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#### Abstract

Objectives. There is a shift in the smoking population from daily smokers to light or occasional smokers. The knowledge about possible adverse health effects of this new smoking pattern is limited. We investigated smoking habits with focus on occasional smoking in relation to total mortality in a follow up study of a Norwegian general population.

Setting. A population study in Tromsø, Norway

Methods. We collected smoking habits and relevant risk factors in 4020 women and 3033 men aged 30-89 years in the Tromsø Study in 2001. The subjects were followed up regarding total mortality through June 2015.

Results. Among the participants, there were $7 \%$ occasional smokers. Occasional smokers were younger, more educated and used alcohol more frequently than other participants. A total of 766 women and 882 men died during follow-up. After adjustment for confounders we found that occasional smoking significantly increased mortality by $38 \%$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : 8-76 \%) compared to never smokers. We report a dose response relationship in the hazards of smoking (daily, occasional, former and never smoking).

Conclusions. Occasional smoking is not a safe smoking alternative. There is a need for information to the general population and health workers about the health hazards of occasional smoking. More work should be done to motivate this often well-educated group to quit smoking completely.


## Strengths and limitations of this study

- A longitudinal study including 7053 men and women who participated in a screening (response rate $76 \%$ in men and $81 \%$ in women) in 2001 with complete mortality follow-up through June 2015.
- Information of a number of possible confounding variables were available.
- Information about smoking history was collected from several questions in two questionnaires at baseline and was self-reported with no objective measures of tobacco exposure.
- Occasional smokers is an unstable and heterogeneous group of former daily smokers trying to quit, persistent occasional smokers and former quitters who are occasional smokers for a period, and this instability is mirrored by somewhat inconsistent answers to the smoking history questions.
- No information is available regarding changes in smoking habits during follow-up.


## INTRODUCTION

Smoking is an important preventable risk factor for disease and premature death. There is a shift in the smoking population from daily, addicted tobacco users to light or occasional smokers without similar nicotine dependence. Smoking prevalence in Norway has been nearly halved during the last decade, $12 \%$ of Norwegian men and women aged 16-74 years were daily smokers in 2016 (1). Whilst daily smoking is declining, the prevalence of intermittent or occasional smoking in Norway has remained quite stable during the last decade, with $9 \%$ occasional smokers in 2016 compared to $11 \%$ in 2005. Occasional smoking is frequently found among young, educated people and women (2). In 2013, the Norwegian Directorate of Health launched a campaign to reach these segments of occasional smokers, focusing
particularly on the relationship between occasional smoking and acute myocardial infarction (3).

The literature so far is not large. A cohort study from Finland indicated that occasional smoking carried almost similar effect on death from any cause as daily smoking in men (4). A review from 2010 emphasized the need for more cohort studies explicitly comparing risk in daily smokers, occasional smokers and nonsmokers (1). In 2016 a large cohort study from the US among low-intensity smokers over lifetime, found that they had higher mortality risk than never smokers (5). More information about the adverse health effects of this new smoking pattern is needed both for the general population and for health professionals. In this population-based prospective study from Norway, we aimed to analyze smoking habits with focus on occasional smoking in relation total death risk in a 14-year follow-up.

## METHODS

## The Tromsø Study

The Tromsø Study is a population-based prospective multipurpose study (6). Seven surveys have been conducted, the first in 1974, the last in 2015-2016. To the fifth survey (Tromsø 5), conducted in 2001, 10353 persons were invited. These individuals were men and women who lived in the municipality of Tromsø and had participated in the second visit of the fourth survey in 1994-1995 (the majority were 62-81 years old in 2001) as well as all women and men aged $30,40,45,60$ or 75 years. A total of 3511 men and 4619 women aged 30-89 years attended; attendance rates of $75.7 \%$ and $80.8 \%$, respectively. The participants received a questionnaire along with the invitation and were asked to bring the completed form when they came to the physical examination including non-fasting blood samples. The questionnaire
included questions concerning, among other topics, smoking habits. People who attended the physical examination received a second questionnaire, which they were asked to complete and return in by mail. This questionnaire included a question on occasional smoking. The study design and data collection are described in some more detail elsewhere (6). An English translation of the questionnaires is available at the Tromsø Study web site (7)

The study adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and the Regional Committee for Medical and Health Research Ethics and the Norwegian Data Protection authority approved the study. All participants gave written informed consent.

## Questionnaires and measurements

We based information about history of smoking on several questions in two questionnaires. A total of 7999 men and women answered the question concerning daily smoking on the first questionnaire ("Do you/did you smoke daily?" with the answer alternatives "Yes, now", "Yes, previously" or "Never"). In addition, there were questions about duration of smoking and the number of cigarettes smoked on a daily basis (if ever smoker). More than $90 \%$ of ever smokers gave information concerning cigarettes per day and the duration of smoking (years), making computation of the number of pack-years possible.

Furthermore, 7116 subjects answered the question concerning smoking on the next questionnaire ("Do you smoke?" with the answer alternatives "Yes, daily", "Yes, sometimes" and "No, never"), thereby giving information about occasional, but not daily, smoking. There were, however, some inconsistencies, like subjects who reported to be current daily smokers on the second questionnaire, but previous or never smokers on the former. These 63 subjects
were excluded from the analyses. We therefore identified four groups of subjects, including a total of 4020 women and 3033 men ( $68 \%$ of the invited population).

1. Consistent daily smokers.
2. Occasional smokers: Subjects who stated to be an occasional smoker in the second questionnaire and gave information about smoking habits in the first questionnaire.
3. Former smokers: Subjects who stated to be a never smoker in the second questionnaire, but stated to be a former smoker in the first questionnaire, essentially identifying a group of subjects who had been smokers, but never smoked now, not even occasionally. These subjects may be at risk because of previous smoking.
4. Never smokers: Consistent never smokers.

The questionnaires also included a question regarding passive smoking ("Do you currently, or did you previously live together with a daily smoker after your 20th birthday?" with two answer alternatives, "Yes and "No"). Pack-years was computed as (number of cigarettes per day*duration of smoking)/20.

Frequency of use of alcohol last year was assessed by the question "Approximately how often have you during the last year consumed alcohol?" with 8 answer alternatives from "Never consumed alcohol" to "4-7 times a week". The highest attained level of education was selfreported and classified as follows: (1) primary/partly secondary education (up to 9 years of schooling); (2) upper secondary education (10-12 years of schooling); (3) tertiary education, short (college/university less than 4 years); (4) tertiary education, long (college/university 4 years or more).

Height and weight measurements were performed in light clothing and without footwear.
Waist circumference was measured without outerwear by using a measuring tape across the
belly button. The participant was taken into a separate room with only a nurse present to measure the blood pressure. The blood pressure was measured three times at one-minute intervals on one arm after the participant had been seated for two minutes using an automatic device (Dinamap Vital Signs Monitor 1846; Criticon, Inc, Tampa, FL). The mean of the two last measurements was used in the present analyses.

The blood test included measurement of serum total cholesterol, serum high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol and triglycerides. Analysis of serum total cholesterol and triglycerides was performed by enzymatic colorimetric methods with commercial kits (CHOD-PAP; Boehringer-Manheim, Mannheim, Germany). HDL cholesterol was measured after precipitation of lower density lipoproteins with manganese chloride. All the analyses were performed by the Department of Clinical Chemistry, University Hospital of North Norway in Tromsø.

## Follow-up and statistical analyses

The National Causes of Death Registry covers individuals registered as residents of Norway at the time of their death, without regard to whether the death took place in Norway or abroad. The subjects were followed up regarding total mortality from the day they attended the Tromsø 5 survey and to the date of death, emigration from Norway or June 30, 2015 whichever came first. There were 1648 deaths during follow-up. The mean (minimum, maximum) follow-up was 12.5 (0.2-14.3) years.

Baseline characteristics were presented as mean (standard deviations) or percentages (number of subjects). The simple descriptive statistical analyses included analyses of variance and Chisquare tests and the p -values were p for homogeneity. The relationships between smoking
habits and mortality were assessed by Cox proportional hazard regression analyses with attained age as the time factor, including $95 \%$ confidence intervals for the hazard ratios. Consistent never smokers constituted the reference category. Adjustments were performed for other significant predictors for mortality in this cohort after adjustment for sex and attained age, i.e., education, body mass index, total serum cholesterol and serum triglycerides.

