individuals and five reported prevalence of T2DM in HIV-treated versus untreated patients. Incidence rates ranged from 4 to 59 per 1000 person years. Meta-analysis showed no significant differences between T2DM prevalence in HIV-infected individuals versus uninfected individuals (risk ratio (RR) =1.61, 95% CI 0.62 to 4.21, p=0.33), or between HIV-treated patients versus untreated patients (RR=1.38, 95% CI 0.66 to 2.87, p=0.39), and heterogeneity was high in both meta-analyses (I²=87% and 52%, respectively).

Conclusions: Meta-analysis showed no association between T2DM prevalence and HIV infection or antiretroviral therapy; however, these results are limited by the high heterogeneity of the included studies and moderate-to-high risk of bias, as well as, the small number of studies included. There is a need for well-designed prospective longitudinal studies with larger population sizes to better assess incidence and prevalence of T2DM in African patients with HIV. Furthermore, screening for T2DM using gold standard methods in this population is necessary.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This is the first systematic review of the literature examining associations between HIV infection and treatment with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) incidence and prevalence in Africa.
- The stringent inclusion criteria used is a strength of this systematic review.
- Differences in methods of T2DM diagnosis across studies is a limitation.
- Heterogeneity and moderate-to-high risk of bias across studies is a limitation.
- The small number of studies meeting the inclusion criteria is a limitation.

BACKGROUND

The introduction of combination antiretroviral therapies (cARTs) in the treatment of HIV infection has resulted in significant extension of the predicted lifespan of patients with HIV infection.1 Consequently, patients with HIV are potentially at a greater risk of developing non-communicable diseases than due to the ageing process alone; as the disease itself,2 and treatments used to combat HIV, are associated with metabolic complications.3

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is one such disease that is becoming increasingly common, specifically in Africa due to rapidly transitioning lifestyles. An estimated 12.1 million people were living with T2DM in Africa in 20104 and it is predicted that this will increase to 23.9 million by 2030. Besides associations with age, obesity, sex and race,5 recent studies have associated T2DM with HIV infection, and with cART.1 3 5 The mechanisms underlying these associations are not fully elucidated, but may reflect chronic systemic inflammation in response to HIV infection despite treatment.6 7 antiretroviral drug-induced mitochondrial dysfunction.
lipodystrophy and comorbidities. Conversely, some studies have shown a decreased incidence of T2DM in HIV-infected individuals compared with uninfected individuals. T2DM is associated with increased morbidity and mortality, an estimated 1.5 million deaths were attributed directly to T2DM in 2012, and the implications of HIV infection and treatment on the incidence of T2DM is therefore important to explore. The aim of this systematic review is to investigate the incidence of T2DM in patients with HIV infection in Africa, as well as, the prevalence of T2DM in patients with HIV infection treated with cART in comparison with non-infected and non-treated individuals.

METHODS
The systematic review focused on the associations between HIV infection, antiretroviral therapy and T2DM. This review was registered in the PROSPERO registry for systematic reviews (registration number 42016038689), and was conducted in accordance with the PRISMA guidelines.

Search strategy
The search for this systematic review was conducted in May 2016 and included terms in the determinants of HIV infection and antiretroviral therapy, the domain of Africa and the outcome of T2DM. Restrictions included age (>13 years), date of publication (after 1 January 2008 due to the presence of an existing review examining prevalence of T2DM in HIV conducted in 2008). The title and abstracts of articles in PubMed, Scopus, the Cochrane library and Embase were searched; and a sample of the Embase search strategy is available online as a supplementary file. Keywords used included: ‘HIV’, ‘diabetes’, ‘Africa’ and ‘antiretroviral therapy’.

Study selection
All observational studies (cohort, case–control and cross sectional) that assessed the relationship between HIV seropositivity with or without cART therapy, and T2DM in Africa were included. Animal studies, biomolecular studies, studies not written in English or French, case reports and secondary analyses were excluded. Studies reporting outcomes in children or pregnant women, or reporting type 1 diabetes outcomes only, or not reporting T2DM incidence or prevalence (but hyperglycaemia or impaired glucose tolerance for example) were also excluded. Studies that did not report prevalence of T2DM in HIV-infected participants compared with HIV-uninfected participants; or prevalence of T2DM between cART exposure compared with untreated patients with HIV; or incidence of T2DM in patients with HIV infection were excluded. Authors of individual studies defined the criteria for T2DM diagnoses, and variant criteria were included provided diagnosis was made using a recognised score for a fasted blood glucose, or an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT), or glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) values.

