RCT of the effect of berryfruit polyphenolic cultivar extract in mild steroid-naive asthma: a cross-over, placebo-controlled study
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ABSTRACT

Objective: There is preclinical evidence that consumption of berryfruit extract may reduce chronic airways inflammation and modify airway remodelling in allergen-induced models of lung inflammation. We investigated the effect of berryfruit extract on the fractional expired nitric oxide (FeNO), a biomarker of eosinophilic airways inflammation, in adults with steroid-naive asthma.

Design: Randomised placebo-controlled cross-over double-blind trial.


Participants: 28 steroid-naive mild asthmatics with FeNO >40 ppb, of whom 25 completed both study interventions.

Interventions: Participants were randomised to receive, according to the cross-over design, 100 mg berryfruit polyphenolic extract (BFPE) or placebo for 4 weeks, with a 4-week washout period between the interventions.

Primary outcome measure: The primary outcome variable was FeNO at 4 weeks, analysed by a mixed linear model, with a random effect for participant and baseline FeNO as a covariate.

Results: The mean (SD) natural logarithm transformed (ln) FeNO after 4 weeks of treatment for the BFPE and placebo groups was 4.28 (0.47) and 4.22 (0.47), respectively. The paired change from baseline FeNO, as a covariate, adjustment, difference in ln FeNO, was −0.002 (95% CI −0.14 to 0.15), p=0.98. This is equivalent to a ratio of geometric mean FeNO of 1.0 (95% CI 0.86 to 1.15).

Conclusions: In steroid-naïve participants with mild asthma and elevated FeNO, there was no effect of BFPE on FeNO, a biomarker of eosinophilic airways inflammation. Caution is required in the extrapolation of apparent benefit in murine models of lung eosinophilia to clinical efficacy in patients with asthma.

Trial registration number: ANZCTR: 1261300451707; Results.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This was a cross-over, double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled trial.
- Participants were steroid-naïve patients with asthma with elevated fractional expired nitric oxide, maximising the likelihood of a response to the properties of berryfruit polyphenolic extract.
- The study participants’ asthma may not have been severe enough to detect clinically significant improvements in lung function or quality of life.

INTRODUCTION

The prevalence of asthma has markedly increased in many countries throughout the world over the past 50 years leading to asthma becoming one of the most common non-communicable diseases in children and adults. The reasons for these global trends are poorly understood. Furthermore, there are no primary prevention strategies that have undergone scrutiny in randomised controlled trials that have provided sufficient evidence to lead to widespread implementation in clinical practice. This has led to the consideration of novel strategies, emanating from epidemiological observations and animal experiments, from which proof-of-concept clinical trials can be undertaken in humans. In particular, dietary factors may contribute to the pathogenesis of asthma.

There are a number of non-experimental studies exploring the association between fruit consumption and respiratory symptoms and incidence of lung diseases such as asthma in non-experimental studies. Consumption of kiwifruit and citrus fruits is associated with a lower prevalence of asthma in children and fruit and vegetable intake.
The objective of this study was to assess the effect of a berryfruit polyphenolic extract (BFPE) in mild asthma. Our hypothesis in this study is that BFPE reduces fractional expired nitric oxide (FeNO), a biomarker of eosinophilic airway inflammation, which is elevated in patients with asthma and is highly sensitive to anti-inflammatory inhaled corticosteroid treatment. We also investigated possible effects on airways resistance, serum eosinophils and asthma control over the same time period.

**METHODS**

**Participants and methods**

This was a cross-over, double-blind, randomised, cross-over, placebo-controlled trial in 28 participants with mild asthma to compare FeNO between use of BFPE and a placebo. Eligible participants were aged between 18 and 75 years, with a doctor’s diagnosis of asthma, were steroid-naïve (no inhaled or oral corticosteroid in the past 90 days), and had an FeNO of >40 ppb. Participants were excluded if they were unable to provide informed consent, unable or unwilling to comply with study procedures (including not consuming confounding foodstuffs during study periods), pregnant, trying to conceive, or had a known hypersensitivity to berryfruits.

Participants were recruited from an existing database within the Medical Research Institute of New Zealand (MRINZ). Contact was made via telephone and participants were invited to attend the MRINZ for screening (visit 1).

The trial was approved by the New Zealand Health and Disability Ethics Committee (Wellington) and written informed consent was obtained from all the trial participants.