## RESULTS

Mean age for both sexes ( 4020 women and 3033 men) was about 60 years. Among the participants, there were $33 \%$ never smokers, $34 \%$ former smokers, $7 \%$ occasional smokers and $26 \%$ consistent daily smokers (Table 1). In both sexes, occasional smokers tended to be younger than other smokers. A higher proportion of occasional smokers had high education levels and weekly use of alcohol. Passive smoking was most common in current smokers. Occasional and daily smokers had lower BMI compared with never and former smokers.

| $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & 10 \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | Neversmokers | Former smokers | Occasional smokers | Consistent daily smokers | p-value ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1{ }^{1}$ Women N (\%) | 1648 (41.0) | 1061 (26.4) | 257 (6.4) | 1054 (26.4) |  |
| 13 No. of pack-years | 0 | 9.2 (9.1) | 6.1 (7.4) | 17.4 (11.0) |  |
| 14 Age (years) | 61.8 (13.5) | 60.2 (13.3) | 53.3 (15.3) | 56.1 (13.4) | < 0.001 |
| 1 Education > 12 years (\%) | 29.4 (461) | 26.9 (277) | 39.4 (98) | 23.1 (235) | $<0.001$ |
| 16\% exposed for passive smoking | 58.3 (945) | 77.8 (807) | 75.2 (191) | 86.1 (880) | < 0.001 |
| $17 \%$ with weekly alcohol use | 20.9 (330) | 28.3 (291) | 31.7 (79) | 27.8 (288) | < 0.001 |
| 1\%MI ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | 26.9 (4.6) | 27.4 (4.6) | 25.5 (4.4) | 24.9 (4.2) | < 0.001 |
| $19^{\text {Waist circumference ( }}$ (cm) | 85.0 (11.7) | 86.7 (12.3) | 82.1 (11.1) | 81.3 (11.0) | < 0.001 |
| 2\$ystolic blood pressure ( mmHg ) | 141.4 (23.4) | 138.1 (22.8) | 130.4 (23.1) | 132.7 (22.6) | $<0.001$ |
| 2Total cholesterol (mmol/l) | 6.3 (1.2) | 6.3 (1.2) | 6.0 (1.3) | 6.3 (1.2) | 0.003 |
| 24 IDL cholesterol ( $\mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$ ) | 1.6 (0.4) | 1.6 (0.4) | 1.6 (0.4) | 1.5 (0.4) | < 0.001 |
| 23 riglycerides ( $\mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$ ) | 1.4 (0.8) | 1.4 (0.7) | 1.3 (0.7) | 1.5 (0.9) | 0.006 |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2¢Men N (\%) | 698 (23.1) | 1302 (42.9) | 252 (8.3) | 781 (25.8) |  |
| 2 No. of pack-years | 0 | 17.5 (16.0) | 10.8 (16.0) | 22.6 (13.2) |  |
| 28Age (years) | 55.9 (14.6) | 65.0 (11.5) | 55.5 (14.6) | 58.2 (13.4) | < 0.001 |
| 2TEducation > 12 years (\%) | 40.9 (278) | 22.3 (280) | 41.9 (104) | 23.4 (176) | < 0.001 |
| 30\% exposed for passive smoking | 35.8 (247) | 76.1 (969) | 69.0 (171) | 84.4 (637) | $<0.001$ |
| 36 with weekly alcohol use | 34.8 (240) | 35.8 (458) | 47.6 (118) | 40.0 (309) | $<0.001$ |
| $33 \mathrm{BMI}\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | 26.8 (3.5) | 27.2 (3.6) | 26.7 (3.6) | 26.0 (3.5) | < 0.001 |
| 33 Waist circumference (cm) | 94.1 (9.4) | 97.0 (10.6) | 94.5 (10.5) | 93.6 (10.2) | < 0.001 |
| 345 ystolic blood pressure ( mmHg ) | 138.7 (20.1) | 143.7 (20.6) | 135.2 (16.5) | 137.1 (19.1) | < 0.001 |
| 35 Total cholesterol ( $\mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$ ) | 6.0 (1.1) | 6.0 (1.1) | 6.0 (1.1) | 6.1 (1.1) | 0.36 |
| $3 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{IDL}}$ cholesterol ( $\mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$ ) | 1.3 (0.3) | 1.4 (0.4) | 1.3 (0.4) | 1.3 (0.3) | 0.006 |
| 37 Triglycerides ( $\mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$ ) | 1.7 (1.0) | 1.7 (1.0) | 1.6 (0.8) | 1.7 (1.1) | 0.56 |

Table 2 confirms that both consistent daily smoking and occasional smoking were associated with increased all-cause mortality. A total of 766 women and 882 men died during follow-up. Although the age-adjusted hazard ratio associated with occasional smoking compared to never smokers was somewhat higher in women $(\mathrm{HR}=1.59(95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 1.15-2.20)$ than in men $(\mathrm{HR}=1.23(95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 0.88-1.73)$, the overall relationships between smoking habits and total
mortality were not statistically significantly different $(p$-value for interaction $=0.07)$ and the p -values for the difference in the relationships with occasional smoking was higher ( $\mathrm{p}=0.3$ ) (data not shown). Due to the relatively low number of deaths among the individuals who smoked occasionally, we merged results for men and women and adjusted for gender. Adjustments for other significant predictors for mortality in this cohort (education, body mass index, total serum cholesterol and serum triglycerides) changed the point estimates only marginally and the conclusions were unaffected (Table 2). This was also the case when further adjustments for the frequency of use of alcohol and passive smoking were undertaken.

Detailed information about smoking history (the number of pack-years) was missing for 543 ever smokers. In separate analyses, we restricted the analytical cohort to 4164 ever smokers (current daily smokers, occasional smokers, and previous smokers) with complete information about the number of pack-years. There were 1013 deaths. The age- and gender adjusted mortality in occasional smokers was not higher than in former smokers $(\mathrm{HR}=1.12,95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : 0.87-1.43), and adjusting for the number of pack-years in addition to age and gender confirmed this. If anything, the relationship with occasional smoking was somewhat stronger, but still not statistically significant.

In a separate set of analyses, we restricted the analyses to subjects aged 79 or below at followup, thus disregarding information from follow-up after the age of 80. A total of 6886 men and women and 754 deaths were included in the analyses. The results with regard to mortality in occasional smokers were essentially unchanged. Similarly as for the analyses including all subjects, we also in this situation restricted the analytical cohort to 4094 ever smokers with 526 deaths and adjusted for the number of pack-years. The results were as for all subjects, also including follow-up after the age of 79 .

Table 2. Relationships between smoking habits and total mortality. A 14 years follow-up. The Tromsø Study.

|  | Subjects <br> $\mathbf{N}(\%)$ | Deaths <br> $\mathbf{N ( \% )}$ | $\mathbf{H R}^{1}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ CI | $\mathbf{H R}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ CI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Consistent daily smokers | $1835(26.0)$ | $468(28.4)$ | 2.13 | $1.86,2.43$ | 2.05 | $1.78,2.37$ |
| Occasional smokers | $509(7.2)$ | $88(5.3)$ | 1.32 | $1.05,1.66$ | 1.38 | $1.08,1.76$ |
| Former smokers | $2363(33.5)$ | $633(38.4)$ | 1.14 | $1.00,1.30$ | 1.18 | $1.03,1.35$ |
| Never-smokers | $2346(33.3)$ | $459(27.9)$ | 1.00 | Reference | 1.00 | Reference |
| Total | $7053(100)$ | $1648(100)$ |  |  |  |  |

[^0]
## DISCUSSION

The present prospective cohort study shows that occasional smoking significantly increased mortality by more than 30 \% compared to never smokers. Results were not substantially changed when analyses were restricted to those aged below 80 years at follow-up. We found that the $7 \%$ occasional smokers constituted the youngest group of individuals, they used alcohol more frequently and they had higher educational level compared to the other study attendees.

Our results are in line with findings from other surveys of occasional smokers; they are younger than daily smokers and their level of education is more similar to non-smokers $(3,8)$. A Finnish prospective cohort study studied occasional smoking habits at baseline as risk factor for total mortality (4). Their finding was about similar to ours for men, while female occasional smokers did not have an increased mortality risk. However, there was no significant difference in the association between occasional smoking and total mortality
between the sexes, similar to our findings. A recent large cohort study from the US included self-reports of lifetime smoking history, and showed that persons who smoked fewer than 1 or 1 to 10 cigarettes per day over their lifetime had higher all-cause mortality risks than never smokers (5). A previous large population based Norwegian cohort study found that even very light smoking (1-4 cigarettes per day) was associated with a significantly 50-60 \% increased all-cause mortality (9) while a British study demonstrated a significant hazard ratio of 1.21 for light smoking compared to never smoking (10). A study from the US experienced a more than two times higher mortality in very light smoking females (11). Light smoking may be comparable to occasional smoking when it comes to risk of all-cause mortality in our study. Differences in risk compared to the present study may be due to different study populations and length of follow up as well as various abilities to control for confounders. We have previously shown that light smoking as well as passive smoking carried higher hazards for myocardial infarction in women (12). Recently, a British cohort study with long follow-up found that light smoking at baseline carried a higher mortality risk in women than in men (10). Our results may give some support to this finding, but we did not find a statistically significant interaction and therefore merged the data for the two genders.

