BMJ Open

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or payper-view fees (http://bmjopen.bmj.com).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email editorial.bmjopen@bmj.com

BMJ Open

Association of occasional smoking with total mortality in the population-based Tromsø Study, 2001-2015

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2017-019107
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	10-Aug-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Løchen, Maja-Lisa; The Arctic University of Norway, Department of Community Medicine Gram, Inger T.; The Arctic University of Norway Mannsverk, Jan; University Hospital of North Norway Mathiesen, Ellisiv; The Arctic University of Norway, Clinical Medicine; The University Hospital of North Norway, Neurology and Neurophysiology Njolstad, Inger; The Arctic University of Norway, Community Medicine Schirmer, Henrik; The Arctic University of Norway, Clinical Medicine Wilsgaard, Tom; University of Tromsø, Faculty of Health Sciences Jacobsen, Bjarne; University of Tromsø, Dept. of Community Medicine
Primary Subject Heading :	Smoking and tobacco
Secondary Subject Heading:	Epidemiology, Public health
Keywords:	EPIDEMIOLOGY, PUBLIC HEALTH, PREVENTIVE MEDICINE

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts

Association of occasional smoking with total mortality in the population-based Tromsø Study, 2001-2015

Maja-Lisa Løchen¹, Inger Torhild Gram¹, Jan Mannsverk², Ellisiv B. Mathiesen^{3,4}, Inger Njølstad¹, Henrik Schirmer³, Tom Wilsgaard¹, Bjarne K. Jacobsen¹

¹Department of Community Medicine, UiT The Arctic University of Norway, Tromsø,

Norway

²Division of Cardiothoracic and Respiratory Medicine, Department of Cardiology, University

Hospital of North Norway, Tromsø, Norway

³Department of Clinical Medicine, UiT The Arctic University of Norway, Tromsø, Norway

⁴Department of Neurology and Neurophysiology, The University Hospital of North Norway,

Tromsø, Norway

Corresponding author:

Maja-Lisa Løchen, Department of Community Medicine, UiT The Arctic University of

Norway, 9037 Tromsø, Norway. Mobile phone +47 99163281. maja-lisa.lochen@uit.no

Field Code Changed

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019107 on 28 December 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 17, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

Word count including references: 4325

MJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019107 on 28 December 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 17, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

ABSTRACT

Objectives. There is a shift in the smoking population from daily smokers to light or occasional smokers. The knowledge about possible adverse health effects of this new smoking pattern is limited. We investigated smoking habits with focus on occasional smoking in relation to total mortality in a follow up study of a Norwegian general population.

Setting. A population study in Tromsø, Norway

Methods. We collected smoking habits and relevant risk factors in 4020 women and 3033 men aged 30-89 years in the Tromsø Study in 2001. The subjects were followed up regarding total mortality through June 2015.

Results. Among the participants, there were 7 % occasional smokers. Occasional smokers were younger, more educated and used alcohol more frequently than other participants. A total of 766 women and 882 men died during follow-up. After adjustment for confounders we found that occasional smoking significantly increased mortality by 38 % (95 % CI: 8-76 %) compared to never smokers. We report a dose response relationship in the hazards of smoking (daily, occasional, former and never smoking).

Conclusions. Occasional smoking is not a safe smoking alternative. There is a need for information to the general population and health workers about the health hazards of occasional smoking. More work should be done to motivate this often well-educated group to quit smoking completely.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019107 on 28 December 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 17, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

Strengths and limitations of this study

- A longitudinal study including 7053 men and women who participated in a screening (response rate 76 % in men and 81 % in women) in 2001 with complete mortality follow-up through June 2015.
- Information of a number of possible confounding variables were available.
- Information about smoking history was collected from several questions in two
 questionnaires at baseline and was self-reported with no objective measures of tobacco
 exposure.
- Occasional smokers is an unstable and heterogeneous group of former daily smokers
 trying to quit, persistent occasional smokers and former quitters who are occasional
 smokers for a period, and this instability is mirrored by somewhat inconsistent
 answers to the smoking history questions.
- No information is available regarding changes in smoking habits during follow-up.

INTRODUCTION

Smoking is an important preventable risk factor for disease and premature death. There is a shift in the smoking population from daily, addicted tobacco users to light or occasional smokers without similar nicotine dependence. Smoking prevalence in Norway has been nearly halved during the last decade, 12 % of Norwegian men and women aged 16–74 years were daily smokers in 2016 (1). Whilst daily smoking is declining, the prevalence of intermittent or occasional smoking in Norway has remained quite stable during the last decade, with 9 % occasional smokers in 2016 compared to 11 % in 2005. Occasional smoking is frequently found among young, educated people and women (2). In 2013, the Norwegian Directorate of Health launched a campaign to reach these segments of occasional smokers, focusing

particularly on the relationship between occasional smoking and acute myocardial infarction (3).

The literature so far is not large. A cohort study from Finland indicated that occasional smoking carried almost similar effect on death from any cause as daily smoking in men (4). A review from 2010 emphasized the need for more cohort studies explicitly comparing risk in daily smokers, occasional smokers and nonsmokers (1). In 2016 a large cohort study from the US among low-intensity smokers over lifetime, found that they had higher mortality risk than never smokers (5). More information about the adverse health effects of this new smoking pattern is needed both for the general population and for health professionals. In this population-based prospective study from Norway, we aimed to analyze smoking habits with focus on occasional smoking in relation total death risk in a 14-year follow-up.

METHODS

The Tromsø Study

The Tromsø Study is a population-based prospective multipurpose study (6). Seven surveys have been conducted, the first in 1974, the last in 2015-2016. To the fifth survey (Tromsø 5), conducted in 2001, 10353 persons were invited. These individuals were men and women who lived in the municipality of Tromsø and had participated in the second visit of the fourth survey in 1994-1995 (the majority were 62-81 years old in 2001) as well as all women and men aged 30, 40, 45, 60 or 75 years. A total of 3511 men and 4619 women aged 30-89 years attended; attendance rates of 75.7 % and 80.8 %, respectively. The participants received a questionnaire along with the invitation and were asked to bring the completed form when they came to the physical examination including non-fasting blood samples. The questionnaire

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019107 on 28 December 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 17, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

included questions concerning, among other topics, smoking habits. People who attended the physical examination received a second questionnaire, which they were asked to complete and return in by mail. This questionnaire included a question on occasional smoking. The study design and data collection are described in some more detail elsewhere (6). An English translation of the questionnaires is available at the Tromsø Study web site (7)

The study adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and the Regional Committee for Medical and Health Research Ethics and the Norwegian Data Protection authority approved the study. All participants gave written informed consent.

Questionnaires and measurements

We based information about history of smoking on several questions in two questionnaires. A total of 7999 men and women answered the question concerning daily smoking on the first questionnaire ("Do you/did you smoke daily?" with the answer alternatives "Yes, now", "Yes, previously" or "Never"). In addition, there were questions about duration of smoking and the number of cigarettes smoked on a daily basis (if ever smoker). More than 90 % of ever smokers gave information concerning cigarettes per day and the duration of smoking (years), making computation of the number of pack-years possible.

Furthermore, 7116 subjects answered the question concerning smoking on the next questionnaire ("Do you smoke?" with the answer alternatives "Yes, daily", "Yes, sometimes" and "No, never"), thereby giving information about occasional, but not daily, smoking. There were, however, some inconsistencies, like subjects who reported to be current daily smokers on the second questionnaire, but previous or never smokers on the former. These 63 subjects

were excluded from the analyses. We therefore identified four groups of subjects, including a total of 4020 women and 3033 men (68 % of the invited population).

- 1. Consistent daily smokers.
- Occasional smokers: Subjects who stated to be an occasional smoker in the second questionnaire and gave information about smoking habits in the first questionnaire.
- 3. Former smokers: Subjects who stated to be a never smoker in the second questionnaire, but stated to be a former smoker in the first questionnaire, essentially identifying a group of subjects who had been smokers, but never smoked now, not even occasionally. These subjects may be at risk because of previous smoking.
- 4. Never smokers: Consistent never smokers.

The questionnaires also included a question regarding passive smoking ("Do you currently, or did you previously live together with a daily smoker after your 20th birthday?" with two answer alternatives, "Yes and "No"). Pack-years was computed as (number of cigarettes per day*duration of smoking)/20.

Frequency of use of alcohol last year was assessed by the question "Approximately how often have you during the last year consumed alcohol?" with 8 answer alternatives from "Never consumed alcohol" to "4-7 times a week". The highest attained level of education was self-reported and classified as follows: (1) primary/partly secondary education (up to 9 years of schooling); (2) upper secondary education (10–12 years of schooling); (3) tertiary education, short (college/university less than 4 years); (4) tertiary education, long (college/university 4 years or more).

Height and weight measurements were performed in light clothing and without footwear.

Waist circumference was measured without outerwear by using a measuring tape across the

belly button. The participant was taken into a separate room with only a nurse present to measure the blood pressure. The blood pressure was measured three times at one-minute intervals on one arm after the participant had been seated for two minutes using an automatic device (Dinamap Vital Signs Monitor 1846; Criticon, Inc, Tampa, FL). The mean of the two last measurements was used in the present analyses.

The blood test included measurement of serum total cholesterol, serum high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol and triglycerides. Analysis of serum total cholesterol and triglycerides was performed by enzymatic colorimetric methods with commercial kits (CHOD-PAP; Boehringer-Manheim, Mannheim, Germany). HDL cholesterol was measured after precipitation of lower density lipoproteins with manganese chloride. All the analyses were performed by the Department of Clinical Chemistry, University Hospital of North Norway in Tromsø.

Follow-up and statistical analyses

The National Causes of Death Registry covers individuals registered as residents of Norway at the time of their death, without regard to whether the death took place in Norway or abroad. The subjects were followed up regarding total mortality from the day they attended the Tromsø 5 survey and to the date of death, emigration from Norway or June 30, 2015 whichever came first. There were 1648 deaths during follow-up. The mean (minimum, maximum) follow-up was 12.5 (0.2-14.3) years.