**Randomised treatments**

Participants were randomised to receive either encapsulated BFPE 1 000 mg daily or matching placebo capsules for 4 weeks, followed by a 4-week washout period, and then the other randomised treatment for 4 weeks. The BFPE and matching placebo tablets were supplied by Plant & Food Research.

**Randomisation**

The randomisation schedule was generated by the study statistician, independently of the investigators undertaking the visits, using a computer-generated random number sequence. To maintain blinding, bottles of tablets were placed in numbered opaque bags by non-clinical investigators. On randomisation, the bagged tablets were given to the participant by clinical investigators according to the randomisation schedule. Compliance counts were undertaken by non-clinical investigators.

**Study design**

The study comprised four visits (V1–V4) followed by a final phone call 1 week after completion of the second intervention (figure 1). At V1, participants were randomised in a ratio of 1:1 to the order in which they received 28 days of treatment with BFPE or placebo. There was a washout period between the two interventions of 28 days. This washout period was chosen as it is double the length of time for FeNO to return to baseline levels after cessation of inhaled corticosteroid treatment. Participants were requested to refrain from consuming berry-containing foods and drinks for the entire duration of the study.

During each 4-week intervention period, participants self-completed a questionnaire of their compliance in terms of consumption of foods and drinks which they had been asked to avoid, which were provided on a list (see online supplementary appendix 1). The amount, date and food type were documented and returned on subsequent visits (V2/V4). Investigational product and placebo compliance were captured via a capsule count performed at the end of each intervention period (V2/V4).
Measurements
At each visit, measurements were made of the FeNO, lung function and peripheral blood eosinophil count, and the asthma control questionnaire (ACQ) was administered. The FeNO concentration was measured as per the American Thoracic Society (ATS) guidelines via an online nitric oxide monitor (NIOX, Aerocrine AB, Solna, Sweden). Forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1), specific airways conductance and resistance (sRaw/sGaw, measures of large airway function) and maximum mid-expiratory flow 25–75 (MMEF25–75, a measure of small airway function) were measured by body plethysmograph (Masterlab 4.5 and 4.6 Erich-Jaeger, Wurzberg, Germany) according to ATS guidelines. Venepuncture was carried out to obtain a full blood count for measurement of peripheral blood eosinophil count. All the procedures were undertaken at baseline and repeated at each visit at time points of 4, 8 and 12 weeks.

Outcome variables
The primary outcome variable was the FeNO. Secondary outcomes included ACQ-5 score, FEV1, MMEF25–75, sRaw, sGaw and peripheral blood eosinophil count.

Statistical analysis
The primary analysis was a mixed linear model with the FeNO as a response variable, the treatments, BFPE and placebo, and baseline FeNO, before each cross-over treatment, as fixed effects. To account for the cross-over design, participants were treated as random effects. The FeNO was natural logarithm transformed (ln) for analysis purposes. Exponentiation of the difference in ln FeNO is interpreted as the ratio of geometric mean FeNO between two randomised groups.

SAS V.9.2 was used and the statistical model is available in the online supplementary material.

Sample size
The sample size calculation was based on a paired t-test because we could identify no publications that reported variance components for a mixed linear model that was planned for the primary analysis. A sample size of 24 had 80% power, α 5%, to detect a difference in ln FeNO of 0.227, equivalent to a ratio of geometric mean values of 1.25, based on the paired SD of 0.38 for the difference in ln FeNO at two visits in a group of steroid-naïve asthmatics taking a placebo in a study recently conducted by the MRINZ. This is slightly larger than the ATS-defined minimally important decrease of 20% in FeNO for individuals with an FeNO 50 ppb or greater. The recruitment target was 28 participants, allowing for a 15% withdrawal rate.

RESULTS
Between May and August 2013, 220 patients were approached for inclusion in the study. Following the exclusion of 97 (44%) who declined participation, 41 (19%) who were treated with steroids, 54 (25%) with comorbidities and other exclusion criteria, there were 28 (13%) participants who were randomised. There were three withdrawals, two due to worsening asthma (one during the placebo intervention and one during washout after BFPE treatment), and one because of renal calculi requiring surgical intervention (during the washout after BFPE treatment; figure 2).

The characteristics of the 28 randomised participants are shown in table 1. The participants had a mean age of 42, were predominantly male (61%) and had a mean baseline FeNO concentration of 76.9 ppb. The mean FEV1 was 100% predicted and mean ACQ-5 was 0.65.