Norway has a strong record on national tobacco control policies since the 1970ies. The trend of occasional smoking might be influenced by the ongoing marginalization of smoking and increasing restrictions. Studies have shown that a large proportion of occasional smokers do not regard themselves as smokers (8). There is common belief, based in part on successful tobacco industry marketing to so-called "health-conscious smoking", that occasional smoking is safer than daily smoking (13). A Norwegian Directorate of Health survey in 2013 conducted before a campaign to reach occasional smokers, confirmed this. One third of the occasional smokers did not believe their smoking would cause any harm to their health (3). We do not have data to confirm these conceptions, but the relatively high education level of
occasional smokers in the present study suggests that they are well aware of the hazards of daily smoking, as well educated people are, but may consider occasional smoking far less detrimental or maybe without any health risks. Moreover, it is shown that occasional smokers are not free of nicotine dependence and that their smoking appears to be driven to some degree by the same cigarette craving that affects daily smokers, explaining why many occasional smokers have difficulty quitting (14). This is important knowledge for health professionals working with smoking cessation in occasional smokers.

In 2006-2010, approximately $10 \%$ of Norwegians aged 16-74 years were occasional smokers (1). Our slightly lower prevalence may be because no subjects in our study were below 30 years, and occasional smoking is known to be more frequent among younger individuals. The use of snuff (snus) has been increasingly popular in Norway, particularly among adolescents and young adults (1). Approximately $3 \%$ of the subjects included in our study reported ever use of snuff, and it was not associated with increased mortality.

Population studies have risk of selection bias because those who accept the invitation to participate in the study may not be representative for the whole target population. Nonresponse is often linked to exposure status, which implies that for example individuals with health issues, smokers and others with unhealthy lifestyle may be less likely to attend the survey compared to non-smokers. We have previously reported lower mortality in participants to the Tromsø Study according to attendance (6). This bias would influence our findings only if the association between smoking habits and total mortality is different in the $68 \%$ of the invited population who were included in the analyses than in the remaining $32 \%$.

The participants in the Tromsø Study reported smoking habits on a self-administered questionnaire that may imply information bias. We have no objective measures of tobacco exposure like cotinine or thiocyanate. A previous Norwegian study showed that the relation
between self-reported smoking habits and the measure of serum thiocyanate was strong if the question was asked in a neutral setting (15). As the questions about smoking were asked in a neutral setting, we believe that the validity was good, although we recognize that the smoking habits probably are underreported.

A problem in studies of the health risks related to occasional smoking is that occasional smoking is a rather unstable category consisting of a heterogeneous group of former daily smokers trying to quit, persistent occasional smokers who might regard their risk as little and former quitters who have resumed as occasional smokers for a period. This instability is mirrored by the inconsistent answers to the smoking history questions we used for categorization in our study. Only registration of long-term smoking habits can answer this question.

It is a limitation that we have not been able to include information regarding changes in smoking habits during follow-up. As the smoking prevalence in our community has declined (16), we assume that some subjects classified as occasional smokers may be classified as former smokers in part of the follow-up. On the other hand, some occasional smokers were probably previously daily smokers. Thus, our estimate of the total mortality associated with occasional smoking is probably underestimated.

Our study had the strength of a large cohort with a prospective design, high participation rate and a quite long and complete follow-up. Moreover, we were able to adjust for baseline levels of other significant risk factors for total mortality in this population. The results are probably valid for other European populations, but similar cohort studies should be conducted in other populations in order to determine the exact adverse effects of occasional smoking

In conclusion, in line with few others, this study demonstrates that occasional smoking is not a safe alternative; it increases mortality. We report a dose response relationship in the hazards of smoking (daily, occasional, former and never smoking). Governmental and nongovernmental tobacco control policymakers should intensify the information about the health hazards of occasional smoking as well as work towards increased restrictions. Occasional smokers make up about one fifth of all current smokers in the Norwegian population, and more work should be done to motivate this usually well-educated group to quit smoking completely.
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| Participants | 6 | (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up: Page 4-5 Eligiblity and participants. Page 7-8 Follow up. |
|  |  | (b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed: Not applicable |
| Variables | 7 | Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable. Page 5-7 Questionnaires and measurements, and 7-8 Follow-up. |
| Data sources/ measurement | 8* | For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group. Page 5-7 Questionnaires and measurements. |
| Bias | 9 | Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias. Page 5-6 how smoking groups were made |
| Study size | 10 | Explain how the study size was arrived at: Page 4-6 |
| Quantitative variables | 11 | Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why. Groupings are explained page 5-6, other variables page 6-7 |
| Statistical methods | 12 | (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding: page 7-8 |
|  |  | (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions: Page 10 |
|  |  | (c) Explain how missing data were addressed: Page 10 |
|  |  | (d) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed. Not applicable |
|  |  | (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses. Not applicable |
| Results |  |  |
| Participants | 13* | (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study-eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed: Methods page 4, 5, and Results page 7-10 |
|  |  | (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage. This is done |
|  |  | (c) Consider use of a flow diagram. Not done |
| Descriptive data | 14* | (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders. Methods page 4-5 and Results |

(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest.

Methods and Results
(c) Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount): Page 7 Follow-up

| Outcome data | 15* | Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time: Page 9 last paragraph |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Main results | 16 | (a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, $95 \%$ confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included. Page 9-10 |
|  |  | (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized. Not applicable |
|  |  | (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period. Not applicable |
| Other analyses | 17 | Report other analyses done-eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses: Page 9-10 |
| Discussion |  |  |
| Key results | 18 | Summarise key results with reference to study objectives: Page 11 first paragraph in Discussion |
| Limitations | 19 | Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias: Page 13-14 |
| Interpretation | 20 | Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence: <br> Page 15 |
| Generalisability | 21 | Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results: Page 15 |
| Other informat |  |  |
| Funding | 22 | Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based: Page 15 |

*Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at http://www.strobe-statement.org.
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#### Abstract

Objectives. There is a shift in the smoking population from daily smokers to light or occasional smokers. The knowledge about possible adverse health effects of this new smoking pattern is limited. We investigated smoking habits with focus on occasional smoking in relation to total mortality in a follow up study of a Norwegian general population.


Setting. A population study in Tromsø, Norway

Methods. We collected smoking habits and relevant risk factors in 4020 women and 3033 men aged 30-89 years in the Tromsø Study in 2001. The subjects were followed up regarding total mortality through June 2015.

Results. Among the participants, there were $7 \%$ occasional smokers. Occasional smokers were younger, more educated and used alcohol more frequently than other participants. A total of 766 women and 882 men died during follow-up. After adjustment for confounders we found that occasional smoking significantly increased mortality by 38 \% ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 8-76 \%$ ) compared to never smokers. We report a dose response relationship in the hazards of smoking (daily, occasional, former and never smoking).

Conclusions. Occasional smoking is not a safe smoking alternative. There is a need for information to the general public and health workers about the health hazards of occasional smoking. More work should be done to motivate this often well-educated group to quit smoking completely.

## Strengths and limitations of this study

- A longitudinal study including 7053 men and women who participated in a screening and gave information about smoking habits in 2001 ( $65 \%$ and $70 \%$ of the invited men and women, respectively) with complete mortality follow-up through June 2015.
- Information of a number of possible confounding variables was available.
- Information about smoking history was collected from several questions in two questionnaires at baseline and was self-reported with no objective measures of tobacco exposure.
- Occasional smokers is an unstable and heterogeneous group of former daily smokers trying to quit, persistent occasional smokers and former quitters who are occasional smokers for a period, and this instability is mirrored by somewhat inconsistent answers to the smoking history questions.
- No information is available regarding changes in smoking habits during follow-up.