Baseline characteristics were presented as mean (standard deviations) or percentages (number of subjects). The simple descriptive statistical analyses included analyses of variance and Chisquare tests and the p-values were p for homogeneity. The relationships between smoking

habits and mortality were assessed by Cox proportional hazard regression analyses with attained age as the time factor, including 95 % confidence intervals for the hazard ratios. Consistent never smokers constituted the reference category. Adjustments were performed for other significant predictors for mortality in this cohort after adjustment for sex and attained age, i.e., education, body mass index, total serum cholesterol and serum triglycerides.

RESULTS

Mean age for both sexes (4020 women and 3033 men) was about 60 years. Among the participants, there were 33 % never smokers, 34 % former smokers, 7 % occasional smokers and 26 % consistent daily smokers (Table 1). In both sexes, occasional smokers tended to be younger than other smokers. A higher proportion of occasional smokers had high education levels and weekly use of alcohol. Passive smoking was most common in current smokers. Occasional and daily smokers had lower BMI compared with never and former smokers.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of women and men according to smoking habits in 2001. The Tromsø Study

9	Never-	Former	Occasional	Consistent	p-value ¹
10	smokers	smokers	smokers	daily	1
11				smokers	
12Women N (%)	1648 (41.0)	1061 (26.4)	257 (6.4)	1054 (26.4)	
No. of pack-years	0	9.2 (9.1)	6.1 (7.4)	17.4 (11.0)	
Age (years)	61.8 (13.5)	60.2 (13.3)	53.3 (15.3)	56.1 (13.4)	< 0.001
Education > 12 years (%)	29.4 (461)	26.9 (277)	39.4 (98)	23.1 (235)	< 0.001
16% exposed for passive smoking	58.3 (945)	77.8 (807)	75.2 (191)	86.1 (880)	< 0.001
16/with weekly alcohol use	20.9 (330)	28.3 (291)	31.7 (79)	27.8 (288)	< 0.001
BMI (kg/m ²)	26.9 (4.6)	27.4 (4.6)	25.5 (4.4)	24.9 (4.2)	< 0.001
Waist circumference (cm)	85.0 (11.7)	86.7 (12.3)	82.1 (11.1)	81.3 (11.0)	< 0.001
2\(\text{ystolic blood pressure (mmHg)} \)	141.4 (23.4)	138.1 (22.8)	130.4 (23.1)	132.7 (22.6)	< 0.001
21Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	6.3 (1.2)	6.3 (1.2)	6.0 (1.3)	6.3 (1.2)	0.003
22/IDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	1.6 (0.4)	1.6 (0.4)	1.6 (0.4)	1.5 (0.4)	< 0.001
23 riglycerides (mmol/l)	1.4 (0.8)	1.4 (0.7)	1.3 (0.7)	1.5 (0.9)	0.006
24					
25					
26Men N (%)	698 (23.1)	1302 (42.9)	252 (8.3)	781 (25.8)	
² No. of pack-years	0	17.5 (16.0)	10.8 (16.0)	22.6 (13.2)	
28 (years)	55.9 (14.6)	65.0 (11.5)	55.5 (14.6)	58.2 (13.4)	< 0.001
29Education > 12 years (%)	40.9 (278)	22.3 (280)	41.9 (104)	23.4 (176)	< 0.001
3% exposed for passive smoking	35.8 (247)	76.1 (969)	69.0 (171)	84.4 (637)	< 0.001
3% with weekly alcohol use	34.8 (240)	35.8 (458)	47.6 (118)	40.0 (309)	< 0.001
32 MI (kg/m ²)	26.8 (3.5)	27.2 (3.6)	26.7 (3.6)	26.0 (3.5)	< 0.001
33Waist circumference (cm)	94.1 (9.4)	97.0 (10.6)	94.5 (10.5)	93.6 (10.2)	< 0.001
34 systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	138.7 (20.1)	143.7 (20.6)	135.2 (16.5)	137.1 (19.1)	< 0.001
35 Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	6.0 (1.1)	6.0 (1.1)	6.0 (1.1)	6.1 (1.1)	0.36
36/IDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	1.3 (0.3)	1.4 (0.4)	1.3 (0.4)	1.3 (0.3)	0.006
37Triglycerides (mmol/l)	1.7 (1.0)	1.7 (1.0)	1.6 (0.8)	1.7 (1.1)	0.56
38	, ,				

4 Values are mean (standard deviations) or percentages (number of subjects)

41P-value for homogeneity

Table 2 confirms that both consistent daily smoking and occasional smoking were associated with increased all-cause mortality. A total of 766 women and 882 men died during follow-up. Although the age-adjusted hazard ratio associated with occasional smoking compared to never smokers was somewhat higher in women (HR=1.59 (95 % CI: 1.15-2.20) than in men (HR=1.23 (95 % CI: 0.88- 1.73), the overall relationships between smoking habits and total

mortality were not statistically significantly different (p-value for interaction =0.07) and the p-values for the difference in the relationships with occasional smoking was higher (p=0.3) (data not shown). Due to the relatively low number of deaths among the individuals who smoked occasionally, we merged results for men and women and adjusted for gender.

Adjustments for other significant predictors for mortality in this cohort (education, body mass index, total serum cholesterol and serum triglycerides) changed the point estimates only marginally and the conclusions were unaffected (Table 2). This was also the case when further adjustments for the frequency of use of alcohol and passive smoking were undertaken.

Detailed information about smoking history (the number of pack-years) was missing for 543 ever smokers. In separate analyses, we restricted the analytical cohort to 4164 ever smokers (current daily smokers, occasional smokers, and previous smokers) with complete information about the number of pack-years. There were 1013 deaths. The age- and gender adjusted mortality in occasional smokers was not higher than in former smokers (HR=1.12, 95 % CI: 0.87-1.43), and adjusting for the number of pack-years in addition to age and gender confirmed this. If anything, the relationship with occasional smoking was somewhat stronger, but still not statistically significant.

In a separate set of analyses, we restricted the analyses to subjects aged 79 or below at follow-up, thus disregarding information from follow-up after the age of 80. A total of 6886 men and women and 754 deaths were included in the analyses. The results with regard to mortality in occasional smokers were essentially unchanged. Similarly as for the analyses including all subjects, we also in this situation restricted the analytical cohort to 4094 ever smokers with 526 deaths and adjusted for the number of pack-years. The results were as for all subjects, also including follow-up after the age of 79.

	Subjects	Deaths	HR 1	95% CI	HR ²	95% CI
	N (%)	N (%)				
Consistent daily smokers	1835 (26.0)	468 (28.4)	2.13	1.86, 2.43	2.05	1.78, 2.37
Occasional smokers	509 (7.2)	88 (5.3)	1.32	1.05, 1.66	1.38	1.08, 1.76
Former smokers	2363 (33.5)	633 (38.4)	1.14	1.00, 1.30	1.18	1.03, 1.35
Never-smokers	2346 (33.3)	459 (27.9)	1.00	Reference	1.00	Reference
Total	7053 (100)	1648 (100)				

¹ Adjusted for age and gender

DISCUSSION

The present prospective cohort study shows that occasional smoking significantly increased mortality by more than 30 % compared to never smokers. Results were not substantially changed when analyses were restricted to those aged below 80 years at follow-up. We found that the 7 % occasional smokers constituted the youngest group of individuals, they used alcohol more frequently and they had higher educational level compared to the other study attendees.

Our results are in line with findings from other surveys of occasional smokers; they are younger than daily smokers and their level of education is more similar to non-smokers (3, 8). A Finnish prospective cohort study studied occasional smoking habits at baseline as risk factor for total mortality (4). Their finding was about similar to ours for men, while female occasional smokers did not have an increased mortality risk. However, there was no significant difference in the association between occasional smoking and total mortality

² Adjusted for age, gender, education, body mass index, serum cholesterol and serum triglycerides

between the sexes, similar to our findings. A recent large cohort study from the US included self-reports of lifetime smoking history, and showed that persons who smoked fewer than 1 or 1 to 10 cigarettes per day over their lifetime had higher all-cause mortality risks than never smokers (5). A previous large population based Norwegian cohort study found that even very light smoking (1-4 cigarettes per day) was associated with a significantly 50-60 % increased all-cause mortality (9) while a British study demonstrated a significant hazard ratio of 1.21 for light smoking compared to never smoking (10). A study from the US experienced a more than two times higher mortality in very light smoking females (11). Light smoking may be comparable to occasional smoking when it comes to risk of all-cause mortality in our study. Differences in risk compared to the present study may be due to different study populations and length of follow up as well as various abilities to control for confounders. We have previously shown that light smoking as well as passive smoking carried higher hazards for myocardial infarction in women (12). Recently, a British cohort study with long follow-up found that light smoking at baseline carried a higher mortality risk in women than in men (10). Our results may give some support to this finding, but we did not find a statistically significant interaction and therefore merged the data for the two genders.

Norway has a strong record on national tobacco control policies since the 1970ies. The trend of occasional smoking might be influenced by the ongoing marginalization of smoking and increasing restrictions. Studies have shown that a large proportion of occasional smokers do not regard themselves as smokers (8). There is common belief, based in part on successful tobacco industry marketing to so-called "health-conscious smoking", that occasional smoking is safer than daily smoking (13). A Norwegian Directorate of Health survey in 2013 conducted before a campaign to reach occasional smokers, confirmed this. One third of the occasional smokers did not believe their smoking would cause any harm to their health (3). We do not have data to confirm these conceptions, but the relatively high education level of

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019107 on 28 December 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 17, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

occasional smokers in the present study suggests that they are well aware of the hazards of daily smoking, as well educated people are, but may consider occasional smoking far less detrimental or maybe without any health risks. Moreover, it is shown that occasional smokers are not free of nicotine dependence and that their smoking appears to be driven to some degree by the same cigarette craving that affects daily smokers, explaining why many occasional smokers have difficulty quitting (14). This is important knowledge for health professionals working with smoking cessation in occasional smokers.

In 2006-2010, approximately 10 % of Norwegians aged 16-74 years were occasional smokers (1). Our slightly lower prevalence may be because no subjects in our study were below 30 years, and occasional smoking is known to be more frequent among younger individuals. The use of snuff (snus) has been increasingly popular in Norway, particularly among adolescents and young adults (1). Approximately 3 % of the subjects included in our study reported ever use of snuff, and it was not associated with increased mortality.