Primary outcome variable
FeNO had a skewed distribution and the natural logarithm transformation was used in the analysis. The mean (SD) In FeNO after 4 weeks of treatment for the BFPE (N=28) and placebo (N=25) groups was 4.28 (0.47) and 4.22 (0.47), respectively (table 2, figure 3). The paired change from baseline mean (SD) In BFPE minus placebo (In) FeNO was −0.03 (0.39), N=25. The mixed linear model estimate, with baseline covariate adjustment, difference in In FeNO was −0.002 (95% CI −0.15 to 0.14), p=0.98. This is equivalent to a ratio of geometric mean FeNO of 1.0 (95% CI 0.86 to 1.15). The median values for FeNO at baseline and 4 weeks for BFPE were 68.0 and 73.5 ppb and for placebo 63.0 and 67.0 ppb.

Secondary outcome variables
The estimates and associated CIs for the treatment-associated differences, BFPE versus placebo, in the
secondary outcome variables: FEV₁, MMEF₂₅–₇₅, sRaw and sGaw after 4 weeks of treatment are shown in Table 3. MMEF₂₅–₇₅ was the only measure with a statistically significant difference and this favoured placebo. Asthma control remained stable throughout the intervention and washout periods with no significant difference in ACQ-5 between randomised treatment groups. There was no change from baseline in peripheral blood eosinophil count with 4 weeks of treatment of BFPE and no difference between BFPE and placebo treatments.

There was a high rate of recorded medication compliance with 23/28 (82%) participants >95% compliant with BFPE and 19/25 (75%) >95% compliant with the placebo. There was no significant difference in compliance between the two treatment groups, p=0.09. Breaches in specified non-allowed food and drink consumption throughout the study were deemed as minor, with 21/28 (75%) patients consuming small amounts of berry-containing foods during the period of the study. The highest amount was three blueberry muffins consumed over the duration of the entire study by one participant.

The most frequent adverse event was headache, which occurred in half of the participants. There were 20 reports of headaches, 6 occurring during BFPE consumption, 6 during placebo and 8 during the washout phase.

**DISCUSSION**

This randomised placebo-controlled cross-over trial did not find evidence for an effect of BFPE on FeNO, a measure of eosinophilic airways inflammation, in mild steroid-naïve asthma. As a result, the findings of chronic anti-inflammatory and remodelling effects of BFPE in animal in vivo trials were not confirmed in this human study in asthma.²⁷

There are a number of methodological issues that are relevant to the interpretation of the study findings. First,
FeNO was the primary outcome variable as it is a validated biomarker of eosinophilic airways inflammation mediated by the T helper 2 (Th2) pathway. FeNO was high in steroid-naïve patients with mild asthma, FeNO is correlated with bronchial hyper-responsiveness, a fundamental physiological measure of asthma severity. FeNO is highly sensitive to the effects of Inhaled corticosteroid (ICS) and therefore highly responsive to anti-inflammatory therapy. For this reason, we studied ICS-naïve participants who had not taken ICS or oral steroids in the previous 90 days. Participants were also required to have a raised FeNO at baseline, to ensure that active airways inflammation was present, and therefore potentially amenable to anti-inflammatory therapy.

Table 2  FeNO measurements (median (IQR)) before and after 4 weeks of treatment with BFPE and placebo

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>BFPE</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Difference in mean ln FeNO, BFPE minus placebo†</th>
<th>Ratio mean ln FeNO, BFPE vs placebo‡</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>68.0</td>
<td>73.5</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>67.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQR</td>
<td>50.5 to 96.5</td>
<td>49.5 to 112.0</td>
<td>52.0 to 98.0</td>
<td>53.0 to 94.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ln§ mean (SD)</td>
<td>4.27 (0.44)</td>
<td>4.28 (0.47)</td>
<td>4.24 (0.38)</td>
<td>4.22 (0.47)</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(−0.15 to 0.14)</td>
<td>(0.86 to 1.15)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FeNO had a skewed distribution and the natural logarithm transformation (ln) was used in the analysis of comparison between treatments.

* IQR.
† Mixed linear model with baseline covariate.
‡ Exponent of the difference in natural logarithms.
§ Ln: natural logarithm.
BFPE, berryfruit polyphenolic extract; FeNO, fraction of exhaled nitric oxide.

Figure 3  Logarithm FeNO values for each participant throughout both intervention arms. FeNO, fraction of exhaled nitric oxide.