## INTRODUCTION

Smoking is an important preventable risk factor for disease and premature death. There is a shift in the smoking population from daily, addicted tobacco users to light or occasional smokers without similar nicotine dependence. Smoking prevalence in Norway has been nearly halved during the last decade, $12 \%$ of Norwegian men and women aged 16-74 years were daily smokers in 2016 (1). Whilst daily smoking is declining, the prevalence of intermittent or occasional smoking in Norway has remained quite stable during the last decade, with $9 \%$ occasional smokers in 2016 compared to $11 \%$ in 2005 . Occasional smoking is frequently found among young, educated people and women (2). In 2013, the Norwegian Directorate of Health launched a campaign to reach these segments of occasional smokers, focusing
particularly on the relationship between occasional smoking and acute myocardial infarction (3).

The literature so far is not large. A cohort study from Finland indicated that occasional smoking carried almost similar effect on death from any cause as daily smoking in men (4). A review from 2010 emphasized the need for more cohort studies explicitly comparing risk in daily smokers, occasional smokers and nonsmokers (1). In 2016, a large cohort study from the US among low-intensity smokers over lifetime, found that they had higher mortality risk than never smokers (5). More information about the adverse health effects of this new smoking pattern is needed both for the general population and for health professionals. In this population-based prospective study from Norway, we aimed to analyze smoking habits with focus on occasional smoking in relation total death risk in a 14-year follow-up.

## METHODS

## The Tromso Study

The Tromsø Study is a population-based prospective multipurpose study (6). Seven surveys have been conducted, the first in 1974, the last in 2015-2016. To the fifth survey (Tromsø 5), conducted in 2001, 10353 persons were invited. These individuals were men and women who lived in the municipality of Tromsø and had participated in the second visit of the fourth survey in 1994-1995 (the majority were 62-81 years old in 2001) as well as all women and men aged $30,40,45,60$ or 75 years. A total of 3511 men and 4619 women aged $30-89$ years attended; attendance rates of $75.7 \%$ and $80.8 \%$, respectively. The participants received a questionnaire along with the invitation and were asked to bring the completed form when they came to the physical examination including also non-fasting blood samples. The questionnaire
included questions concerning, among other topics, smoking habits. People who attended the physical examination received a second questionnaire, which they were asked to complete and return in by mail. This questionnaire included a question on occasional smoking. The study design and data collection are described in some more detail elsewhere (6). An English translation of the questionnaires is available at the Tromsø Study web site (7)

The study adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and the Regional Committee for Medical and Health Research Ethics and the Norwegian Data Protection authority approved the study. All participants gave written informed consent.

## Questionnaires and measurements

We based information about history of smoking on several questions in two questionnaires. A total of 7999 men and women answered the question concerning daily smoking on the first questionnaire ("Do you/did you smoke daily?" with the answer alternatives "Yes, now", "Yes, previously" or "Never"). In addition, there were questions about duration of smoking and the number of cigarettes smoked on a daily basis (if ever smoker). More than $90 \%$ of ever smokers gave information concerning cigarettes per day and the duration of smoking (years), making computation of the number of pack-years possible.

Furthermore, 7116 subjects answered the question concerning smoking on the next questionnaire ("Do you smoke?" with the answer alternatives "Yes, daily", "Yes, sometimes" and "No, never"), thereby giving information about occasional, but not daily, smoking. There were, however, some inconsistencies, like subjects who reported to be a current daily smoker on the second questionnaire, but a previous or never smoker on the former or a never smoker on the second questionnaire and current smoker on the first. These subjects were excluded
from the analyses. We therefore identified four groups of subjects, including a total of 4020 women and 3033 men ( $68 \%$ of the invited population).

1. Consistent daily smokers.
2. Occasional smokers: Subjects who stated to be an occasional smoker in the second questionnaire and gave information about smoking habits in the first questionnaire.
3. Former smokers: Subjects who stated to be a never smoker in the second questionnaire, but stated to be a former smoker in the first questionnaire, essentially identifying a group of subjects who had been smokers, but never smoked now, not even occasionally. These subjects may be at risk because of previous smoking.
4. Never smokers: Consistent never smokers.

The questionnaires also included a question regarding passive smoking ("Do you currently, or did you previously live together with a daily smoker after your 20th birthday?" with two answer alternatives, "Yes and "No"). Pack-years was computed as (number of cigarettes per day*duration of smoking)/20. An English translation of the questionnaires is available at the Tromsø Study web site (7) and as supplementary files 1 and 2.

Frequency of use of alcohol last year was assessed by the question "Approximately how often have you during the last year consumed alcohol?" with 8 answer alternatives from "Never consumed alcohol" to "4-7 times a week". The highest attained level of education was selfreported and classified as follows: (1) primary/partly secondary education (up to 9 years of schooling); (2) upper secondary education (10-12 years of schooling); (3) tertiary education, short (college/university less than 4 years); (4) tertiary education, long (college/university 4 years or more).

Height and weight measurements were performed in light clothing and without footwear. Waist circumference was measured without outerwear by using a measuring tape across the belly button. The participant was taken into a separate room with only a nurse present to measure the blood pressure. The blood pressure was measured three times at one-minute intervals on one arm after the participant had been seated for two minutes using an automatic device (Dinamap Vital Signs Monitor 1846; Criticon, Inc, Tampa, FL). The mean of the two last measurements was used in the present analyses.

The blood test included measurement of serum total cholesterol, serum high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol and triglycerides. Analysis of serum total cholesterol and triglycerides was performed by enzymatic colorimetric methods with commercial kits (CHOD-PAP; Boehringer-Manheim, Mannheim, Germany). HDL cholesterol was measured after precipitation of lower density lipoproteins with manganese chloride. All the analyses were performed by the Department of Clinical Chemistry, University Hospital of North Norway in Tromsø.

## Follow-up and statistical analyses

The National Causes of Death Registry covers individuals registered as residents of Norway at the time of their death, without regard to whether the death took place in Norway or abroad. The subjects were followed up regarding total mortality from the day they attended the Tromsø 5 survey and to the date of death, emigration from Norway or 30 June 2015, whichever came first. There were 1648 deaths during follow-up. The mean (minimum, maximum) follow-up was 12.5 (0.2-14.3) years.

Baseline characteristics were presented as mean (standard deviations) or percentages (number of subjects). The simple descriptive statistical analyses included analyses of variance and Chisquare tests and the p -values were p for homogeneity. The relationships between smoking habits and mortality were assessed by Cox proportional hazard regression analyses with attained age as the continuous time variable, including $95 \%$ confidence intervals for the hazard ratios. Consistent never smokers constituted the reference category. Adjustments were performed for other significant predictors for mortality in this cohort after adjustment for sex and attained age, i.e., education, body mass index, total serum cholesterol and serum triglycerides.

## RESULTS

Mean age for both sexes ( 4020 women and 3033 men) was about 60 years. Among the participants, there were $33 \%$ never smokers, $34 \%$ former smokers, $7 \%$ occasional smokers and $26 \%$ consistent daily smokers (Table 1). In both sexes, occasional smokers tended to be younger than other smokers. A higher proportion of occasional smokers had high education levels and weekly use of alcohol. Passive smoking was most common in current smokers. The use of snuff (both current and previous use) was most prevalent in men and in occasional smokers. Occasional and daily smokers had lower BMI compared with never and former smokers.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of women and men according to smoking habits in 2001. The Tromsø Study