Population studies have risk of selection bias because those who accept the invitation to participate in the study may not be representative for the whole target population. Non-response is often linked to exposure status, which implies that for example individuals with health issues, smokers and others with unhealthy lifestyle may be less likely to attend the survey compared to non-smokers. We have previously reported lower mortality in participants to the Tromsø Study according to attendance (6). This bias would influence our findings only if the association between smoking habits and total mortality is different in the 68 % of the invited population who were included in the analyses than in the remaining 32 %.

The participants in the Tromsø Study reported smoking habits on a self-administered questionnaire that may imply information bias. We have no objective measures of tobacco exposure like cotinine or thiocyanate. A previous Norwegian study showed that the relation

between self-reported smoking habits and the measure of serum thiocyanate was strong if the question was asked in a neutral setting (15). As the questions about smoking were asked in a neutral setting, we believe that the validity was good, although we recognize that the smoking habits probably are underreported.

A problem in studies of the health risks related to occasional smoking is that occasional smoking is a rather unstable category consisting of a heterogeneous group of former daily smokers trying to quit, persistent occasional smokers who might regard their risk as little and former quitters who have resumed as occasional smokers for a period. This instability is mirrored by the inconsistent answers to the smoking history questions we used for categorization in our study. Only registration of long-term smoking habits can answer this question.

It is a limitation that we have not been able to include information regarding changes in smoking habits during follow-up. As the smoking prevalence in our community has declined (16), we assume that some subjects classified as occasional smokers may be classified as former smokers in part of the follow-up. On the other hand, some occasional smokers were probably previously daily smokers. Thus, our estimate of the total mortality associated with occasional smoking is probably underestimated.

Our study had the strength of a large cohort with a prospective design, high participation rate and a quite long and complete follow-up. Moreover, we were able to adjust for baseline levels of other significant risk factors for total mortality in this population. The results are probably valid for other European populations, but similar cohort studies should be conducted in other populations in order to determine the exact adverse effects of occasional smoking

In conclusion, in line with few others, this study demonstrates that occasional smoking is not a safe alternative; it increases mortality. We report a dose response relationship in the hazards of smoking (daily, occasional, former and never smoking). Governmental and non-governmental tobacco control policymakers should intensify the information about the health hazards of occasional smoking as well as work towards increased restrictions. Occasional smokers make up about one fifth of all current smokers in the Norwegian population, and more work should be done to motivate this usually well-educated group to quit smoking completely.

Contributorship

MLL and BKJ conceived and designed the research. BKJ performed the analyses. MLL and BKJ drafted the manuscript. MLL, ITG, JM, EBM, IN, HS, TW and BKJ made critical revision of the manuscript for key intellectual content. All authors have read and approved the submitted version of the manuscript.

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests

None declared.

Data sharing

No additional data available.

VIJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019107 on 28 December 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 17, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

Ethics

All individuals gave written informed consent to participate. The Tromsø Study was approved by the Data Inspectorate of Norway and the Regional Committee of Medical and Health Research Ethics, North Norway.

REFERENCES

- 1. Statistics Norway. Smoking habits 2017 [Available from: https://www.ssb.no/en/helse/statistikker/royk.
- 2. Schane RE, Ling PM, Glantz SA. Health effects of light and intermittent smoking: a review. Circulation. 2010;121(13):1518-22.
- 3. Nylenna A. Occasional smoking: a new campaign target in Norway. Lancet. 2013;381(9868):708-9.
- 4. Luoto R, Uutela A, Puska P. Occasional smoking increases total and cardiovascular mortality among men. Nicotine Tob Res. 2000;2(2):133-9.
- 5. Inoue-Choi M, Liao LM, Reyes-Guzman C, Hartge P, Caporaso N, Freedman ND. Association of Long-term, Low-Intensity Smoking With All-Cause and Cause-Specific Mortality in the National Institutes of Health-AARP Diet and Health Study. JAMA Intern Med. 2017;177(1):87-95.
- 6. Jacobsen BK, Eggen AE, Mathiesen EB, Wilsgaard T, Njølstad I. Cohort profile: the Tromso Study. Int J Epidemiol. 2012;41(4):961-7.
- 7. The Tromsø Study. The Tromsø Study 2017 [Available from: www.tromsostudy.com.
- 8. Schane RE, Glantz SA, Ling PM. Nondaily and social smoking: an increasingly prevalent pattern. Arch Intern Med. 2009;169(19):1742-4.
- 9. Bjartveit K, Tverdal A. Health consequences of smoking 1-4 cigarettes per day. Tob Control. 2005;14(5):315-20.
- 10. Hurley MA. Light smoking at base-line predicts a higher mortality risk to women than to men; evidence from a cohort with long follow-up. BMC Public Health. 2014;14:95.
- 11. Holahan CK, Holahan CJ, North RJ, Hayes RB, Powers DA, Ockene JK. Smoking status, physical health-related quality of life, and mortality in middle-aged and older women. Nicotine Tob Res. 2013;15(3):662-9.
- 12. Iversen B, Jacobsen BK, Løchen ML. Active and passive smoking and the risk of myocardial infarction in 24,968 men and women during 11 year of follow-up: the Tromso Study. Eur J Epidemiol. 2013;28(8):659-67.
- 13. Schane RE, Glantz SA, Ling PM. Social smoking implications for public health, clinical practice, and intervention research. Am J Prev Med. 2009;37(2):124-31.
- 14. Shiffman S, Dunbar MS, Kirchner TR, Li X, Tindle HA, Anderson SJ, et al. Cue reactivity in non-daily smokers: effects on craving and on smoking behavior. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2013;226(2):321-33.
- 15. Foss OP, Haug K, Hesla PE, Lund-Larsen PG, Vasli LR. [Can we rely on self-reported smoking habits?]. Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen. 1998;118(14):2165-8.
- 16. Eggen AE, Mathiesen EB, Wilsgaard T, Jacobsen BK, Njølstad I. Trends in cardiovascular risk factors across levels of education in a general population: is the educational gap increasing? The Tromso study 1994-2008. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2014;68(8):712-9.

STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of *cohort studies*

Title and abstract	No 1	Recommendation (a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract:
		(a) marcare the study s design with a commonly used term in the title of the abstract
		Page 2 in abstract, Introduction: follow up cohort study.
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done
		and what was found: This is done in the abstract.
Introduction		
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported:
		Page 3-4 Introduction
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses:
		Page 4 Introduction, last sentence.
Methods		
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper: Page 4 Methods first
		paragraph
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment,
		exposure, follow-up, and data collection: Page 4-7 Methods
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of
		participants. Describe methods of follow-up: Page 4-5 Eligiblity and participants.
		Page 7-8 Follow up.
		(b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and
		unexposed: Not applicable
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect
		modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable. Page 5-7 Questionnaires and
D : /	Osk	measurements, and 7-8 Follow-up.
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of
measurement		assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is
Diag	0	more than one group. Page 5-7 Questionnaires and measurements.
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias. Page 5-6 how smoking groups were made
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at: Page 4-6
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how die study size was arrived at: Fage 4-6 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable,
Quantitutive variables	- 11	describe which groupings were chosen and why. Groupings are explained page 5-6,
		other variables page 6-7
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding:
		page 7-8
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions: Page 10
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed: Page 10
		(d) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed. Not applicable
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses. Not applicable
Results		
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially
		eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study,
		completing follow-up, and analysed: Methods page 4, 5, and Results page 7-10
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage. This is done
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram. Not done
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and
		information on exposures and potential confounders. Methods page 4-5 and Results

		first paragraph
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest.
		Methods and Results
		(c) Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount): Page 7 Follow-up
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time: Page 9 last
		paragraph
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and
		their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were
		adjusted for and why they were included. Page 9-10
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized. Not
		applicable
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a
		meaningful time period. Not applicable
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and
		sensitivity analyses: Page 9-10
Discussion		
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives: Page 11 first paragraph in
		Discussion
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or
		imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias: Page 13-14
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations,
		multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence:
		Page 15
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results: Page 15
Other information		
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if
		applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based: Page 15

^{*}Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at http://www.strobe-statement.org.

BMJ Open

Association of occasional smoking with total mortality in the population-based Tromsø Study, 2001-2015

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2017-019107.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	17-Oct-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Løchen, Maja-Lisa; The Arctic University of Norway, Department of Community Medicine Gram, Inger T.; The Arctic University of Norway Mannsverk, Jan; University Hospital of North Norway Mathiesen, Ellisiv; The Arctic University of Norway, Clinical Medicine; The University Hospital of North Norway, Neurology and Neurophysiology Njolstad, Inger; The Arctic University of Norway, Community Medicine Schirmer, Henrik; The Arctic University of Norway, Clinical Medicine Wilsgaard, Tom; University of Tromsø, Faculty of Health Sciences Jacobsen, Bjarne; University of Tromsø, Dept. of Community Medicine
Primary Subject Heading :	Smoking and tobacco
Secondary Subject Heading:	Epidemiology, Public health
Keywords:	EPIDEMIOLOGY, PUBLIC HEALTH, PREVENTIVE MEDICINE, Smoking

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019107 on 28 December 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 17, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

Association of occasional smoking with total mortality in the population-based Tromsø Study, 2001-2015

Maja-Lisa Løchen¹, Inger Torhild Gram¹, Jan Mannsverk², Ellisiv B. Mathiesen^{3,4}, Inger Njølstad¹, Henrik Schirmer^{3,5,6}, Tom Wilsgaard¹, Bjarne K. Jacobsen¹

¹Department of Community Medicine, UiT The Arctic University of Norway, Tromsø, Norway

²Division of Cardiothoracic and Respiratory Medicine, Department of Cardiology, University Hospital of North Norway, Tromsø, Norway

³Department of Clinical Medicine, UiT The Arctic University of Norway, Tromsø, Norway

⁴Department of Neurology and Neurophysiology, The University Hospital of North Norway, Tromsø, Norway

⁵Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

⁶Department of Cardiology, Akershus University Hospital, Lørenskog, Norway

Corresponding author:

Maja-Lisa Løchen, Department of Community Medicine, UiT The Arctic University of Norway, 9037 Tromsø, Norway. Mobile phone +47 99163281. maja-lisa.lochen@uit.no

Word count including references: 4775

ABSTRACT

Objectives. There is a shift in the smoking population from daily smokers to light or occasional smokers. The knowledge about possible adverse health effects of this new smoking pattern is limited. We investigated smoking habits with focus on occasional smoking in relation to total mortality in a follow up study of a Norwegian general population.

