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ABSTRACT

Objectives Applications for surgical training have declined over the last decade, and anecdotally the costs of training at the expense of the surgical trainee are rising. We aimed to quantify the costs surgical trainees are expected to cover for postgraduate training.

Design Prospective, cross-sectional, questionnaire-based study.

Setting/Participants A non-mandatory online questionnaire for UK-based trainees was distributed nationally. A similar national questionnaire was distributed for Ireland, taking into account differences between the healthcare systems. Only fully completed responses were included.

Results There were 848 and 58 fully completed responses from doctors based in the UK and Ireland, respectively. Medical students in the UK reported a significant increase in debt on graduation by 55% from £17 892 (2000–2004) to £27 655 (2010–2014) (p<0.01). 41% of specialty trainees in the UK indicated that some or all of their study budget was used to fund mandatory regional teaching. By the end of training, a surgical trainee in the UK spends on average £9105 on courses, £5411 on conferences and £4185 on exams, not covered by training budget. Irish trainees report similarly high costs. Most trainees undertake a higher degree during their postgraduate training. The cost of achieving the mandatory requirements for completion of training ranges between £20 000 and £26 000 (dependent on specialty), except oral and maxillofacial surgery, which is considerably higher (£71 431).

Conclusions Medical students are graduating with significantly larger debt than before. Surgical trainees achieve their educational requirements at substantial personal expenditure. To encourage graduates to pursue and remain in surgical training, urgent action is required to fund the mandatory requirements and annual training costs for completion of training and provide greater transparency to inform doctors of what their postgraduate training costs will be. This is necessary to increase diversity in surgery, reduce debt load and ensure surgery remains a popular career choice.

INTRODUCTION

The number of trainees applying for surgical training has declined over the last decade. Many factors including low workforce morale, poor work–life balance and recent contractual issues may act as a deterrent to medical students considering a career in surgery. The cost of completing the mandatory postgraduate requirements to secure a higher surgical training programme post has been estimated to be between £27 375 and £20 780, dependent on surgical specialty (average £3360), compared with medicine (£2815) and anaesthetics (£2215). Following entry to higher surgical training, there are considerable ongoing costs incurred by trainees in order to meet the requirements for completion of training as mandated by the Joint Committee on Surgical Training (JCST). These include educational courses, conference attendance, Royal College membership and fellowship examinations and annual subscriptions, and specialty society
membership subscriptions. In addition, trainees pay annual expenses such as registration with the respective regulatory bodies, the UK General Medical Council or the Irish Medical Council (IMC), medical indemnity insurance costs, and the JCST fee (paid by trainees in the UK).

In 2007, the Association of Surgeons in Training (ASiT) conducted a survey of UK surgical trainees to assess the financial costs to trainees in surgical training. The results demonstrated that the mean debt on qualification from medical school was over £20,000. However, in recent years there have been many new challenges facing the current generation of surgical trainees, including increased student debt, secondary to a rise in annual university tuition fees of up to £9000 per annum. It has previously been calculated that medical students graduating currently are unlikely to repay their student loan debt before reaching the 30-year point at which it is written off. The salaries of male and female medical graduates diverge such that by the age of 55, the average male medical school graduate earns 35% more. This means that the average female graduate repays more when debt is low, but a lower amount when debt is high, compared with male graduates. The cost of living has also increased; in the 10 years preceding November 2016, the UK consumer price index rose to a total of 23.8%.

To assess the current situation, we repeated a refined study, with a broader remit and more in-depth assessment of cost pressures on trainee surgeons in both the UK and Ireland. The main aim was to assess the true financial cost of training to the surgical trainee in each of the 10 surgical specialties.