Screening and data extraction
Two independent reviewers (AP, RJM) independently screened all articles retrieved by the search strategy by title and abstract for eligibility according to inclusion and exclusion criteria. Any discrepancies between the
two reviewers were discussed and consensus was reached. The full text was accessed if necessary for further clarification. Full texts of eligible articles were then retrieved and divided among all reviewers. If no full text was available, one attempt was made to contact the author. Each full text was assessed for eligibility by one reviewer, and a second reviewer was available for consultation. Data extraction was then performed using a standardised data extraction form. One reviewer (AP) reassessed data extraction for all eligible full texts. Data of interest was study design, study setting and country, population, age, body mass index (BMI), number of patients included in each group, control population, cART treatment at the time of inclusion, duration of cART treatment, method of T2DM diagnosis, incidence of known risk factors for T2DM such as obesity, treatment provided for T2DM, incidence of T2DM in the control group and group with HIV and/or antiretroviral therapy, when applicable OR/risk ratio (RR) and follow-up duration. In cases of incomplete data, one attempt was made to contact the corresponding author by email and if no response was received the paper was excluded.

### Table 1: Overview of diagnostic criteria used in the included studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria used</th>
<th>Definitions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>Fasting plasma glucose $\geq 7.0$ mmol/L (126 mg/dL) or 2–h plasma glucose $\geq 11.1$ mmol/L (200 mg/dL).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADA</td>
<td>Fasting plasma glucose $\geq 126$ mg/dL (7.0 mmol/L) or 2-hour plasma glucose $\geq 200$ mg/dL (11.1 mmol/L) during OGTT (75 g) or A1C $\geq 6.5%$ (48 mmol/mol) or Random plasma glucose $\geq 200$ mg/dL (11.1 mmol/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCEP cut-offs</td>
<td>Fasting plasma glucose $\geq 5.6$ mmol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDF</td>
<td>FPG $\geq 100$ mg/dl (5.6 mmol/L)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A1C, glycated haemoglobin; ADA, American diabetes association; IDF, International Diabetes Federation; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; NCEP, National Cholesterol Education Programme; OGTT, oral glucose tolerance test.

### Data synthesis

Three separate analyses were performed for articles that examined incidence of T2DM; prevalence of T2DM in HIV-infected versus uninfected participants; and prevalence of T2DM in HIV-infected and treated versus untreated participants. Meta-analysis was conducted for articles with sufficiently homogenous outcome measures and study designs. The principle summary measure used was RR, and in cases of substantial heterogeneity ($I^2 > 50\%$), according to the Cochrane handbook, a binary random effects model (using the DerSimonian-Laird method) was applied. Analyses were conducted using OpenMetaAnalyst. A priori subgroup analyses based on geographical localisation, age, antiretroviral therapy (ART) medication/treatment strategy and duration, severity of HIV and method of T2DM diagnosis were not possible due to insignificant subcatgorisation of data and insufficient number of included studies.

### Risk of bias assessment

Studies were assessed for risk of bias using the Evidence Partner’s risk of bias tool for cohort studies as ‘low