|  | Never- <br> smokers | Former <br> smokers | Occasional <br> smokers | Consistent <br> daily <br> smokers | p-value ${ }^{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Women N (\%) | $1648(41.0)$ | $1061(26.4)$ | $257(6.4)$ | $1054(26.4)$ |  |
| No. of pack-years | 0 | $9.2(9.1)$ | $6.1(7.4)$ | $17.4(11.0)$ | $<0.001^{2}$ |
| Age (years) | $61.8(13.5)$ | $60.2(13.3)$ | $53.3(15.3)$ | $56.1(13.4)$ | $<0.001$ |
| Education $>12$ years (\%) | $29.4(461)$ | $26.9(277)$ | $39.4(98)$ | $23.1(235)$ | $<0.001$ |
| \% exposed for passive smoking | $58.3(945)$ | $77.8(807)$ | $75.2(191)$ | $86.1(880)$ | $<0.001$ |
| \% with weekly alcohol use | $20.9(330)$ | $28.3(291)$ | $31.7(79)$ | $27.8(288)$ | $<0.001$ |
| Ever use of snuff | $0.4(5)$ | $1.3(10)$ | $2.1(5)$ | $0.6(6)$ | 0.02 |
| BMI (kg/m²) | $26.9(4.6)$ | $27.4(4.6)$ | $25.5(4.4)$ | $24.9(4.2)$ | $<0.001$ |
| Waist circumference (cm) | $85.0(11.7)$ | $86.7(12.3)$ | $82.1(11.1)$ | $81.3(11.0)$ | $<0.001$ |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | $141.4(23.4)$ | $138.1(22.8)$ | $130.4(23.1)$ | $132.7(22.6)$ | $<0.001$ |
| Total cholesterol (mmol/l) | $6.3(1.2)$ | $6.3(1.2)$ | $6.0(1.3)$ | $6.3(1.2)$ | 0.003 |
| HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) | $1.6(0.4)$ | $1.6(0.4)$ | $1.6(0.4)$ | $1.5(0.4)$ | $<0.001$ |
| Triglycerides (mmol/l) | $1.4(0.8)$ | $1.4(0.7)$ | $1.3(0.7)$ | $1.5(0.9)$ | 0.006 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men N (\%) | $698(23.1)$ | $1302(42.9)$ | $252(8.3)$ | $781(25.8)$ |  |
| No. of pack-years | 0 | $17.5(16.0)$ | $10.8(13.3)$ | $22.6(13.2)$ | $<0.001^{2}$ |
| Age (years) | $55.9(14.6)$ | $65.0(11.5)$ | $55.5(14.6)$ | $58.2(13.4)$ | $<0.001$ |
| Education $>12$ years (\%) | $40.9(278)$ | $22.3(280)$ | $41.9(104)$ | $23.4(176)$ | $<0.001$ |
| \% exposed for passive smoking | $35.8(247)$ | $76.1(969)$ | $69.0(171)$ | $84.4(637)$ | $<0.001$ |
| \% with weekly alcohol use | $34.8(240)$ | $35.8(458)$ | $47.6(118)$ | $40.0(309)$ | $<0.001$ |
| Ever use of snuff | $4.9(31)$ | $5.5(64)$ | $11.7(29)$ | $5.2(40)$ | $<0.001$ |
| BMI (kg/m²) | $26.8(3.5)$ | $27.2(3.6)$ | $26.7(3.6)$ | $26.0(3.5)$ | $<0.001$ |
| Waist circumference (cm) | $94.1(9.4)$ | $97.0(10.6)$ | $94.5(10.5)$ | $93.6(10.2)$ | $<0.001$ |
| Systolic blood pressure $(\mathrm{mmHg})$ | $138.7(20.1)$ | $143.7(20.6)$ | $135.2(16.5)$ | $137.1(19.1)$ | $<0.001$ |
| Total cholesterol (mmol/l) | $6.0(1.1)$ | $6.0(1.1)$ | $6.0(1.1)$ | $6.1(1.1)$ | 0.36 |
| HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) | $1.3(0.3)$ | $1.4(0.4)$ | $1.3(0.4)$ | $1.3(0.3)$ | 0.006 |
| Triglycerides (mmol/l) | $1.7(1.0)$ | $1.7(1.0)$ | $1.6(0.8)$ | $1.7(1.1)$ | 0.56 |

Values are mean (standard deviations) or percentages (number of subjects). There were some missing values for some variables.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{p}$-value for homogeneity
${ }^{2} \mathrm{p}$-value not including never smokers

Table 2 confirms that both consistent daily smoking and occasional smoking were associated with increased all-cause mortality. A total of 766 women and 882 men died during follow-up. Although the age-adjusted hazard ratio associated with occasional smoking compared to never smokers was somewhat higher in women ( $\mathrm{HR}=1.59$ ( 95 \% CI: 1.15-2.20)) than in men ( $\mathrm{HR}=1.23$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 0.88-1.73$ )), the overall relationships between smoking habits and total mortality were not statistically significantly different ( p -value for interaction $=0.07$ ) and the p -values for the difference in the relationships with occasional smoking was higher ( $\mathrm{p}=0.3$ ) (data not shown). Due to the relatively low number of deaths among the individuals who smoked occasionally, we merged results for men and women and adjusted for gender. Adjustments for other significant predictors for mortality in this cohort (education, body mass index, total serum cholesterol and serum triglycerides) changed the point estimates only marginally and the conclusions were unaffected (Table 2). This was also the case when further adjustments for the frequency of use of alcohol and passive smoking were undertaken. In a separate set of analyses, we included only men and women who at baseline indicated to be free of ischemic heart disease (reporting no myocardial infarction or angina pectoris). In this group of 6121 subjects, there were 1232 deaths. This exclusion of individuals had minimal impact on the point estimate for the relationship between occasional smoking and total mortality; $\mathrm{HR}=1.27$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 0.97$, 1.67) compared to $\mathrm{HR}=1.32$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 1.05,1.66$ ) in the analyses including the total population (Table 2).

Detailed information about smoking history (the number of pack-years) was missing for 543 ever smokers. In separate analyses, we restricted the analytical cohort to 4164 ever smokers (current daily smokers, occasional smokers, and previous smokers) with complete information
about the number of pack-years. There were 1013 deaths. The age- and gender adjusted mortality in occasional smokers was not higher than in former smokers (HR=1.12, 95 \% CI: 0.87-1.43), and adjusting for the number of pack-years in addition to age and gender confirmed this. If anything, the relationship with occasional smoking was somewhat stronger, but still not statistically significant.

In a separate set of analyses, we restricted the analyses to subjects aged 79 or below at followup, thus disregarding information from follow-up after the age of 80 . A total of 6886 men and women and 754 deaths were included in the analyses. The results with regard to mortality in occasional smokers were essentially unchanged. Similarly as for the analyses including all subjects, we also in this situation restricted the analytical cohort to 4094 ever smokers with 526 deaths and adjusted for the number of pack-years. The results were as for all subjects, also including follow-up after the age of 79 .

Table 2. Relationships between smoking habits and total mortality. A 14 years follow-up. The Tromsø Study.

|  | Subjects <br> $\mathbf{N}(\%)$ | Deaths <br> $\mathbf{N}(\%)$ | $\mathbf{H R}^{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 \%} \mathbf{C I}$ | $\mathbf{H R}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 \%} \mathbf{~ C I}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Consistent daily smokers | $1835(26.0)$ | $468(28.4)$ | 2.13 | $1.86,2.43$ | 2.05 | $1.78,2.37$ |
| Occasional smokers | $509(7.2)$ | $88(5.3)$ | 1.32 | $1.05,1.66$ | 1.38 | $1.08,1.76$ |
| Former smokers | $2363(33.5)$ | $633(38.4)$ | 1.14 | $1.00,1.30$ | 1.18 | $1.03,1.35$ |
| Never-smokers | $2346(33.3)$ | $459(27.9)$ | 1.00 | Reference | 1.00 | Reference |
| Total | $7053(100)$ | $1648(100)$ |  |  |  |  |

[^1]
## DISCUSSION

The present prospective cohort study shows that occasional smoking significantly increased mortality by more than $30 \%$ compared to never smokers. Results were not substantially changed when analyses were restricted to those aged below 80 years at follow-up. We found that the $7 \%$ occasional smokers constituted the youngest group of individuals, they used alcohol more frequently and they had higher educational level compared to the other study attendees.

Our results are in line with findings from other surveys of occasional smokers; they are younger than daily smokers and their level of education is more similar to non-smokers $(3,8)$. A Finnish prospective cohort study studied occasional smoking habits at baseline as risk factor for total mortality (4). Their finding was about similar to ours for men, while female occasional smokers did not have an increased mortality risk. However, there was no significant difference in the association between occasional smoking and total mortality between the sexes, similar to our findings. A recent large cohort study from the US included self-reports of lifetime smoking history, and showed that persons who smoked fewer than 1 or 1 to 10 cigarettes per day over their lifetime had higher all-cause mortality risks than never smokers (5). A previous large population-based Norwegian cohort study found that even very light smoking (1-4 cigarettes per day) was associated with a significantly $50-60 \%$ increased all-cause mortality (9) while a British study demonstrated a significant hazard ratio of 1.21 for light smoking compared to never smoking (10). A study from the US experienced a more than
two times higher mortality in very light smoking females (11). Light smoking may be comparable to occasional smoking when it comes to risk of all-cause mortality in our study. Differences in risk compared to the present study may be due to different study populations and length of follow up as well as various abilities to control for confounders. We have previously shown that light smoking as well as passive smoking carried higher hazards for myocardial infarction in women (12). Recently, a British cohort study with long follow-up found that light smoking at baseline carried a higher mortality risk in women than in men (10). Our results give some risk estimates supporting this finding, but we did not find a statistically significant interaction and therefore merged the data for the two genders.