**METHODS**

**Participants and setting**

Postgraduate surgical training in the UK and Ireland consists of a minimum of 8 years of training (except for oral and maxillofacial surgery (OMFS) and urology, which is a minimum of 7 years) following completion of the initial postqualification 2-year Foundation Programme (or intern year in Ireland) (figure 1). Competitive entry occurs prior to both core and higher specialist training levels, except for neurosurgery, cardiothoracic surgery and OMFS in the UK (and trauma and orthopaedics in Scotland), where ‘run-through’ training (no separate selection process between core and higher specialist training) from core level exists. Core surgical knowledge is assessed by the Intercollegiate Membership of the Royal College of Surgeons examination, and specialty-specific knowledge during the later phase of higher surgical training is assessed by the Intercollegiate Fellowship of the Royal College of Surgeons examination.

In the UK and Ireland, the JCST is responsible for curriculum development and quality assurance of all the surgical training programmes in the 10 defined surgical specialties (cardiothoracic surgery, general surgery, neurosurgery, OMFS, otolaryngology, paediatric surgery, plastic surgery, trauma and orthopaedics, urology, and vascular surgery). All surgical trainees are required to register with the JCST and to pay an annual fee (£255 at time of submission) that has more than doubled between 2010 and 2016. This fee supports the running costs of the JCST to manage trainee enrolment and recommendation for certification; the work of each of the 10 surgical specialties’ ‘Specialty Advisory Committee’ (SACs); curriculum review and development; and website support. The JCST training fee is covered for trainees in Ireland directly by funding received by the Royal College of Surgeons of Ireland (RCSI) from the Health Service Executive (HSE).

In the UK, Local Education Training Boards (LETBs) provide funding to local education providers (essentially the hospital where a trainee is employed) to cover the direct costs of delivering education and training. This sum includes two components: first, salary support of 50% of each doctor’s basic salary; and the second component is a placement fee of £12400 per year, per trainee, to fund all costs involved in delivering education and training needs. It is from this placement fee that trainees apply for study funding support towards courses and conferences essential to their training, often referred to as ‘study leave budget’, with a restricted amount available dependent on the LETB. Funding for military trainees in the UK regular Defence Medical Services is overseen by External Education and Training Support within the Defence Deanery. Funding for training courses for military trainees is therefore at the discretion of the Defence Consultant Advisor and Defence Deanery. In Ireland, the RCSI receives funding from HSE to provide surgical training, which covers the cost of the JCST fee, delivering the curriculum, human factors and operative skills training days. However, other elements essential for Certificate of Completion of Training (CCT) are not directly provided. More details of...
Questionnaire design and distribution
A novel 54-item survey tool was developed, consisting of free-text, binomial and variable scale responses. The questionnaire was designed with reference to previously published guidelines on conducting questionnaire research. The online platform SurveyMonkey (Palo Alto, California, USA; www.surveymonkey.com) was used to build the survey. All individual question items were compulsory. No individually identifiable information was collected; therefore, non-responders could not be identified for follow-up. No incentives were offered for participation. A link to the online survey was distributed to the members of ASiT, surgical specialty associations, and local and national mailing lists of surgical trainees. All surgical trainees in the UK including foundation doctors were included, as appropriate to the level of analysis. A modified version of the survey was circulated to ASiT members and surgical trainees in Ireland, which reflected relevant differences in health systems and training. Interns were excluded from distribution of the survey in Ireland as contact details were only available for those registered as surgical trainees with RCSI. Data collection took place from 2 December 2015 to 26 April 2016. Participants consented to the use of the analysis, distribution and publication of anonymised grouped results. A copy of the survey can be found in online supplementary appendix 2.

This study was undertaken by ASiT (http://www.asit.org), a pan-surgical specialty professional body and registered charity in the UK (no: 274841) working to promote excellence in surgical training for the benefit of junior doctors and patients alike. ASiT is independent of the National Health Service, Surgical Royal Colleges and specialty associations.