![Figure 2](risk_of_bias_assessment_for_studies_included_in_the_analysis.png)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>ART</th>
<th>Follow-up mean/ median</th>
<th>Diagnosis of T2DM</th>
<th>Prevalence at baseline n (%)</th>
<th>Prevalence at follow-up n (%)</th>
<th>Cumulative incidence</th>
<th>Incidence proportion</th>
<th>Incidence rate (per 1000 person years)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abrahams, 2015</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>103 women</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Stavudine/lamivudine</td>
<td>5.5 years n=94</td>
<td>ADA criteria</td>
<td>1 (1.0)</td>
<td>7 (7.5)</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>5.83%</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George, 2009</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>42 black</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Stavudine/zidovudine</td>
<td>2 years n=42</td>
<td>NCEP cut-off</td>
<td>1 (2.4)</td>
<td>1 (2.5)</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.001%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karamchand, 2016</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>56 298</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>First line NNRTI regimen containing efavirenz or nevirapine</td>
<td>1.56 years n=56 298</td>
<td>Prescription of anti-diabetic medication</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1500 (2.66)</td>
<td>2.66%</td>
<td>2.66%</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magula, 2014</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>n=150</td>
<td>n=88</td>
<td>Initiated—tenofovir, lamivudine, efavirenz/ nevirapine</td>
<td>2 years n=150</td>
<td>WHO criteria</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>13 (8.66)</td>
<td>8.66%</td>
<td>8.66%</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ndon, 2012</td>
<td>DRC</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>n=49</td>
<td>n=53 HIV+</td>
<td>Stavudine + lamivudine, zidovudine + lamivudine, nevirapine, or efavirenz</td>
<td>4 years n=102</td>
<td>WHO criteria</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>5 (4.9)</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sagna, 2013</td>
<td>Burkino Faso</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Not stated (first-line therapy)</td>
<td>3 years n=128</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>3 (2.3)</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zannou, 2009</td>
<td>Benin</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>All started combination therapy. Lamivudine + stavudine + efavirenz</td>
<td>2 years n=61</td>
<td>WHO criteria</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>6 (7.6)</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ADA, American Diabetes Association; ART, antiretroviral therapy; BMI, body mass index; DRC, Democratic Republic of Congo; NCEP, National Cholesterol Education Programme; NNRTI, non-nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitors; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus.
risk’, ‘medium–low risk’, ‘medium–high risk’, ‘high risk’ or ‘not applicable’ for the categories of: similarity of intervention, adequacy of follow-up, assessment of outcome, assessment of prognostic factors, matching relevant variables between case and control, presence of outcome of interest at start of the study, assessment of exposure and selection of populations. This tool is available as an online supplementary file.

RESULTS

The search provided 1056 results. After screening, 20 articles met the eligibility criteria17–36 and were included in the analysis (figure 1). Of these, seven17–23 articles reported incidence of T2DM in HIV-infected participants, eight24–31 reported prevalence of T2DM in HIV-infected participants compared with uninfected controls and three32–34 reported prevalence of T2DM in HIV-infected participants on treatment compared with untreated controls. In included studies, T2DM was diagnosed if participants were being treated for T2DM, or by OGTT. As summarised in table 1, four main criteria were used: WHO, American Diabetes Association (ADA), International Diabetes Federation (IDF) and National Cholesterol Education Programme (NCEP) criteria.

Risk of bias

A summary of the risk of bias assessment is presented in figure 2. All included studies were observational, and 15 (71%) were case–control studies. In 5% of studies, there was a high risk of bias due to HIV treatment not being stated. In 25% of studies, there was a medium–high risk of bias due to confounding variables. Four (20%) of the studies had medium–low risk of bias due to T2DM diagnosis criteria. Three (14%) included studies were published conference proceedings.

Incidence of T2DM in HIV-infected participants

Seven studies reported T2DM incidence in HIV-infected participants (n=57 006; table 2). One of the included studies compared incidence in treated versus untreated participants,21 and another compared incidence in infected versus uninfected participants.20 The rest of the studies assessed incidence in HIV-infected and treated participants with no control group. Most participants were on cART, except for participants in the Sagna et al22 study who were on first-line therapy, which was not clearly specified. Mean age of participants ranged from 33.5 years17 to 38 years19–23 (age was not stated by Magula et al20). Mean BMI ranged from 19.2 kg/m223 to 27.9 kg/m217, and was not stated in one of the studies.20 Mean duration of follow-up ranged from 1.56 years19 to 5.5 years17, and the total number of participants followed up to completion was n=56 875. The majority of participants were women in all studies where sex was stated. Incidence of T2DM was reported as absolute incidence, cumulative incidence, incidence proportion and incidence rate per 1000 person years. Incidence rates ranged from 423 to 59, figure 3. The combined incidence rate for all the included studies over 89 640 person years of follow-up was 17.4.