Table 3  Secondary outcome measures (mean (SD)) before and after 4 weeks treatment with BFPE or placebo

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>BFPE</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Difference, BFPE minus placebo* (95% CI), p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 (L)</td>
<td>3.61 (1.06)</td>
<td>3.54 (0.98)</td>
<td>−0.07 (−0.20 to 0.06), p=0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMEF25–75</td>
<td>160.5 (63.0)</td>
<td>152.8 (60.6)</td>
<td>−11.8 (−22.6 to −1.0), p=0.034*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sRaw (kPa/s)</td>
<td>1.12 (0.41)</td>
<td>1.22 (0.38)</td>
<td>0.09 (−0.06 to 0.24), p=0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sGaw (kPa/s)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.30)</td>
<td>0.90 (0.25)</td>
<td>−0.07 (−0.18 to 0.03), p=0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACQ-5</td>
<td>0.74 (0.61)</td>
<td>0.77 (0.61)</td>
<td>0.06 (−0.37 to 0.25), p=0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophils (×10⁶ L)</td>
<td>0.31 (0.14)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.18)</td>
<td>0.01 (−0.05 to 0.08), p=0.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† N=27 as no blood sample was available.
* Mixed linear model with baseline covariate.
ACQ-5, asthma control questionnaire; BFPE, berryfruit polyphenolic extract; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; MMEF25–75, maximal mid expiratory flow; sGAW, specific airway conductance; sRAW, specific airway resistance.
treatment. FeNO measurements are also simple and non-invasive and, as shown in this study, are stable over long periods in mild asthma, providing a stable baseline.

However, the drawback of the inclusion of steroid-naïve participants with mild asthma is that it limited the ability to investigate the effects of BFPE on lung function and ACQ, as most of the participants had normal lung function and well-controlled asthma. The mean baseline FEV₁ was 100% predicted and the mean ACQ-5 score was 0.65, indicating that the study participants’ asthma may not have been severe enough to detect clinically significant improvements in lung function or quality of life. Our study does not rule out an effect of BFPE on lung function and asthma control in patients with moderate-to-severe asthma.

The study was placebo-controlled with the two treatments prepared in identical capsules. The 1000 mg dose of BFPE was based on animal data in which 10 mg/kg boysenberry extract was effective in reducing chronic inflammatory changes in the airways.²⁷ Treatments were continued for 4 weeks based on the animal data showing effects in a chronic model with 30 days of dosing.²⁷ The cross-over design allowed participants to serve as their own controls, minimising variability and increasing power to detect any changes in the primary outcome measure. There was also good integrity of the data set, as depicted by the closely matched baseline variables at the start of each intervention period, indicating good reproducibility. This study was designed partly as a mechanistic one addressing whether airways inflammation might be reduced by BFPE and the relevant variable for this is the FeNO. The CI for the change in ratio of geometric mean FeNO was well inside the prespecified clinical difference.³⁴ For the secondary outcome variables, the confidence limits for change in FEV₁ and ACQ were well within previous established clinically important differences, making type II errors unlikely. Clinically important changes in the remaining secondary outcome variables are not well established. There was some evidence of a slightly lower MMEF₂⁵–⁷⁵ after BFPE compared with the placebo; however, this result should be interpreted with caution as we did not control for multiple measurements and this could be the result of type 1 error.

To aid compliance and reduce introduction of other polyphenolic-containing foods and drinks, the study was performed outside the berryfruit season. This appeared to assist in maintaining a very low reported non-approved consumption of polyphenolic-containing foods and drinks.

The animal in vivo boysenberry feeding studies on which this trial was based conferred some benefit with reduction in airways inflammation and modulation of the Th2 pathway in chronic allergen-driven models of airways inflammation in mice.²⁷ There was evidence of decreased collagen deposition, suggesting an effect on airways remodelling. It has been proposed that these effects may be due to modulation of the functional phenotype of alternatively activated macrophages in the lung, and associated increased expression of profibrolytic matrix metalloproteinase-9 protein. Furthermore, in vitro studies of lung alveolar epithelial cells have shown that blackcurrant polyphenolic extracts suppress secretion of eotaxin 3, an important chemoattractant responsible for eosinophil recruitment into airways.³⁰ However, our data did not support the replication of these effects in human individuals with asthma.

This poor translation of positive outcomes from laboratory-based and animal models to human disease is not uncommon, particularly surrounding the extrapolation of apparent benefit in murine models of lung eosinophilia to clinical outcomes in patients with asthma.⁴²–⁴⁶

In conclusion, in steroid-naïve participants with mild asthma and elevated FeNO, there was no effect of BFPE on FeNO, a biomarker of eosinophilic airways inflammation.
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