Data analysis
Only fully completed questionnaires were included in the analysis. Due to the differing healthcare structures and funding systems of postgraduate education and training in UK and Ireland, a modified version of the survey was used for Ireland and the results are presented separately. Military trainees were excluded due to low numbers and a separate training funding structure. Data were graphed and analysed in Excel (Microsoft, Redmond, Washington, USA). Significance testing for continuous variables was conducted using Mann-Whitney U test in Stata 14; statistical significance was accepted at p<0.05. Survey sample size calculations were based on standard published formulae, and assuming a population of 60000 individuals, with alpha=0.01, 209 responses would be sufficient for a margin of error of 0.03. For readability, all values are presented to the nearest pound (£) or euro (€). We have used the exchange rate as accessed on 13 January 2017 of £0.87=€1.00 to provide comparisons between the two currencies. The study results are reported in concordance with the STROBE (Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology) guidance on observational studies. Results regarding costs are presented displaying trainees’ year of graduation in blocks of 5 years to show trends over time.

Costs of CCT to the trainee in each surgical specialty
Using guidance available from the JCST, the total cost of achieving the mandatory and desirable requirements for CCT in each of the surgical specialties was also calculated. Where conference attendance was mandated, but no exact minimum number described, the cost of at least one attendance during the training period was calculated. For courses that required revalidation at the end of training, the reduced course cost of revalidation rather than a full attendance was used. Course costs from recognised bodies, such as the British Medical Association (BMA) and Surgical Royal Colleges, were used in all calculations, where applicable. Conference costs were calculated using the reduced rates available to society members or early registrations where possible.

RESULTS
Of 1603 surveys submitted, a total of 868 fully completed responses were included in the analysis from doctors based in the UK and 58 fully completed responses from doctors based in Ireland. Respondent demographics by country of work are detailed in table 1.

UK-specific responses
For the purposes of monetary analysis, UK military doctors (n=20) were excluded from the main analysis; however, a summary of military doctors’ survey findings can be found in online supplementary appendix 3. This resulted in a total of 848 respondents for analysis. Of 848 respondents, 751 (88%) graduated from medical school in the UK. Eighty-nine per cent (672) of these had graduated from RCSI, the remainder were graduates from other medical schools. Eighty-four per cent (656) graduated between the years 2010 to 2014, and the remaining 16% (122) were graduates in blocks of 5 years to show trends over time.

Survey respondents are detailed in table 1. The sample size for comparative purposes was 848 for the UK and 58 for Ireland.

Table 1 Baseline demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographics</th>
<th>UK</th>
<th>Ireland</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>848</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male:female (%)</td>
<td>518:327 (61.3:38.7, 3 NR)</td>
<td>35:23 (60:40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (years)</td>
<td>31.6 (range 23–55)</td>
<td>31.3 (range 25–41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTFT trainees (%)</td>
<td>36 (4.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic trainees (%)</td>
<td>69 (8.1)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respondents divided by country of work. LTFT, less than full-time training; N/A, not applicable; NR, not reported.
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with those graduating between 2000 and 2004 (p<0.01) (figure 2).

There were 659 specialty trainee respondents from the UK (grades core training (CT)/specialist training (ST) ST1 to ST8) (table 2). Of these, 93% (618) responded that they were currently entitled to a study leave budget. The median value was £600 per annum (range £500–£835). Three LETBs reported no defined budget limit (Yorkshire and Humber, South West, and Thames Valley). Of all respondents in specialty training, 41% indicated that some (31% of respondents) or all (10% of respondents) of their study budget was used to fund mandatory regional teaching.

By the end of training, a surgical trainee in the UK can expect to have spent on average £9105 on courses, £5411 on conferences and £4185 on exams (£18 701) that they have not been reimbursed through any source. Expense per year on conferences has marginally increased from £331 to £414, comparing older graduates with the more recent generation (2000–2004 vs 2010–2014, p=0.28). However, course expenses per year have increased significantly; the most recent graduates from medical school, graduating in the years 2010–2014, have spent on average £1311 per year. This is an increase of 121% on the annual amount spent by medical school graduates graduating between 2000 and 2004 (p<0.01) (figure 3).

