

Appendix 2: Power calculation for AMD awareness survey sample size.

| Sample Size: X-Sectional, Cohort, & Randomized Clinical Trials | | | |
|---|---------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| Two-sided significance level(1-alpha): | | | 95 |
| Power(1-beta, % chance of detecting): | | | 80 |
| Ratio of sample size, Unexposed/Exposed: | | | 1 |
| Percent of Exposed with Outcome: | | | 25 |
| Odds Ratio: | | | 6.3 |
| Risk/Prevalence Ratio: | | | 5 |
| Risk/Prevalence difference: | | | 20 |
| | Kelsey | Fleiss | Fleiss with CC |
| Sample Size - Exposed | 51 | 49 | 59 |
| Sample Size-Nonexposed | 51 | 49 | 59 |
| Total sample size: | 102 | 98 | 118 |

References

Kelsey et al., Methods in Observational Epidemiology 2nd Edition, Table 12-15
 Fleiss, Statistical Methods for Rates and Proportions, formulas 3.18 & 3.19
 CC = continuity correction

Based on a global survey investigating AMD awareness by AMD Alliance International, the level of awareness in the UK was 16% in 2005.³⁸ Allowing for increase in awareness over time (demonstrated by studies in other countries), hence assuming a slightly higher level of awareness (~25%) in south-east Scotland, we would have a power of 80% to detect this with a total sample size of 118 patients.

Appendix 3: Table comparing summary demographic data for included vs excluded case notes.

| Patient demographics | Analysed (n = 195) | Excluded (n = 120) |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Sex (% female) | 61.5 | 58.3 |
| Mean age (years) | 77.7 | 78.4 |
| Percentage of patients presenting with first affected eye | 95.9% | 97.5% |

Appendix 4: Table showing breakdown of case notes excluded from study.

| | |
|---|------------|
| Total case notes identified | 315 |
| Co-existence of ocular comorbidities that give rise to choroidal neovascularization | 23 |
| Symptom duration not recorded | 76 |
| Lost to follow-up | 21 |
| Case notes included in study | 195 |