Prevalence of T2DM in HIV-infected compared with uninfected participants

Table 3 shows the data for eight studies included in a meta-analysis comparing HIV-infected (n=1715) with uninfected participants (n=2853). The majority of included participants were women, except for the study conducted by Brand et al,23 who only included males, and by Becker et al,26 where the majority of participants were male. In four of the included studies, infected participants were not on treatment26–29,31 and in a further two,24,25 treatment was not stated. The remaining two
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Case HIV-</th>
<th>Control HIV</th>
<th>ART</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Prevalence case %</th>
<th>Prevalence control %</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amusa, 2015</td>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>200 adults</td>
<td>n=150, 62.6% women Mean age=40.6</td>
<td>n=50, 60% women Mean age=40.2</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>FPG, criteria not stated</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anastos, 2010</td>
<td>Rwanda</td>
<td>824 women</td>
<td>n=606 Mean age=42.4 Mean BMI=21.1</td>
<td>n=218 Mean age=34.7 Mean BMI=20.6</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>Self-report or WHO criteria</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Becker, 2010</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>60 adults</td>
<td>n=30, 33% women Mean age=43 Mean BMI=25</td>
<td>n=30, 40% women Mean age=54 Mean BMI=28</td>
<td>Not on treatment</td>
<td>Prescription of anti-diabetic medication or diagnosis on admission</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brand, 2014</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>20 black males requiring amputation</td>
<td>n=10 Mean age=47 Mean BMI=22.4</td>
<td>n=10 Mean age=62 Mean BMI=26.3</td>
<td>Not on treatment</td>
<td>WHO criteria or prescription of anti-diabetic medication</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edwards, 2015</td>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>2206 adults</td>
<td>n=210, 69% women Mean age=43</td>
<td>n=1996, 71% women Mean age=49</td>
<td>Not on treatment</td>
<td>First-line ART used tenofovir/ lamivudine/efavirenz; second line lopinavir/ritonavir instead of efavirenz</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourie, 2010</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>600 adults</td>
<td>n=300, 61% women Mean age=44 Mean BMI=22.9</td>
<td>n=300, 61% women Mean age=44 Mean BMI=22.8</td>
<td>Not on treatment</td>
<td>IDF criteria</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>43.7</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maganga, 2015</td>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>454 adults</td>
<td>n=301, 67.8% women Mean age=37 (untreated) and 40 (treated) Mean BMI=22.0 (untreated) and 23.7(treated)</td>
<td>n=153, 61.4% women Mean age=38 Mean BMI=23.8</td>
<td>n=151 not on treatment n=150 on treatment—21% on protease inhibitors (lopinavir and ritonavir); rest on other ART: nevirapine, efavirenz, tenofovir, stavudine, zidovudine</td>
<td>WHO criteria</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>0.04 (untreated vs control) and 0.001 (treated vs control)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ngatchou, 2013</td>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>204 adults</td>
<td>n=108, 74% women Mean age=39 Mean BMI=25.1</td>
<td>n=96, 72% women Mean age=41 Mean BMI=28.1</td>
<td>Not on treatment</td>
<td>WHO criteria</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ART, antiretroviral therapy; BMI, Body mass index; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; IDF, International Diabetes Federation.
studies examined participants on cART. Mean age ranged from 34.7 years to 62 years in uninfected participants and 37 years to 47 years in infected participants. Age was significantly different between the case and control groups in three studies. Mean BMI ranged from 20.6 kg/m² to 28.1 kg/m² in uninfected participants and from 21.1 kg/m² to 25.1 kg/m² in infected participants. BMI was significantly different between case and control groups in three studies. A meta-analysis using a random effects model (I²=84.79%) indicated no significant association between HIV infection and T2DM prevalence (RR=1.61, 95% CI 0.62 to 4.21, p=0.33), figure 4.

Prevalence of T2DM in HIV-infected treated compared with untreated participants

Table 4 shows the data for five studies included in the meta-analysis comparing HIV treated (n=1120) with untreated participants (n=828). The majority of included participants were women (ranged from 58% to 75% women), and mean age ranged from 32.7 years to 44.2 years (age was not stated for Manuthu et al). All treated participants were receiving cART (therapy not stated by Kagaruki et al). Where stated, age was higher in treated compared with untreated participants, yet significance was not stated for these age differences. Mean BMI was only reported in two studies, and was in the WHO healthy weight category (22 kg/m²) for both groups in one study, and in the WHO overweight category (26.5 kg/m²) for both groups in the second study. A meta-analysis using a random effects model (I²=53.25%) indicated no significant association between HIV treatment and T2DM prevalence (RR=1.38, 95% CI 0.66 to 2.87, p=0.39), figure 5.

DISCUSSION

This systematic review and meta-analysis of African studies showed no statistically significant association between HIV infection or cART exposure, and T2DM prevalence. This is in contrary to study findings of international studies in Europe and North America that have shown a higher prevalence of T2DM in HIV-infected compared with uninfected participants, particularly when treated with cART.