Four hundred respondents (47%) from the UK have undertaken a postgraduate degree since graduating from medical school, with this proportion rising by the later stages of training (ST7–8 and post-CCT fellow) to 68% (96/141). The average cost of the degree, including university fees and loss of earnings, was estimated by respondents at £18 009, with an MD/PhD being the most

Table 2 Specialty, stage of training and LETB for respondents from UK

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specialty</th>
<th>What is your stage of training?</th>
<th>In which LETB/deanery do you work?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cardiothoracic surgery: 32 (3.8%)</td>
<td>Foundation year 1: 12 (1.4%)</td>
<td>Scotland: 70 (8.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General surgery: 296 (34.9%)</td>
<td>Foundation year 2: 63 (7.4%)</td>
<td>Northern Ireland: 49 (5.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurosurgery: 28 (3.3%)</td>
<td>ST1/CT1/SHO1: 148 (17.5%)</td>
<td>Wales: 51 (6.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral and maxillofacial: 19 (2.2%)</td>
<td>ST2/CT2/SHO2: 96 (11.3%)</td>
<td>North East: 42 (6.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otolaryngology: 75 (8.8%)</td>
<td>CT3/SHO3: 10 (1.2%)</td>
<td>North West: 80 (9.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paediatric surgery: 29 (3.4%)</td>
<td>ST3/SPR1: 78 (9.2%)</td>
<td>Yorkshire and Humber: 55 (6.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastic surgery: 66 (7.8%)</td>
<td>ST4/SPR2: 59 (7.0%)</td>
<td>East Midlands: 51 (6.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trauma and orthopaedics: 172 (20.3%)</td>
<td>ST5/SPR3: 77 (9.1%)</td>
<td>West Midlands: 70 (8.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular surgery: 59 (6.4%)</td>
<td>ST6/SPR4: 59 (7.0%)</td>
<td>East of England: 61 (7.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urology: 59 (7.0%)</td>
<td>ST7/SPR5: 60 (7.1%)</td>
<td>Thames Valley: 41 (4.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/Unsure: 26 (3.1%)</td>
<td>ST8/SPR6: 67 (7.9%)</td>
<td>Kent, Surrey and Sussex: 41 (4.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-CCT: 17 (2.0%)</td>
<td>Wessex: 41 (4.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clinical fellow: 35 (4.1%)</td>
<td>South West: 61 (7.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Research post: 53 (6.3%)</td>
<td>North East and Central London: 43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other: 14 (1.7%)</td>
<td>North North West London: 44 (5.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>South London: 46 (5.4%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CCT, Certificate of Completion of Training; CT, core training; LETB, Local Education Training Board; SHO, senior house officer; SPR, specialist registrar; ST, specialist training.
Table 3  Specialty and stage of training for respondents from Ireland

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specialty to pursue</th>
<th>What is your stage of training?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cardiothoracic surgery: 2 (3%)</td>
<td>ST1/CT1/SHO1: 13 (22%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General surgery: 22 (38%)</td>
<td>ST2/CT2/SHO2: 10 (17%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurosurgery: 1 (2%)</td>
<td>ST3/SPR1: 12 (21%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral and maxillofacial: 0</td>
<td>ST4/SPR2: 5 (9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otolaryngology: 2 (3%)</td>
<td>ST5/SPR3: 4 (7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paediatric surgery: 0</td>
<td>ST6/SPR4: 2 (3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastic surgery: 3 (5%)</td>
<td>ST7/SPR5: 1 (2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trauma and orthopaedics: 20 (34%)</td>
<td>ST8/SPR6: 3 (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular surgery: 2 (3%)</td>
<td>Clinical fellow: 1 (2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urology: 6 (10%)</td>
<td>Research post: 1 (2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CT, core training; SHO, senior house officer; SPR, specialist registrar; ST, specialist training.

Estimated costs of training (UK and Ireland) using CCT essential and desirable criteria

The costs range between £20000 (€23 479) and £26000 (€30 523) depending on surgical specialty, except OMFS, which is considerably higher (£71 431 or €83 858) due to the dual qualification in medicine and dentistry, as well as having significantly more mandatory training courses than other specialties (see online supplementary appendix 4).

Only the minority of the costs are tax-deductible, add to this the estimated cost of a postgraduate degree (£18 009 or €21 142), which many surgical trainees will also undertake at their own expense, and the estimated costs to the trainee increase to approximately £40 000 (£46 958, excluding OMFS).