Norway has a strong record on national tobacco control policies since the 1970ies. The trend of occasional smoking might be influenced by the ongoing marginalization of smoking and increasing restrictions. Studies have shown that a large proportion of occasional smokers do not regard themselves as smokers (8). There is common belief, based in part on successful tobacco industry marketing to so-called "health-conscious smoking", that occasional smoking is safer than daily smoking (13). A Norwegian Directorate of Health survey in 2013 conducted before a campaign to reach occasional smokers, confirmed this. One third of the occasional smokers did not believe their smoking would cause any harm to their health (3). We do not have data to confirm these conceptions, but the relatively high education level of occasional smokers in the present study suggests that they are well aware of the hazards of daily smoking, as well educated people are, but may consider occasional smoking far less detrimental or maybe without any health risks. Moreover, it is shown that occasional smokers are not free of nicotine dependence and that their smoking appears to be driven to some degree by the same cigarette craving that affects daily smokers, explaining why many occasional smokers have difficulty quitting (14). This is important knowledge for health professionals working with smoking cessation in occasional smokers.

In 2006-2010, approximately $10 \%$ of Norwegians aged 16-74 years were occasional smokers (1). Our slightly lower prevalence may be because no subjects in our study were below 30 years, and occasional smoking is known to be more frequent among younger individuals. The use of snuff (snus) has been increasingly popular in Norway, particularly among adolescents and young adults (1). Approximately $3 \%$ of the subjects included in our study reported ever use of snuff, and it was not associated with increased mortality, but with occasional smoking (Table 1).

Population studies have risk of selection bias because those who accept the invitation to participate in the study may not be representative of the whole target population. Nonresponse is often linked to exposure status, which implies that for example individuals with health issues, smokers and others with unhealthy lifestyle may be less likely to attend the survey compared to non-smokers. We have previously reported lower mortality in participants to the Tromsø Study according to attendance (6). This bias would influence our findings only if the association between smoking habits and total mortality is different in the $68 \%$ of the invited population who were included in the analyses than in the remaining $32 \%$.

The participants in the Tromsø Study reported smoking habits on a self-administered questionnaire that may imply information bias. We have no objective measures of tobacco exposure like cotinine or thiocyanate. A previous Norwegian study showed that the relation between self-reported smoking habits and the measure of serum thiocyanate was strong if the question was asked in a neutral setting (15). As the questions about smoking were asked in a neutral setting, we believe that the validity was good, although we recognize that the smoking habits probably are underreported.

It is a limitation that we have not been able to include information regarding changes in smoking habits during follow-up. The smoking prevalence in our community has declined
(16). In Tromsø 5 (2001), it was $28 \%$ current smokers, $20 \%$ in Tromsø 6 (2007-2008) and 14 $\%$ in Tromsø 7 (2015-2016). Seven percent of the Tromsø 7 population reported to be occasional smokers, 19 \% had previously been (7).

A particular problem in studies of the health risks related to occasional smoking is that occasional smoking is a rather unstable category consisting of a heterogeneous group of former daily smokers trying to quit, persistent occasional smokers who might regard their risk as little and former quitters who have resumed as occasional smokers for a period. This instability is mirrored by the inconsistent answers to the smoking history questions we used for categorization in our study. Among the subjects who stated to be occasional smokers on the second questionnaire in our study population, $28 \%, 60 \%$ and $13 \%$, respectively, reported to be current, ex- and never daily smokers on the first questionnaire.

A total of 3729 of the subjects included in our analyses also answered a question concerning occasional smoking in the Tromsø 6 survey in 2007-2008, and information about occasional smoking was therefore available from both surveys. We found that $39 \%$ of those who at baseline (in 2001) were classified as occasional smokers reported the same in 2007-2008 (Tromsø 6). When comparing with their self-reported classification as current, ex- and never smokers in 2007-2008, $13 \%$ of occasional smokers in 2001 reported to be current daily smokers in 2007-2008 and $65 \%$ reported to be previous daily smokers. Thus, the changing smoking habits among the occasional smokers make it difficult to precisely assess the strength of the relationship between occasional smoking and total mortality. Only registration of longterm smoking habits can answer this question.

Another limitation in our study is that we lack information about usage patterns among the occasional smokers, e.g., how often they smoked and how many cigarettes they smoked per occasion.

Our study had the strength of a large cohort with a prospective design, high participation rate and a quite long and complete follow-up. Moreover, we were able to adjust for baseline levels of other significant risk factors for total mortality in this population. The results are probably valid for other European populations, but similar cohort studies should be conducted in other populations in order to determine the exact adverse effects of occasional smoking. In particular, there is a need for larger studies as there were relatively few occasional smokers in our study and therefore only 88 deaths.

In conclusion, in line with a few others, this study demonstrates that occasional smoking is not a safe alternative; it increases mortality. We report a dose response relationship in the hazards of smoking (daily, occasional, former and never smoking). Governmental and nongovernmental tobacco control policymakers should intensify the information about the health hazards of occasional smoking as well as work towards increased restrictions. Occasional smokers make up about one third of all current smokers in the Norwegian population, and more work should be done to motivate this usually well-educated group to quit smoking completely.
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## Health survey

1.1 What is your current state of health? (Tick one only)


| 1.3 Have you noticed attacks of sudden changes in your pulse or heart rhythm in the last year? | Yes |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1.4 Do you get pain or discomfort in the chest when: Walking up hills, stairs or walking fast on level ground? | No |
| 1.5 If you get such pain, do you usually: |  |
| Stop? Slow down? Carry on at the sam <br> $\square_{1}$ $\square_{2}$ $\square_{3}$ |  |
| 1.6 If you stop, does the pain disappear within 10 minutes? $\qquad$ | Yes No $\square$ <br> Yes No |
| an such pain occur even if you are at rest?........ |  |

## 2. MUSCULAR AND SKELETAL COMPLAINTS

2.1 Have you suffered from pain and/or stiffness in muscles and joints during the last 4 weeks?
(Give duration only if you have had problems)
No Some Severe complaint complaint complaint
Neck/shoulders
Arms, hands $\qquad$
Upper part of your back..
Lumbar region
Hips, legs, feet $\qquad$



2.2 Have you ever had: Fracture in the wrist/forearm

Yes
$\square \quad \square$
3.1 Below is a list of various problems. Have you experienced any of this during the last week (including today)?
(Tick once for each complaint)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { No } \\
& \text { complaint citle, Preter } \\
& \text { complaint } \\
& \text { much } \\
& \text { Mucr } \\
& \text { mu }
\end{aligned}
$$

Sudden fear without reason
Felt afraid or anxious
Faintness or dizziness
Felt tense or upset
Tend to blame yourself $\qquad$
Sleeping problems $\qquad$
Depressed, sad
Feeling of being useless, worthless
Feeling that everything is a struggle ......
Feeling of hopelessness with regard to the future

4. USE OF HEALTH SERVICES
4.1 How many times in the last 12 months have you been to/used: (Tick once for each line) $\qquad$
General practitioner (GP)
Medical officer at work
Psychologist or psychiatrist. (private or out-patient clinic)


## 5. CHILDHOOD/YOUTH AND AFFILIATION

5.1 How long altogether have you lived in the county?
 yea (Put 0 if less than half a year)
5.2 How long altogether have you lived in the municipality? (Put 0 if less than half a year)
5.3 Where did you live most of the time before the age of $16 ?$ (Tick one option and specify)
Same municipality .... $\square_{1}$
Another municipality
in the county ............. $\square_{2}$
Which one:
Another county in Norway $\square_{3}$
Which one:
Outside Norway ........ $\square_{4}$ Country::
5.4 Have you moved within the last five years? $\perp$

No Yes, one time Yes, more than once$\square_{3}$
6. BODY WEIGHT

## 7. FOOD AND BEVERAGES

7.1 How often do you usually eat these foods?

7.2 What type of fat do you usually use? (Tick once per line)

|  | Don't | Butter | Hard margarin | Soft/light margarine | Oils | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| On bread |  | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |  |  |
| For cooking .......... |  | $\square$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |

7.3 Do you use the following dietary supplements:
Cod liver oil, fish oil capsules

7.4 How much of the following do you usually drink?

7.5 Do you usually drink soft drink: with sugar $\square_{1}$ without sugar $\square_{2}$
7.6 How many cups of coffee and tea do you drink daily? Number of cups (Put 0 for the types you don't drink daily)
Filtered coffee .

Boiled coffee/coarsely ground coffee for brewing ....