Incidence rates of T2DM in patients with HIV were described per 1000 person years of follow-up and ranged considerably among the included studies. Cumulative incidence rate for the included studies was 17.4. For comparison, the incidence rate of T2DM in a healthy American population in 2012 was lower at 7.8. Individually, three included papers reported lower incidence rates than the American population, and four reported higher incidence rates. There were no obvious differences between these studies in terms of age, sex and duration of follow-up, BMI or treatment; yet studies with larger sample sizes seemed to show higher incidence rates. A systematic review of T2DM in Sub-Saharan Africa found only one study reporting an incidence rate of 29 in healthy adults (> 40 years) in Kinshasa. In the present systematic review, only one included study on HIV-infected participants reported higher incidence rates than the healthy adults in Kinshasa. Therefore, from the limited data available and from the included studies in this systematic review, it does not seem that incidence is higher in populations infected with HIV in Africa than in a healthy ageing African population.

T2DM incidence and prevalence rates have been reported internationally in patients with HIV infection and treated patients. De Wit et al reported T2DM incidence rates of 6 (and an incidence rate of 4 for definite cases of T2DM) from the Data Collection on Adverse Events of Anti-HIV Drugs (D:A:D) study. They examined 33,389 patients with HIV infection from 212 clinics in Europe, USA, Argentina and Australia, and found that treatment with stavudine increased the RR of T2DM by 1.19 per year of exposure (conversely, treatment with ritonavir and nevirapine decreased risk of T2DM). Interestingly, controlling for lipodystrophy did not modify this relationship and a direct effect of treatment on mitochondrial toxicity was thus suggested. Baseline prevalence of T2DM in this study was 2.9%. Findings from the Multicentre AIDS Cohort Study (MACS) showed a T2DM incidence of 47 in HIV-infected white males who were on cART versus 17 in those who were cART naïve; however, this study used only a single

Figure 4 Meta-analysis of studies comparing T2DM in HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected participants. T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Case treated</th>
<th>Control untreated</th>
<th>ART</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Prevalence case %</th>
<th>Prevalence control %</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kagaruki, 2014</td>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>671 participants, 70.5% women Mean age=38.7</td>
<td>n=354, 67.8% women Mean age=40.6</td>
<td>n=317, 73.5% women Mean age=36.7</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>WHO criteria</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manuthu, 2008</td>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>295 participants, 58% women</td>
<td>n=134</td>
<td>n=161</td>
<td>82.7% on d4t-based regimen, 51.1% on d4T+3TC+ nevirapine 31.6% on d4T+3TC+ efavirenz. 17.3% on AZT-based regimens 13.5% on AZT +3TC+ efavirenz 3.8% on AZT+3TC+ nevirapine; one PI-based regimen was AZT+3TC+ lopinavir.</td>
<td>OGTT, criteria not stated</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohammed, 2015</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>393 adults, 66.9% women Mean age=37.9</td>
<td>n=284</td>
<td>n=109</td>
<td>32.1% used the drug combination zidovudine + lamivudine + nevirapine</td>
<td>WHO criteria</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nsagha, 2015</td>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>215 participants, 74.9% women Mean age 44.2 years</td>
<td>n=160, 77.5% women Mean age=44.7</td>
<td>n=55, 67.3% women Mean age=38.6</td>
<td>AZT+3TC+ efavirenx =1.3%, AZT +3TC+ nevirapine =50%, TDF+3TC+ efavirenz =7.5%, TDF+3TC+ nevirapine =13.1%, TDF+3TC+ lopinavir =8.1%</td>
<td>WHO criteria</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tesfaye, 2014</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>374 participants, 68% women Mean age=32.7</td>
<td>n=188, 63.8% women Mean age=32.7</td>
<td>n=186, 68.8% women Mean age=32.6</td>
<td>58% on regimen containing efavirenz and 42% on nevirapine as NNRTI</td>
<td>IDF criteria</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3TC, lamivudine; ART, antiretroviral therapy; AZT, zidovudine; BMI, body mass index; d4t, stavudine; IDF, International Diabetes Federation; NNRTI, non-nucleotide reverse transcriptase; OGTT, oral glucose tolerance test; PI, protease inhibitor; TDF, tenofovir.
increased fasting plasma glucose as their diagnostic criteria. Nigatu et al in 2013 conducted a systematic review looking at incidence of various comorbidities, including T2DM, with HIV infection, and found a combined T2DM incidence rate of 6 (with a range of 4.2–36) in a sample of 44,484 individuals. In the studies included in their systematic review, ART exposure increased incidence rates when compared with ART-naïve patients. Conversely, Tripathi et al found that 6816 patients with HIV infection (of which over 80% were treated with ART) had lower T2DM incidence rates than matched, non-infected individuals (11.4 vs 13.6). Similarly, Nix et al in 2014 stated that their summary of the literature found a similar decreased incidence of T2DM in HIV-infected individuals compared with controls. The present systematic review found a combined T2DM incidence rate of 17.4 in African patients with HIV infection who were cART treated, which is higher than incidence rates found in all of the above-mentioned studies except for the males in the MACS study. Therefore, although incidence does not seem to be higher in patients with HIV infection in Africa compared with a normal ageing population in Africa; T2DM incidence in HIV-infected people in Africa does appear to be higher than rates reported internationally for patients with HIV infection, and those reported for a healthy American population.