Setting. A population study in Tromsø, Norway

Methods. We collected smoking habits and relevant risk factors in 4020 women and 3033 men aged 30-89 years in the Tromsø Study in 2001. The subjects were followed up regarding total mortality through June 2015.

Results. Among the participants, there were 7 % occasional smokers. Occasional smokers were younger, more educated and used alcohol more frequently than other participants. A total of 766 women and 882 men died during follow-up. After adjustment for confounders we found that occasional smoking significantly increased mortality by 38 % (95 % CI: 8-76 %) compared to never smokers. We report a dose response relationship in the hazards of smoking (daily, occasional, former and never smoking).

Conclusions. Occasional smoking is not a safe smoking alternative. There is a need for information to the general public and health workers about the health hazards of occasional smoking. More work should be done to motivate this often well-educated group to quit smoking completely.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019107 on 28 December 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 17, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

Strengths and limitations of this study

- A longitudinal study including 7053 men and women who participated in a screening and gave information about smoking habits in 2001 (65 % and 70 % of the invited men and women, respectively) with complete mortality follow-up through June 2015.
- Information of a number of possible confounding variables was available.
- Information about smoking history was collected from several questions in two
 questionnaires at baseline and was self-reported with no objective measures of tobacco
 exposure.
- Occasional smokers is an unstable and heterogeneous group of former daily smokers
 trying to quit, persistent occasional smokers and former quitters who are occasional
 smokers for a period, and this instability is mirrored by somewhat inconsistent
 answers to the smoking history questions.
- No information is available regarding changes in smoking habits during follow-up.

INTRODUCTION

Smoking is an important preventable risk factor for disease and premature death. There is a shift in the smoking population from daily, addicted tobacco users to light or occasional smokers without similar nicotine dependence. Smoking prevalence in Norway has been nearly halved during the last decade, 12 % of Norwegian men and women aged 16–74 years were daily smokers in 2016 (1). Whilst daily smoking is declining, the prevalence of intermittent or occasional smoking in Norway has remained quite stable during the last decade, with 9 % occasional smokers in 2016 compared to 11 % in 2005. Occasional smoking is frequently found among young, educated people and women (2). In 2013, the Norwegian Directorate of Health launched a campaign to reach these segments of occasional smokers, focusing

particularly on the relationship between occasional smoking and acute myocardial infarction (3).

The literature so far is not large. A cohort study from Finland indicated that occasional smoking carried almost similar effect on death from any cause as daily smoking in men (4). A review from 2010 emphasized the need for more cohort studies explicitly comparing risk in daily smokers, occasional smokers and nonsmokers (1). In 2016, a large cohort study from the US among low-intensity smokers over lifetime, found that they had higher mortality risk than never smokers (5). More information about the adverse health effects of this new smoking pattern is needed both for the general population and for health professionals. In this population-based prospective study from Norway, we aimed to analyze smoking habits with focus on occasional smoking in relation total death risk in a 14-year follow-up.

METHODS

The Tromsø Study

The Tromsø Study is a population-based prospective multipurpose study (6). Seven surveys have been conducted, the first in 1974, the last in 2015-2016. To the fifth survey (Tromsø 5), conducted in 2001, 10353 persons were invited. These individuals were men and women who lived in the municipality of Tromsø and had participated in the second visit of the fourth survey in 1994-1995 (the majority were 62-81 years old in 2001) as well as all women and men aged 30, 40, 45, 60 or 75 years. A total of 3511 men and 4619 women aged 30-89 years attended; attendance rates of 75.7 % and 80.8 %, respectively. The participants received a questionnaire along with the invitation and were asked to bring the completed form when they came to the physical examination including also non-fasting blood samples. The questionnaire

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019107 on 28 December 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 17, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

included questions concerning, among other topics, smoking habits. People who attended the physical examination received a second questionnaire, which they were asked to complete and return in by mail. This questionnaire included a question on occasional smoking. The study design and data collection are described in some more detail elsewhere (6). An English translation of the questionnaires is available at the Tromsø Study web site (7)

The study adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and the Regional Committee for Medical and Health Research Ethics and the Norwegian Data Protection authority approved the study. All participants gave written informed consent.

Questionnaires and measurements

We based information about history of smoking on several questions in two questionnaires. A total of 7999 men and women answered the question concerning daily smoking on the first questionnaire ("Do you/did you smoke daily?" with the answer alternatives "Yes, now", "Yes, previously" or "Never"). In addition, there were questions about duration of smoking and the number of cigarettes smoked on a daily basis (if ever smoker). More than 90 % of ever smokers gave information concerning cigarettes per day and the duration of smoking (years), making computation of the number of pack-years possible.

Furthermore, 7116 subjects answered the question concerning smoking on the next questionnaire ("Do you smoke?" with the answer alternatives "Yes, daily", "Yes, sometimes" and "No, never"), thereby giving information about occasional, but not daily, smoking. There were, however, some inconsistencies, like subjects who reported to be a current daily smoker on the second questionnaire, but a previous or never smoker on the former or a never smoker on the second questionnaire and current smoker on the first. These subjects were excluded

MJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019107 on 28 December 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 17, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

from the analyses. We therefore identified four groups of subjects, including a total of 4020 women and 3033 men (68 % of the invited population).

- 1. Consistent daily smokers.
- 2. Occasional smokers: Subjects who stated to be an occasional smoker in the second questionnaire and gave information about smoking habits in the first questionnaire.
- 3. Former smokers: Subjects who stated to be a never smoker in the second questionnaire, but stated to be a former smoker in the first questionnaire, essentially identifying a group of subjects who had been smokers, but never smoked now, not even occasionally. These subjects may be at risk because of previous smoking.
- 4. Never smokers: Consistent never smokers.

The questionnaires also included a question regarding passive smoking ("Do you currently, or did you previously live together with a daily smoker after your 20th birthday?" with two answer alternatives, "Yes and "No"). Pack-years was computed as (number of cigarettes per day*duration of smoking)/20. An English translation of the questionnaires is available at the Tromsø Study web site (7) and as supplementary files 1 and 2.

Frequency of use of alcohol last year was assessed by the question "Approximately how often have you during the last year consumed alcohol?" with 8 answer alternatives from "Never consumed alcohol" to "4-7 times a week". The highest attained level of education was self-reported and classified as follows: (1) primary/partly secondary education (up to 9 years of schooling); (2) upper secondary education (10–12 years of schooling); (3) tertiary education, short (college/university less than 4 years); (4) tertiary education, long (college/university 4 years or more).

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019107 on 28 December 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 17, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

Height and weight measurements were performed in light clothing and without footwear. Waist circumference was measured without outerwear by using a measuring tape across the belly button. The participant was taken into a separate room with only a nurse present to measure the blood pressure. The blood pressure was measured three times at one-minute intervals on one arm after the participant had been seated for two minutes using an automatic device (Dinamap Vital Signs Monitor 1846; Criticon, Inc, Tampa, FL). The mean of the two last measurements was used in the present analyses.

The blood test included measurement of serum total cholesterol, serum high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol and triglycerides. Analysis of serum total cholesterol and triglycerides was performed by enzymatic colorimetric methods with commercial kits (CHOD-PAP; Boehringer-Manheim, Mannheim, Germany). HDL cholesterol was measured after precipitation of lower density lipoproteins with manganese chloride. All the analyses were performed by the Department of Clinical Chemistry, University Hospital of North Norway in Tromsø.

Follow-up and statistical analyses

The National Causes of Death Registry covers individuals registered as residents of Norway at the time of their death, without regard to whether the death took place in Norway or abroad. The subjects were followed up regarding total mortality from the day they attended the Tromsø 5 survey and to the date of death, emigration from Norway or 30 June 2015, whichever came first. There were 1648 deaths during follow-up. The mean (minimum, maximum) follow-up was 12.5 (0.2-14.3) years.

Baseline characteristics were presented as mean (standard deviations) or percentages (number of subjects). The simple descriptive statistical analyses included analyses of variance and Chisquare tests and the p-values were p for homogeneity. The relationships between smoking habits and mortality were assessed by Cox proportional hazard regression analyses with attained age as the continuous time variable, including 95 % confidence intervals for the hazard ratios. Consistent never smokers constituted the reference category. Adjustments were performed for other significant predictors for mortality in this cohort after adjustment for sex and attained age, i.e., education, body mass index, total serum cholesterol and serum triglycerides.

RESULTS

Mean age for both sexes (4020 women and 3033 men) was about 60 years. Among the participants, there were 33 % never smokers, 34 % former smokers, 7 % occasional smokers and 26 % consistent daily smokers (Table 1). In both sexes, occasional smokers tended to be younger than other smokers. A higher proportion of occasional smokers had high education levels and weekly use of alcohol. Passive smoking was most common in current smokers. The use of snuff (both current and previous use) was most prevalent in men and in occasional smokers. Occasional and daily smokers had lower BMI compared with never and former smokers.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019107 on 28 December 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 17, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of women and men according to smoking habits in 2001. The Tromsø Study