Other type of coffee $\qquad$


Tea
7.7 Approximately how often have you during the last year consumed alcohol? (Do not count low-alcohol and alcohol-free beer)

| Never consumed alcohol | Have not consumed alcohol last year | A few times last year | About 1 time a month |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\square_{1}$ | $\square_{2}$ |  |  |
| 2-3 times per month | About1 time a week | 2-3 times a week | 4-7 times a week |
| $\square 5$ | $\square$ |  | - 8 |

To those who have consumed the last year:
7.8 When you drink alcohol, how many glasses or drinks do you normally drink?

7.9 Approximately how many times during the last year have you consumed alcohol equivalent to 5 glasses or drinks within 24 hours?

Number of times
7.10 When you drink, do you normally drink:(Tick one or more)
$\square$
Beer
$\square$ Spirits



## 9. EDUCATION AND WORK

9.1 How many years of education have you completed?

Number of years $\square$ (Include all the years you have attended school or studied)
9.2 Do you currently have paid work?
Yes, full-time $\square$ Yes, part-time $\square$ No $\square_{3}$
9.3 Describe the activity at the workplace where you had paid work for the longest period in the last 12 months. (e.g. Accountancy firm, school, paediatric department, carpentry workshop, garage, bank, grocery store, etc.)

Business:
If retired, enter the former business and occupation.
Also applies to 9.4
9.4 Which occupation/title have or had you at this workplace? (e.g. Secretary, teacher, industrial worker, nurse, carpenter, manager, salesman, driver, etc.)

Occupation:
9.5 In your main occupation, do you work as self-employed, as an employee or family member without regular salary? Self-employed Employee Family member
9.6 Do you believe that you are in danger of losing Yes No your current work or income within the next two years?
9.7 Do you receive any of the following benefits?

Sickness benefit (are on sick leave) $\qquad$ Yes No

Old age pension, early retirement (AFP) or survivor pension
T Rehabilitation/reintegration benefit
Disability pension (full or partial)
Unemployment benefits during unemployment $\qquad$
Social welfare benefits
13. USE OF MEDICINES
10.1 How has your physical activity in leisure time been
during this last year?
Think of a weekly average for the year.
Time spent going to work is count as leisure time. Answer both questions.

| Hours per week |
| :--- |


| Light activity |
| :--- |
| (not sweating/out of breath)... |


| Hard physical activity |
| :--- |
| (sweating/out of breath)......... |

10.2 Describe exercise and physical exertion in your leisure time. If your activity varies much e.g. between summer and winter, then give an average. The question refers only to the last year.
(Tick the most appropriate box)
Reading, watching TV or
other sedentary activity?
Walking, cycling or other forms of
exercise at least 4 hours a week?
(Include walking or cycling
to work, Sunday walk/stroll,etc.)
Participation in recreational sports, heavy gardening, etc.? $\square_{3}$
(Note: duration of activity at least 4 hours a week)
Participation in hard training or sports competitions,
regularly several times a week? $\square 4$

## 11. FAMILY AND FRIENDS

11.1 Do you live with: Yes No
Spouse/partner?.
Number of friends
Count the ones you can talk confidentially with and who can give you help when you need it. Do not count people you live with, but do include other relatives.
11.3 How much interest do people show for what you do? (Tick only once)

| Great <br> interest | Some <br> interest | Little <br> interest | No <br> interest | Uncertain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\square_{1}$ | $\square_{2}$ | $\square_{3}$ | $\square_{4}$ | $\square_{5}$ |

11.4 How many associations, sport clubs,groups, religious communities or similar do you take part in? Number (Write 0 if none)
11.5 Do you feel that you can influence what happening in your local community where you live? (Tick only once)

12.3 If any relatives have diabetes, at what age did they get diabetes (if for e.g. many siblings, consider the one who got it earliest in life):

[^2]With medicines, we mean drugs purchased at pharmacies. Supplements and vitamins are not considered here.

13.3 For those medicines you have checked in points 13.1 and 13.2, and that you've used during the last 4 weeks:

State the name and the reason that you are taking/have taken these (disease or symptom):
(Tick for each duration you have used the medicine)
 used the medicine

| Name of the medicine: <br> (one name per line) | Reason for use of <br> the medicine | Up to <br> 1 year | 1 year <br> or more |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\square$ | $\square$ |
|  |  | $\square$ | $\square$ |
|  |  | $\square$ | $\square$ |
|  |  | $\square$ | $\square$ |
|  |  | $\square$ |  |
|  |  | $\square$ | $\square$ |

## 14. THE REST OF THE FORM IS TO BE ANSWERED BY WOMEN ONLY

14.1 How old were you when you started menstruating?
Age in years
14.2 If you no longer menstruating, how old were you when you stopped menstruating? Age in years
14.3 Are you pregnant at the moment?

| Yes | No | Uncertain | Above fertile <br> age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\square_{1}$ | $\square_{2}$ | $\square_{3}$ | $\square_{4}$ |

14.4 How many children have you given birth to?
14.5 Do you use, or have you ever used?

14.6 If you use/have used prescription estrogen:

How long have you used it?
Number of years

14.7 If you use contraceptive pills, mini pill, contraceptive injection, hormonal IUD or estrogen, what brand do you use?
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## Additional questions to the health survey in Troms and Finnmark 2001-2002

The main aim of the Tromsø Study is to improve our knowledge about cardiovascular diseases in order to aid prevention. The study is also intended to improve our knowledge of cancer and other general conditions, such as allergies, muscle pains and mental conditions. We would therefore like you to answer some questions about factors that may be relevant for your risk of getting these and other illnesses. This form is part of the Health Survey, which has been approved by the Norwegian Data Inspectorate and the Regional Board of Research Ethics. The answers will only be used for research purposes and will be treated strictly confidential.

## T1. NEIGHBORHOOD AND HOME

1.1 In which municipality did you live at the age of 1 year? (If you have not lived in Norway, state country of residence instead of the municipality)
1.2 What type of house do you live in? (Tick only once)

| Detached house/villa. | $\square_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Farm | $\square 2$ |
| Flat/apartment | $\square 3$ |
| Terraced/semi-detached house | $\square 4$ |
| Institution/care home | 5 |
| Other | $\square 6$ |

1.3 How big is your house?

1.4 Are you bothered by: (Tick once for each line) Little Severe complaint complaint complaint Moisture, drought or coldness in your home $\square$ $\square$ Other forms of bad indoor climate Traffic noise (cars or aircraft) Other noise (industrial, construction, etc.) Neighbour noise Drinking water quality $\qquad$ Air pollution from traffic Air pollution from wood/oil heating, factory etc.
1.5 What home language did your grandparents have? (Tick for one or more alternatives)
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lccc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Norwegian }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Sami }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Kven/ } \\
\text { Finnish }\end{array}
$$ <br>

Mother's mother ... \& \square \& \square \& \square\end{array}\right)\)| Other |
| :---: |
| language |

The information you give us may later be linked with information from other public health registers in accordance with the rules laid down by the Data Inspectorate and the Regional Board of Research Ethics.

If you are unsure about what to answer, tick the box that you feel fits best.

The completed form should be sent to us in the enclosed prepaid envelope. Thank you in advance for helping us.

Yours sincerely
Department of Community Medicine University of Tromsø

National Health Screening Service

If you do not wish to answer the questionnaire, tick the box below and return the form. Then you will not receive reminders.