It is possible that the higher incidence of T2DM in African HIV-infected individuals compared with international incidence data for HIV-infected individuals could be explained by the high prevalence of risk factors for T2DM in African populations, regardless of HIV status. Although prevalence of T2DM in Africa is lower than other regions in the world, the IDF Diabetes Atlas (7th edition) states that more than two-thirds of people with diabetes in Africa are undiagnosed, and that prevalence rates are expected to more than double in the next few years. This predicted increase is the highest of all regions worldwide, indicating the greatest increase in incidence rates. Furthermore, the IDF states that lack of prevalence data in Africa makes these estimates somewhat weak, and it is thus possible that prevalence in Africa is in fact higher than reported. As many African populations are undergoing rapid transitions, the toxic combination of early life undernutrition in utero and infancy, combined with excessive weight gain in later life may be contributing to increased T2DM susceptibility. In fact, in studies included in this systematic review where mean BMI was reported, a substantial proportion of participants infected with HIV were overweight or obese. This presents a different picture to the undernourished HIV-infected individual previously associated with Africa, and may explain a higher incidence of T2DM as an effect of lifestyle rather than an HIV disease-related risk. Although this systematic review has not shown a higher prevalence of T2DM in HIV-infected individuals compared with uninfected individuals, it does support the importance of screening for T2DM in African populations infected with HIV where T2DM incidence appears to be high. Furthermore, these findings reinforce the importance of managing and screening for metabolic disease, such as T2DM as part of routine clinical care of patients infected with HIV in order to support continuity of care.

It is important to note that since none of the included studies were randomised, and there were too few studies for subgroup analyses, we cannot account for differences in disease course or lifestyle factors that confound exposure to cART or T2DM risk. Similarly, differences in cART exposure may be associated with regression or cure of illnesses in HIV, or with increased risk factors for T2DM. The mean age of included participants was generally <45 years, which may have influenced the cumulative incidence reported in this review, since age influences diabetic progression. There was also heterogeneity in the method of diagnosis of T2DM between studies, which could have confounded results. Although all of the diagnosis methods included in this systematic review were well recognised (see table 4), future T2DM screening programmes should strive to use gold standard diagnosis methods such as OGTT or HbA1c values. The findings of this systematic review are further limited by the high risk of bias of included studies, largely due to confounding factors and limited blinding. Furthermore, the small sample size of included studies as well as small number of studies available limit the conclusions that can be drawn. These limitations highlight the need for larger studies to be conducted examining T2DM incidence and prevalence in people with HIV in Africa, with focus on careful blinding and consideration of confounders.

**Figure 5** Meta-analysis of studies comparing T2DM in HIV-infected treated and untreated participants. T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus.
In conclusion, this meta-analysis shows no significant association between HIV infection or treatment and T2DM prevalence in African population studies. Furthermore, incidence of T2DM in Africa in patients with HIV infection on cART is no greater than in a normal ageing population, yet is higher than incidence rates in HIV-infected individuals outside of Africa. Larger case-control studies with effective blinding and consideration of confounders need to be conducted in Africa in order to further elucidate these associations in comparison with international findings. Currently, HIV infection and cART do not seem to predispose patients in Africa to T2DM; however, high incidence rates warrant focus on screening and preventative programmes for HIV-infected people living in Africa.
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