	Never-	Former	Occasional	Consistent	p-value ¹
	smokers	smokers	smokers	daily smokers	
Waman N (0/)	1649 (41.0)	1061 (26.4)	257 (6.4)		
Women N (%)	1648 (41.0)	1061 (26.4)	257 (6.4)	1054 (26.4)	< 0.001 ²
No. of pack-years		9.2 (9.1)	6.1 (7.4)	17.4 (11.0)	
Age (years)	61.8 (13.5)	60.2 (13.3)	53.3 (15.3)	56.1 (13.4)	< 0.001
Education > 12 years (%)	29.4 (461)	26.9 (277)	39.4 (98)	23.1 (235)	< 0.001
% exposed for passive smoking	58.3 (945)	77.8 (807)	75.2 (191)	86.1 (880)	< 0.001
% with weekly alcohol use	20.9 (330)	28.3 (291)	31.7 (79)	27.8 (288)	< 0.001
Ever use of snuff	0.4 (5)	1.3 (10)	2.1 (5)	0.6 (6)	0.02
BMI (kg/m^2)	26.9 (4.6)	27.4 (4.6)	25.5 (4.4)	24.9 (4.2)	< 0.001
Waist circumference (cm)	85.0 (11.7)	86.7 (12.3)	82.1 (11.1)	81.3 (11.0)	< 0.001
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	141.4 (23.4)	138.1 (22.8)	130.4 (23.1)	132.7 (22.6)	< 0.001
Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	6.3 (1.2)	6.3 (1.2)	6.0 (1.3)	6.3 (1.2)	0.003
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	1.6 (0.4)	1.6 (0.4)	1.6 (0.4)	1.5 (0.4)	< 0.001
Triglycerides (mmol/l)	1.4 (0.8)	1.4 (0.7)	1.3 (0.7)	1.5 (0.9)	0.006
	, ,		, ,		
Men N (%)	698 (23.1)	1302 (42.9)	252 (8.3)	781 (25.8)	
No. of pack-years	0	17.5 (16.0)	10.8 (13.3)	22.6 (13.2)	$< 0.001^2$
Age (years)	55.9 (14.6)	65.0 (11.5)	55.5 (14.6)	58.2 (13.4)	< 0.001
Education > 12 years (%)	40.9 (278)	22.3 (280)	41.9 (104)	23.4 (176)	< 0.001
% exposed for passive smoking	35.8 (247)	76.1 (969)	69.0 (171)	84.4 (637)	< 0.001
% with weekly alcohol use	34.8 (240)	35.8 (458)	47.6 (118)	40.0 (309)	< 0.001
Ever use of snuff	4.9 (31)	5.5 (64)	11.7 (29)	5.2 (40)	< 0.001
BMI (kg/m ²)	26.8 (3.5)	27.2 (3.6)	26.7 (3.6)	26.0 (3.5)	< 0.001
Waist circumference (cm)	94.1 (9.4)	97.0 (10.6)	94.5 (10.5)	93.6 (10.2)	< 0.001
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	138.7 (20.1)	143.7 (20.6)	135.2 (16.5)	137.1 (19.1)	< 0.001
Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	6.0 (1.1)	6.0 (1.1)	6.0 (1.1)	6.1 (1.1)	0.36
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	1.3 (0.3)	1.4 (0.4)	1.3 (0.4)	1.3 (0.3)	0.006
Triglycerides (mmol/l)	1.7 (1.0)	1.7 (1.0)	1.6 (0.8)	1.7 (1.1)	0.56

Values are mean (standard deviations) or percentages (number of subjects). There were some missing values for some variables.

¹ p-value for homogeneity

² p-value not including never smokers

MJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019107 on 28 December 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 17, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

Table 2 confirms that both consistent daily smoking and occasional smoking were associated with increased all-cause mortality. A total of 766 women and 882 men died during follow-up. Although the age-adjusted hazard ratio associated with occasional smoking compared to never smokers was somewhat higher in women (HR=1.59 (95 % CI: 1.15-2.20)) than in men (HR=1.23 (95 % CI: 0.88- 1.73)), the overall relationships between smoking habits and total mortality were not statistically significantly different (p-value for interaction =0.07) and the p-values for the difference in the relationships with occasional smoking was higher (p=0.3) (data not shown). Due to the relatively low number of deaths among the individuals who smoked occasionally, we merged results for men and women and adjusted for gender. Adjustments for other significant predictors for mortality in this cohort (education, body mass index, total serum cholesterol and serum triglycerides) changed the point estimates only marginally and the conclusions were unaffected (Table 2). This was also the case when further adjustments for the frequency of use of alcohol and passive smoking were undertaken.

In a separate set of analyses, we included only men and women who at baseline indicated to be free of ischemic heart disease (reporting no myocardial infarction or angina pectoris). In this group of 6121 subjects, there were 1232 deaths. This exclusion of individuals had minimal impact on the point estimate for the relationship between occasional smoking and total mortality; HR=1.27 (95 % CI: 0.97, 1.67) compared to HR=1.32 (95 % CI: 1.05, 1.66) in the analyses including the total population (Table 2).

Detailed information about smoking history (the number of pack-years) was missing for 543 ever smokers. In separate analyses, we restricted the analytical cohort to 4164 ever smokers (current daily smokers, occasional smokers, and previous smokers) with complete information

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019107 on 28 December 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 17, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

about the number of pack-years. There were 1013 deaths. The age- and gender adjusted mortality in occasional smokers was not higher than in former smokers (HR=1.12, 95 % CI: 0.87-1.43), and adjusting for the number of pack-years in addition to age and gender confirmed this. If anything, the relationship with occasional smoking was somewhat stronger, but still not statistically significant.

In a separate set of analyses, we restricted the analyses to subjects aged 79 or below at follow-up, thus disregarding information from follow-up after the age of 80. A total of 6886 men and women and 754 deaths were included in the analyses. The results with regard to mortality in occasional smokers were essentially unchanged. Similarly as for the analyses including all subjects, we also in this situation restricted the analytical cohort to 4094 ever smokers with 526 deaths and adjusted for the number of pack-years. The results were as for all subjects, also including follow-up after the age of 79.

Table 2. Relationships between smoking habits and total mortality. A 14 years follow-up. The Tromsø Study.

	Subjects	Deaths	HR 1	95% CI	HR ²	95% CI
	N (%)	N (%)				
Consistent daily smokers	1835 (26.0)	468 (28.4)	2.13	1.86, 2.43	2.05	1.78, 2.37
Occasional smokers	509 (7.2)	88 (5.3)	1.32	1.05, 1.66	1.38	1.08, 1.76
Former smokers	2363 (33.5)	633 (38.4)	1.14	1.00, 1.30	1.18	1.03, 1.35
Never-smokers	2346 (33.3)	459 (27.9)	1.00	Reference	1.00	Reference
Total	7053 (100)	1648 (100)				

¹ Adjusted for age and gender

² Adjusted for age, gender, education, body mass index, serum cholesterol and serum triglycerides

MJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019107 on 28 December 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 17, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

The present prospective cohort study shows that occasional smoking significantly increased mortality by more than 30 % compared to never smokers. Results were not substantially changed when analyses were restricted to those aged below 80 years at follow-up. We found that the 7 % occasional smokers constituted the youngest group of individuals, they used alcohol more frequently and they had higher educational level compared to the other study attendees.

Our results are in line with findings from other surveys of occasional smokers; they are younger than daily smokers and their level of education is more similar to non-smokers (3, 8). A Finnish prospective cohort study studied occasional smoking habits at baseline as risk factor for total mortality (4). Their finding was about similar to ours for men, while female occasional smokers did not have an increased mortality risk. However, there was no significant difference in the association between occasional smoking and total mortality between the sexes, similar to our findings. A recent large cohort study from the US included self-reports of lifetime smoking history, and showed that persons who smoked fewer than 1 or 1 to 10 cigarettes per day over their lifetime had higher all-cause mortality risks than never smokers (5). A previous large population-based Norwegian cohort study found that even very light smoking (1-4 cigarettes per day) was associated with a significantly 50-60 % increased all-cause mortality (9) while a British study demonstrated a significant hazard ratio of 1.21 for light smoking compared to never smoking (10). A study from the US experienced a more than

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019107 on 28 December 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 17, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

two times higher mortality in very light smoking females (11). Light smoking may be comparable to occasional smoking when it comes to risk of all-cause mortality in our study. Differences in risk compared to the present study may be due to different study populations and length of follow up as well as various abilities to control for confounders. We have previously shown that light smoking as well as passive smoking carried higher hazards for myocardial infarction in women (12). Recently, a British cohort study with long follow-up found that light smoking at baseline carried a higher mortality risk in women than in men (10). Our results give some risk estimates supporting this finding, but we did not find a statistically significant interaction and therefore merged the data for the two genders.

Norway has a strong record on national tobacco control policies since the 1970ies. The trend of occasional smoking might be influenced by the ongoing marginalization of smoking and increasing restrictions. Studies have shown that a large proportion of occasional smokers do not regard themselves as smokers (8). There is common belief, based in part on successful tobacco industry marketing to so-called "health-conscious smoking", that occasional smoking is safer than daily smoking (13). A Norwegian Directorate of Health survey in 2013 conducted before a campaign to reach occasional smokers, confirmed this. One third of the occasional smokers did not believe their smoking would cause any harm to their health (3). We do not have data to confirm these conceptions, but the relatively high education level of occasional smokers in the present study suggests that they are well aware of the hazards of daily smoking, as well educated people are, but may consider occasional smoking far less detrimental or maybe without any health risks. Moreover, it is shown that occasional smokers are not free of nicotine dependence and that their smoking appears to be driven to some degree by the same cigarette craving that affects daily smokers, explaining why many occasional smokers have difficulty quitting (14). This is important knowledge for health professionals working with smoking cessation in occasional smokers.

 In 2006-2010, approximately 10 % of Norwegians aged 16-74 years were occasional smokers (1). Our slightly lower prevalence may be because no subjects in our study were below 30 years, and occasional smoking is known to be more frequent among younger individuals. The use of snuff (snus) has been increasingly popular in Norway, particularly among adolescents and young adults (1). Approximately 3 % of the subjects included in our study reported ever use of snuff, and it was not associated with increased mortality, but with occasional smoking (Table 1).

Population studies have risk of selection bias because those who accept the invitation to participate in the study may not be representative of the whole target population. Non-response is often linked to exposure status, which implies that for example individuals with health issues, smokers and others with unhealthy lifestyle may be less likely to attend the survey compared to non-smokers. We have previously reported lower mortality in participants to the Tromsø Study according to attendance (6). This bias would influence our findings only if the association between smoking habits and total mortality is different in the 68 % of the invited population who were included in the analyses than in the remaining 32 %.

The participants in the Tromsø Study reported smoking habits on a self-administered questionnaire that may imply information bias. We have no objective measures of tobacco exposure like cotinine or thiocyanate. A previous Norwegian study showed that the relation between self-reported smoking habits and the measure of serum thiocyanate was strong if the question was asked in a neutral setting (15). As the questions about smoking were asked in a neutral setting, we believe that the validity was good, although we recognize that the smoking habits probably are underreported.