**Discussion**

This study has shown that individual doctors incur many thousands of pounds in personal expense after graduating from medical school to pursue a career as a surgeon and to meet the requirements to complete surgical training. These costs are incurred in addition to the significant debt built up by most medical school graduates, a debt burden likely to rise further as a greater proportion of students graduate under increased student tuition fees in the UK. Many of the costs paid by the trainee towards their training are not recognised as tax-deductible, yet are incurred to cover requirements that are essential to progress through training schemes and therefore to maintain one’s livelihood. Consultant surgeons-to-be now spend considerably more per year on courses than in the past, and these now represent the single largest training cost, according to our results. Efforts to make surgery an attractive and inclusive career must include an equitable distribution of training costs to the trainee.

Individual trainees spend significant amounts on courses that are not actually mandatory as documented in CCT requirements. We speculate there are two reasons for this: first, surgical trainees will undertake courses above and beyond the minimum requirements to develop their skills. Gaps in knowledge and experience delivered in current training posts are likely to contribute to this, such that simulation courses are necessary to address training needs. As such, issues with training programmes failing to meet trainees’ educational needs are instead transferred to trainees, who still obtain this necessary training at their own cost. Second, to be competitive for higher surgical training and for consultant posts, trainees may undertake additional courses and extracurricular activities.

We have identified a regional variation in what amount is available to trainees, despite the standardised placement fee from the LETB. Study budgets for specialty trainees were lower than the values released in response to the recent freedom of information (FOI) request by Varley et al46 in 7 out of 10 LETBs (North Central, South and North West London, North West England, East of England, Kent, Surrey and Sussex, and North East England).
England), and equal in three LETBs (East Midlands, Wales and West Midlands). It is desirable that study budgets are standardised across the UK, in both amounts, and that they should not be top-sliced to provide mandatory regional teaching, and in the longer term all items deemed essential for CCT (including the JCST fee) should be funded directly, without expense to the trainee. ASiT has previously highlighted this issue of uncontrolled geographical variation, calling for an equitable approach through national standardisation. 17

Irish trainees bear similar high costs in surgical training to their UK counterparts, not surprisingly given that JCST requirements are the same. The higher cost of courses for Irish trainees may reflect increased travel and accommodation expenses, as many courses require travel outside of Ireland and the exclusion of Irish interns from the study, who are less likely to have undertaken expensive technical skills courses. The higher cost of exams may reflect in part a higher proportion of Irish trainees who undertake United States Medical Licensing Examination (USMLE) examinations to pursue a fellowship in the USA, as well as increased travel expenses to intercollegiate examinations often held in the UK. While funding is available to reimburse some of these expenses, it falls short of being sufficient to avoid trainees bearing the greatest burden of the cost. These costs are on top of annual mandatory costs such as membership or fellowship of RCSI. These are significant additional costs—for example, the 2016/2017 subscription rate is £315 (€370) for fellows and £226 (€265) for members, and the annual IMC retention fee (£477 (€560) for those registered for less than 3 years and £515 (€605) for those registered for more than 3 years). Of note, the payment for the IMC is an annual payment and cannot be split across the year, which places a significant financial burden on trainees at the time of the year when they move jobs and incur considerable additional expenses.

Sixty-eight per cent of later stage higher surgical trainees in the UK and 81% of Irish trainees report obtaining a higher degree. This was associated with an average cost estimated by respondents of over £18 000 and £20 000 in the UK and Ireland, respectively. While it is not deemed mandatory by the JCST to undertake a higher degree within surgical training, there are a number of reasons why surgical trainees choose to undertake one. First, it is required in order to practise as an academic consultant surgeon, and second a significant proportion of trainees will undertake one in order to make themselves competitive for consultant appointment.