I do not wish to answer the questionnaire
Date of completion:


## T1. NEIGHBORHOOD AND HOME (cont.)

1.6 What do you consider yourself as?
(Tick for one or more alternatives)

1.7 Do you feel that you have enough good friends?
1.8 How often do you normally take part in organised gatherings, e.g. sewing circles, sports clubs,
political meetings or other associations?
(Tick only once)

| Never, or just a few times a year ..................... | $\square_{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1-3 times a month ............................................ | $\square_{2}$ |
| Approximately once a week ................................ | $\square_{3}$ |
| More than once a week ........................................ | $\square_{4}$ |

## T2. PAID AND UNPAID WORK

2.1 If you have paid or unpaid work, how would you describe your work? (Tick only once)
Mostly sedentary work?
(e.g. office work, mounting) $\qquad$
Work that requires a lot of walking?
(e.g. shop assistant, light industrial work, teaching) $\square_{2}$

Work that requires a lot of walking and lifting?
(e.g. Postman, nursing, construction) $\qquad$ $\square 3$

Heavy manual labour?
(e.g. forestry, heavy farm-work, heavy
construction)
2.2 Can you decide yourself how your work (paid or unpaid) should be organised? (Tick only once)
No, not at all $\square_{1}$

| To a small extent ........................................... | $\square_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Yes, to a large extent ......................................... | $\square_{3}$ |
| Yes, I decide myself ............................................ | $\square_{4}$ |



## T5. FOOD AND DIETARY SUPPLEMENTS


5.3 How important is it for you to have a healthy diet?

5.4 Do you use the following dietary supplements?

Yes, daily sometimes No
Iron tablets
Calcium tablets or bonemeal
Vitamin D supplements
Cod liver oil

## T6. BODY WEIGHT

6.1 Do you currently try to change your body weight?

| No | Yes, I try to to <br> gain weight | Yes, I try to <br> lose weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\square_{1}$ | $\square_{2}$ | $\square_{3}$ |

## T7. ILLNESSES AND INJURIES

7.1 Have you ever had:

| Tick once for each question. Also give the age at the time. If you have had the condition several times, how old were you the last time | Age last time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Severe injury requiring <br> Yes No <br> hospital admission $\qquad$ $\square$ $\square$ |  | years |
| Ankle fracture |  | years |
| Peptic ulcer ................................ $\square \square$ |  | years |
| Peptic ulcer surgery .................... $\square \square$ |  | years |
| Neck surgery ............................. $\square \square$ |  | years |
| Prostate surgery ......................... $\square \square$ |  | years |

7.2 Do you have, or have you ever had:
(Tick once for each question) Yes No

Cancer
Psoriasis $\qquad$
Thyroid disease
Glaucoma $\qquad$
Cataract
Osteoarthritis (arthrosis)
Bent fingers
Skin contractions in your palms
Kidney stone $\qquad$
Appendectomy. $\qquad$
Hernia surgery
Surgery/treatment for urine incontinence ..
Epilepsy.
Poliomyelitis (polio) $\qquad$
Parkinson's disease. $\qquad$
Migraine. $\qquad$
Leg ulcer
Allergy and hypersensitivity:
Atopic eczema (e.g. childhood eczema)
Hand eczema $\qquad$
Food allergy $\qquad$
Other hypersensitivity (not allergy). $\qquad$
Yes No
No
7.3 Have you had common cold, influenza, gastroenteritis, etc. during the last 14 days?
7.4 Have you during the last 3 weeks had common cold, influenza, bronchitis, pneumonia, sinusitis, or other respiratory infection?
7.5 Have you ever had bronchitis or Yes No pneumonia?

7.6 Have you during the last 2 years had bronchitis or pneumonia?(Tick only once)

No $\quad 1-2$ times More than 2 times
6.2 What weight would you be satisfied with (your "ideal Weignfot? Ievew....nly - http://bmigpen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml $\square_{3}$

## T8. SYMPTOMS (continue)

| 8.1 | Have you in the last two weeks felt: (Tick once for each question) | A Little | A lot | Very much |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nervous or worried .................... |  |  |  |
|  | Bothered by anxiety... | $\square$ | $\square$ |  |
|  | Confident and calm ................... | $\square$ | $\square$ |  |
|  | Irritable. | $\square$ | $\square$ |  |
|  | Happy and optimistic |  | $\square$ |  |
|  | Down/depressed | $\square$ | $\square$ |  |
|  | Lonely | $\square$ | $\square$ |  |
|  | - 1 | 2 | 3 |  |

8.2 Do you cough about daily for periods of the year? $\quad \square \quad \square$ If YES:
Is your cough productive?
Have you had this kind of cough for as long
as 3 months in each of the last two years?.....
8.3 Have you had episodes with wheezing in the chest? If YES:
Has this occurred: (Tick once for each question) Yes No
At night
In connection with respiratory infections
In connection with physical exertion
In connection with very cold weather

8.4 Do you get pain in the calf while walking
 If YES:
How long can you go before you notice the pain?

8.5 Do you get short-winded in the following situations? (Tick once for each question)

8.6 Do you have to stop because of short-windedness Yes No while walking in your own pace on level ground?..
8.7 Have you during the last year suffered from
pain and/or stiffness in muscles and joints that have lasted continuously for
at least 3 months?


If YES:
Has the complaint reduced your leisure $\quad$ Yes No
time activity? .................................................... $\square \quad \square$
For how long has the complaint endured in total?


Has the complaint reduced your ability to work during the last year? (Also applies to domestic workers and pensioners (Tick once)
No/insignificantly To some extend Significantly reduced Do not know $\square 1$
8.8 How often do you suffer from sleeplessness?

| (Tick only once) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Never, or just a few times a year |  |
| 1-3 times a month |  |
| Approximately once a week |  |
| More than once a week |  |

8.9 If you suffer from sleeplessness monthly or more frequently, what time of the year does it affect you most?

| No particular time of the year ............................ | $\square_{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Especially during the polar night ........................... | $\square_{2}$ |
| Especially during the midnight sun season ........ | $\square_{3}$ |
| Especially in spring and autumn ........................ | $\square_{4}$ |

8.10 Have you in the last year suffered from
sleeplessness to the extend that it has affected your ability to work?
8.11 Do you usually sleep during the day?
8.12 How often do you suffer from urinary incontinence?

| Neve | $\square 1$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Not more than once a month | 2 |
| Two or more times a month. | $\square^{3}$ |
| Once a week or more |  |

8.13 Are you able to walk down 10 steps without Yes No holding on to something (e.g. a handrail) .... $\square \square$
8.14 Do you use glasses?
8.15 Do you use a hearing aid?
8.16 How is your memory?
(Tick once for each question)
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Do you forget what you just have } & \text { Yes } \\ \text { ho } \\ \text { heard or read?.............................................. } \square \\ \square\end{array}$
Do you forget where you have placed things?..
Is it more difficult to remember now than earlier?.. $\square \square$
Do you more often write memos now than earlier? $\square \square$
If "YES" on one of these questions; Yes No
Is this a problem in your daily life?
Yes
$\square$
$\square$

## T9. MEDICINES



[^3]10.1 Tick for the relatives who have or have ever had
any of the diseases: (Tick for each line)
Mother Father Brother Sister Child None

## T11. MOBILE TELEPHONE

11.1 Do you have (own, rent, etc.) a mobile telephone?
Yes, always Yes, sometimes
$\square_{1}$
If Yes:
What do you use your mobile telephone for, and how
often do you use it?(Tick once for each line) often do you use it?(Tick once for each line)

|  | Number of times per day |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 or more | 10-29 | 2-9 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { or } \\ & \text { less } \end{aligned}$ | Never |
| Conversations.. $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| Text messaging $\square$ <br> 12345 | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |

## 12. THE REST IS TO BE ANSWERED BY WOMEN ONLY

12.1 If you have given birth, fill in each child's birth year and how many months you breastfed after delivery.
(If you did not breastfeed, write 0)

12.2 If you still have mensturate or are pregnant: What date did your last menstruation start?

12.3 If you no longer menstruate; why did your periods stop? (Tick once)

12.4 Do you use or have you used prescribed Yes No estrogen (tablets or patches)?

If YES:
How old were you when
you started taking estrogen? $\qquad$


If you stopped using estrogen,
How old were you when
you stopped taking estrogen? $\qquad$

12.5 Do you use or have you used oral contraceptive pills?


If YES:
How old were you when
you started taking the pill?. $\qquad$


How many years in total
have you taken the pills?.... Number of years
If you have given birth:
How many years did you take the pill before your first delivery?... Number of years
If you stopped taking the pill:
How old were you when you stopped?...

12.6 Apart from pregnancy and after giving birth, have you ever stopped having menstruation for 6 months or more?
If YES:
How many times? $\qquad$
$\square$ times
12.7 How is your current menstruation status?

I have not had menstruation in the last year I have regular menstruation $\qquad$ $\square_{1}$
$\square_{2}$
I have irregular menstruation $\qquad$
12.8 When you were 25-29 years old, how many days usually passed between the start of two periods?



Do not know

The periods were of approximately equal length every time?

How many days did a typical
menstrual bleeding period last?...


Thank you for the help!
Remember to mail the form today!


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Adjusted for age and gender
    ${ }^{2}$ Adjusted for age, gender, education, body mass index, serum cholesterol and serum triglycerides

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Adjusted for age and gender
    ${ }^{2}$ Adjusted for age, gender, education, body mass index, serum cholesterol and serum triglycerides

[^2]:    Don't know, Mother's age Father's age Brother's age Sister's age Child's age not applicable

[^3]:    9.2 Do you use any medicines which you take Yes No as injections?
    If YES:
    Give the name of the medicines (for injection): $\quad \top$ (one name per line)