It is a limitation that we have not been able to include information regarding changes in smoking habits during follow-up. The smoking prevalence in our community has declined

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019107 on 28 December 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 17, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

(16). In Tromsø 5 (2001), it was 28 % current smokers, 20 % in Tromsø 6 (2007-2008) and 14 % in Tromsø 7 (2015-2016). Seven percent of the Tromsø 7 population reported to be occasional smokers, 19 % had previously been (7).

A particular problem in studies of the health risks related to occasional smoking is that occasional smoking is a rather unstable category consisting of a heterogeneous group of former daily smokers trying to quit, persistent occasional smokers who might regard their risk as little and former quitters who have resumed as occasional smokers for a period. This instability is mirrored by the inconsistent answers to the smoking history questions we used for categorization in our study. Among the subjects who stated to be occasional smokers on the second questionnaire in our study population, 28 %, 60 % and 13 %, respectively, reported to be current, ex- and never daily smokers on the first questionnaire.

A total of 3729 of the subjects included in our analyses also answered a question concerning occasional smoking in the Tromsø 6 survey in 2007-2008, and information about occasional smoking was therefore available from both surveys. We found that 39 % of those who at baseline (in 2001) were classified as occasional smokers reported the same in 2007-2008 (Tromsø 6). When comparing with their self-reported classification as current, ex- and never smokers in 2007-2008, 13 % of occasional smokers in 2001 reported to be current daily smokers in 2007-2008 and 65 % reported to be previous daily smokers. Thus, the changing smoking habits among the occasional smokers make it difficult to precisely assess the strength of the relationship between occasional smoking and total mortality. Only registration of longterm smoking habits can answer this question.

Another limitation in our study is that we lack information about usage patterns among the occasional smokers, e.g., how often they smoked and how many cigarettes they smoked per occasion.

Our study had the strength of a large cohort with a prospective design, high participation rate and a quite long and complete follow-up. Moreover, we were able to adjust for baseline levels of other significant risk factors for total mortality in this population. The results are probably valid for other European populations, but similar cohort studies should be conducted in other populations in order to determine the exact adverse effects of occasional smoking. In particular, there is a need for larger studies as there were relatively few occasional smokers in our study and therefore only 88 deaths.

In conclusion, in line with a few others, this study demonstrates that occasional smoking is not a safe alternative; it increases mortality. We report a dose response relationship in the hazards of smoking (daily, occasional, former and never smoking). Governmental and non-governmental tobacco control policymakers should intensify the information about the health hazards of occasional smoking as well as work towards increased restrictions. Occasional smokers make up about one third of all current smokers in the Norwegian population, and more work should be done to motivate this usually well-educated group to quit smoking completely.

Contributorship

MLL and BKJ conceived and designed the research. BKJ performed the analyses. MLL and BKJ drafted the manuscript. MLL, ITG, JM, EBM, IN, HS, TW and BKJ made critical revision of the manuscript for key intellectual content. All authors have read and approved the submitted version of the manuscript.

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019107 on 28 December 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 17, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

Competing interests

None declared.

Data sharing

No additional data available.

Ethics

All individuals gave written informed consent to participate. The Tromsø Study was approved by the Data Inspectorate of Norway and the Regional Committee of Medical and Health Research Ethics, North Norway.

Acknowledgment

The publication charges for this article have been funded by a grant from the publication fund of UiT The Arctic University of Norway.

REFERENCES

- 1. Statistics Norway. Smoking habits 2017 [Available from: https://www.ssb.no/en/helse/statistikker/royk.
- 2. Schane RE, Ling PM, Glantz SA. Health effects of light and intermittent smoking: a review. Circulation. 2010;121(13):1518-22.
- 3. Nylenna A. Occasional smoking: a new campaign target in Norway. Lancet. 2013;381(9868):708-9.
- 4. Luoto R, Uutela A, Puska P. Occasional smoking increases total and cardiovascular mortality among men. Nicotine Tob Res. 2000;2(2):133-9.
- 5. Inoue-Choi M, Liao LM, Reyes-Guzman C, Hartge P, Caporaso N, Freedman ND. Association of Long-term, Low-Intensity Smoking With All-Cause and Cause-Specific Mortality in the National Institutes of Health-AARP Diet and Health Study. JAMA Intern Med. 2017;177(1):87-95.
- 6. Jacobsen BK, Eggen AE, Mathiesen EB, Wilsgaard T, Njolstad I. Cohort profile: the Tromso Study. Int J Epidemiol. 2012;41(4):961-7.
- 7. The Tromsø Study. The Tromsø Study 2017 [Available from: www.tromsostudy.com.
- 8. Schane RE, Glantz SA, Ling PM. Nondaily and social smoking: an increasingly prevalent pattern. Arch Intern Med. 2009;169(19):1742-4.

MJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019107 on 28 December 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 17, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

- 9. Bjartveit K, Tverdal A. Health consequences of smoking 1-4 cigarettes per day. Tob Control. 2005;14(5):315-20.
- Hurley MA. Light smoking at base-line predicts a higher mortality risk to women than to men; evidence from a cohort with long follow-up. BMC Public Health. 2014;14:95.
- Holahan CK, Holahan CJ, North RJ, Hayes RB, Powers DA, Ockene JK. Smoking status, physical health-related quality of life, and mortality in middle-aged and older women. Nicotine Tob Res. 2013;15(3):662-9.
- Iversen B, Jacobsen BK, Lochen ML. Active and passive smoking and the risk of myocardial infarction in 24,968 men and women during 11 year of follow-up: the Tromso Study. Eur J Epidemiol. 2013;28(8):659-67.
- 13. Schane RE, Glantz SA, Ling PM. Social smoking implications for public health, clinical practice, and intervention research. Am J Prev Med. 2009;37(2):124-31.
- Shiffman S, Dunbar MS, Kirchner TR, Li X, Tindle HA, Anderson SJ, et al. Cue reactivity in nondaily smokers: effects on craving and on smoking behavior. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2013;226(2):321-33.
- Foss OP, Haug K, Hesla PE, Lund-Larsen PG, Vasli LR. [Can we rely on self-reported smoking habits?]. Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen. 1998;118(14):2165-8.
- Eggen AE, Mathiesen EB, Wilsgaard T, Jacobsen BK, Njolstad I. Trends in cardiovascular risk factors across levels of education in a general population: is the educational gap increasing? The Tromso study 1994-2008. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2014;68(8):712-9.



Personal Invitation

Don't write here

5.3 (Municipality)

9.4 (Occupation)

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

ВМ	IJ Ope	n	Page 20 of 2
1. YOUR OWN HEALTH	3	. OTHER COMPLAINTS	
1.1 What is your current state of health? (Tick one only)	3	.1 Below is a list of various problems. Have vo	u experienced
Poor Not so good Good Very good		any of this during the last week (including to	
1 2 3		(Tick once for each complaint) No	Little Pretty Very complaint much much
		Sudden fear without reason	
1.2 Do you have, or have you had?: Age first			
Yes No	Т	Felt afraid or anxious	
Asthma	ı	Faintness or dizziness	
		Felt tense or upset	
Hay fever		Tend to blame yourself	
		Sleeping problems	
Chronic bronchitis/emphysema		Depressed, sad	
		Feeling of being useless, worthless	
Diabetes			
		Feeling that everything is a struggle	
Osteoporosis		Feeling of hopelessness with regard to the future	
			2 3 4
Fibromyalgia/chronic pain syndrome	4	. USE OF HEALTH SERVICES	3
Psychological problems for which you	4	.1 How many times in the <u>last 12 months</u> have	you been to/used:
have sought help	-	(Tick once for each line) None	you been to/used: 1-3 4 or times more
A heart attack		General practitioner (GP)	
A heart attack			
Angina pectoris (heart cramp)		Medical officer at work	
Angina pectons (neart cramp)		Psychologist or psychiatrist(private or out-patient clinic)	
Cerebral stroke/brain haemorrhage			
Octobral stroke/brain hacmonnage		Other specialist (private or out-patient clinic)	
V 1		Emergency GP (private or public)	
1.3 Have you noticed attacks of sudden changes in your pulse or heart rhythm in the last year?		Hospital admission	
Voc. No.	_	Home nursing care	
1.4 Do you get pain or discomfort in the chest when:	'	Physiotherapist	
Walking up hills, stairs or walking fast on level ground?		Chiropractor	
1.5 If you get such pain, do you usually:		Dentist	
Stop? Slow down? Carry on at the same pace?			
12		Alternative practitioner	
1.6 If you stop, does the pain disappear within	5	. CHILDHOOD/YOUTH AND AFFILIA	ATION
10 minutes?			
Yes No	5.	.1 How long altogether have you lived in the co	ounty? year
1.7 Can such pain occur even if you are at rest?		(Put 0 if less than half a year)	
2. MUSCULAR AND SKELETAL COMPLAINTS	_		
	5.	.2 How long altogether have you lived in the munic (Put 0 if less than half a year)	cipality?
2.1 Have you suffered from pain and/or stiffness in muscles and joints during the last 4 weeks? (Give duration only if you have had problems) Duration	5	.3 Where did you live most of the time before t	he age of 16?
No Some Severe Up to 2 week	ks	(Tick one option and specify)	ne age of for
complaint complaint complaint 2 weeks or more Neck/shoulders	E	Same municipality	ATION cipality? year he age of 16?
		Another municipality	Q.
Arms, hands		in the county	
Upper part of your back		Another county in Norway 3 Which one:	<u> </u>
Lumbar region		Outside Norway 4 Country:: ——	j
Hips, legs, feet	5	.4 Have you moved within the last five years?	1
Other places	3.		
1 2 3 1 2 Age		No Yes, one time Yes, more than on	ice c
2.2 Have you ever had: Yes No		□1 □2 □3	<u> </u>
Fracture in the wrist/forearm	G	BODY WEIGHT	
	Ь	BODY WEIGHT	

Hip fracture?..........For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/e125/earoyt/guidelines.xhtml

	BMJ Ope
r	en: first published
	as 10.1136/
	st published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019107 on 28 December 2017. Downloade
	17-019107 on 28 D
	8 Decemb
	ber 2017. [
	Downloaded fr
	from http://bm
	mjopen.bmj.
	.com/ on Apr
	il 17, 2024 b
(y guest. F
•	orotected by
	copyright.