Doctors need to be aware in advance of what their chosen pathway is likely to cost them, alongside the starting salary for consultant posts when they complete their training (ranging from £76 761 in the UK and £95 775 (€105 000) in Ireland).18 19 This study has provided the most detailed assessment yet for both UK and Irish surgical trainees. It is difficult to compare the costs with other medical specialties as few similar studies have been undertaken in other disciplines. One calculation for the training costs towards the completion of CCT in obstetrics and gynaecology estimated slightly less than for surgical trainees, at £14 224.20 Another calculation for only the early stages of training in other specialties was also slightly less for medicine and anaesthetics than surgical specialties.3 Comparisons with other professional careers, such as solicitors, are also difficult, but working in the private sector has additional benefits. After qualifying with a law degree, solicitors must complete a legal practice course, which costs £85 000–£15 000 dependent on the type of course and location.21 It is however possible to have this cost covered by a law firm if obtaining a training contract in advance, and many law firms will also provide a living expense grant of several thousand pounds per year.22

Research by the University of Kent for the Department of Health has provided cost estimates for the training of various doctor grades from the start of medical school onwards.23 This work found that the total cost of training a consultant was £564 112, with some contributions that came largely from the individual (such as undergraduate university fees, lost earnings and postgraduate training fees) and others that came predominantly from the state (clinical placement, tuition and replacement).23 It is not possible from the document to disentangle the values independently contributed by each party.

An important consideration frequently overlooked in these analyses relates to the hospital activity performed by trainees generating hospital income. Doctors in training have a value as well as a cost, which should be taken into account to offset such cost estimates. Two UK-based studies have sought to quantify this within surgical training.24 25 In general surgery, an analysis of 1184 outpatient clinic consultations demonstrated that trainees delivered a quarter of all outpatient-related income, averaging £36 452 per trainee.24 This was sufficient to offset 95% of the trainees’ average basic salaries. Within otolaryngology surgery, clinical activity undertaken by senior house officer (SHO) or core surgical trainee level grade doctors was calculated to generate an annual net income of £73 048 (4.3 times higher than their employment costs).25 Registrars generated an annual net income of £121 587 (5.4 times their employment cost). In total, 94% of trainees included in this analysis generated more hospital income than their employment costs. Given the benefit derived from hospitals from trainee-related clinical activity, it is reasonable that a proportionate amount of the associated costs of training should be borne by the employing hospitals.

The costs analysed in this study present a comprehensive overview of the breadth and depth of costs incurred by trainees. The survey was widely distributed across regions, specialties and grades, increasing the likelihood that it is representative of trainee experience. However, these results are specific to the UK and Ireland, and caution should be taken when comparing with other national surgical training systems due to international variability of the requirements for completion of training, length of training programme, differing training costs and salaries. A significant number of surveys were excluded due to incompletion, which we believe to be related to the need for accurate costings to compete the survey. However, the overall number of
completed responses was higher than required to power the study. It is also recognised that some costs could be subject to recall bias or an element of selection bias, in that those with significantly more debt may be more likely to respond; however, the figures reported are largely consistent with the calculations made using the current prices of exams, courses and society memberships (see online supplementary appendix 4). Future studies should seek to understand the balance of costs incurred by the health system in supporting training, which are poorly understood, the influence of training cost on career choice, and wider international comparisons on the costs of training in different health systems.

CONCLUSIONS
Medical students are graduating with increasing debt. Surgical trainees achieve their educational requirements through considerable personal expenditure, with a total estimated monetary cost to the trainee in the region of £40 000 (€47 000). The CCT in surgical specialties comes with significant costs, which until now have not been accurately estimated. The cost goes far beyond the national training fee paid to the JCST annually in the UK, and greater transparency is immediately necessary to inform doctors of what their postgraduate training costs will be across all specialties. We strongly believe that the costs of mandatory surgical training should be covered by the LETBs, including the JCST fee and the costs of achieving CCT mandatory requirements. Furthermore, funding should be made available for non-mandatory surgical educational activity deemed beneficial by the trainee’s educational supervisor to ensure surgeons are trained to the highest level to provide excellent care. This is necessary to increase diversity in surgery, reduce debt load and make surgery a popular career choice again.
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