	7.1	FOOD AND BEVERAGES	8. 3	SMUKING
1 2	7.1	How often do you usually eat these foods? (Tick once per line) Rarely 1-3 times 1-3 times 4-6 times 1-2 times 3 times or /never /month /week /week /day more /day	8.1	How many hours a day do you normally spend in smoke-filled rooms? Number of total hours
3		Fruit, berries	8.2	Did any of the adults smoke at home
4 5		Cheese (all types)	8.3	while you were growing up?
6		Potatoes		together with a daily smoker after your 20th birthday?
7 8		Boiled vegetables	8.4	Yes, now Yes, previously Never Do you/did you smoke daily?
9		Fresh vegetables/salad		If NEVER: Go to question 9: (EDUCATION AND WORK)
10 11		Fatty fish (e.g. salmon,	8.5	If you smoke daily <u>now</u> , do you smoke: Yes No
12	7.2	7 7 2 3 4 3 0		Cigarettes?
13 14		Don't Hard Soft/light use Butter margarine margarine Oils Other		Cigars/cigarillos?
15		On bread		A pipe?
16 17	7.3	For cooking	8.6	If you <u>previously</u> smoked daily, how long is it since you quit? Number of years
18 19	1.3	supplements: Cod liver oil, fish oil capsules	8.7	If you currently smoke, or have smoked previously:
20		Vitamins and/or mineral supplements?		How many cigarettes do you or did you
21 22	7.4	How much of the following do you usually drink?		normally smoke per day? Number of cigarettes
23		(Tick once per line) Rarely /never glasses /day 1-6 1 glass 2-3 4 glasses or more Full milk, full-fat curdled milk, /week /day /day		How old were you when you began daily smoking? Age in years
24 25		yoghurt		How many years in all have you smoked daily? Number of years
26		Semi-skimmed milk, semi-skimmed curdled milk, low-fat yoghurt		· · ·
27 28		Skimmed milk, skimmed curdled milk	9. E	EDUCATION AND WORK
29 30		Extra semi-skimmed milk	9.1	How many years of education have you completed? (Include all the years you have attended school or studied)
31		Juice		
32 33		Water □ □ □ □ Mineral water (e.g. Farris, □ □ □ □		Do you currently have paid work? Yes, full-time 1 Yes, part-time 2 No 3
34		Ramløsa etc)		
35 36		Cola-containing soft drink	9.3	Describe the activity at the workplace where you had paid work for the longest period in the last 12 months. (e.g. Accountancy firm, school, paediatric
37 38	7.5	1 2 3 4 5 Do you usually drink soft drink: with sugar \square 1 without sugar \square 2		department, carpentry workshop, garage, bank, grocery store, etc.)
39 40	7.6	How many cups of coffee and tea do you drink daily? Number of cups		Business: If retired, enter the former business and occupation.
41		(Put 0 for the types you don't drink daily)		Also applies to 9.4
42 43		Filtered coffee	9.4	Which occupation/title have or had you at this workplace? (e.g. Secretary, teacher, industrial worker, nurse, carpenter, manager, salesman, driver, etc.)
44 45		Boiled coffee/coarsely ground coffee for brewing		Occupation:
46 47		Other type of coffee	9.5	In your main occupation, do you work as self-employed,
47 48		Other type of conee	0.0	as an employee or family member without regular salary?
49 50		Tea		Self-employed Employee Family member
50 51		According to be been after the control of the contr	9.6	Do you believe that you are in danger of losing Yes No
52 52	7.7	consumed alcohol? (Do not count low-alcohol and alcohol-free beer)	3.0	your current work or income within the next two years?
53 54		Never Have not consumed A few times About 1 time consumed alcohol alcohol last year last year a month		
55 50			9.7	Do you receive any of the following benefits? Yes No
56 57		2-3 times About1 time 2-3 times 4-7 times per month a week a week		Sickness benefit (are on sick leave)
58		☐ 5 ☐ 6 ☐ 7 ☐ 8 To those who have consumed the last year:		Old age pension, early retirement (AFP) or survivor pension
59 60	7.8	When you drink alcohol, how many	\top	Rehabilitation/reintegration benefit
	7.9	Approximately how many times during the last		Disability pension (full or partial)
	-	year have you consumed alcohol equivalent to 5 glasses or drinks within 24 hours? Number of times		Unemployment benefits during unemployment
	7.10	When you drink, do you normally drink: (Tick one or more)		Social welfare benefits
		Beer Wine Spirits For peer review only - http://bmjopen.l	bmj.c	om/site/about/guidelinesexhtml
		<u> </u>	-	—

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50 51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59 60

Mother's age

Don't know.

not applicable

Father's age Brother's age Sister's age Child's age

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

10. EXERCISE AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY 13. USE OF MEDICINES 10.1 How has your physical activity in leisure time been With medicines, we mean drugs purchased at pharmacies. during this last year? Supplements and vitamins are not considered here. Think of a weekly average for the year. Now Previously, but not now Never BMJ Open: first published 13.1 Do you use: Time spent going to work is count as leisure time. Answer both questions. used Hours per week Blood pressure lowering drugs None Less than 1 1-2 Light activity Cholesterol-lowering drugs (not sweating/out of breath)... 13.2 How often have you during the last 4 weeks used Hard physical activity the following medicines? Every week but not Not used (sweating/out of breath)...... in the last (Tick once for each line) 4 4 weeks week daily 10.2 Describe exercise and physical exertion in your leisure time. Painkillers non-prescription If your activity varies much e.g. between summer and winter, then give an average. The question refers only to the last year. as Painkillers on prescription (Tick the most appropriate box) 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019107 on Sleeping pills Reading, watching TV or other sedentary activity? Tranquillizers Walking, cycling or other forms of Antidepressants exercise at least 4 hours a week? (Include walking or cycling to work, Sunday walk/stroll,etc.) Other prescription medicines ... 13.3 For those medicines you have checked in points 13.1 and Participation in recreational sports, heavy gardening, etc.? 13.2, and that you've used during the last 4 weeks: (Note: duration of activity at least 4 hours a week) State the name and the reason that you are taking/have taken these (disease or symptom): Participation in hard training or sports competitions, (Tick for each duration you have used the medicine) regularly several times a week? How long have you used the medicine 28 Name of the medicine: Reason for use of Up to 1 year 11. FAMILY AND FRIENDS the medicine (one name per line) 1 year or more December 11.1 Do you live with: Spouse/partner?..... 2017. Number of friends 11.2 How many good friends do you have? Count the ones you can talk confidentially with and who can give you help when you need it. Do not count people you live with, but do include Downloaded other relatives. 11.3 How much interest do people show for what you do? (Tick only once) from Great Some Little No Uncertain interest interest interest interest 5 http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 17, 2024-by-gupste-Protected by-copydight If there is not enough space here, you may continue on a separate sheet that you attach 11.4 How many associations, sport clubs, groups, religious communities or similar do you take part in? Number 14. THE REST OF THE FORM IS TO (Write 0 if none) BE ANSWERED BY WOMEN ONLY 11.5 Do you feel that you can influence what happening in your local community where you live? (Tick only once) 14.1 How old were you when you Neve Age in years started menstruating? Yes, a lot Yes, some Yes, a little No tried ___2 ___5 14.2 If you no longer menstruating, how old were you when you stopped menstruating? Age in years 12. ILLNESS IN THE FAMILY 14.3 Are you pregnant at the moment? Don't 12.1 Have one or more of your parents or siblings Above fertile Yes Nο Uncertain know had a heart attack (heart wound) or age angina pectoris (heart cramp)? 12.2 Tick for the relatives who have or have 14.4 How many children have you Number of had any of the illnesses: (Tick for each line) given birth to? children None 14.5 Do you use, or have you ever used? Mother Father Brother Sister Child of these Cerebral stroke or Before, (Tick once for each line) brain haemorrhage Never but not now Oral contraceptive pills/mini pill/ Heart attack contraceptive injection before age of 60 years Hormonal intrauterine device (IUD) (not ordinary IUD). Estrogen (tablets or patches) Estrogen (cream or suppositories) Diabetes 14.6 If you use/have used prescription estrogen: 12.3 If any relatives have diabetes, at what age did they get How long have you used it? Number of years diabetes (if for e.g. many siblings, consider the one who 14.7 If you use contraceptive pills, mini pill, contraceptive got it earliest in life): injection, hormonal IUD or estrogen, what brand do you use?

Page 22 of 26

3 4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

43 44

57 58

59 60

Additional questions to the health survey in Troms and Finnmark 2001-2002

The main aim of the Tromsø Study is to improve our knowledge about cardiovascular diseases in order to aid prevention. The study is also intended to improve our knowledge of cancer and other general conditions, such as allergies, muscle pains and mental conditions. We would therefore like you to answer some questions about factors that may be relevant for your risk of getting these and other illnesses. This form is part of the Health Survey, which has been approved by the Norwegian Data Inspectorate and the Regional Board of Research Ethics. The answers will only be used for research purposes and will be treated strictly confidential.

U 1	NEI	3HB	ORH	, עטט	AND	HOME

|--|

1.2 What type of house do you live in? (Tick only once)

	Detached house/villa	∐ 1	
	Farm	_ 2	
	Flat/apartment	\square_3	
	Terraced/semi-detached house	□ 4	
	Institution/care home	□ 5	
	Other	6	
			ı
4.0	H		

1.3 How big is your house?

Are you bothered by: (Tick once for	r each lir	ne)	
	No	Little complaint	Severe
	complaint	complaint	compiali
Moisture, drought or coldness in your h	nome 🗀		
Other forms of bad indoor climate			
Traffic noise (cars or aircraft)	□		
Other noise (industrial, construction, etc	.) \square		
Neighbour noise			
Orinking water quality			
Air pollution from traffic	□		
Air pollution from wood/oil heating, factor	y etc.		

1.5 What home language did your grandparents have?

Tick for one or more alte	ernatives)		
Norwegia	•	Kven/ Finnish	Other language
Mother's mother			
Mother's father			
Father's mother			
Father's father For p	eer review	only ht	tp://bmjop

The information you give us may later be linked with information from other public health registers in accordance with the rules laid down by the Data Inspectorate and the Regional Board of Research Ethics.

If you are unsure about what to answer, tick the box that you feel fits best.

The completed form should be sent to us in the enclosed prepaid envelope. Thank you in advance for helping us.

Yours sincerely

Department of Community Medicine University of Tromsø

National Health Screening Service

If you do not wish to answer the questionnaire, tick the box below and return the form. Then you will not receive reminders.

I do not	wish to ans	wer the questionnaire	
Date of co	mpletion:		
Day	Month	Year	\top
			1

NEIGHBORHOOD AND HOME (cont.)

What do you consider yourself as?

	(TICK I	or one or	more and	errialives)		
	N	lorwegian	Sami	Kven/ Finnish	Other	
1.7	Do yo good	u feel tha friends?	t you hav	ve enough	Yes	No
1.8	gathe polition	rings, e.g	. sewing ngs or otl	ally take pa circles, spo her associa	orts clubs	
	Never	, or just a	few times	a year		_ 1
	1-3 tin	nes a mon	ıth			
	Appro	ximately o	nce a we	ek		\square_3
	More t	than once	a week			4

PAID AND UNPAID WORK

2.1	If you have paid or unpaid work, how would you
	describe your work? (Tick only once)

Mostly sedentary work? (e.g. office work, mounting)	_ ₁
Work that requires a lot of walking? (e.g. shop assistant, light industrial work, teaching,) 🗌 2
Work that requires a lot of walking and lifting? (e.g. Postman, nursing, construction)	\square_3
Heavy manual labour? (e.g. forestry, heavy farm-work, heavy construction)	4

2.2

Can you decide <u>yourself</u> how your work (or unpaid) should be organised? (<i>Tick or</i>	
No, not at all	
To a small extent	<u> </u> :
Yes, to a large extent	∐∶
Yes, I decide myself	□.

2.3 Are you on call, do you work en.bmj.c**em/site/abg/us**/guidelines.xhtml

Yes	Ν

T3.	TOBACCO	T7.	ILLNESSES AND INJURIES	
3.1	Do you smoke? Yes, daily Yes, sometimes No, never 1 2 3 —	7.1	Have you ever had: Tick once for each question. Also give the age at the time. If you have had the condition several times, how old were you the <u>last</u> time	Age last
	If "Yes, sometimes" What do you smoke?		Severe injury requiring Yes No hospital admission	time
	☐ Cigarettes ☐ Pipe ☐ Cigar/cigarillos		Ankle fracture	
3.2	Have you used or do you use snuff daily? Yes, now Yes, previously Never		Peptic ulcer	
	If YES: How many years altogether have you		Peptic ulcer surgery	
T4.	used snuff? years ALCOHOL		Neck surgery	
	Are you a teetotaller?		Prostate surgery	
	How many times a month do you normally drink alcohol?	7.2	Do you have, or have you ever had: (Tick once for each question) Cancer	Yes No
4.3	How many glasses of beer, wine or spirits do you normally drink in a fortnight?		Psoriasis Thyroid disease	
	Beer Wine Spirits (Do not count low-alcohol beer. Put 0 if you do not drink alcohol)		Glaucoma Cataract	
4.4	For approximately how many years has your alcohol consumption been at the same level you described above?		Osteoarthritis (arthrosis) Bent fingers Skin contractions in your palms	
4.5	Have you, in one or more periods in the last 5 years consumed so much alcohol that it has inhibited your work or social life?		Kidney stone	
	Yes, Yes, Yes, both No, at work and never social life		Hernia surgery Surgery/treatment for urine incontinence	
T5.	FOOD AND DIETARY SUPPLEMENTS		Epilepsy Poliomyelitis (polio)	
5.1	Do you usually eat breakfast every day? Yes No		Parkinson's disease	
5.2	How many times a week do you eat a warm dinner?		MigraineLeg ulcer	
5.3	How important is it for you to have a healthy diet?		Allergy and hypersensitivity:	Yes No
	Very Somewhat Little Not		Atopic eczema (e.g. childhood eczema) Hand eczema	
5.4	Do you use the following dietary supplements? Yes, daily sometimes No	\top	Food allergy	
	Iron tablets		Other hypersensitivity (not allergy)	Yes No
	Vitamin D supplements		Have you had common cold, influenza, gastroenteritis, etc. during the last 14 days? Have you during the last 3 weeks had	
T6.	BODY WEIGHT		common cold, influenza, bronchitis, pneumonia, sinusitis, or other respiratory infection?	Yes No
6.1	Do you currently try to change your body weight? Yes, I try to yes, I try to lose weight	7.5	Have you ever had bronchitis or pneumonia?	Yes No
62	What weight would you be satisfied with (your "ideal weight")? review only - http://bm.jopen.		Have you during the last 2 years had bronchitis or pneumonia? (<i>Tick only once</i>) No 1-2 times More than 2 times	

RM.J	Open
DIVIO	Open

T8.	SYMPTOMS	T8. SYMPTOMS (continue)
8.1	Have you in the last two weeks felt: (Tiple angular for each question) Very	8.8 How often do you suffer from sleeplessness?
	(Tick once for each question) No A Little A lot much	(Tick only once)
	Nervous or worried	Never, or just a few times a year
	Bothered by anxiety	1-3 times a month 2
	Confident and calm	Approximately once a week
	Irritable	More than once a week 4
	Happy and optimistic	8.9 If you suffer from sleeplessness monthly or more
		frequently, what time of the year does it affect you most?
	Down/depressed	No particular time of the year 1
	Lonely 1 2 3 4	Especially during the polar night 2
	· -	Especially during the midnight sun season
0.0	Do you cough about daily for periods of the year?	Especially in spring and autumn 4
0.2		8.10 Have you in the last year suffered from Yes No
	If YES:	sleeplessness to the extend that it has affected your ability to work?
	Is your cough productive?	
	Have you had this kind of cough for as long as 3 months in each of the last two years?	8.11 Do you usually sleep during the day?
		8.12 How often do you suffer from urinary incontinence?
8.3	Have you had episodes with wheezing in the chest?	Never
	If YES:	Not more than once a month 2
	Has this occurred: (Tick once for each question) Yes No At night	Two or more times a month 3
		Once a week or more 4
	In connection with respiratory infections	
	In connection with physical exertion	8.13 Are you able to walk <u>down</u> 10 steps without Yes N holding on to something (e.g. a handrail)
	In connection with very cold weather	
	Yes No	8.14 Do you use glasses?
8.4	Do you get pain in the calf while walking \Box	8.15 Do you use a hearing aid?
	If YES:	8.16 How is your memory?
	How long can you go before you notice the pain?	(Tick once for each question) Po you to got what you just have Yes No.
0.5		Do you forget what you just have heard or read?
8.5	Do you get short-winded in the following situations? (Tick once for each question)	Do you forget where you have placed things?
	While walking fast on level ground Yes No	Is it more difficult to remember now than earlier?
	or slight up hills	Do you more often write memos now than earlier?
	While walking calmly on	
	level ground	If "YES" on one of these questions; Is this a problem in your daily life?
	wrille washing of dressing yourself	
	While resting	
8.6	Do you have to stop because of short-windedness Yes No	T9. MEDICINES
	while walking in your own pace on level ground?	9.1 Do you use, or have you used any of
8.7	Have you during the last year suffered from	the following medicines: Age when Previously, used 1st time
	pain and/or stiffness in muscles and joints that have lasted continuously for	Now but not now Drugs for
	at least 3 months?	osteoporosis year
	If YES: Has the complaint reduced your leisure Yes No	Tablets for diabetes
	Has the complaint reduced your leisure time activity?	, see .
	•	Drugs for hypothyroidism
	For how long has the complaint endured in total?	(thyroxine)years
	approx. years and months	
		9.2 Do you use any medicines which you take
	Has the complaint reduced your ability to work during	as injections? L
	the last year? (Also applies to domestic workers and pensioners (Tick once)	If YES: Give the name of the medicines (for injection):
	No/insignificantly To some extend Significantly reduced Do not know	(one name per line)
	. 13, 13 grillourity 10 dorne oxtoria digrillourity reduced Do not know	

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019107 on 28 December 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 17, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

ſ	ВМЈ	
	T10. ILLNESS IN THE FAMILY	T12.THE REST IS TO BE ANSWERED BY WOMEN ONLY
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	10.1 Tick for the relatives who have or have ever had any of the diseases: (Tick for each line) Mother Father Brother Sister Child of these Heart attack (heart wound)	12.2 If you still have mensturate or are pregnant: What date did your last menstruation start? Day Month Year 12.3 If you no longer menstruate; why did your periods stop? (Tick once) It stopped by itself
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	10.2 How many siblings and children do you have? Brothers Sisters Children Number 10.3 Do you usually do extra caring work because of illness etc. in your close family? Yes, daily/almost daily Yes, sometimes No	If YES: How old were you when you started taking estrogen? If you stopped using estrogen, How old were you when you stopped taking estrogen? 12.5 Do you use or have you used oral years
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31		If YES: How old were you when you started taking the pill?
32 33	10.6 Is your father alive? years	How many years did you take the pill before your first delivery? Number of years
34	T11. MOBILE TELEPHONE	If you stopped taking the pill:
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	11.1 Do you have (own, rent, etc.) a mobile telephone? Yes, always 1 2 If Yes: What do you use your mobile telephone for, and how often do you use it? (Tick once for each line) Number of times per day	How old were you when you stopped? 12.6 Apart from pregnancy and after giving birth, have you ever stopped having menstruation for 6 months or more? If YES: How many times?
43 44 45 46	30 or 10-29 2-9 1 or Never less Conversations	12.7 How is your current menstruation status? I have not had menstruation in the last year I have regular menstruation
47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54	TAO THE DEST IS TO BE ANSWEDED BY WOMEN ONLY	12.8 When you were 25-29 years old, how many days usually passed between the start of two periods? Minimum Maximum Do not know days
55 56 57 58 59 60	1 st child 2 nd child 3 rd child 4 th child	The periods were of approximately equal length every time? How many days did a typical menstrual bleeding period last? Thank you for the help!
	5 th child For peer review only - http://bmjopen.	Remember to mail the form today!

(If more children, use additional sheet)